











DR. PHILIP BUTTMANN'S

INTERMEDIATE OR LARGER

GREEK GRAMMAR,

TRANSLATED FROM THE GERMAN;

WITH

A BIOGRAPHICAL NOTICE OF THE AUTHOR.

EDITED BY

DR. CHARLES SUPF.

Third Edition,

LONDON: WHITTAKER AND CO. AVE MARIA LANE.

MDCCCXLVIII.

PA 258 B95

PREFACE OF THE EDITOR.

In presenting this translation of Dr. Buttmann's Grammar to the public, it would be superfluous to express in terms of high eulogium the sagacious views, the soundness of the rules, and the acuteness of philological remarks, which this work contains, or by endeavouring to recommend its practical use, whilst its editions have now reached the number of fifteen, from which latter this translation has been made. Dr. Alex. Buttmann, the son of the late author of this Grammar, has followed the footsteps of his father in the way and manner those rules and observations are expressed, which he has added to this new edition. Both the etymological as well as syntactical part have been greatly improved. In the former, the author succeeded in still more simplifying the theory of the Greek verb, this most essential part of all languages, but in particular of the Greek, on account of its greater perfection and variety; and in the syntactical section, in filling up a chasm, remarked in former editions as to the unsatisfactory and cursory manner in which the rules of the moods had been treated, which was frequently quoted as a reasonable ground why, as to syntax, the preference was often given to the grammar of Matthiæ. The Greek moods, in which the nicest shades of ideas have found a corresponding form and expression in the Greek, beyond the power of other languages, and the force of which is often more felt, even by the experienced scholar, than he is able to analyse, are explained and classified with the utmost precision by the author. He avoided both extremes, into which most modern German grammarians have fallen, in either conveying their ideas in an abstract language, bordering on the abstruse, and using modern philosophical terms, little palatable to the general class of readers, but the least fit for

those for whom works of this sort are intended; and, on the other hand, in abstaining from overlaying his observations with a mass of authorities and examples, requiring more philological knowledge than is fairly to be expected in a tyro; whilst they are often superfluous for the accomplished scholar, unless he wishes to use such kind of works as a philological thesaurus.

The author expresses his rules in precise but plain language, and prefers confining himself to a few well-chosen but striking examples, which are often translated and generally easily understood. Besides the rules on the moods, those of the noun, article, pronoun, adjective, on the cases, on the infinitive, the participle, and on the attraction, have been revised and brought to greater perfection. The fault, therefore, which in former editions was often found as to the meagreness in the syntactical part of Buttmann's Greek Grammar, may be said to be obviated in this new edition, and it thus fulfils all reasonable claims a teacher of the Greek idiom can fairly prefer. In this respect, we may say that this new edition will supersede the grammar of Matthiæ, or at least confine it to that class of readers for whom it is calculated, whilst the grammar of Buttmann will be the best qualified for the beginner, as well as the more advanced student in Greek literature.

That this new edition may contribute its share to the solid and thorough comprehension of those unrivalled monuments of human genius, the literary works of ancient Greece, which will for ever remain the appropriate means of forming the mind, of endowing it with a sound judgment and an exquisite taste, of inspiring the mind with elevated views and principles, and the study of which will for ever constitute the best bulwark against the shallow claims of a universal philology, so much the order of the present day, is the wish of the Editor.

CHARLES SUPF.

AUTHOR'S PREFACE.

NINE years have elapsed since the decease of my father, and already two new editions of this intermediate or larger Grammar have been required. The first of these two, published in the year 1833, was revised and superintended by Professor Lachmann in Berlin, to whom the author, shortly before his death, expressed the wish, 'that nothing should be altered in this new edition, (though he was willing to admit corrections,) but what he himself might probably have made; that the work might remain his own, in the fullest sense of the word, until it was superseded by a better and more perfect one.' Conformably to this wish, the editor of the fourteenth edition, according to his own words, has corrected only some errors, and some expressions which were wanting in precision, whilst he abstained altogether from altering whatever referred to the general arrangement, and to the leading principles on which the grammatical system had been originally founded. On the same principle, the revision of this second, or, properly speaking, fifteenth, edition has been made; nevertheless, I must beg leave to render a somewhat more minute account of those alterations, which I thought absolutely necessary to make the work more practically useful.

In the etymological part of the Grammar, I have left unchanged whatever had been based in the peculiar view of the late author, and only then permitted myself to make some alterations where they either could be made available from the

author's manuscripts, or contributed to clearness and precision. Alterations, therefore, as in the §§ 7, 9, 32, 41, 50, 60, 113, &c. affect but the form or arrangement in particular instances, and aim merely at more conciseness in expression; the new § 71. a. containing a general view of the pronouns, may be used as a reference, and the table of page 77 comprises only the results of the foregoing &&. The numerals have been printed in a better and clearer manner, and some adjectives and participles have been declined throughout, with the view of rendering this intermediate Grammar more adapted to the use of schools, so that it might answer all the purposes required in a schoolbook written for beginners in the Greek language. The theory of the verb has remained essentially the same; the alterations which have been made have merely the object of bringing the results of the preceding investigations under a more comprehensive and systematic view. That part alone (§ 113) which treats of the deponens passive, an article which seems to me still far from being brought to a satisfactory conclusion, and still open to a more minute investigation, has been newly added, wherein I followed partly the general observations laid down in the Grammar of Professor Rost.

The syntactical part of the Grammar has undergone more important alterations. The late author had himself repeatedly declared that it was imperfect in the form in which it had till then appeared: he had therefore resolved on making the necessary improvements, but was soon convinced of the utter impossibility of doing so, as his state of health was already then greatly impaired and undermined. That something was absolutely necessary for improving this part of the Grammar was universally felt by all teachers who made use of this work. The late author, as far as his health permitted him to do so, had sent his few remarks on this subject to Professor Krüger, the editor of the fourteenth edition, and they are to be found in that edition inclosed in the sign []. These are the reasons why I felt myself equally entitled to do something more to this part of the Grammar. My endeavours were principally

directed to the improvement of those syntactical rules, the knowledge of which is absolutely required for the more effectual reading and understanding of Greek prose-writers, whilst I took care to deviate as little as possible from the basis and general divisions of former editions. These new additions are meant to be a general outline, which is to be filled up by the teacher in the course of his lectures on Greek authors. I endeavoured likewise, as far as it can be done in Greek syntax, to substitute more precise rules and terms for those which were open to misinterpretation, or which were conceived in too general a manner. In making these alterations, I am greatly indebted to the Grammar of Rost, which appears most congenial to the views of teachers in schools. I have followed this Grammar sometimes as to general arrangement, and often as to particular points, though I preferred in essential and more material parts to consult those sources from which Rost had drawn his observations, and in consequence of which the first §§ to § 138 are improved and rendered more concise. But § 139, containing the rules on the moods, has undergone a thorough change, of the necessity of which every teacher was convinced who wished to refer his pupils to the Grammar on this point, but was unable to do so from the total want of systematical order, so much required for classifying their manifold forms and appearances under leading points. The arrangement of this § is essentially the same as that followed up in the Grammar of Matthiæ, Rost, and Kühner, and must justify itself by its own merits. In the following §§, treating of the Infinitive and Participles, I was enabled to follow up more closely what had been laid down in former editions, though alterations have been made in some material points. The rules on the Prepositions and Particles have been left unchanged; not that they did not require any improvement, but because I did not feel confidence enough in my being able at present to give results sufficiently satisfactory in this large and very difficult field of grammatical research, and which can only be obtained by an uninterrupted study of many years,

and after a minute examination of the works of others treating of these most intricate questions. In the last §§ alone, several points and observations have been left out, partly from their being not sufficiently connected with each other, and partly from their having found a more suitable place in the preceding §§. The sign [] in former editions has been omitted, with the concurrence of Professor Krüger, and have thus become embodied with the text itself.

In thus presenting this new part of the Grammar to an enlightened public, I confess I do so not without some hesitation, as I am quite aware of the great responsibility I have taken upon myself in altering such important points of a work, so long and so much valued as it has been for its sound principles and practical use. To this part of the Grammar, which purposely has been neglected by the late author on publishing the first editions, my future studies shall be exclusively directed, on condition that my manner of treating this subject meets with the approbation of a learned and discerning public.

ALEX. BUTTMANN.

Potsdam, 31st August, 1838.

BIOGRAPHICAL MEMOIR

OF

DR. PHILIP BUTTMANN,

WITH

REMARKS ON HIS WRITINGS.

DOCTOR PHILIP BUTTMANN Was born at FRANCFORT ON THE MAIN, on the 5th of December, 1764. He was christened PHILIP CHARLES, and thus calls himself on the titles of some of his early writings; but latterly called himself only Philip. His father, JACOB BUTTMANN, a wholesale stationer at FRANC-FORT, was descended from French Protestant Refugees, who settled there during the persecutions of Louis XIV., and whose French name of Boudemont was gradually changed by their GERMAN fellow-citizens into that of BUTTMANN. He received his first education in the Grammar-school of his native city, which was under the direction of the learned PURMANN; but the rest of the Teachers were greatly inferior to the Rector, and little calculated to inspire their pupils with the love of learning. Young BUTTMANN's predilection for the study of languages manifested itself, however, at any early period. Independently of the French, Danish, English, and Italian languages, he also applied himself to the study of the Hebrew. This premature, and of course superficial acquaintance with seven or eight widely different languages afforded to him the opportunity of observations and comparisons, in which he was fond of indulging. In the spring of 1782, BUTTMANN repaired to Gettingen to study Philology under Heyne. In 1786, he returned to FRANCFORT, and went the same year to

visit his brother-in-law, Dr. EHRMANN, an eminent physician at Strasbourg in Alsace, through whom he was introduced to the learned Schweighæuser. His intercourse with this celebrated man was of incalculable service to BUTTMANN. Schweighæuser was at that time editing his Polybius, and availed himself of Buttmann's assistance. His Universityfriend, Hugo, afterwards Professor at Gettingen, had just then been appointed Tutor to the HEREDITARY PRINCE OF Anhalt Dessau. It was at his recommendation that Butt-MANN left STRASBOURG to teach Geography and Statistics to the PRINCE. A residence of eight months at DESSAU introduced Buttmann to several men of merit, and familiarised him still more to the manners of the polite world. He employed his leisure hours in reading the ancients with the most particular attention, being constantly guided by his favourite motto, Multum, non multa. He never left any classical difficulty unresolved, but when he had thoroughly convinced himself of the impossibility of solving it to his complete satisfaction. On quitting DESSAU, BUTTMANN, in the spring of the year 1788, took a journey to BERLIN, where he formed the most interesting connexions with men like Teller, Biester, Gedicke, NICOLAÏ, and GEORGE SPALDING. His stay at BERLIN had been so very agreeable, that after having again passed ten or twelve months with his family at FRANCFORT, he gladly returned to that city, having accepted the situation of an Extraordinary Assistant Librarian to the ROYAL LIBRARY; but, as his remuneration was rather scanty, he saw himself forced to secure his subsistence by private teaching, and by writing some of his first Essays. It was in 1792 that he published his short Greek Grammar, on the plan of GEDICKE's Latin and French School-Grammars, which is at its twenty-sixth edition in GERMANY, and which has been translated into English in AMERICA by PROFESSOR ANTHON. He now ardently devoted himself to the study of the GREEK language and literature. He read the Greek Authors over again in company with GEORGE SPALDING, the lamented University friend of the

ENGLISH TRANSLATOR of BUTTMANN'S Intermediate GREEK Grammar, which we are offering to the English public. In 1796 BUTTMANN was appointed Secretary to the ROYAL LIBRARY at BERLIN, and in 1800 accepted the additional appointment of Professor at the principal Grammar-School of that city, called the Joachimthal Gymnasium. He contributed several Essays to the Berlin Literary Journal, edited by BIESTER, entitled BERLINISCHE Monaths Schrift. As a Fellow of the then existing Philomathic Society at BERLIN, he was induced to write his short Mythological Essays. His Biography of himself was inserted, in the year 1806, in the third No. of a periodical work, edited by I. Löwe, entitled Portraits of the now Living Learned Men of Berlin, with their Lives written by themselves. In the same year, 1806, Butt-MANN became a Member of the Royal Academy of Sciences at Berlin, and was shortly after appointed Secretary to its Historico-Philological Class. From 1803 to 1811, he was the Editor of the BERLIN Gazette, published by HAUDE and SPENER. As a member of the Royal Academy, he was one of the first Professors of the new BERLIN UNIVERSITY; he had resigned the Professorship of the Joachimthal Grammar-School in 1808. It was also about this time that he was selected to instruct the PRINCE ROYAL OF PRUSSIA in the GREEK and LATIN languages. In 1821 he was appointed Librarian to the ROYAL LIBRARY, and was successively elected a Member of the Academies of Munich, Naples, and Moscow; and in 1824 the King of Prussia conferred on him the distinction of a Knight of the Prussian Red Eagle of the third Class.

BUTTMANN had married at BERLIN, in 1800, the eldest daughter of the PRIVY COUNCILLOR SELLE, *Physician* to the King. His ardent attachment to ancient Greece induced him to give Greek names to his children. One of his daughters was called Helen, and Hector and Achilles were the names of his sons. The loss of a beloved grown-up daughter in 1820, gave the first shock to his constitution, which had

been uncommonly healthy and robust till that unfortunate moment. Repeated strokes of apoplexy, with which he began to be afflicted in 1824, undermined it gradually, and he died early in the morning of the 21st of June, 1829, in his sixty-fifth year. Dr. Schleiermacher pronounced a Funeral Oration over his grave on the 23rd of the same month.

BUTTMANN is one of the most distinguished PHILOLOGISTS of modern times. With the most extensive reading he combined the utmost sagacity, clearness, and conciseness. His Grammatical writings are in the hands of all scholars, and have been introduced into all those schools, which are really anxious to adopt the best methods of teaching the ancient languages. The GREEK School-Grammar, which BUTTMANN first published in 1792, having been continually improved, assumed at last the form of an Intermediate Grammar for the more advanced scholar. This Intermediate Grammar had already reached the eighth edition in 1818, and the thirteenth in 1829. It is from the latter edition that the present English Translation is made. The GERMAN original is indebted, for the constant and general approbation with which it has met, to the circumstance that it traces the elements of the Greek language historically, clears them up with great sagacity, and reduces them to a beautiful philosophical order, as far as this can be accomplished with regard to a language spoken by so many different tribes, and improved at periods widely distant from each other.

When Buttmann found his Grammatical observations accumulated to an extent beyond that which he thought calculated for the more advanced student, he resolved to embody them in a more elaborate work, which he entitled A Complete Greek Grammar (Ausführliche Griechische Sprachlehre). The first Volume was published at Berlin in 1819; the first Part of the Second Volume in 1825, and the second Part of the same Volume in 1827. Had his life been spared a few years longer, he would have added a copious Syntax to his historical researches, and critical explanations of the most important

Grammatical points. It was with the same view that he published what he thought exceeding the limits of school-books in his Lexilogus, or Contributions to the Explanation of GREEK Expressions, chiefly in Homer and Hesiod, 1 vol., Berlin, 1818, 1824; 2nd vol., 1825.

The learned world is also indebted to BUTTMANN for

- 1.) A new edition (1811) of Four Dialogues of Plato, originally published by Biester;
- 2.) The Fourth Volume of the edition (1816) of Quintilian's Institutions, edited by the late George Spalding, which had been interrupted by Spalding's premature death in 1811;
- 3.) The enlarged and much improved reprint (1821) of the Scholia of the Odyssea discovered by MAIO;
- 4.) Several of the best Essays in Wolf's Museum of Archæology, (1st vol., No. 1, 1807,) and in Wolf's Museum Antiquitatis (vol. 1. Fasc. 1, 1808. Fasc. 2, 1811).

Of Buttmann's smaller writings, which were mostly occasioned by his being a Member of the Berlin Royal Academy of Sciences, we will only mention:

- 5.) The most Ancient Geography of the East, a Biblico-Philological Essay, with a Map, Berlin, 1803.
- 6.) The first two Narratives (Mythen) of the Mosaïc History, 1804.
 - 7.) The Fable (Mythus) of Heracles, 1810.
- 8.) The Narrative (Mythus) of the Deluge, 1812, 2nd edition, 1819.
 - 9.) The Fable of CYDIPPE, 1815.
 - 10.) The Mythical Period from CAIN to the Deluge, 1811.
- 11.) The Necessity of the Warlike Constitution of Europe, 1805.

An hypothesis of the celebrated Hirt, concerning the Historian Quintus Curtius, induced Buttmann, in 1820, to publish a short *Essay on the Life of Quintus Curtius Rufus*.

The sagacious views and elegant urbanity which distinguish all these writings, are striking proofs that *Grammatical* and

Archaeological studies are not destructive of genius, and perfectly compatible with native wit. It is true that Buttmann combined the most opposite talents. His conception was slow; but this very circumstance induced him never to relinquish any subject which he was investigating before he had considered it in all its bearings, and mastered it to his entire satisfaction; and his uncommon sagacity made him discover in whatever was the object of his study something which had not been discovered before. In all the relations of life he was most amiable; and though his ready wit would frequently betray him into sarcastic remarks, his sarcasms never proved offensive; they were always tempered by his extreme good nature and indulgent disposition. His last literary labour was the editing of a Complete Collection of his Mythological Essays, in 2 vols., under the title of Buttmann's Mythologus.

D. Boileau.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

		PAGE
§	1. Of the Greek Language, and its Dialects in general	1
§	2. Of the Letters of the Alphabet	8
8	3-5. Of their Pronunciation; (Diphthongs, 12; Iota Sub-	
	scriptum, 13)	9
8	6. Spiritus; (Digamma)	14
S	7. Prosody	15
8		22
S	15. Punctuation	31
	16. Interchange of Letters	ib.
S	16-25. Change of Consonant, 31; § 17, 18, Aspiratæ.	33
§	19-25. Accumulation of Consonants	34
§	26. Moveable final Letters; (ν ἐφελκυστικόν)	38
§	27, 28. Change of Vowels, and Contraction	40
§	29, 30. Hiatus, 45; Crasis, ib.; Apostrophus	47
§	31. Parts of Speech	48
	04.7 17	
	Of the Noun.	4.0
v	32. Gender	49
-	33. Declensions	50
-	34. First Declension	52
3	35-37. Second Declension, 54; Contracta, 56; Attic se-	* 0
a	cond Declension	56
-	38. Third Declension	57
	38. Gender, 57; § 39—42. Inflection, 58; § 43. Paradigms	63
-	44. Accus. Sing. 65; § 45. Vocative, ib.; § 46. Dative Plural	66
-	47. Syncope of some Nouns in $\eta \rho$	67
3	48—55. Contracted (third) Declension, 68; Attic Genitive,	be a
e	&c	71
3	56. Anomalous Declension, 74; Heteroclita, 76; Metaplas-	70
S	mus, &c. (Final Syllable $\phi\iota\nu$, $\phi\iota$, § 56, Obs. 9, p. 78).	76
8	57. Defectiva, Indeclinabilia, &c	78

a

				LAUL
8	58. List of Irregular Nouns			80
§	59. Adjectives			85
8	65—69. Degrees of Comparison (Gradus Comparationis)	•	•	9,3
-	70, 71. Numerals			98
-	72. Pronouns, 102; and § 75. Articles			107
8	78, 79. Pronomina et Adjectiva Correlativa	•	٠	110
8	80. Annexes, 113; i demonstrativum			113
	Of the Verb.			
8	81. Of the Greek Verb in general, 114; Division of Te	ens	es	
	(Principal and Historical Tenses)	,	۰	115
§	82—86. Augment			116
8	87. Numbers and Persons			123
8	88. Moods and Participles			125
-	89. Activum; Passivum; Medium			127
8	90-92. Tenses, 130; Characteristic, 131; Double The	em	es	
	(see also § 111, 112)	٠	٠	131
8	93, 94. Formation of the Tenses	٠,	٠	135
8	95. Futurum Activi	•	•	137
8	96. Aoristus 1 et 2 Act			143
8	97. Perfectum 1 et 2 Act	٠	۰	146
§	98. Perfectum Passivi		0	150
8	99. Futurum 3			152
§	100. Aoristus 1 et 2 Pass			ib
§	100. a. General view of all the changes of the characteristic	sti	cs	
	of the verb		٠	154
8	101. Verbs in λ , μ , ν , ρ		۰	156
8	102. Verbal Adjectives in $\tau \acute{\epsilon} o \varsigma$ and $\tau \acute{o} \varsigma$			159
8	103. Verbum Barytonon, 160; Paradigm τύπτω, 161; F			
	digms of other Verbs, 170; Paradigm ἀγγέλλω,			
	Remarks on all the Paradigms			
\$	104. Tenses in use, and not in use			
8	105. Conjugation of contracted verbs		۰	187
	Irregular Conjugation.			
8				
	108. " $I\eta\mu\iota$, 211; ${}^{7}H\mu\alpha\iota$, 212; " $E\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$, 213; $E\iota\mu\iota$, 213; E			215
3	109. Φημί, 219; Κείμαι, 220; Οἶδα (Ίσημι, 222)			221

-		E 21 O 13
8	110-113. Anomaly of Verbs; § 110. Syncopated Forms	
	and Metathesis, 223; § 111. New Themes derived	
	from the Tenses, 231; § 112. Anomalous Mutability	
	of the Root, 232; § 113. Anomaly of Signification,	
	238; Causativa et Immediativa	229
	114. List of Irregular Verbs	244
8	115. Particles, and their Degrees of Comparison	2 90
8	116. Particulæ Correlativæ	293
-	117. Mutability of some other Particles, 297; Anastrophe.	299
8	118, 119. Formation of Words by Terminations, (I. Verbs,	
	301; II. Substantives, 305; III. Adjectives, 314;	
	IV. Adverbs, 316)	300
8	120, 121. Derivation by Composition	318
	Syntax.	
8	122. General View; § 123. Of the Noun	326
	124—127. Article, 327; and Pronouns	332
	128. Neuter of the Adjectives in general	338
	129. Subject and Predicate	339
-	130. Object; Casus Obliqui, 343; § 131. Accusative, 345;	
·	§ 132. Genitive, 349; § 133. Dative	355
8	134. Verbum Passivum (Verbal Adjectives in τέος and τός).	358
-	135, 136. Medium	361
8	137, 138. Tenses, 366; § 138. Futurum 3	371
	139. Moods, 372; § 139. A. Conditional Propositions, 377;	
	B. Propositions beginning with Pronouns Relative,	
	380; C. Propositions beginning with the Particles of	
	Time, 381; D. Propositions expressing any Cause or	
	Reason, 382; E. Propositions expressing any Aim or	
	Purpose, 383; F. Propositions expressing any Infer-	
	ence or Conclusion, 385; G. Transitive Propositions	
	after the verbs 'to say,' &c. 386; H. Direct and in-	
	direct Interrogative Propositions	387
8	140—142. Infinitive, 389; Attraction	393
-	143. Construction with the Relative, 395; and its Attraction	397
	144. Construction with the Participle, 399; § 145. Casus	
	Absoluti	405
8	146. Particles, 407; § 147. Prepositions	409

CONTENTS.

	I I	AGE
§	148. Negative Particles, 413; § 149. Expletives, &c	431
8	150. Peculiar Phrases	433
8	151. Particular Constructions; I. Attraction (compare § 142	
	and 143), 446; II. Anacoluthon, 449; III. Inversion,	
	451; IV. Ellipsis	453
	APPENDIX.	
L	st of Words, &c., for Declension and Conjugation	455
G	reek Technical Grammatical Terms	467
A	obreviations	468
	INDEX.	
101	olish and Latin	4=1
	glish and Latin	
G	eek	476

GREEK GRAMMAR.

§ 1.—Of the Greek Language, and its Dialects in general.

1. The Greek language (φωνή 'Ελληνική) was anciently spoken not only in Greece, but also in a considerable part of Asia Minor, the south of Italy, Sicily, and the Greek colonies of other countries. Like all languages, it had several dialects, (διάλεκτοι,) reducible, however, to two principal ones, the Doric $(\dot{\eta} \ \Delta \omega \rho i \kappa \dot{\eta}, \ \Delta \omega \rho i \varsigma)$ and the Ionic, $(\dot{\eta} \ 'I \omega \nu i \kappa \dot{\eta}, \ 'I \dot{\alpha} \varsigma)$ spoken by two chief Greek tribes, the Dorians and Ionians.

2. The Dorians were the most considerable tribe, and founded the greatest number of colonies; hence the Doric dialect prevailed in the whole interior of Greece, in Italy, and Sicily. It was harsh: the long a, which was prominent in it, (see § 27, Obs. 5,) made on the ear an impression called by the Greeks πλατειασμός (broad pronunciation); and the Doric dialect was, on the whole, the least improved. The Æolic dialect, (Aloλική, Αἰολίς,) a collateral branch of the Doric, early attained in the Æolian colonies of Asia Minor, and the neighbouring islands, (Lesbos, &c.) a considerable degree of refinement, which probably was confined to poetry.

3. The Ionians resided in earlier times chiefly in Attica, whence they sent colonies to the coasts of Asia Minor. these were in many respects highly civilised prior to the parent tribe, nay even sooner than all the other Greeks, the denomination of Ionians and Ionic applied principally, and at length exclusively, to these colonies and their idiom: and the original Ionians in Attica itself were called Attics, Athenians. Ionic dialect, owing to the accumulation of vowels, is the softest of all. But the Attic dialect, $(\dot{\eta} 'A\tau\tau\iota\kappa\dot{\eta}, 'A\tau\theta\iota\varsigma)$ which was of later improvement, soon excelled in refinement all the other Greek dialects, by avoiding, with Attic ingenuity, both the Doric harshness and the Ionic softness. But though the Athenians really are the parent tribe, the Ionic dialect of the Asiatic colonies is yet considered as the parent of the Attic dialect, because it was improved at a period when it had least deviated from the primitive Ionic dialect, the common parent of both.

Obs. 1. The ingenuity of the Attic dialect is most apparent in syntax, with regard to which it distinguishes itself, not only from all the other Greek dialects, but also from any other language, by an appropriate conciseness, a highly effective co-ordination of the principal thoughts, and a certain moderation in asserting and discussing, which had passed from the refined tone of the social intercourse of Athens into the language itself.

Obs. 2. Of other collateral branches of the mentioned dialects, as the Bœotic, the Laconic, and the Thessalic, &c., we have only solitary words and grammatical forms

in scattered fragments, inscriptions, &c.

- 4. We must admit, as the parent of all the Greek dialects, an ancient primitive Greek language, of which philosophical grammatical inquiries alone may discover or rather conjecture some traces. Each dialect had naturally retained more or less of this ancient language, and each must also undoubtedly have preserved something, which had gradually been lost in the other dialects. This circumstance obviously accounts for grammarians tracing Dorisms, Æolisms, and even Atticisms in the old Ionian Homer. Whatever was of habitual or frequent occurrence in one dialect was exclusively ascribed to this dialect, and designated accordingly, even if it likewise occurred, though less frequently, in other dialects. It is thus, for instance, that we must understand what are called *Dorisms* in Attic writers, and *Atticisms* in writers who are not Attics.
- 5. Most poetical forms and licences spring from this ancient language. The poet, it is true, improves his idiom, and through him only it becomes a polished language, forming a harmonious, expressive, and copious whole. Yet the poet never originates the changes and innovations which he finds necessary; this would be the surest way to displease. The oldest Greek bards selected, out of the manifold extant forms of speech, those which best suited them. Several of these forms became antiquated in practice; but the later poet, having these predecessors

¹ Ex. gr. the Doric fut. σοῦμαι, ξοῦμαι, the Attic declension $ω_{\mathcal{L}}$, the Attic ξὺν for σὺν, &c.

before him, would not be debarred from such treasures, and thus what had been originally a real dialect, and is justly considered as such, became a poetical peculiarity, or what is called a poetical licence ².

6. In all civilised nations one of their dialects generally becomes the foundation of their common written language, and the language of polished society. This was not immediately the case with the Greeks. They became civilised, when they still formed distinct locally and politically separated states. Nearly up to the time of Alexander, the Greeks wrote in the dialect in which they had been brought up, or to which they were most partial; and thus arose Ionic, Æolic, Doric, and Attic poets and prose-writers, whose works are more or less extant.

Obs. 3. Only great works, which attracted general attention, as epic and dramatic poems, formed an exception. Their authors unquestionably wrote in the dialect of their country; but an imitation of them in another dialect, which, besides, would have required an almost equal creative talent, would not have been well received, because all the tribes of Greeks were already familiarised to the language proper for this species of composition, and could not separate one from the other. The dialect, in which the first masterpieces of any species of writing were composed, continued to be the dialect of that species. (See the Text, 10, 11.)

Obs. 4. The most ancient poets, Homer, Hesiod, Theognis, and others, wrote in the Ionic dialect; but their language is rather that apparently mixed one, which comes nearest to the oldest language, and afterwards continued to be the poetical dialect used in most species of poetry. The real, but more modern Ionic dialect is to be found in the prose-writers, of whom Herodotus and Hippocrates are the most conspicuous, though both Dorians by origin. The Ionic dialect, owing to its peculiar softness and early improvement, had already become pretty general, even in prose, especially in Asia Minor.

Obs. 5. The lyrics are the only poets of that time, who wrote in all the dialects. But the oldest and most celebrated of them were Æolians; at their head are Sappho and Alcæus, of whom some few scanty remains have been handed down to us in fragments. Anacreo (of whom we have also but a few, partly crippled, and partly questionable, remains) wrote in the Ionic dialect. Most of the other lyric poets wrote in the Doric dialect; out of the manifold forms of this widely-diffused dialect, they selected those which suited them, and created, as it were, each his own language. Pindar is the only one of these latter, of whom we have some entire poems.

² But this must not be understood, as if every expression of the ancient poets had actually been once in common use. The privilege which, even in the most copious language, a modern poet enjoys of forming new words, and giving new inflections to the existing ones, must have been still more largely allowed to the ancient poets, at a time when the language was poor. The materials, however, out of which, and the form according to which, he models his expressions, are not of the poet's creation, but derived from the stock, and conformable to the analogy of the language. Neither can a slight polishing of the usual forms, practised in common life even by ordinary men, be denied to him, to whom harmony is a duty, and rhythmical metre a chain.

- Obs. 6. The few prose-writings which we have in the Doric dialect are mostly on mathematical and philosophical subjects. With regard to Attic writers, see the following Observations.
- 7. In the mean time, Athens rose to such a political height, that it maintained for a while a kind of supremacy over all Greece, and became the centre of all scientific culture. The democratic constitution, nowhere so unmixed, introduced to the Attic forum, and the Attic stage, that freedom of speech, which, in connexion with other advantages, was alone sufficient to raise, not only these branches of literature, but also those congenial ones, history and philosophy, to the highest pitch, and impart to the Attic idiom a perfection and capaciousness which no other dialect attained.
- Obs. 7. The most distinguished prose-writers of Greece, (we treat separately of its poets,) of this golden age of Attic literature, are Thucydides, Xenopho, Plato, Lysias, Isocrates, Demosthenes, and the other orators.
- 8. Greeks of all tribes repaired to Athens for improvement; and Attic masterpieces served as models in the most extensive fields of literature. The consequence was that the Attic dialect, which maintained its pre-eminence over all others, became soon after, when Greece acquired a complete political unity under the Macedonian monarchs, the court-language and the general language of books, in which the prose-writers of all the Greek tribes and countries composed almost exclusively. This language was now taught in schools, and grammarians pronounced, according to these Attic models, on what was genuine or not genuine Attic. The central point of this later Greek literature was under the Ptolemies at Alexandria in Egypt.
- 9. But in proportion as the Attic dialect became general, it naturally also began gradually to degenerate; partly because authors indulged in an admixture of their own provincial dialects, and partly because they substituted for anomalies and apparently affected expressions peculiar to the Athenians, more regular and natural ones, or introduced, instead of a simple term more or less obsolete in common life, a derivative one, which was now more generally used 3. Grammarians, however, (this class of them are called Atticists,) often endeavoured, with much pedantry and exaggeration, to prevent this, and in their school-books contrasted the expressions of the old

 $^{^3}$ Ex. gr. $\nu\dot{\eta}\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta$ aι for $\nu\epsilon\tilde{\imath}\nu$, 'to swim ;' ἀροτρι $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ for ἀρο $\tilde{\nu}\nu$, 'to plough.'

Attic writers with those which they criticised as faulty or less elegant. Thus arose the practice of calling Attic only the language found in the old Attic writers, and, in a more restricted sense, the peculiarities of those authors; the usual language of persons of education, which was an offspring of the Attic, was now called $\kappa o\iota \nu \dot{\eta}$, the general language, or $Ελληνικ\dot{\eta}$ (the Greek, i. e., the common Greek); even the writers of later times were denominated of $κοινο\dot{\iota}$, or of Ελληνες, in contradistinction to the genuine Attics. But this never can be considered as a particular dialect; for the $κοιν\dot{\eta}$ διάλεκτος continued in the main to be Attic, and hence Atticism is the principal object of every Greek Grammar.

Obs. 8. It may easily be conceived that in these circumstances the denomination $\kappa ot \nu \dot{o}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\kappa ot \nu \dot{o}_{\mathcal{L}}$, was considered as imputing something faulty, and though it properly denotes 'the language common to all Greeks, not excluding genuine Attic,' it rather denoted, with grammarians, 'a language which was not genuine Attic.' On the other hand, all which is called Attic, is not on that account exclusively of Attic form, not even in genuine Attic writers. Many an Attic locution was not in constant general use, even at Athens, but alternated with other forms, (ex. gr. $\psi \lambda o i \eta$ with $\psi \lambda o i$, $\xi \dot{v} \nu$ with $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$,) which were generally used. Neither were the Athenians strangers to many Ionic forms, (ex. gr. not contracted, instead of contracted ones,) which therefore might be employed by authors, who all consulted the ear. This approximation to Ionism is the principal criterion of strictly ancient Atticism, such as we find in Thucydides; but Demosthenes wrote that later Attic, which forms the transition to the more modern $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$.

Obs. 9. To make an accurate and proper division, we must commence the more modern period, or the κοινούς, with the first non-Athenian, who wrote Attic. Of this class are Aristotle, Theophrastus, Polybius, Diodorus, Plutarch, and the other later authors, many of whom endeavoured, however, to excel, as much as possible, in the old Attic language. This is especially the case with Lucian, Ælian, and Arrian.

Obs. 10. Of the provincial dialects, which crept into the later Greek language, the Macedonian is the principal. The Macedonians were a kindred nation of the Greeks, accounted themselves Dorians, and carried as conquerors Greek civilisation into the barbarian countries over which they ruled. In these countries Greek was now spoken and written, but not without an admixture of peculiarities, which the grammarians style Macedonian forms; and as the seat of this later Greek culture was chiefly in Egypt, at Alexandria, its capital, the same forms are likewise denominated the Alexandrian dialect. But the inhabitants of these countries, who were not Greeks, now also began to speak Greek, (Ελληνίζειν,) and an Asiatic, Syrian, &c., who spoke Greek, was called Έλληνιστής. Thus originated the practice of denominating Hellenistic the language, which is mixed with several forms not Greek, and contains turns of expression peculiar to the East. This language is chiefly met with in the written Jewish and Christian monuments of that period, especially in the Greek translation of the Old Testament by the LXX translators, and in the New Testament, whence it passed more or less into the works of the Fathers of the Church. New barbarisms of all kinds crept into this language in the middle age, when Constantinople, the ancient Byzantium, was the seat of the Greek empire,

and the centre of the literature of that time; hence arose the language of the Byzantine writers, and lastly the Modern Greek.

- 10. But the Attic dialect was not general with regard to poetry; the Athenians were models only in one species, the dramatic; and as dramatic poetry from its nature merely is, even in tragedy, the ennobled language of real life, no other dialect reigned on the Athenian stage than the Attic, which war in the sequel retained by all the other Greek theatres ⁴. In the dialogued part of the drama, and especially in that which consisted of Trimetries or Senaries, poets, though indulging in the frequent use of the apostrophus, and of contractions, allowed themselves but few poetical licences and changes of forms.
- Obs. 11. These licences least indulged in were, as may be supposed, by comic writers; but many a Homeric form would suit the tragic Senary. Of the Greek dramatists none have been handed down to us but genuine and old Attic writers, viz. the tragic poets Æschylus, Sophocles, Euripides, and the comic poet Aristophanes.
- 11. Homer, and the other ancient Ionic poets, whose works were read in schools, continued uninterruptedly to be models for all other species of poems, especially those composed in hexameters, the epic, didactic, and elegiac: and through these poems the old Ionic or Homeric idiom was preserved with all its peculiarities and antiquated forms. It thus became, what the Attic was for prose-writers, the prevailing dialect or general language for epic, didactic, and elegiac poetry in the Alexandrian period, and at a later time, when it was no longer readily intelligible to the common people, and required a learned education to be completely understood and relished. This language may be denominated the *Epic* idiom, as it was derived entirely from epic poetry.
- Obs. 12. The most eminent poets of this class in the Alexandrian period are Apollonius, Callimachus, Aratus; and later, Nicander, Oppian, Quintus, and others.
- 12. But the Doric dialect was by no means excluded from poetry even in later times. It maintained itself in light and especially rural and jocose poetry, partly because there were predecessors in this line, and probably also because several of these poems describe the manners and expressions of country people and of the lower ranks, whose language, owing to the

almost general dispersion of the Dorians, was nearly every where the Doric.—(Compare above, 2.)

- Obs. 13. Hence the Doric dialect prevails in the Bucolic writers, Theocritus, Moschus, and Bio, whose more modern Dorism is, however, greatly different from that of Pindar. The old epigrams were partly in the Ionic, and partly in the Doric dialect; but the Dorism in this species of poetry was far simpler and nobler, and confined to a small number of characteristic Doric forms, which were familiar to the well-informed poets of every tribe.
- 13. The idiom which prevails in the lyric parts of the drama, i. e., in choruses and pathetic speeches, is also usually called Doric; but this Dorism consisted almost entirely in the prevalence of the a long, especially in lieu of η , which was peculiar to the old language in general, and, on account of its gravity, maintained itself in solemn hymns, whilst the Dorians alone retained it in common life 5 . But this lyric language also approximated to the epic in several respects.

⁵ See par. 2. There are, however, no Dorisms properly so called in the theatrical choruses, as infin. ending in $\epsilon\nu$ and $\eta\nu$, accus. pl. in ω_S and ϱ_S , &c.

FIRST PART.

GRAMMATICAL FORMS.

WRITING AND PRONUNCIATION.

\S 2.—Alphabetical Letters.

THE Greeks received most of their letters from the Phenicians; this is evident from the oriental names by which they are called. They are the following:—

	P	RONOUNCED	NAME	D .
A	a.	a, aw	"Αλφα	alpha
В	βε	b,	$\mathrm{B} ilde{\eta} au a$	beta
Г	γſ	g,	Γάμμα	gamma
Δ	8	d,	Δέλτα	delta
E	·8	e, a short	*Ε ψιλὸν	epsilon 1
Z	5.	ds,	Ζῆτα	zeta
H	η	e, a long	$^{7}\mathrm{H} au a$	eta
θ	ϑ , θ	th,	$ullet \Theta ilde \eta au a$	theta
I	ι	i, ee	'Ιὧτα	iota (not jota)
K	κ	k,	Κάππα	cappa
Λ	λ	l,	Λάμβδα	lambda
M	μ	m,	$M ilde{v}$	my
N	ν	n,	$N ilde{v}$	ny
囯	ξ	х,	海 ĩ	xi
0	0	o, short	*Ο μικρου	omicron (short o)
П	π, ω	р,	Пĩ	pi
P	ρ, ρ	r, rh	'nΩ	rho
ΣΤ	σ, ς	s,	Σίγμα	sigma
	7, 7	t,	$\mathrm{T} a ilde{v}$	tau
Υ	υ	u, 00	³Υ ψιλὸν	ypsilon 1
Φ.	φ	f,	$\Phi ilde{\imath}$	phi
X	χ	ch,	$X\tilde{\iota}$	chi
Ψ	ψ	ps,	$\Psi ilde{\iota}$	psi
Ω	ω	o long	³Ω μέγα	omega (long o.)

¹ Έ ψιλὸν and Ύ ψιλὸν take the additional ψιλὸν, that is lene, not aspirate, because in ancient Greek writings the figure ϵ was at the same time one of the marks of the

Obs. 1. The letter σ is used only in the beginning and in the middle of words, c at the end; this c must not be confounded with \(\tau\). See the following \(\xi\). Still we often meet with the letter ς in the middle of compound words, as in οὕςτινας, προςφέρω, εἰζήνεγκε, the first part of which consists of words which, if taken separately, are in use. But words like δυσθανής, φερέσβιος, σακέσπαλος, are better written with an o in the middle. Words which are not compound, admit only the letter o, as κοσμος, and not κοςμος. In some, for the most part old editions, the characters β and β , γ and ζ , ζ and ζ , ϑ and θ , π and ϖ , τ and ζ , are used indiscriminately.

Obs. 2. These letters have given rise to a number of abbreviations and flourishes, many of which occupy more space than the common character which they are to supply. Hence they have been rarely employed of late, and there is little difficulty

to be encountered in modern editions, in remembering that

s stands for ov @ for oc 5^2 for $\sigma\tau$ ∂ for $\sigma\theta$ \propto for $\sigma\chi$ k for kai. A complete list of abbreviations will be found in the Appendix.

Obs. 3. The Greeks employed their alphabetical letters also as numerals; but to have a sufficiency of them, inserted after the ε the ε , (here called $B\alpha\tilde{v}$, Vau, not $\sigma\tau$,) after the π the \S , (Kó $\pi\pi\alpha$, for 90,) and after the ω the \S , ($\Sigma \alpha \mu \pi \tilde{\iota}$, for 900.) 3 All letters when used as numerals are distinguished by a stroke at the top in this manner: $\alpha' 1$, $\beta' 2$, $\tau' 6$, $\iota' 10$, $\iota \alpha' 11$, $\kappa' 20$, $\kappa \tau' 26$, $\varrho' 100$, $\sigma' 200$, $\sigma \lambda \beta' 232$, &c. The thousands begin again with α , but with a stroke underneath, α 1000, β , &c., βσλβ' 2232.

§ 3.—Pronunciation.

- 1. The ancient pronunciation can no longer be accurately ascertained. Of the modern way of pronouncing the Greek, the two principal are those of Reuchlin and Erasmus. We follow the latter, which not only is becoming more general every day, but also has most internal grounds in its favor, and is greatly confirmed by the way in which Greek names and words are written by the Latins, and Latin ones by the Greeks. Reuchlin's pronunciation agrees chiefly with the pronunciation of the modern Greeks, who persist in defending it as the true and ancient way of pronouncing the Greek.
- Obs. 1. The manner of writing Greek with Latin characters may be seen in the names of the letters, which we have given above in Latin characters, and may be learned from what is stated in this section, and in § 5 and 6. According to Reuchlin's pronunciation, the η is sounded like i, ee, the diphthong at like α , and the sounds ε_i , o_i , v, and v_i are not distinguished from i: the v in all diphthongs (except ov) is pronounced like v or f, as $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o} \varsigma$, after, $Z \varepsilon \dot{v} \varsigma$, $Z \varepsilon v \varepsilon$. This pronunciation appears to be really built in the main on ancient pronunciation; but never can have been the pronunciation of the prevailing dialect. This is unquestionably evident from

spiritus asper, (h,) and v represented also the Digamma (or Latin V, see § 6, Obs. 3). The epithet $\psi \iota \lambda \delta v$ was intended to distinguish them, when they were mere vowels, from the signs of aspiration.

² This character or flourish is called *sti*, and sometimes also *stigma*.

³ These three numerical characters, of which the first τ agrees only accidentally with the modern abbreviation 5, were originally letters of an antiquated alphabet.

the manner in which the Greeks wrote Latin words and names: $\theta \dot{\eta} \beta \eta$, Thebe, Pompejus, $\Pi o \mu \pi \dot{\eta} \ddot{\iota} o \varepsilon$, Claudius, $K \lambda a \dot{\nu} \delta \iota o \varepsilon$. Were the modern way of pronouncing the orlike ι correct, the Latins could not have made P a a s of $\Pi o \iota a \varepsilon$, or the Greeks $K \lambda o \iota \lambda \iota a$ of Cladia; and even $K a \iota \kappa \iota \lambda \iota o \varepsilon$, $K a \iota \sigma a \rho$, for Cacilius, Casar, &c., is not decisive in behalf of $a \varepsilon$ for $a \iota$, as we have no positive information respecting the pronunciation of the Latin diphthongs $a \iota$.

2. With regard to some letters, it may be observed that—

γ before another γ , and before the other dentals, (κ , χ , ξ ,) is pronounced like ng, ex. gr. έγγὺς, eng-gus, (or like the Latin angustus,) σύγκρισις, syncrisis; 'Αγχίσης, Anchises; $\Sigma \phi$ ιγξ, Sphinx².

Z must not be pronounced like ts, but like ds, or the French z,

dz. In the ancient language it was sounded sd.

 η is by some constantly pronounced like α . It is barely possible that it was pronounced as α or ϵ according as it was derived from α or ϵ .

 \Im is generally not distinguished from τ : but among the ancients it belonged to the aspirate, and is still pronounced lisping

by the modern Greeks, like the English th.

is merely the *i* vowel, not the *j* consonant; and $la\mu\beta oc$, $la\mu\beta oc$,

 κ is always expressed in Latin even before e and i by a c, and the Latin c is constantly a κ in the Greek; for instance, $Ki\mu\omega\nu$, Cimo, Cicero, $Ku\acute{\epsilon}\rho\omega\nu$, because the Romans pro-

nounced the c before all vowels like a κ .

v. See its pronunciation at the end of words, § 23, Obs. 4.

 ϱ . See about its aspiration $\dot{\varrho}$ (rh.) § 6, 3.

 σ in general may be pronounced like the French ς with e, cédille, or like a sharp s.

τ before ι with another vowel must not be pronounced like a z as in Latin. Say Γαλατία, Galatia, not Galazia. Κριτίας, Critias, Τερέντιος, Terentius.

v was in modern times long pronounced like i, but it is well ascertained that the Greeks and the Latins, who made it a y, pronounced it like the French u. In Latin names it

¹ As this method of pronouncing assimilates so many sounds to that of the iota, it is called iotacismus, (or, from ita for eta,) itacismus; that of Erasmus is called etacismus.

² In all these cases the Latin n has the pronunciation of ng: from an inveterate mistake we say An-chises instead of Anq-chises.

frequently supplies the short u, which was wanting in Greek; for instance, $P\omega\mu\nu\lambda_{0\varsigma}$, Romulus. Compare § 5. Obs. 3.

 ϕ and χ . Their exact pronunciation is still uncertain. Though the Greeks always used their ϕ for the Latin f, (as, $\Phi \acute{a}\beta \iota o c$, Fabius,) yet the Latins never used their f for Greek words with a ϕ , but always wrote ph. Hence it is evident that we are ignorant of the exact pronunciation either of the Latin f, or the Greek ϕ , ph, and the case is the same with χ , ch.

 $\sigma \chi$. These characters must be pronounced distinctly and separately from each other; for instance, $\sigma \chi o \lambda \dot{\eta}$, pronounce

s-chole.

§ 4.—Division of the Letters.

1. The letters are divided into *Vowels* and *Consonants*; the former are again subdivided according to their quantity. See § 7.

2. We must first detach from the consonants the three double letters, ζ , ξ , ψ , each of which represents two letters, for which there is but one sign or character in writing. See § 22; and about ζ , § 3.

3. Simple consonants, being fourteen in number, are divided—
a) according to the organs with which they are pro-

nounced;

 β , π , ϕ , μ , are labials, δ , τ , θ , ν , λ , ρ , σ , linguals, γ , κ , χ , palatals:

b) according to their properties 1-

1.) Semivowels; viz.

liquids . . . λ , μ , ν , ϱ , the simple hissing sound . σ .

¹ In this division, as well as in other grammatical matters, it is proper to adhere to the Latin denominations, which are, as it were, proper names. The ancients found in the humming and hissing of the letters l, m, n, r, s, a transition to the vowels, and therefore called them semi-vovels; and the first four were named liquids, on account of their mobility and easily combining with other letters. They denominated all the other consonants mutes, in contradistinction to the vovels. Of these mutes, those which are attended with an aspiration appeared to have a kind of thickness or roughness, $(\delta a\sigma \dot{v}_i)$ which was not perceivable in three of them; which on that account were called thin, soft, or smooth, $(\psi \lambda \dot{a}, tenues)$ and the three mediae, medials, certainly stand in the middle between the two former.

2.) Mutes, viz.

aspiratæ, aspirates . . ϕ , χ , θ . mediæ, medials . . β , γ , δ . tenues, softs . . π , κ , τ .

Hence it appears that each organ has the three mutes, and that these nine consonants placed in this way—

 $\begin{array}{cccc}
\phi & \chi & \theta \\
\beta & \gamma & \delta \\
\pi & \kappa & \tau
\end{array}$

correspond to each other in both directions, horizontal and

perpendicular.

4. Of the liquid consonants, μ , ν , are nasal sounds belonging to the two first organs; the γ before another palatal consonant being attended with a nasal sound is the same for the third organ, (\S 3, 2,) as may be seen on comparing syllabic combinations like

On the interchanges of ν , see § 25.

Obs. There are thus in each organ four letters, which correspond one to another; the lingual organ alone, owing to the greater mobility of the foretongue, and to the operation of the teeth, has a few sounds more; in Greek, λ , ρ , σ .

5. No genuine Greek word can end in any consonant but one of the three semivowels, σ , ν , ρ , for ξ and ψ belong to the σ . Only $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\kappa}$ and $o\tilde{\nu}_{\kappa}$ constitute an exception; but they can be used in this form merely before other words, with which they coalesce in pronunciation. (See § 26.)

§ 5. Dipththongs.

1. The ancient pronunciation of the Greek diphthongs is far from having been ascertained; hence we pronounce most of them separately or distinctly, but in one syllable. The manner, in which they were rendered by the Latins, will appear from the following examples:—

aι pronounced ai, Φαΐδρος, Faidros, Phædrus.

ει ,, ei, Νεῖλος, Neilos, Nilus; Λυκεῖον, Lukeion, Lycēum.

οι ,, οί, Βοιωτία, Boiotia, Bæotia.

υι ,, ui, (like the French ui or uy in lui, tuyau.) Εἰλείθυια, Eileithuia, Πithyia.

av ,, au, Γλαῦκος, Glaukos, Glaucus.

$$\begin{cases} v \\ \eta v \end{cases}$$
 pronounced eu, $\begin{cases} E\tilde{v}\rho o\varsigma$, Euros, Eurus¹. $\\ \eta \tilde{v}\xi ov$, (from $a\tilde{v}\xi \omega$,) euxon. $v = v$, u, (00) Μο v σα, Musa, Musa (long u). $v = v$ is merely Ionic; for instance, $v v = v$, outos.

- Obs. 1. But the Latins are not steady in their manner of writing the diphthong $\epsilon\iota$, as is proved by their writing Ἰφιγένεια, Iphigenia, Μήδεια, Medea, Ἡράκλειτος, Heraclitus, Πολύκλειτος, Polycletus. Some few words in $\alpha\iota a$, oια, undergo no change in Latin, excepting that the i vowel is changed into the j consonant: Μαία, Ἰαχαία, Τροία, Μαϳα, Achaja, Troja.
- 2. The improper diphthongs are written with an iota (iota subscriptum) underneath the three following vowels:

This changes nothing in their pronunciation, and merely serves to point at the etymology of the word in which they occur; but originally it influenced the pronunciation. The ancients also wrote it in the line, and this is still done with capital letters: as, THI $\Sigma O\Phi IAI$, $\tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma o \phi l q$, $\tau \tilde{\omega} "A \iota \delta \eta$ or $\tilde{q} \delta \eta$.

Obs. 2. The old national Greek grammarians likewise rank ηv , ωv , and v_i , among the improper dipththongs, of which they say, that one of their vowels is long, and the other short, whilst all the others contain but two rapidly pronounced short vowels. All dipththongs, therefore, may be easily divided into two classes:

six, properly so called: $\alpha\iota$, $\epsilon\iota$, $o\iota$; αv , ϵv , ov, and six, improperly so called: $v\iota$, ηv , ωv ; q, η , φ .

Hence it appears, that in order to distinguish ηv from εv , the ε must be sounded stronger, and the same ought to be observed with regard to ωv and $v\iota$. It is likewise very evident that the case must have been the same with q, q, and φ , at the time when the i was sounded with them, which must have been the usual pronunciation in the strictly classical times, as is proved by the Latins writing $trag \omega dus$, $trag \omega dus$, trag

Obs. 3. The ov, indeed, sounds only as one vowel, and therefore is no real diphthong; but we leave it in its own place, because it also differs essentially from the three others, in which there is only one of the two written vowels sounded, whilst in ov there is, as it were, a third mixed sound of o and v, just as α has a sound between a and e. The short v was also in the oldest language, and remained in the Eolic dialect, and in Latin, as the idiom most nearly related to that dialect. It was expressed by the kindred letters o and v, and in later times probably by the e, a compound of both letters. The Homeric $\beta \delta \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is of this description. See Verb. Anom. v. $\beta o \dot{\nu} \lambda o \mu a \iota$.

¹ Whenever a vowel follows ϵv and αv , it is now usually written in Latin with a v, as $Ε\dot{v}\dot{\alpha}v$, $Ev\alpha n$, 'Αγ $\alpha\dot{v}\eta$, Agare, and pronounced accordingly. This evidently is a remnant of Reuchlin's pronunciation; but is incorrect. We ought to write and pronounce in Latin Agare and Euan.

§ 6.—Spiritus, Breathing.

1. The Greek letters have two signs or marks:

' Spiritus lenis, (πνεῦμα ψιλον, the slight aspirate,)

' Spiritus asper (πνεῦμα δασύ, the strong aspirate).

Both these aspirates are usually placed only over vowels in the beginning of words; for instance, "Oungoo, Homerus. The Spir. asper is the h aspirate: the lenis is used when other languages begin the word simply with the vowel, as $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$, ego. But in Prosody and Grammar both kinds of words are considered as beginning merely with a vowel: thus with regard to the apostrophus, (§ 30,) and to the moveable ν (ν $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\epsilon\lambda\kappa\nu\sigma\tau\iota\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, § 26).

2. When the *Spiritus* falls on a diphthong, it is placed, like the accents, on the second letter: as $E i \rho \iota \pi i \delta \eta \varsigma$, of $\iota \varsigma$. But this is not done with the improper diphthongs: as, " $A \iota \delta \eta \varsigma$ ($\mathring{a} \delta \eta \varsigma$).

3. The Spiritus asper is attached to ρ in the beginning of a word; and two ρ 's in the middle are marked $\dot{\rho}$ $\dot{\rho}$. This is derived from a peculiarity of the ancient language; hence the Latins never neglect it in Greek words: as,

ρήτωρ, rhetor, Πύρρος, Pyrrhus.

Obs. 1. Both spiritus are distinct letters in other languages; the lenis is the alef or elif of the orientals. Neither is this an idle sign. Every vowel uttered without a consonant, and consequently every vowel which is to be pronounced distinctly and separately from the preceding letter, is actually introduced by a slight audible aspiration, which the ancients had greater occasion to mark in their writing, as they did not separate their words.

Obs. 2. The spir. asper was frequently neglected by the Æolians, and sometimes by the Ionians: hence we meet in epics with $\mathring{v}\mu\mu\nu$ for $\mathring{v}\mu\mathring{i}\nu$, $\mathring{a}\lambda\tau\sigma$ from $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda\sigma\mu\mu$,

ήέλιος for ήλιος, &c.

Obs. 3. The most ancient Greek language had along with these two spiritus an additional aspiration, which maintained itself the longest among the Æolians. It is usually called digamma, a double Γ , from the figure of its sign, Γ , and properly was a consonant pronounced like the Latin V, and applied to several words, which, in the better known dialects, have either the spir. asper or the lenis. But whatever relates to the digamma is still involved in great obscurity, owing to the want of monuments. The Homeric digamma, so much discussed of late, rests on the following remarkable circumstance:—A certain number of words beginning with a vowel, the principal of which are the pronouns $o\bar{v}$, $o\bar{i}$, $\ddot{\epsilon}$, and the words $\epsilon \ddot{i}\delta \omega$, $\ddot{\epsilon}o\iota\kappa\alpha$, $\epsilon i\pi\epsilon \tilde{\imath}\nu$, ἄναξ, Ἰλιος, οἶνος, οἶκος, ἔργον, ἴσος, ἕκαστος, and their derivatives, so frequently have the hiatus in Homer (see § 28) before them, that on omitting them, the hiatus, now so frequent in Homer, seldom occurs, and is then easily accounted for in the few instances which remain. These very words, comparatively with others, have rarely an apostrophus before them, and the long vowels and diphthongs, which are immediately preceding, are much less frequently shortened than before other words, (see § 7, Obs.) so that we must conclude that there was something in the beginning

¹ For instance, when we correctly pronounce Ab-origines instead of A-borigines.

of those words, by which both (the apostrophus and the shortening of the vowels) was prevented, and the hiatus removed. And as short vowels with a consonant (for instance, $o_{\mathcal{C}}$, $o_{\mathcal{V}}$) often become long in these words, even exclusively of the cessura, as if there were a position, it has been ingeniously conjectured in modern times, that all these words had in Homer's mouth this aspiration, (V,) with the power of a consonant before them, but had lost it at the far later period, when Homer's poems were written down. But as these poems are known to have undergone so many changes and additions before that time, and even after, the instances where the traces of the digamma in Homer have disappeared are very obviously explained. We must also remember that the disappearance or gradual vanishing of the digamma may possibly have commenced in Homer's time, and that many a word may have been pronounced sometimes with and sometimes without it.

§ 7. Prosody.

- 1. Prosody, according to the modern acceptation of the word, is the theory of the quantity of syllables; that is to say, their length, (productio,) or their shortness (correptio).
- 2. Every word and grammatical form had for each syllable, with very few exceptions, a fixed quantity, which regulated the pronunciation of the Greeks in their common intercourse, and must therefore be known, to pronounce the Greek correctly.
- Obs. 1. Hence it is evident how greatly they err, who detach Prosody from Grammar, and consider it merely as a theory necessary for the understanding of poetry. The error proceeds from the circumstance, that hearing no longer the common pronunciation of the ancients, we learn the quantity of syllables from the works of the poets, who indulged in peculiarities and licenses. Thus we have in many instances, along with the fixed quantity, a poetical quantity, of which the most important points are stated in the Observations.
- 3. Grammar notes the quantity of syllables with the following two marks over the vowel, () long, () short; for instance,

 \ddot{a} short a, \ddot{a} long a.

a doubtful or fluctuating.

- 4. Any syllable, the length of which is not distinctly ascertained, is presumed to be short.
- 5. A syllable is long either, 1, by the *nature* of the vowel, or 2, by *position*.
- 6. A syllable is, 1, long by nature, when its vowel is a long one; as, for instance, the middle syllable of amare, docere, in Latin. This is denoted in Greek partly by the characters themselves; of the simple vowels,

 η and ω are always long, ε and σ always short.

¹ But the ancient Greek grammarians comprise in $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\phi\delta i\alpha\iota$ 'whatever affects the sound of a syllable,' and consequently also 'both accents and spiritus.'

They therefore require no comment. But the three others,

are, like all Latin vowels, both long and short, and hence are called doubtful or fluctuating, in Latin ancipites.

- Obs. 2. But this must not be understood as if there were in the nature of the sounds α , ι , v, something fluctuating between length and shortness. All vowels are fixedly (positively) long in some words, and fixedly short in others; but it is only for the e and o that the Greeks have particular characters in either case. The quantity of α , ι , v, is learned in the same way as we learn it, in Latin, of all the five vowels. But if one of the three vowels be actually fluctuating in some Greek words, ex. gr. the a in $ka\lambda \delta c$, the ι in $a \nu i a$, the case is the same with e and o being written in two ways in the same words, as in $\tau \rho o \chi a \omega$ and $\tau \rho \omega \chi a \omega$, $\sigma \omega o c$ and $\sigma \delta o c$, $v \eta a c$ and $v \epsilon a c$, which cannot be distinguished in the most ancient writing.
- 7. With respect to the length by nature, it is a general rule that two vowels, giving but one sound, constitute a long syllable. Hence
- 1.) All diphthongs, without exception, are long; ex. gr. the penultima in $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \iota o c$, $\epsilon \pi a \delta \omega$.
- 2.) All contractions are long, and in this instance the fluctuating vowels are constantly long; ex. gr. the a in $\mathring{a}\kappa\omega\nu$ for $\mathring{a}\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\omega\nu$, the ι in $\mathring{\iota}\rho\grave{\circ}\varsigma$ for $\mathring{\iota}\epsilon\rho\grave{\circ}\varsigma$, the ν in the accus. $\beta\acute{\circ}\tau\rho\nu\varsigma$ for $\beta\acute{\circ}\tau\rho\nu\alpha\varsigma$. See § 28.
- Obs. 3. But elisions (ex. gr. $\dot{\alpha}\pi\ddot{\alpha}\gamma\omega$ for $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma$ - $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega$) must be carefully distinguished from contractions, as is stated in §§ 28—30.
- 8. A syllable is long, 2, even with a short vowel by position, that is to say when it is followed by two or more consonants, or a double letter; ex. gr. the penultima in $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, $\mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \sigma c$, $\kappa a \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega$, $\beta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \mu \nu \sigma \nu$, $\alpha \psi \sigma \rho \rho \sigma c$, $\kappa a \theta \epsilon \delta \omega$, $\nu \sigma \mu \iota \delta \omega$.
- Obs. 4. There is frequently a long vowel along with the position. In this case it is a very customary fault to be satisfied with the length by position without lengthening the vowel in pronunciation. It ought, however, to be lengthened not only in $\Lambda \bar{\eta} \mu \nu o_{\mathcal{C}}$, (pronounced Lehmnos,) $\ddot{v} \rho \pi \eta \xi$, $X a \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \delta a c$, &c., not only where there is a circumflex, (§ 11, i.) as in $\mu \bar{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, $\pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \xi \iota c$, but also in $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega$, $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$, the length of whose a is obvious from the kindred forms, which have the circumflex, ($\pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \xi \iota c$, $\pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \gamma \mu a$,) whilst the a in $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$, is short as in $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota c$. And just as we distinguish the final syllables of $K \dot{\iota} \kappa \lambda \omega \psi$ and $K \dot{\iota} \kappa \rho o \psi$, we must observe the same distinction in $\theta \dot{\omega} \rho a \xi$, where the first syllable is long, (gen. $\theta \dot{\omega} \rho \bar{\alpha} \kappa o c$,) and in $a \ddot{\nu} \lambda a \xi$, where it is short (gen. $a \ddot{\nu} \lambda \ddot{\kappa} \kappa o c$). The length and shortness of the fluctuating vowels before a position, to obtain a correct pronunciation, must be learned by attending to the accents according to Obs. 11, and by consulting the kindred forms of the word in the way which we have just stated.
- 9. Muta cum liquida (§ 4) in general does not make a position; hence the penultima in ἄτεκνος, δίδραχμος, γενέθλη, δύσποτμος, &c. is short. Only poets sometimes also use these syllables as

long, whence the common assertion that muta cum liquida makes a doubtful syllable.

Obs. 5. Hence beginners ought to be extremely careful to ascertain whether the vowel in such a word be not possibly long by nature, for in that case it remains long of course, as, for instance, in $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau a \theta \lambda o \varepsilon$, which comes from $\ddot{\alpha} \theta \lambda o \varepsilon$, (a contraction of $\ddot{\alpha} \epsilon \theta \lambda o \varepsilon$,) and consequently as a long α . It is the same with $\psi \nu \chi \rho \dot{\nu} \varepsilon$, the ν of which is long, because it comes from $\psi \dot{\nu} \chi \omega$ (see Obs. 3). Learners are very apt to fancy that muta cum liquida has the power of rendering the syllable doubtful.

10. The media, medials, (β, γ, δ) , when before the three liquids λ , μ , ν , form, however, an exception to the preceding rule, and make a true position. The penultima in the following words, for instance, is long, (only the vowel must not be lengthened in pronunciation,) $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \iota$, $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \beta \iota \beta \lambda \circ \varsigma$, $\epsilon \nu \circ \delta \mu \circ \varsigma$: but in the

following, it is short, χαράδρα, Μελέαγρος, μολοβρός.

11. All syllables, the quantity of which is not determined by the preceding rules, which can be the case only with syllables with the vowels a, i, v, without a position, are regulated merely by usage; and as this is most safely ascertained in the works of the poets, and confirmed by passages of the same, this is called determining the quantity ex auctoritate, and in doubtful cases the authority of the Attic poets is decisive. The quantity of the radical syllable of words must be learned from dictionaries and private observation; we shall only notice the most important, and the quantity of syllables used in the formation and inflection of words will be noticed in the Grammar in their proper place.

Obs. 6. But with regard to the formation and inflection of syllables, we shall in general (under the supposition of Text 4) state only those in which the doubtful vowels are long. Every syllable, therefore, of which nothing is observed, and the contrary of which is not apparent from the general rules, is to be presumed short; ex. gr. the penultima in $\pi\rho\acute{a}\gamma\mu\alpha\tau o\varsigma$, $\grave{\epsilon}\tau\nu\psi\acute{a}\mu\eta\nu$, and in the terminations employed in the formation of words, as $\xi\acute{b}\lambda\iota\nu o\varsigma$, $\delta\iota\kappa\alpha\iota o\sigma\acute{b}\nu\eta$, &c. We thus have only to notice radical syllables, and a few derivatives, which are not easily comprised in the rules of grammar.

Obs. 7. It is chiefly only the penultima, in words of three or more syllables, which is rendered sensible in modern pronunciation; and yet it is of great importance to be accustomed to pronounce such words correctly before the reading of poets is attempted. We therefore give the principle of such only, in which the penultima

is long :-

φλύαρος, δ, futile talk. ἀνιαρὸς, sad. τιάρα, tiara. ὁπαδὸς, attendant. αὐθάδης, proud. κόβαλος, rogue. ἄκρατος, pure, unmixed. ἰατρὸς, physician. σίναπι, mustard. σιαγὼν, ἡ, jaw.

with the words in αγός, derived from ἄγω and ἄγνυμι, as λοχαγός, captain; ναυαγός, one who has been shipwrecked.

κάμινος, ή, store. χαλινός, ò, rein. σέλινον, parsley. κύμινον, cumin. συκάμινον, mulberry. κυκλάμινον, a plant. $\delta \omega \tau i \nu \eta$, gift. άξίνη, axe. κίνδυνος, ò, danger. βόθυνος, δ, cavity. εὐθύνη, scrutiny. ιφθιμος, strong. αίσχύνη, disgrace. λέπυρον, husk. ἄσυλον, asylum. λάφυρον, spoil, booty. πίτυρον, bran. ἄγκυρα, anchor. πρεσβύτης, old man.

ψίμυθος, ò, white lead. κέλυφος, τὸ, husk. ρητίνη, rosin. ομιλος, δ, multitude. στρόβιλος, δ, cone of a pine-tree. πέδιλον, shoe. χελιδών, δ, swallow. "ριθος, one who labours for hire. άκριβής, exact. ἀκόνιτον, aconite, wolf's-bane. τάριχος, τὸ, dried or salt fish. πάπυρος, ή, papyrus. ένιπη, threat. άϋτη, cry. äμυνα, defence. ἀμύμων, blameless. γέφυρα, bridge. ολυρα, spelt. κολλύρα, small loaf of bread.

and also $l\sigma\chi\nu\rho\delta\varsigma$, strong, (from $l\sigma\chi\ell\omega$, to be powerful,) but in $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\nu\rho\delta\varsigma$ and $\dot{\delta}\chi\nu\rho\delta\varsigma$, fortified, fast, (from $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$, to have, hold,) and in the other adjectives in $\nu\rho\delta\varsigma$, the ν is short. It is likewise safer to pronounce

μυρίκη, the shrub tamarisk.

κορύνη, club.

πλημμυρίς, flood, tide.

τορύνη, ladle, skimmer [trowel].

long, though they also occur short. The following proper names are long: Στύμφαλος, Φάρσαλος, Πρίαπος, "Αρατος, Δημάρατος, 'Αχάτης, Αενκάτης, Εὐφράτης, Νιφάτης, Θεανὼ, 'Ιάσων, "Αμασις,

Σάραπις (Serapis²). Εὔριπος, 'Ενιπεὺς, Σέριφος, Γράνικος, Κάϊκος, "Οσιρις, Βούσιρις, Φοινίκη, 'Αγχίσης, Αἴγινα, Καμάρινα, 'Αφροδίτη, 'Αμφιτρίτη, Διόνυσος, 'Αμφρυσὸς, Καμβύσης, 'Αρχύτας, Κωκυτὸς, Βηρυτὸς,

"Αβυδος, Βιθυνός, Πάχυνον, Κέρκυρα (Corcyra).

See in the Appendix to the third declension a list of words of that declension, which have the penultima long in the gen. (and in the rest of their cases.)

Obs. 8. But those words must also be treasured in the memory, the first syllable of which frequently becomes long through interchange and composition. The following ought to be particularly remembered on that account:—

ψιλὸς, bald.
χιλὸς, ὁ, food.
λιμὸς, ὁ, hunger.
ῥινὸς, ἡ, skin.
λιτὸς, small.
θυμὸς, ὁ, mind.
ῥυνὸς, ὁ, pole of a carriage.
χυμὸς, ὁ, juice.
χυρὸς, ὁ, juice.
τυρὸς, ὁ, cheese.
πυρὸς, ὁ, 3, wheat.

τιμή, honour.
νίκη, victory.
κλίνη, bed, couch.
δίνη, whirlpool.
ξυνός, common, mutual.
κυφός, crooked, bent.
ψυχή, soul.
φυλή, tribe, troop.
ϔλη, forest, materials.
λύπη, grief.
πυγή, buttocks.

² There is likewise some assistance to be derived from the Ionic dialect for the quantity of the α , as that dialect frequently changes α into η , $ex. gr. \Sigma \tau \dot{\nu} \mu \phi \eta \lambda o c$, $\Pi \rho i \eta \pi o c$.

³ But πὔρὸς, the gen. of πῦρ, τὸ, fire.

χρυσός, δ, gold. ἄτη, harm, ruin. δαλός, δ, firebrand. μικρός, little, small in stature. φράτωρ, member of the same tribe. σφραγίς, ή, seal. τραχύς, rough. λύμη, infamy. μύνη, pretext.

In the verbs which end simply with an ω annexed to the radical word, the ι and v are constantly long, (ex. gr. $\tau \rho i \beta \omega$, $\sigma \dot{\nu} \rho \omega$, $\psi \dot{\nu} \chi \omega$,) excepting $\gamma \lambda \dot{\nu} \phi \omega$, to carre, engrave. But the α (ex. gr. in $\ddot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$, $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega$) is short. See the quantity of the final syllable of the Present Tense of the Verbs in $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, $\dot{\nu} \nu \omega$, § 112. Obs. Of the verbs liable to contraction, we particularly notice, as having the first syllable long,

κινέω, to set in motion. ἡιγέω, to shudder. ἡιγόω, to shiver. σιγάω, to be silent. διφάω, to dive, search.
συλάω, to plunder.
φυσάω, to blow, breathe.

The knowledge of these words is useful not only for usual compounds, as $\tilde{a}\tau\iota\mu\rho_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\tilde{a}\psi\nu\chi\rho_{\mathcal{S}}$, $(\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\rho\iota\beta\rho\nu)$, $\delta\iota\alpha\tau\rho\iota\beta\omega$, $i\mu\beta\rho\iota\theta\eta_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\tilde{a}\sigma\nu\lambda\rho\nu$, &c., but also for many proper names, as Hermotimus, Demonicus, Eriphyle, &c.

Obs. 9. The Nouns Substantive and Adjective derived from verbs, and retaining their characteristic, may be assumed to be of the same quantity with these verbs, until the contrary be perfectly ascertained; for some of these nouns have not the long vowel of the Present Tense, but the short one of the Aor. 2. This is the case:

a. with some substantives in $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \rho \check{\imath} \beta \dot{\eta}$, $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho \check{\imath} \beta \dot{\eta}$, $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \psi \check{\nu} \chi \dot{\eta}$, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \psi \check{\nu} \chi \dot{\eta}$. But

 $\psi \bar{v} \chi \dot{\eta}$ (soul).

b. with some adjectives in ης, gen. έος, εὐκρῖνης, ἀτρῖβης, παλιντρῖβης, &c., and the substantive παιδοτρίβης.

Obs. 10. The rule that a vowel before another vowel is short, which is unsafe in Latin, is still less to be depended on in Greek. A long vowel before a vowel is, however, more rare than before a simple consonant, and especially the many nouns in $\iota \circ \varepsilon$, $\iota \circ \nu$, and $\iota \circ a$, are always short, except

iòς, arrow, καλιὰ, nest, αἰκία, indignity, ἀνία, sorrow, κονία, dust, ᾿Ακαδημία; and the two last occur also as short in the Epic poets. Vowel before vowel was probably in many cases doubtful even in the common language, and poets, and more particularly the Epics, enjoyed a great latitude in this respect ⁴. Hence, as we learn the quantity of syllables from poets only, we are left in uncertainty in many instances, especially respecting the final syllable of the Present Tense of the verbs in ύω and ίω, most of which we are forced to leave to individual observation. Many of those which have a long vowel in the Future, are also constantly used as long in the Present Tense in the Senarius, viz., δακρύω, μηνύω, ἰσχύω, ἀλύω, δύω, θύω, ψύω, λύω, ἵω, πρίω, χρίω. But several of them are fluctuating in other species of poetry. The a deserves to be remembered as long, particularly in

λαὸς, ὁ, nation. κάω, (for καίω,) to burn. ναὸς, ὁ, temple. κλάω, (for κλαίω,) to weep.

Long are also the penultima in 'Eννω, Bellona, and all those words in $i\omega\nu$ and $i\omega\nu$, which take an o in the gen.; consequently all comparatives, (ex. gr. $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i\omega\nu$,) and many proper names, ex. gr. ' $\Delta \mu \phi i\omega\nu$, ' $\Delta \tau \epsilon \rho i\omega\nu$, $\Delta \tau \epsilon \nu i\omega\nu$, $\Delta \tau i\omega\nu$, $\Delta i\omega\nu$, $\Delta \tau i\omega\nu$, $\Delta i\omega\nu$, $\Delta \tau i\omega\nu$, $\Delta i\omega\nu$, $\Delta \tau i\omega\nu$, $\Delta i\omega\nu$, $\Delta \tau i\omega\nu$, $\Delta i\omega\nu$, $\Delta \tau i\omega\nu$, $\Delta i\omega$

'Αμφιάραος is long, Οἰνόμαος short.

See about the particular cases in which long vowels are employed as short in verses, Text 16, and Obs. 16.

⁴ They might lengthen the ι for the sake of the metre, even in 'Ασκληπιοῦ, 'Ιλίου, ἀτιμίη, &c. See Obs. 14.

12. We have hitherto treated of the general rules of Prosody, as regards the language and the quantity of syllables, considered in a grammatical point of view. We must now add a few words respecting that part of Prosody which we shall denominate

Poetical usage,

and which constitutes a part of the metrical science. It must be remembered as a general rule, that poets were bound to the fixed and usual quantity of syllables as contained in common language. Yet the various kinds of poetry and verses have a vast influence on Greek prosody, the laws of which differ considerably in the Hexameter of the Ionic epic poem and the Iambic Trimeter, or Senarius, the principal verse of the Attic drama, to which the Iambic and Trochaic verses of this kind of poetry conform.

Obs. 11. Attic poets indulged in but few poetical licences, and conformed themselves in the main to the actual pronunciation of the people of Athens; whilst the Hexameter, grounded on the ancient pronunciation of the Ionians, allowed great freedom to the poet in particular instances. The other species of poetry lay between these two; hence the parts of the drama itself, wherein an increasing emotion forsakes the common language, and above all the Lyric passages and choruses, admitted more or less the freedom of Epic poetry along with its forms. Even the Senarius of tragedy differed in this respect from the Senarius of comedy, the language of which came nearer to that of common life. Compare § 1. 10, 11.

Obs. 12. It is another peculiarity of the Hexameter, that it also varies with respect to quantity by nature (Text 6, 7). The words

καλός, beautiful, "σος, equal,

constantly short with the Attic poets, are long with the Epics, who therefore write $l\sigma o g^{-5}$. There are several other words, the quantity of which is fluctuating with the Epics, especially

άνηρ, man, "Aoης, Mars,

of which the first syllable else generally is short. In the exclamation $^{\tau}A\rho\varepsilon\varsigma$, $^{\nu}A\rho\varepsilon\varsigma$, which frequently occurs in Homer, the two words, though placed together, differ in quantity.

Obs. 13. The difference is particularly sensible with regard to position. The meeting of muta cum liquida was rather harsh in the softer Ionic dialect; hence it generally makes a true position in the Epic poets, and especially in the ancient ones. But with the Attics the instances of short syllables stated above, (Text 9, 10,) are always observed as short in the Senarius of comedy, whilst Tragic poets frequently conform to the Epic usage.

13. In many cases the mere rhythmus has occasioned, in poetical writings, deviations from the usual quantity of syllables.

⁵ Observe also, that $\dot{\alpha}\rho\dot{\alpha}$, $Epic~\dot{\alpha}\rho\dot{\eta}$, imprecation, is short with the Attic poets, and long with the Epics; whilst $\dot{\alpha}\rho\dot{\eta}$, misery to be deprecated, is likewise short with the latter.

These are what are properly called *poetical licences*, having been made by the exigency of the verse, and are not based upon the nature of the language. The quantity, therefore, of a syllable, altered in such an arbitrary manner, is not hereby changed in reality.

Obs. 14. But the licence of the old Epic poets must not be supposed to have been unbounded; this would have destroyed the charm of their masterly compositions. Their own feelings confined them within proper bounds, so that it was only with regard to certain words and forms, or to particular cases, that they indulged in this freedom. They resorted to it especially,

1.) in proper names: ' $\Lambda \pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \sigma_{\mathcal{L}}$, with a long α ; ' $\Xi \lambda \varepsilon \nu \sigma \iota \nu i \delta \alpha \sigma_{\mathcal{L}}$, with the first ι

short (Hymn. Cer. 105, cf. 95).

2.) in words with over-many short syllables, as in $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\nu\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, $\dot{\alpha}\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha\tau\sigma\varsigma$, the first syllable of which was made long; hence this rhythmus of $\dot{\alpha}\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha\tau\sigma\varsigma$ was afterwards steadily adopted by all poets.

3.) in the beginning of an hexameter: Homer has even $E\pi\epsilon\iota \mid \delta\dot{\eta}$ and $\Phi\iota\lambda\epsilon$

κα- | σίγνη- | τε-.

14. Lastly, the following general rules are to be observed in poetry, principally in Homer. Position has its effects in two words following close one on the other. This is without exception whenever the two consonants are divided between the two words, as $\phi(\lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa o \varsigma)$. But when the second word begins with the two consonants, the position is indeed justifiable, ex. gr. Homer, "Eνθα | $\sigma \phi \iota \nu \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} - \chi a \tilde{\iota} \rho \varepsilon$ | $\xi \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu - Il. \zeta. 73$, $a \tilde{\iota} \tau \varepsilon$ | $T \varrho \tilde{\omega} \varepsilon \varsigma$, yet of rare occurrence, unless the Ictus comes to its assistance. See Obs. 16. In the Attics it is more particularly attended to; but in this case muta cum liquida commonly makes no position, ex. gr. Eur. Iph. Taur. 1317, $\Pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \phi \psi \varsigma$; $\tau \iota \pi \nu \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} - \mu a$.

15. Whenever the last syllable of a word in the dactylic Hexameter falls in the Arsis, (the masculine cæsura,) this last syllable is often lengthened by the mere power of the ictus. This is what is called the productio in the cæsura, ex. gr., Il. ε , 359, $\phi \ell \lambda \varepsilon$ $\kappa \alpha$ - | $\sigma \ell \gamma \nu \gamma$ - | $\tau \bar{\varepsilon}$ $\kappa \delta \mu -$ | $\sigma a \ell$. a, 51, $\beta \dot{\varepsilon}$ | $\lambda \bar{\sigma} c$

 $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon$ | $\pi\epsilon\nu\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\varsigma$ $\dot{\epsilon}$ | $\phi\iota\epsilon\dot{\iota}\varsigma$.

 $\it Obs.$ 15. This lengthening (productio), however, does not frequently occur in so glaring a manner, as in the quoted examples, except

when the following word begins with two consonants, which position, according to text 14, causes generally no long syllable, unless it be supported

by the ictus.

2.) When the following word begins with a liquida, which may easily be doubled in pronunciation; $ex.\ gr.\ Il.\ \epsilon.\ 748$, "Hon $|\delta\bar{\epsilon}\ \mu\dot{\alpha}$ - $|\sigma\tau\iota\gamma\iota$ -, $\delta.\ 274$, $\ddot{\alpha}\mu\alpha$ $|\delta\bar{\epsilon}\ \nu\dot{\epsilon}\phi\sigma\varsigma$ $|\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\tau\sigma$ -pronounce demmastige—dennephos. The ρ in particular is so easily doubled in pronunciation in this case, that even the Attic poets commonly employ a short vowel as long before the ρ in both Thesis and Arsis, $(ex.\ gr.\ in\ the\ Arsis\ of\ the\ Senarius, <math>-\tau o\bar{\nu}$ $|\ \pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\omega}$ $|\ \pi\sigma\nu$ $\tau\bar{\alpha}$ $|\ \dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}\kappa\eta$, Aristoph.

Plut. 1065, and in the Thesis of a spondeus among anapæsts, $\alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \iota \mid \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \ \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\iota} - \mid \tau \alpha \zeta \ \tilde{\epsilon} \chi o v - \mid \sigma \iota \nu$, Nub. 343,) and even avoid the ρ , wherever a short syllable is required.

- 3.) When the following word was sounded with the Digamma, the breathing of which also was easily strengthened. Hence verses in Homer end so frequently with the pron. possessive $\delta \varsigma$ from $\tilde{\epsilon}$ in this manner: $\theta \nu \gamma \alpha \tau \dot{\epsilon} \mid \rho \alpha \ \ddot{\eta} \nu$, $-\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \cdot \mid \ddot{\iota} \ \vec{\phi}$.

Obs. 16. There are likewise instances of a long vowel or diphthong being shortened before a vowel in the middle of the word, but only in certain words and forms, which must have had something conducive to it in their pronunciation; as in $\pi o \iota \tilde{\iota} \tilde{\iota} \nu$, (which is frequently written $\pi o \iota \tilde{\iota} \nu$,) $\pi o \tilde{\iota} o c$, and its correlatives and others. Such a vowel or diphthong is constantly shortened before the demonstrativum, (§ 80,) ex. gr. in $\tau o \nu \tau o \nu \tilde{\iota}$, $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \eta \tilde{\iota}$, &c., and in the Epic $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \eta$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$.

§ 8.—Of the Accents.

- 1. Independently of the quantity of syllables, (the province of Prosody,) the Greek language also marks the *tone* or what is called the *accents*; this expression however, according to our habits and conceptions, still offers many difficulties. The Greek accent falling as frequently on a short syllable as on a long one, must necessarily impair the quantity, when expressed in our habitual way: as, $\tau \ell \theta \eta \mu$, $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \acute{a} \tau \eta \varsigma$.
- 2. But this accentuation is proved to be as old as the language itself by clear historical facts, and unquestionable testimonies of the ancients. Attentive grammarians began to note it, when a false intonation was more and more invading the language of common life; and it was undoubtedly at a far later period that these signs, which were now taught in the Greek schools, were generally used. They thus transmitted to us, at least, the theory of Greek accentuation.
 - 3. Reflection and practice have already enabled us to remove

¹ That is to say, on the whole; for in individual practice, accentuation, like any other part of the language, was exposed to fluctuations. The adopted accentuation is chiefly that of the flourishing Attic period.

23 ACCENTS.

in part the contradiction which appeared to prevail between quantity and accent; and it is worthy of the exertions of the learned to endeavour to restore this essential ingredient of the melody of the Greek language; but this cannot be effected without an intimate acquaintance with the present system of Greek accentuation.

4. But, independently of these considerations, the Greek accents are not without great practical utility. They frequently enable us by their position to ascertain the quantity of syllables; serve to distinguish many homonymous words and forms of speech; and even where they are of no immediate import, familiarise us to the laws of accentuation, without which we could be no judges of the instances where they are of practical

Obs. Nothing can be more prejudicial than the habit of applying the accents in reading in a way which perverts the actual quantity of syllables (see the Obs. to the following §). If learners cannot remedy this fault by study, and attend to both quantity and accents, they ought to attach themselves principally to quantity, which is of still higher importance in reading.

§ 9.—Acutus and Circumflex.

- 1. Every Greek word, generally speaking, has an accent on one of its vowels, and this accent is of two kinds: Acutus and Circumflex. The acute οξεία (sc. προσωδία) accent, that is to say, the sharp or clear tone, has the mark or sign _.
- 2. The circumflex, περισπωμένη, that is to say, the lengthened tone, which is marked thus ~, requires a syllable long by nature.
- Obs. 1. The theory of the ancients respecting any sound, which, in our way of speaking, has not the accent, gives to it the grave or falling tone, βαρεῖα, (Lat. gravis,) and grammarians had for it a mark ', which, however, is not used in common writing, and must be distinguished from the gravis, of which we shall speak in § 13. According to the same theory, a long vowel, which has the circumflex, is to be considered as two combined short vowels, of which the first has the acute, and the other the grave accent: thus $\delta\delta$, for instance, gives $\tilde{\omega}$. But when the first vowel has the grave, and the other the acute accent, thus δό, and they are converted into ω, this long vowel then takes only the acute accent \(\omega. \)
- Obs. 2. The audible utterance of this difference in pronunciation has some difficulties. We barely warn against the two principal faults. Every accented long vowel ($\tilde{\omega}$ or ω) must be carefully distinguished from the unaccented one, (grave ω ,) for instance, in $\tilde{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma$, without, however, making it short (o) 2. But the opposite fault of lengthening accented short vowels must equally be guarded against: $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$, for instance, must not be pronounced like $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho^3$.

² The first syllable of $\tilde{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\varsigma$ may be accented, and yet the second syllable lengthened, as is done with Almighty.

The attempt to give the tone to a short vowel has the same effect with us as

§ 10.

1. The acute accent and the circumflex can fall only on one of the three last syllables; the acute accent, indeed, may fall on any of the three, but the circumflex can take place only on the last syllable, or on the penultimate.

The second Obs. of § 14 shows that ὧτινι and such words

constitute but a seeming exception.

2. It is the nature of the last syllable in particular, which gives to the whole word its grammatical denomination with regard to the accent. According as this last syllable has 1. the acute accent, 2. the circumflex, or 3. no accent, (viz., according to § 8. 2. when it has the grave accent,) the word is called

Oxytonon, as for instance, θεδς, δς, τετυφώς.

Perispōměnon . . . $\phi \iota \lambda \tilde{\omega}$, $v \circ \tilde{v} \varsigma$.

Βατγιόποη τύπτω, πράγμα, πράγματα.

3. Again, any dissyllabic or polysyllabic barytonon, according as it has 1. the acute accent on the penultimate syllable, or 2. on the antepenultimate, or 3. the circumflex on the penultimate, is called

Parexytŏnon . . . τύπτω, τετυμμένος.

Proparoxytonon . . . τυπτόμενος, ἄνθρωπος.

Properispōmĕnon . . κρᾶγμα, φιλοῦσα.

See about the seeming barytona, as $\partial \rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$, $\tau \epsilon \tau \nu \phi \dot{\omega} c$, etc., and about the atona or unaccented words, § 13.

§ 11.

The place of the accent in words is best learned through attention and practice, and at first from the Dictionary. The following general rules may, however, be attended to:

doubling the following consonant, which creates a great difficulty, since it must obviously be supposed that the ancients distinguished $\ddot{\sigma}\tau_{l}$ from $\ddot{\sigma}\tau_{l}$, and $\beta \dot{\alpha}\lambda \epsilon$ from $\beta \dot{\alpha}\lambda \lambda \epsilon$. But in the first place this alteration of the sound is neither so frequent nor so offensive as when $\ddot{\sigma}\nu \sigma_{c}$, $\beta \dot{\epsilon}\lambda \sigma_{c}$, for instance, are pronounced $\ddot{\omega}\nu \sigma_{c}$, $\beta \ddot{\eta}\lambda \sigma_{c}$; and, in the second place, persevering application may certainly succeed at least in lessening the difficulty. To pronounce $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta_{c}$, compare this word with three similar German monosyllables, $s\ddot{\sigma}$ hát $\bar{\epsilon}r$, 'so has he,' the middle one of which is short, and may yet be accented. These words obviously differ from $s\ddot{\sigma}$ that $\bar{\epsilon}r$, 'so did he,' and are nearly like $s\ddot{\sigma}$ hátt' $\bar{\epsilon}r$, 'so had he.' To pronounce $\sigma \sigma \phi \dot{\iota} \alpha$ without lengthening the ι appears more difficult; but not only the German vie, 'how,' but even the French f_{ij} , 'fie!' may be accented; it merely requires some little practice to pronounce a short accented syllable immediately before another vowel.

The *circumflex* requires a syllable long by nature, (viz. by its vowel and not by mere position:) (§ 7, 8.) ex. gr.

κῆδος, φως, τεῖχος, οὖτος, σμῆγμα,

and

τιμᾶτε, ήμῖν, πῦρ,

because the uncertain vowels, (§ 7.6.) α , ι , υ , are long in these words. Hence a short vowel, when accented, can only take the acute accent: as $\xi \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$, $\nu \circ \varsigma$, $\tau \circ \delta \circ \varsigma$,

- Obs. 1. Σμῆγμα has the circumflex only on account of the η , not because of the position $\gamma\mu$. And as, for instance, $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha$, $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \delta \nu$, have the circumflex, it shows that α is here long by itself, not on account of $\gamma\mu$ and $\lambda\lambda$: pronounce praghma, mahllon.
- 2. But the acute accent may also stand on a long vowel: as σοφώτερος, δεύτερος, φεύγω, τιμή, βασιλεύς, φώρ.
- - a.) the adverbial termination $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}$. See § 115.
 - b.) the terminations of the gen. and dat. See § 337.
 - c.) the terminations $\tilde{\epsilon v}$ and $\tilde{o t}$ of the vocat. See § 45.
- 4. If the penultimate syllable, being naturally long, is to be accented, it must be the circumflex, whenever the last syllable is short, or long only by position; as

ρημα, οίνος, ψύχος, βωλαξ, gen. ακος.

- Obs. 2. This rule does not apply to words joined together with ENCLITICS; hence we write εἴτε, οὔτε, ὤσπερ, ἥτις, τούσδε, &c. (See § 14. Obs. 2.) The particles εἴθε and ναίχι, (not ναιχὶ,) which are but εἰ and ναὶ lengthened, are the only exceptions.
- 5. But whenever the last syllable is naturally long, the penultimate cannot take the circumflex; we write

ρήτωρ, οινη, ψύχω, θώραξ, gen. ακος.

6. According to § 10. 1, the antepenultimate can take only the acute accent. But when the last syllable is long, whether it be by nature or position, the antepenultimate cannot be accented at all; we write

Σωκράτης, συλλέγω, ἐριβῶλαξ.

7. The final syllables $a\iota$ and $o\iota$, though long, have only the influence of a short syllable, with regard to the two immediately preceding rules: we write

τρίαιναι, προφήται, πώλοι, ἄνθρωποι, plurals of τρίαινα, προφήτης, πώλος, ἄνθρωπος. τύπτομαι, τύπτεται, τύπτεσθαι, τέτυψαι, passive forms of the verb. ποιήσαι, στήσαι, θείναι, infinitives. ποίησαι, στήσαι, imperatives of the middle.

Obs. 3. We except, however,

1.) The third person of the opt. in oι and αι: as φεύγοι, ποιήσαι.

2.) The adv. oikoi, at home (but the pl. oikoi, houses).

- 3.) Words joined together (§ 14.) with enclisics; as of moi, woe is me, \(\delta\tau\to\), both when it comes from \(\delta\ta\), certainly, and from \(\delta\ta\), or.
- 8. Even the ω in the terminations of the cases in the Attic declension takes the accent on the antepenultimate syllable, as $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega c$, $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega v$, (§ 51,) and (nom. and accus. sing. and gen. pl.) $\partial v \omega \gamma \epsilon \omega v$. (§ 37.)
- Obs. 4. Likewise the ω in the Ionic gen. in εω of the first declension: as δεσπότεω for δεσπότου, § 34. Obs.
- Obs. 5. It is obvious that a beginner, who uses correct editions, may learn the quantity of many words by their accents:—

1.) The circumflex shows that the syllable on which it stands is long.

 The acute accent on such words as καρκίνος, βάθρον, &c., shows that the penultimate syllable is short. (This follows from No. 4. of the Text.)

3.) The accent of such words as $\pi \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \rho \alpha$, $\tilde{\alpha} \rho o v \rho a$, shows that the last syllable is short. (Text 4. 6.) and

4.) The acute accent on $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a$, $\Lambda \dot{\eta} \delta a$, shows that the last syllable is long (Text 4, 5).

Even words and forms, the accent of which indicates nothing, may yet serve to remind those, who have read much with attention, of forms whose accent is decisive. They will pronounce $\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\iota\tau\sigma\varsigma$ long, and $\theta\epsilon\delta\phi\iota\lambda\sigma\varsigma$ short, because $\sigma\tilde{\iota}\tau\sigma\varsigma$ has the circumflex, and $\phi\iota\lambda\sigma\varsigma$ the acute accent. Thus will the ι in $\delta\iota\kappa\eta$, $\tilde{\alpha}\delta\iota\kappa\sigma\varsigma$, be known to be short, because the pl. $\delta\iota\kappa\alpha\iota$ appears so frequently, that the attentive reader may recollect that he never saw it with the circumflex (Text 7). But the circumflex of monosyllables decides nothing with regard to the quantity of their lengthened cases, the monosyllabic nominatives of the third declension being always long (§ 41. Obs. 3. and § 42. Obs. 3); for instance, $\pi\tilde{\nu}\rho$, $\mu\tilde{\nu}\varsigma$, gen. $\pi\tilde{\nu}\rho\delta\varsigma$, $\mu\tilde{\nu}\delta\varsigma$.

§ 12.

When a word is changed by its declension or conjugation, or in any other way, this change influences its accentuation in many cases:

1.) It has a necessary influence, when the word undergoes such a change as to prevent the accent being continued the

same as it is on the principal form of the word, conformably to the rules stated above; in that case

The circumflex is converted into the acute accent, as οἶνος, gen. οἴνου, (§ 11. 5.) ρῆμα, gen. ρήματος (§ 10. 1).

The acute into the circumflex; as τιμη, gen. τιμης, (§ 11.3.6.)

φεύγω, imper. φεῦγε (§ 11. 4).

Or the accent passes from the antepenultimate to the penultimate syllable; as $\mathring{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\varsigma$, gen. $\mathring{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\upsilon$, $\mathring{a}\rho\sigma\nu\rho\tilde{a}$, gen. $\mathring{a}\rho\sigma\nu\rho\tilde{a}\varsigma$ (§ 11. 6).

2.) But even when it is not necessary in conformity with the above rules, the accent, though never changed, is yet sometimes

transposed:

- a.) The accent is removed backwards chiefly, 1.) when the word has before it an augment of any kind, as $\tau i \pi \tau \omega$, $\tau i \pi \tau \omega$. Etu $\pi \tau \varepsilon$, $\delta \delta \delta \varsigma \sigma i \nu o \delta \sigma \varsigma$, $\pi a i \delta \varepsilon \nu \tau \delta \varsigma a \pi a i \delta \varepsilon \nu \tau \sigma \varsigma$: 2.) when the reason which attached the accent in the principal form to the penultimate syllable, (§ 11. 6,) disappears; as $\pi a i \delta \varepsilon i \omega$, imper. $\pi a i \delta \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon$. More precise information and exceptions will be stated in the Obs. sub 1. to § 103, and in the Theory of Compounds, § 121.
- b.) The accent is only moved forward chiefly when the word receives one of the terminations, which either always are accented, as the partic. perf. in $\grave{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$: $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \tau \upsilon \phi a$, partic. $\tau \epsilon \tau \upsilon \phi \grave{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$ or which take the accent under peculiar circumstances, as $\theta \grave{\eta} \rho$, $\theta \eta \rho \grave{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$, according to § 43. Obs. 4.

Obs. With regard to the transposition of the accent, see in the anastrophe, § 117.2; with the apostrophus, § 30. Obs. 1. and on casting off the augment, Obs. 1.2. to § 103.

§ 13. Gravis, Atona.

1. Hitherto we have considered the accent merely as it is regulated in itself by every word and form; but it is also influenced by the connexion of words, but in a grammatical respect only in two principal cases. It is modified through the dependence of a word on the following or preceding parts of speech; which is expressed as, I. Inclination of the Accent towards the following word; 1.) by moderating the acute accent; 2.) by casting the accent off. II. Inclination towards

⁴ To these must be added some common terminations in the formation of words; as, for instance, the verbal substantives in $\mu \dot{\rho} \varsigma$, ($\lambda \dot{\rho} \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\rho} \varsigma$,) the adjectives in $\kappa \dot{\rho} \varsigma$, $\nu \dot{\rho} \varsigma$, $\tau \dot{\rho} \varsigma$, $\tau \dot{\rho} \varsigma$, and some others.

the preceding word or *Inclinatio*. We treat (1.) of the Inclination towards the following word.

2. Whenever an oxytonon (§ 10. 2.) stands before other words in connexion, the effect of the acute accent is moderated, and approximates more or less to the grave accent. In that case the sign or mark of the acute is converted into the sign of the grave accent', which is used only on such occasions (§ 9. 2). But at the end of a period, viz., before a full stop or colon 5, the acute accent remains unchanged; as,

'Οργή δὲ πολλὰ δρᾶν ἀναγκάζει κακά.

Obs. 1. According to the theory, (contained in § 9. Obs. 1.) all unaccented syllables (graves) ought to have this sign (gravis), but instead of having it, the same sign was chosen for those accented final syllables, the accent or tone of which was to be softened down, in order to connect the final syllable of a word more easily together with the succeeding one. We must guard against considering as barytona words which end with '. They are rather called oxytona, because their acute accent is merely at rest, and grammar, in looking at connected words, considers each word separately.

Obs. 2. The interrogative pronoun, $\tau(\varsigma, \tau)$, (§ 77.) is the only exception to this rule. With regard to the acute accent on terminations before *enclitics*, see § 14.

3. The following monosyllabic words, which all begin with a vowel,

où, (où κ , où χ ,) not, $\dot{\omega}_{\varsigma}$, as, $\dot{\epsilon}_{\iota}$, if. $\dot{\epsilon}_{\nu}$, in, $\dot{\epsilon}_{\iota}$ c, ($\dot{\epsilon}_{\varsigma}$ c,) into, $\dot{\epsilon}_{\xi}$, ($\dot{\epsilon}_{\kappa}$) out,

and these nominatives of the articulus præpositivus, (§ 75.)

ό, ή, οί, αί,

appear commonly unaccented in speech, because they coalesce with the subsequent word, and are on that account called *atona*, unaccented words, *ex. gr.*

ό νοῦς ἤλθεν ἐξ ᾿Ασίας ὡς ἐν παρύδῳ οὐ γὰρ παρῆν.

- 4. But as soon as such words are unconnected with the subsequent one, standing either alone or at the end or after the words which they govern, they obtain their accent; ex. gr. ov, no, $\pi\omega\varsigma$ $\gamma\lambda\varrho$ ov; why not? $\theta\epsilon\delta\varsigma$ $\omega\varsigma$ $\epsilon\tau(\epsilon\tau o)$, (as a god,) ov $\delta\epsilon$ $\kappa\alpha\kappa\omega\nu$ $\epsilon\xi$ (instead of $\epsilon\kappa$ $\kappa\alpha\kappa\omega\nu$).
- Obs. 3. As these words stand with regard to the accent nearly in the same predicament to the subsequent word as encities to the preceding word, they are now frequently called proclitics after Hermann. See Buttmann's Complete Greek Grammar, § 13. ⁵

§ 14. Enclitics.

1. A number of monosyllabic and dissyllabic words, owing

⁵ It is owing to the old principle being misunderstood that most moderns place the acute accent before every comma.

to their signification and pronunciation, may be so closely joined with the preceding word, as to throw the accent on that word. And as these words in that case lean or incline, as it were, $(i\gamma\kappa\lambda(i\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota))$ on the preceding word, they are called *Enclitics*; whilst every word which is accented by itself, and every enclitic, when it retains its accent, is called *Orthotonon* $(i\rho\theta\sigma\tau\sigma\nu\sigmai\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu)$, a word, as it were, with upright accent).

2. Such enclitics are:-

1.) the indefinite Pronoun $\tau i c$, τi , through all its cases, with $\tau o \tilde{v}$, $\tau \tilde{\psi}$, as belonging to it, (in contradistinction from the interrogative pronoun $\tau i c$, τi , 'who?' 'what?' which always retains the acutus, § 77.)

2.) The following oblique cases of the personal Pronouns:— $\mu o \tilde{v}$, $\mu o \tilde{t}$, $\mu \tilde{\epsilon}$, $\sigma o \tilde{v}$, $\sigma o \tilde{t}$, $\sigma \tilde{t}$, $o \tilde{t}$, $\tilde{\epsilon}$, $\mu \tilde{t} v$, $\nu \tilde{t} v$, and those beginning with $\sigma \phi$ with some exceptions. (§ 72. Obs.)

3.) The Indicative Present of $\epsilon i \mu i$ and $\phi \eta \mu i$, excepting the monosyllabic second pers. sing. (§ 108. IV. § 109. I.)

4.) The indefinite adverbs $\pi \tilde{\omega}_{\varsigma}$, $\pi \tilde{\eta}$, $\pi o \tilde{\iota}$, $\pi o \tilde{\iota}$, $\pi o \theta \tilde{\iota}$, $\pi o \theta \tilde{\iota} \nu$, $\pi o \tau \tilde{\iota}$, which differ from the similar interrogatives $(\pi \tilde{\omega}_{\varsigma}; \pi \acute{o} \tau \tilde{\iota}, \&c.)$ merely by their enclitical accents, (§ 116.)

5.) The particles $\pi \hat{\omega}$, $\tau \hat{\epsilon}$, $\tau \hat{o}\hat{i}$, $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu$, $\gamma \hat{\epsilon}$, $\kappa \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ or $\kappa \hat{\epsilon}$, $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ or $\nu \hat{\nu}^{1}$,

 $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \rho$, $\rho \hat{a}$, with the inseparable $\delta \epsilon$.—(See Obs. 2.)

3. When the word before the enclitic (compare below, 7) is a proparoxytonon, ($\mathring{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\varsigma$,) or a proper spomenon, ($\sigma\tilde{\omega}\mu a$,) the enclitic throws its accent, which always is the acute accent, on the final syllable of that word, as,

ἄνθρωπός ἐστι, σῶμά μου,

and when it is preceded by an unaccented word, as for instance ϵi , it throws its accent on this word: $\epsilon i'$ $\tau \iota \varsigma$.

4. But if the preceding word has already of itself an accent on its final syllable, or the acute accent on the penultimate, the same accent likewise serves for the enclitic, and the acute accent of such a final syllable does not in that case dwindle into the grave accent; \S 13. 2. ex. gr.

ανήρ τις καί σοι

φιλώ σε γυναικών τινων άνδρα τε λέγεις τι.

5. When one enclitic follows immediately after another enclitic, the first generally takes the accent of the following enclitic,

¹ This particle (*igitur*) contradistinguishes itself by this accent from the adverb of time $\nu \tilde{v} \nu$ (nunc, 'now').

and throws its own accent on the preceding word, and so on, if there be several enclitics up to the last, which alone remains unaccented; as for instance, $\epsilon i' \tau i c \tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \sigma i \mu \iota \iota \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \bar{\iota} \nu \alpha \iota$.

6. Enclitics retain their own accent: (become orthotona. See

1.) whenever the inclination is obstructed: viz.,

1.) when a Paroxytonon has a dissyllabic enclitic after it; ex. gr. λόγος ποτὲ ἐχώρει ἐναντίος σφίσιν.

- 2.) when the syllable on which the accent of the enclitic should be thrown, is removed by an apostrophus; as πολλοὶ δ' εἰσίν.
- Obs. 1. The beginner in the Greek language may render the rules of the inclination easier to himself by considering merely the number of syllables in the accentuation of separate words, without any respect to the influence which the quantity of the last syllable may have upon the accent. Let him suppose the two words, which are to be inclined, coalesced into one (which in fact they are, except in writing,) ex. gr. ἄνθρωπός ἐστι, and he will readily perceive that a second accent is required upon the antepenultima $\delta \zeta$, the acutus upon the first syllable $\ddot{a}\nu$ not being sufficient. The same with $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \dot{\alpha} \mu o v$, since the circumflex upon $\tilde{\omega}$ is not enough, on account of the long syllable μου, whilst in άνήρ τις, φιλῶ σε, ἄνδρα τε, one accent suffices. The manner alone in which dissyllabic words after perispom, are inclined, is opposed to the general rule of accentuation. Modern grammarians, therefore, do not consider cases like the following, γυναικῶν τινων and ὧντινων as coming within the rules of inclination.—The inclination is said to be obstructed whenever the tone of a preceding paroxytonon is not sufficient for the following dissyllabic enclitic; as, for instance, in λόγος ποτε; whilst to accentuate λόγός ποτε would be against the rule of arsis and thesis, which cannot exist when two syllables following each other have upon both the sharp tone or acutus.
- 7. Otherwise enclitics in general become orthotona, only when there is a kind of emphasis, particularly when it is grounded on an antithesis, resting on them, and when they begin the sentence. But many of these words (especially those under 2. and 5.) can from their nature never be in that predicament, and therefore always occur as enclitics 1.
- Obs. 2. As such a word through inclination coalesces almost into one with the preceding word, many words, commonly combined with an enclitic for a peculiar meaning, are also written close together: as, for instance, ιστε, οιτε, μεντοι, στε, στ

¹ For details with respect to inclination and right accentuation of the personal pronouns, and of $\mu o \tilde{v}$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \tilde{v}$, &c. see § 72. Obs. 2, 3, and about $\dot{\epsilon} (\mu i)$, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$, and $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, § 108. iv. 3.

§ 15.—Punctuation.

- 1. The Greek has the *full stop* and *comma* in common with our modern languages. Our semi-colon is comprised in the Greek *colon*, marked by a dot over the line (as $o\dot{\nu}\kappa \tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ · $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$ —) The Greek *note of interrogation* is (;).
 - Obs. Modern editors have begun to introduce the note of exclamation (!).
- 2. The diastole or hypodiastole (,) must not be confounded with the comma; it serves merely to distinguish little words enclitically connected from other similar ones; as, for instance, δ , τ_{ℓ} , (Epic δ , $\tau_{\tau\ell}$,) the neuter of $\delta\sigma\tau_{\ell}$ c, and $\tau\delta$, $\tau\varepsilon$, (and this,) from the particles $\delta\tau_{\ell}$ (Epic $\delta\tau_{\ell}$) and $\tau\delta\tau_{\varepsilon}$.
 - 3. The Greek has farther marks referring to letters and syl-

lables, viz.,

' the apostrophus (\S 30).

' the coronis or the sign of the crasis (§ 29).

"the diæresis (the tréma of the French) over a vowel, which does not make a diphthong with the vowel which precedes; as $\ddot{o}ic$, (o-is), $\pi \rho a \dot{v}c$ (pra-us).

See about the iota subscriptum ι , (q, η, ψ) § 5.

INTERCHANGE OF LETTERS.

§ 16.—Consonants.

- 1. The formation of words and grammatical forms is attended with so many changes in the letters, chiefly for the sake of euphony and pronunciation, that the radical word is frequently so altered as not to be known again. But this alteration generally rests on acknowledged fundamental laws.
- 2. With respect to the Greek consonants, we may observe that letters belonging to the same organ, or which have the same property, (§ 4.3.) though of different organs, are most apt to interchange whenever there is an alteration in the word.
- 3. This is likewise the foundation of the difference of the dialects, as may be seen in the following Observations.
 - Obs. 1. The dialects of the Greek language most frequently interchange

a.) the aspiratæ; for instance, for $\theta \lambda \tilde{q} \nu$, to bruise, Attice $\phi \lambda \tilde{q} \nu$.

Thus the denomination φηρ, a centaur, is merely an antiquated form of θηρ, beast, 'a man-beast:' ὄρνις has generally ὄρνιθος in the gen., Dorice ὄρνιχος.

- b.) the medice; for instance, for γλήχων, pennyroyal, Attice βλήχων, for γῆ, ancient Dorio δᾶ, for όβελὸς, spit, Dorice ὀδελός.
- c.) the tenues; thus the interrogatives, $(\pi o \tilde{v}, \pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma, \pi o \tilde{\iota} o \varsigma, \delta \pi o \tilde{\iota} o \varsigma, \pi \tilde{\omega}, \&c.)$ instead of the usual π , have in the Ionic dialect constantly κ , $(\kappa o \tilde{v}, \kappa \tilde{\omega} \varsigma, \kappa o \tilde{\iota} o \varsigma, \delta \kappa o \tilde{\iota} o \varsigma, \kappa \tilde{\omega}, \&c.)$; thus also $\pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon$, when, is Dorice $\pi \acute{o} \kappa \alpha$, and $\pi \acute{e} \nu \tau \epsilon$, five, Eolice $\pi \acute{e} \mu \pi \epsilon$.
- d.) the liquids; thus, instead of ήλθον, βέλτιστος, φίλτατος, the Doric dialect has ήνθον, βέντιστος, φίντατος: the Ionic, instead of πνεύμων, lungs, has πλεύμων: the Attic for κλίβανος, oren, κρίβανος: see about μὶν and νὶν, the pronouns, § 72. Obs. 6.
- e.) the letters of the same organ: the Attic prefers $\gamma \nu \alpha \phi \epsilon \dot{\nu} c$, fuller, to $\kappa \nu \alpha \phi \epsilon \dot{\nu} c$: $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota c$, tapestry, and $\delta \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota c$ were both used indifferently; and the Ionic dialect sometimes converted the aspiratæ into tenues, as $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa o \mu a \iota$ for $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi o \mu a \iota$, to take, $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \iota c$ for $\alpha \dot{\nu} \theta \iota c$, $\alpha g a i n$; the Attic $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \gamma o c$ is Ionice $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \gamma o c$.
 - f.) the σ especially with the other linguals.
 - with τ —for $\sigma \dot{v}$, $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \sigma v$, near, $\Pi \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta \tilde{\omega} v$, the Doric has $\tau \dot{v}$, $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau i \sigma v$, $\Pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \delta \tilde{\alpha} v$.
 - ,, θ throughout in the Laconic dialect, as for $\theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma$, $\theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \circ \varsigma \sigma \iota \delta \varsigma$, $\sigma \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \circ \varsigma$.
 - , ν—the termination μεν is Dorice μες (as τύπτομεν, τύπτομες).
 - ,, ρ —thus many Doric tribes ended the words in $\alpha \varsigma$, $\eta \varsigma$, $o \varsigma$, $\omega \varsigma$, with $\alpha \rho$, $\eta \rho$, $o \rho$, $\omega \rho$.
- g.) the double consonants with the kindred simple ones, especially δ with ζ , as $\zeta \delta \rho \xi$ for $\delta \delta \rho \xi$, roebuck, $\mu \acute{a} \delta \delta \alpha$ Dorice for $\mu \acute{a} \zeta \alpha$, dough, &c. The old Greek and Æolic dialect, instead of ξ and ψ , transposed the two simple consonants; as $\sigma \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \varsigma$, $\sigma \pi \alpha \lambda i \varsigma$, for $\xi \acute{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \varsigma$, stranger, $\psi \alpha \lambda i \varsigma$, stears. The Doric dialect in particular commonly has, instead of ζ in the middle, $\sigma \delta$, as, $\sigma \nu \rho \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \delta \omega$ for $\sigma \nu \rho \acute{\epsilon} \zeta \omega \nu$, for $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \zeta \omega \nu$ or $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \iota \zeta \omega \nu$, &c. (Compare above, § 3.)
- Obs. 2. The conversion of letters into those which are not of a kin to them is of rare occurrence, and must be especially remembered; as μόγις for μόλις, hardly, κοῖν, Ionice for νοεῖν, to meditate, κελαινὸς, κελαινὴ, poetically for μέλας, μέλαινα¹, black.
- Obs. 3. Most of the above-mentioned interchanges are stated by ancient and modern grammarians in general terms, as 'the Attic dialect changes θ into ϕ , the Ionic π into κ ,' and so on. But this must not lead us to suppose that such a conversion is constant in such a dialect. The examples quoted are very often the only ones in which that conversion occurs, and it is but in some cases that this or that dialect inclines to some particular change, which merely serves to bring the cases which may occur under their proper analogy.
- Obs. 4. Two conversions, founded on what we stated above, are, however, so frequent, that they deserve a particular notice, viz.:

 $\tau\tau$ and $\sigma\sigma$, $\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}$ and $\rho\sigma$.

The former takes place in most of the words in which these letters occur, and the latter in a great many of them: $\tau\tau$ and $\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}$ are chiefly peculiar to the Attic dialect, and $\sigma\sigma$ and $\rho\sigma$ to the Ionic; as, for instance,

Att. Ion. Att. Ion. τάττειν—τάσσειν, to arrange. ἄρρην—ἄρσην, male, masculine. $\gamma \lambda \tilde{\omega} \tau \tau \alpha - \gamma \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha$, tongue. κόρρη—κόρση, cheek.

But the Ionic forms are also met with in the best Attic writers, and particularly in the most ancient authors. (See above, § 1. Obs. 8.)

¹ See about these and similar instances, Buttmann's Lexilogus, II. 109.

§ 17.—Of the Aspiratæ.

- 1. Each aspirata (§ 4.) must be considered as proceeding from its kindred tenuis combined with the spiritus asper. Hence the Latin writing of ph, th, ch.
- 2. When therefore a tenuis meets in its combination with a spiritus asper, it becomes an aspirata; as, for instance, the words $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\iota}$, $\delta\hat{\epsilon}\kappa a$, $a\hat{\upsilon}\tau\hat{\upsilon}\varsigma$, when they throw off their terminations to be combined with $\hat{\eta}\mu\hat{\epsilon}\rho a$, make

έφήμερος, δεχήμερος, αὐθήμερος.

3. The same takes place in distinct words; as $(oi\kappa)$ $oi\chi$ $\delta\sigma i\omega c$, and with the addition of the apostrophus, (§ 30.)

 $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$, $\dot{a}\pi'-\dot{a}\phi'$ $o\tilde{b}$: $\dot{a}\nu\tau\dot{i}$, $\dot{a}\nu\tau'-\dot{a}\nu\theta'$ $\dot{b}\nu$.

Obs. 1. The Ionic dialect retains the tenues in both cases: as ἐπ' ὅσον, οὐκ ὡς, ἰστάναι—μετιστάναι, κατάπερ for καθάπερ (from καθ' ἄπερ). Compare § 16. Obs. 1. e. Obs. 2. A remarkable change of the tenuis takes place when there is another letter

between it and the spiritus, as in $\tau \in \theta_0 \iota \pi \pi \sigma \nu$, four-horse carriage, from $\tau \in \tau \rho a$ - and $\iota \pi \pi \sigma c$: it occurs also in some Attic contractions, $\theta \iota \iota \mu \acute{a} \tau \iota \iota \nu$ for $\tau \grave{o}$ $\iota \mu \acute{a} \tau \iota \iota \nu$, (see § 29. Obs. 4,) $\phi \rho \sigma \check{v} \acute{o} \acute{o} c$ from $\pi \rho \grave{o}$ and $\delta \acute{o} \acute{o} \acute{c} \acute{c}$.

§ 18.

1. There is in the Greek language a law by which one of two successive syllables beginning each with an aspirata, and generally the first, is converted into the tenuis of the same organ. This takes place without exception in all reduplications: as

πεφίληκα, κεχώρηκα, τίθημι, instead of φεφ, χεχ, θίθ. But this law is observed only in a few instances of inflections and derivations, and the termination θ of the *imper*. has this peculiarity, that it has no effect on the preceding syllable, but is itself converted into τ_l , as, for instance, $\tau \dot{\nu} \phi \theta \eta \tau_l$, imper. Aor. 1. pass.

2. Some few words had already two aspiratæ in their root, the first of which was consequently converted into a tenuis. Whenever the second is altered by some other law of formation, the first re-appears as an aspirata; for instance,

Root ΘΡΕΦ: present $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \omega$, I nourish; fut. $\theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \psi \omega$; deriva-

tives τροφή, θυεπτήριου, θρέμμα.

But such a law of formation may already have occurred in the principal form (nomin. or pres.) stated in the Lexicons, and

² But the form φροίμιον, (for $\pi \rho o o i \mu \iota \nu \nu$), from $\pi \rho \delta$ and $o i \mu \eta$, compared with $\theta \rho \delta a \sigma \delta \omega$, a contraction of $\tau a \rho \delta a \sigma \delta \omega$, shows that even without a *spiritus asper* before the ρ , the *tenues* readily become *aspiratæ*.

not in some of the derivative forms: whence arises a seemingly opposite case $(\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \omega, \theta \rho \epsilon \psi \omega, \theta \rho \epsilon \xi, \tau \rho \iota \chi \delta \varsigma)$, which is, however, essentially the same:

Root Θ PIX: nom. $\theta \rho i \xi$, hair; gen. $\tau \rho i \chi \delta \varsigma$, dat. pl. $\theta \rho i \xi i \nu$, de-

rivative τριχόω.

There are but a few verbs, (see in the list of Anomalous Verbs $\theta \acute{a}\pi\tau\omega$, $\Theta A\Phi$ —, $\theta \rho \acute{v}\pi\tau\omega$, $\tau \rho \acute{e}\chi\omega$, $\tau \acute{v}\phi\omega$,) and the adjective $\tau a\chi \grave{v}\varsigma$, on account of its comparative $\theta \acute{a}\sigma\sigma\omega\nu$, (§ 67.) which belong to these two cases.

Obs. 1. In some words the Ionic dialect changes the first aspirata, and the Attie the second, and vice versa; as, $\chi\iota\tau\dot{\omega}\nu$, Ion. $\kappa\iota\theta\dot{\omega}\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\theta\epsilon\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\alpha\tilde{\nu}\theta\alpha$, Ion. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\theta\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\tau\epsilon\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\theta\alpha\tilde{\nu}\tau\alpha$. See § 116.

Obs. 2. The passive termination $\theta\eta\nu$, and what is derived from it, operates only on the preceding θ of the verbs $\theta \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$, to sacrifice, $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \nu a\iota$, to place, $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\nu} \theta \eta \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \nu$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}$. There is no change in any other verb; for instance, $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \dot{\nu} \theta \eta \nu$, $\dot{\nu} \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \nu$, (from $\dot{\delta} \rho \theta \dot{\delta} \omega$,) $\theta \alpha \phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}$, $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \phi \theta \eta \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \eta \nu$.—The aor. 1. of the imper. pass. is the only certain instance with regard to the termination $\theta \iota$ of the imper. See below the verb $\tau (\theta \eta \mu \iota$, § 107. Obs. 1. 5. The imper. $\phi \dot{\alpha} \theta \iota$ from $\phi \eta \mu \dot{\iota}$, and the Homeric $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \alpha \theta \iota$, (see $\theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega$,) are deviations.—All other terminations afford no examples for this rule; for we find $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \eta$, $K \rho \rho \iota \nu \theta \dot{\epsilon} \theta \iota$, $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi \dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, &c.

Obs. 3. In compound words the rule is followed only in $\dot{\epsilon}$ κεχειρία, armistice, from $\ddot{\epsilon}$ χειν and χεὶρ, $\dot{\alpha}$ μπέχω, (see the anomalous verb $\ddot{\epsilon}$ χω,) $\dot{\epsilon}$ παφη, $\ddot{\alpha}$ πεφθος, where the requisite aspiration, (according to § 17. 2,) the π before the spiritus asper, $(\dot{\alpha}$ φη, $\dot{\epsilon}$ φθὸς,) is omitted. There is no change in any other compound; $\dot{\epsilon}$ φυφαίνω, $\dot{\alpha}$ μφιχυθεὶς,

άνθοφόρος, &c.

Obs. 4. This law extended also to the spiritus asper, which it converted into a lenis, as may be clearly seen in the following verb:

Root ΈΧ, present ἔχω, I have, fut. ἔζω, deriv. ἐκτικός. But the spiritus generally remains unchanged; as, ἀφὴ, ὑφαίνω, ἦχι, ἕθεν.

ACCUMULATION OF CONSONANTS.

§ 19.

- 1. The immediate meeting of consonants produces a kind of harshness, which the Greek language avoids.
- 2. Three consonants, or one consonant and a double letter, cannot (except in compounds, as $\delta \acute{\nu} \sigma \phi \theta a \rho \tau o c$, $\ddot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \tau \omega \sigma \iota c$, $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \psi \acute{\nu} \chi \omega$) stand together, unless the first or last be a liquid, or unless there be a γ before a palatal letter; as, for instance, $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon \iota c$, $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \delta c$, $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \xi \omega$. In other cases Greek writers either strive to avoid this accumulation, or one of the consonants must give way; see instances below about the perf. of the pass. voice; $ex.\ gr.\ \acute{\epsilon} c \phi \acute{a} \lambda \sigma \theta a \iota$, $\acute{\epsilon} c \phi \acute{a} \lambda \theta a \iota$.
- 3. But even the meeting of two consonants only may produce a harshness, and there are some fixed rules to avoid it, stated in the following $\S\S$.

Obs. 1. The introduction even of a third consonant facilitates the pronunciation in some rarely occurring instances. When through the omission of a vowel the liquid μ or ν comes to stand immediately before the liquid λ or ρ , the media, $(\beta, \delta,)$ which is of a kin to the first, is introduced; as, from $\eta\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha$ comes $\mu\epsilon\eta\mu\beta\rho\epsilon\alpha$, mid-

day; from μεμέληται arose the Epic μέμβλεται: ἀνήρ has gen. ἀνδρός.

Obs. 2. Transposition sometimes, but equally rarely, puts a consonant in a more convenient place. Thus the nominative $\pi\nu\dot{\nu}\dot{\xi}$ comes from the root ΠΥΚΝ, retained in the formation of the cases $\pi\nu\kappa\nu\dot{\rho}_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\pi\nu\kappa\nu\dot{\iota}$ (see the Anomalous Declensions). But transpositions not suggested by euphony, especially in the pronunciation of liquids, will sometimes occur in all idioms, some of which the polished language does not scruple to use, as in the formation of the aor. 2., $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\theta\omega$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi\rho\alpha\theta\sigma\nu$, or for the sake of the metre, $\kappa\rho\alpha\delta\dot{\iota}a$ for $\kappa\alpha\rho\delta\dot{\iota}a$: and also rice versa; $\dot{\alpha}\tau\alpha\rho\pi\dot{\rho}c$ for $\dot{\alpha}\tau\rho\alpha\pi\dot{\rho}c$, $\beta\dot{\alpha}\rho\delta\iota\sigma\tau oc$ for $\beta\rho\dot{\alpha}\delta\iota\sigma\tau oc$, &c.

Obs. 3. The meeting of two consonants was still more frequent in the old language; one of them was subsequently dropped, but poets often retained such a consonant for the sake of the metre, or to strengthen the sound of a word, as $\pi\tau\delta\lambda\epsilon\mu\sigma\varsigma$, $\pi\tau\delta\lambda\epsilon_{\mu}\sigma\varsigma$, and their compounds, instead of $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon\mu\sigma\varsigma$, $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon_{\mu}$. This also serves to ex-

plain how $\chi \alpha \mu \alpha i$, on the ground, and $\chi \theta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \delta \varsigma$, low, are connected.

Obs. 4. The σ, on the contrary, frequently creeps in before other consonants; as, for instance, the Ionic-Attic σμικρὸς for μικρὸς, and thus arose the forms σμῖλαξ, σκεδάω, μίσγω, ὅπισθεν, and many others, from the more ancient μῖλαξ, κεδάω, ΜΙΓΩ, (whence μιγεὶς, &c.) ὅπιθεν, &c.

§ 20.

1. Two mutæ of different organs can meet, in Greek only, when the second is a lingual. Hence the steady rule:

A tenuis can stand only before a tenuis, an aspirata only before an aspirata, and a media before a media:

ex. gr. έπτὰ, νυκτὸς, ἄχθος, φθίνω, βδελυρὸς, ἐρίγδουπος.

2. Hence when two heterogeneous consonants meet in the formation of a word, the first generally must assume the property of the second. For instance, the addition of the syllables τ_{OC} , $\delta_{\eta\nu}$, θ_{EIC} , makes

of γράφω, I write,—γραπτὸς, γράβδην, of πλέκω, I plait,—πλεχθείς.

3. In case of two combined homogeneous consonants, no change is undergone by one alone, but always by both. Thus, $\xi\pi\tau\dot{a}$, $\delta\kappa\tau\dot{\omega}$, give $\xi\beta\delta\circ\mu\circ\varsigma$, $\delta\gamma\delta\circ\circ\varsigma$; and when of two tenues, the second, owing to the *spir. asp.* (§ 17. 2, 3.) is changed into an aspirata, the first undergoes the same change; as,

έπτὰ, ἡμέρα— έφθήμερος, lasting seven days. νύκτα— νύχθ' ὅλην, the whole night.

4. Only the prep. ἐκ remains unchanged before all consonants; as, ἐκθεῖναι, ἐκδοῦναι, ἐκβάλλειν, ἐκγενέσθαι, ἐκφεύγειν. See § 26. 6.

§ 21.

- 1. The reduplication of a consonant is not so frequent in the Greek, as for instance in the German language; and beside the semivowels λ , μ , ν , ρ , and σ , it is the τ which is most frequently doubled.
- 2. Whenever there is a simple vowel placed before the ρ in the formation or composition of a word, the beginning ρ of the word, from which it is derived, is always doubled; as,

 $\mathring{\epsilon}$ ρρεπον, ἀρρεπης,—from ρέπω with $\mathring{\epsilon}$ and \mathring{a} , περίρροος,—from περὶ and ρέω.

See § 82, and 120. 6. But this is not the case with diphthongs; as, $\epsilon \tilde{v} \rho \omega \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma$ (from $\epsilon \tilde{v}$ and $\dot{\rho} \dot{\omega} v v v \mu \iota$).

3. The aspiratæ are never doubled, but take the kindred tenuis before; as,

Σαπφώ, Βάκχος, Πιτθεύς.

Obs. 1. The non-Attic poets frequently double the consonant for the sake of the metre; for instance, ὅσσον, ὅττι, ὁππότε, ἔννεπε, for ὅσον, &c.; and ὅκχος, σκύπφος, for ὅχος, σκύφος. This, however, is not done arbitrarily, but frequently in some words, and never in others, (as, ἔτι, ἕτερος, ἄμα, ἄνεμος,) yet mostly with semi-vowels. (See also about these reduplications, § 27. Obs. 14.)

Obs. 2. Sometimes, but much less frequently, they employ a simple consonant, where the usual language has a double one; as, $^{\prime}A\chi\iota\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}\varsigma$, $^{\prime}O\delta\upsilon\sigma\epsilon\dot{\nu}\varsigma$, (for $^{\prime}A\chi\iota\lambda\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}\varsigma$, $^{\prime}O\delta\upsilon\sigma\epsilon\dot{\nu}\varsigma$) and hence they also neglect doubling the ρ , as $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon\tilde{\epsilon}\varsigma$ from $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\zeta\omega$.

§ 22.

1. When the letters β , π , ϕ , and γ , κ , χ , stand before an σ , they are converted along with this σ into the kindred double letters ψ or ξ ; ex. gr. the termination of $\sigma\omega$ of the fut. makes of

τρίβω τρίψω, λείπω λείψω, γράφω γράψω, λέγω λέξω, πλέκω πλέξω, στείχω στείξω, and the termination σι, σιν, of the dat. pl., makes of

"Αραβες "Αραψι, κόρακες κόραξιν, ὄνυχες ὄνυξι.

- 2. But here the prep. ἐκ is likewise excepted: for instance, ἐκσώζω. See § 26. 6.
- Obs. 1. It must not be supposed that the ψ , when it proceeds from $\beta\sigma$ and $\phi\sigma$, and the ξ , when it proceeds from $\gamma\sigma$ and $\chi\sigma$, were always pronounced like bs or f's, gs or chs. If that were the case, the double letters would have been a useless invention. The fact is, that before the σ the letters γ and χ are changed into κ , and β and ϕ into π , and are then written together in the form of ξ and ψ . This is clearly proved by a comparison with the Latin scribo, scripsi.

Obs. 2. Though the ζ is likewise a double letter proceeding from $\sigma\delta$, (§ 3.) yet in the formation and inflection of words it never occurs as proceeding from these letters, except in some adverbs of place formed with the addition of the syllable $\delta\epsilon$, as

'Αθήναζε for —ασδε. (§ 116.)

§ 23.

1. Labials before an μ in the middle of the word are constantly changed into μ , as (in the perf. of the pass. voice, and in the formation of words)

λείπω λέλειμ-μαι, τρίβω τρίμ-μα, γράφω γραμ-μή.

2. The palatals and linguals are likewise frequently changed before μ , viz. κ and χ into γ , as

πλέκω πλέγ-μα, τεύχω τέτυγ-μαι,

and δ , θ , τ , ζ , into σ , as

άδω ἇσ-μα, πείθω πέπεισ-μαι, ψηφίζω ψήφισ-μα.

Obs. But in the general formation of words the palatal and lingual consonants are frequently left unchanged before μ , as ἀκμὴ, ἔχμα, ἴδμων, κευθμὼν, πότμος: there are also other instances peculiar to some dialects; as ὅζω (ΟΔΩ) gives *Ionice* δδμὴ, and usually δσμή.

§ 24.

1. The linguals δ , θ , τ , ζ , can stand only before liquids; before μ , however, they are frequently converted into σ , according to the preceding δ .

2. Before other linguals they are changed into σ , as

ήδω ήσ-θην, πείθω πεισ-τέον.

3. They are generally dropped before σ, ex. gr. ἄδω ἄ-σω, πείθω πεί-σω, σώματα σώμα-σι, φράζω φρά-σις.

Obs. With regard to the changes of τ in the abbreviations of $\kappa a \tau \dot{a}$, see § 117. Obs. 2.

§ 25.

1. The consonant ν usually remains unchanged only before δ , θ , and τ . Before labials it is converted into μ , and before palatal letters it is changed into γ , which is pronounced like ng. Thus, for instance, the compounds of $\sigma \nu \nu$ and $\epsilon \nu$ become

συμπάσχω, εμβαίνω, συμφέρω, εμψυχος, εγκαλώ, συγγενης, εγχειοίζω, εγξέω.

- Obs. 1. The addition of an enclitic (§ 14. Obs. 2.) constitutes an exception for the sake of distinctness, but only in writing; as $\tau \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon$, $\delta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$.
- sake of distinctness, but only in writing; as $\tau \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon$, $\delta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$.

 2. Before liquids the ν is changed into the same liquid, as

συλλέγω, ἐλλείπω, ἐμμένω, συρράπτω, but the prep. ἐν generally remains unchanged before ρ, ἐνράπτω.

3. Before σ and ζ the ν is partly retained in compounds, partly converted into σ , and partly thrown off, (see Obs. 2.) but

in inflections the ν generally disappears before σ , for instance, in the dat. pl.

δαίμον-ες, δαίμο-σι' μῆν-ες, μη-σίν.

The preposition $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ remains here likewise unchanged, ex. gr. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\zeta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$.

4. If in addition to the ν , a δ , θ , or τ , (according to § 24.) has been rejected along with it, the short vowel becomes a long one; as

πάντ-ες, πᾶ-σι' τύψαντες, τύψ \bar{a} σι, (\S 46.)

for which purpose (according to § 27. 2.) ε is changed into ε_{ℓ} , and o into ov, as

σπένδ-ω, fut. σπεί-σω· έκόντ-ες, dat. έκοῦ-σιν.

Obs. 2. Some parts of verbs form exceptions to these rules, as $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \phi a \nu \sigma a \iota$ (2 perf. pass. of $\phi a \dot{\iota} \nu \omega$), $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \nu \sigma \iota \varsigma$ subst. verb. of $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \dot{\iota} \nu \omega$; and the nomin. of words of the third deel, which end in the gen. in $\nu \theta o \varsigma$, as $\dot{\eta}$ $\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \mu \iota \nu \varsigma$, earth-worm, $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\iota} \rho \iota \nu \varsigma$, carriage-basket, $T \dot{\iota} \rho \iota \nu \varsigma$.

Obs. 3. Σὐν and πάλιν convert their ν before a single σ also into σ , ($\sigma \nu \sigma \sigma \iota \tau \iota a$, $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \sigma c$,) but if there be another consonant following and before ζ , $\sigma \iota \nu$ rejects it altogether, ($\sigma \iota \sigma \tau \tau \eta \mu \alpha$, $\sigma \nu \sigma \kappa \iota \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, $\sigma \nu \zeta \nu \gamma \iota \dot{\alpha}$,) but $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$ commonly retains it ($\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \sigma \kappa \iota \sigma c$).—"Αγαν simply throws off the ν wherever there is no reduplication,

(as ἀγάννιφος, ἀγάρροος,) ἀγασθενής, ἀγάκλυτος.

Obs. 4. The ancients also pronounced the ν at the end of a word, when the next word began with a consonant, according to the principles of this §, particularly in the articles and prepositions. They would, for instance, pronounce $\tau \delta \nu \beta \omega \mu \delta \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \nu \rho \dot{\epsilon}$, $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \tilde{\varphi}$, like $\tau o \mu \beta \omega \mu \delta \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \nu \rho \dot{\epsilon}$, $\sigma \nu \gamma \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \tilde{\varphi}$. In ancient monuments, where the words are not separated, we frequently find them written thus.

§ 26.—Moveable Final Letters.

1. Some words and terminations have a double form, with and without a consonant at the end; the former is commonly employed before a vowel, the latter before a consonant.

2. Of this nature is especially the moveable ν , or $\nu \in \lambda \kappa \nu \sigma \tau \iota$ - $\kappa \partial \nu^1$, which may either be thrown off or retained by the dat. pl. in $\sigma \iota \nu$, and in verbs by all the third pers. ending in $\varepsilon \nu$ and $\iota \nu$, as

πάσιν είπεν αὐτὸ, πάσι γὰρ είπε τοῦτο, ἔτυψεν ἐμὲ, ἔτυψέ σε, λέγουσιν αὐτὸ, λέγουσι τοῦτο, τίθησιν ὑπὸ—, τίθησι κατὰ—.

3. The following words and forms have the ν ἐφελκυστικὸν, viz. i. the σιν, which denotes locality (from the dat. pl.), as 'Ολυμπί-

¹ So called because it was considered as not properly belonging to the termination, and as being annexed to the final vowel merely to avoid the hiatus. See Obs. 2.

aσιν (§ 116); ii. the Epic end-syllable $\phi_{\iota\nu}$ (§ 56, Obs. 9); iii. the numeral εἴκοσιν, but which may also be used without the ν before a vowel; iv. the adverbs $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \nu \sigma_{\iota\nu}$ and $\nu \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$; v. the enclitic particles $\kappa \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ (§ 14); and vi. sometimes the demonstrative ι (§ 80, Obs.).

4. The case is exactly the same with the σ in $o\tilde{v}\tau\omega\varsigma$ $o\tilde{v}\tau\omega$, and in $\mu\epsilon\chi\rho\iota\varsigma$, $\alpha\chi\rho\iota\varsigma$: but the latter two are also frequently found

without the σ before a vowel.

Obs. 1. The Ionians cast off the ν even before a vowel. Poets, on the contrary, use it before a consonant, to effect a position; and even in Attic prose it was frequently employed for the sake of intensity. It is besides met with in correct editions, conformably to ancient MSS, and inscriptions, without any regard to the word which follows, at the end of sections and books; in short, wherever the word

is not closely connected with the subsequent one 1.

Obs. 2. This last circumstance clearly shows that this ν is not, as is commonly taught, a mere contrivance for euphony's sake; but that this, as well as the other final letters of the same kind, is really an ancient grammatical form, which was dropped before consonants when the language was polished. Hence there are also other forms, which cast off their final letter in the Ionic dialect, or for the metre's sake, as the adverbial terminations $\theta \varepsilon \nu$ and $\kappa \iota \varphi$: for instance, $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda o\theta \varepsilon$ for $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda o\theta \varepsilon \nu$, $\pi o\lambda \lambda \mathring{a}\kappa \iota \varphi$, $\mathring{a}\tau \rho \mathring{\varepsilon} \mu a$ and $\mathring{a}\tau \rho \mathring{\varepsilon} \mu a \varphi$. The ν in compounds with the alpha privativum is exactly of the same nature with the $\nu \mathring{\varepsilon} \phi \varepsilon \lambda \kappa \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \mathring{o}\nu$, as, for instance, $\mathring{a}\nu a \mathring{\iota}\tau \iota \varphi \varphi$. (See below, § 120.)

5. The particle oi, not, no, takes a κ before vowels, and consequently a χ before the *spiritus asper*; ex. gr.

οὐ παρέστιν, οὐκ ἔνεστιν, οὐχ ὕπεστιν.

But when it closes a sentence, the κ is dropped; ex. gr. $\tau \circ \tilde{v} \tau \circ \delta'$ $\circ \tilde{v}$, but this not. O \tilde{v} , $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda'$ $\tilde{\sigma}\tau a\nu$ — No: but if —

6. The prep. $\xi\xi$, out, has this form merely before vowels, and at the close of a sentence; ex. gr.

έξ έμοῦ, έξ ὅτου, κακῶν έξ:

but the σ , which is inherent in the ξ , is dropped before all consonants, and it remains a κ ; hence,

έκ τούτου, έκ θαλάσσης, έκ γῆς.

And this κ continues unchanged, at least in writing, even in compounds, in which it constitutes the exceptions stated § 20, 4, and § 22, 2.

Obs. 3. That the two words $o\dot{\nu}\kappa$ and $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ end in a κ is no real exception to the rule of § 4, 4; for both, being unaccented, belong to those little words, which are so closely combined with the next that they form a separate word only for the mind, not for the ear. Hence one throws off its κ at the end of a sentence, and the other employs in that case the fuller form in ξ .

¹ Metrical motives induce modern critics to place this ν also at the end of most kinds of verses, though the following verse begins with a consonant.

§ 27.—Of the Interchange of the Vowels.

1. Vowels are mutable in Greek as in other languages, without being subject to any steady law. The change is made through either inflection or derivation; as, $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega$, I turn, $\xi \tau \rho a$

πον, I turned, τρόπος, a turn, mode.

2. This mutability comprises also the shortening and lengthening of a vowel, commonly attended with some other change. Thus when ε and o are lengthened for some reason or other, they are seldom converted into η and ω , but ε commonly becomes $\varepsilon\iota$, and o ov. Compare Obs. 1. § 25, 4. § 41, 4. and § 46, 2.

3. These changes constitute another principal peculiarity of the dialects, which are reviewed in the following Observa-

tions:-

1. The Ionic dialect in particular lengthens the ε and o of other dialects in this manner, but chiefly only when there is a semi-vowel following; as, $\xi \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu o \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu \varepsilon \kappa a$, $\dot{\iota} \pi \varepsilon i \rho$, for $\xi \dot{\iota} \nu o \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \iota \nu c \kappa a$, on account of, $\dot{\iota} \pi \dot{\iota} \rho$, over; $\nu o \tilde{\iota} \sigma o \varepsilon$, o $\dot{\iota} \nu o \iota \mu a$, $\pi o \iota \nu \dot{\iota} \delta o \varepsilon$, much, $\kappa \dot{\iota} \rho \eta$, girl, or when there is another vowel following, as $\lambda \dot{\iota} \iota \omega \nu$ for $\lambda \dot{\iota} \omega \nu$, $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} o \varepsilon$, cave, $\chi \rho \dot{\iota} \sigma \varepsilon \iota o \varepsilon$, golden, for - $\varepsilon o \varepsilon$, of which licences poets, and especially the Epics, also avail themselves. But this, as we observed about reduplication, (§ 21. Obs. 1.) is not done arbitrarily; no one, for instance, ever allowed himself this licence with $\pi \dot{\iota} \lambda \iota \varepsilon$, $\ddot{\iota} \nu o \varepsilon$, $\mu \dot{\iota} \nu o \varepsilon$, $\pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{\iota}$, &c.

2. When ά and o before a vowel are lengthened in the Ionic dialect, they become at and ot, as ἀετὸς, eagle, ἀεὶ, always, Ionice αἰετὸς, αἰεὶ, πόα, grass, Ionice ποίη.

3. In other instances the Dorians, Ionians, and poets do the reverse: they say, for example, $\xi \delta \xi \xi \xi$ for $\xi \delta \xi \xi \xi$, (from $\delta \xi (\kappa \nu \nu \mu \xi)$, $\mu \xi (\omega \nu)$, $\kappa \rho \xi \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, $\chi \xi \rho \delta \xi$, (gen. of $\chi \xi \xi \rho$,) for $\mu \xi (\chi \omega \nu)$, &c.: the ancient language has $\beta \delta \lambda \xi \sigma \theta \xi$ instead of $\beta \delta \nu \lambda \xi \sigma \theta \xi$ (see § 4. Obs. 3.) and instead of the accus. in $\delta \nu \xi$ the Dorians have $\delta \xi$. (See the second Declension.)

4. Instead of o and ov the Doric and Ionic dialect frequently has ω, and before an σ even of for ου, as κῶρος for κόρος, or κοῦρος, boy, δῶλος for δοῦλος, slave, ὧν, (also Ionic,) for οὐν, Μῶσα and Μοῖσα for Μοῦσα, ἀκοίσω for ἀκούσω (from ἀκούω).

5. The η mostly proceeded from α , which prevailed in the ancient language, and continued the characteristic sound of the Dorians, who generally employ the long α for η , as $\dot{\alpha}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha$ for $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha$, $\dot{\phi}\dot{\alpha}\mu\alpha$ for $\dot{\phi}\dot{\eta}\mu\eta$, $\sigma\tau\tilde{\alpha}\nu\alpha\iota$ for $\sigma\tau\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$: and this likewise takes place in the solemn poetry of the choruses. (See § 1, 2, and 13.)

6. But when the Ionians (in a few solitary instances) change the η into α, the α is short, as in ἀρἄρυῖα for ἀρηρυῖα, τεθἄλυῖα, etc.: hence the α must not be pronounced long as in the Doric in such Ionic forms as λέλασμαι, (from λήθω,) μεσαμ-

βρία for μεσημβρία.

7. The Ionians else prefer the η , and commonly use it for the long α , as $\mathring{\eta} \mu \mathring{\epsilon} \rho \eta$, $\sigma \circ \phi (\eta)$, for $-\alpha$, $\mathring{\eta} \mathring{\eta} \mathring{\eta} e \rho \circ g$, for $\mathring{\alpha} \mathring{\eta} \mathring{\eta} e$, $\mathring{\alpha} \mathring{\epsilon} \rho \circ g$, $\mathring{\eta} e \rho \circ g$, $\mathring{\eta} e \rho \circ g$, for $\mathring{\alpha} \mathring{\eta} \circ g$, $\mathring{\alpha} e \rho \circ$

8. The Ionic dialect has the η instead of ϵ only in some inflections, (as $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \tilde{\eta} \alpha_s$) and in the diphthong $\epsilon \iota$, which the Ionians frequently resolve into $\eta \tilde{\iota}$, as $\kappa \lambda \eta \tilde{\iota} g$ for $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota c$, $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \dot{\eta} \tilde{\iota} \omega v$ for $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \omega v$, $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \hat{\iota} \eta$ for $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha$. (§ 28. 0bs. 3.) The Dorians have, before vowels, η instead of $\epsilon \iota$, as $\sigma \alpha \mu \tilde{\eta} \omega v$ for $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \omega v$.

9. The Ionians are also apt to change the α before a liquid or vowel into ϵ , as

τέσσερες for τέσσαρες, four, ερσην for άρσην, masculine, νελος for ναλος, glass, μνέα for $\mu\nu\dot{\alpha}a$, and in the verbs in $\dot{\alpha}\omega$ (see § 105. Obs.). In other instances they have afor ε, as τράπω, τάμνω, for τρέπω, τέμνω, μέγαθος for μέγεθος.

10. It is a peculiarity of the Ionic-Attic dialect, when a long a stands before o, to change the former into ε , and the latter into ω : as, for instance, $\lambda \bar{a} \delta \varsigma$, nation, $\nu \bar{a} \delta \varsigma$, temple, are Attice λεώς, νεώς, χράομαι, I use, is Ionice χρέωμαι, and thus the Ionic gen. in $\varepsilon \omega$ is accounted for by the most ancient form in αo (see the first Declension) 2.

11. In the compounds of $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\rho} c$, and the words $\theta \alpha \tilde{v} \mu a$, wonder, $(\theta \alpha v \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega, \&c.)$ and τραῦμα, wound, the Ionians change the av into ωυ, (not ωϋ,) ἐμεωυτὸν, ἑωυτὸν, (see § 74, 3.) θωῦμα, τρωῦμα. The simple αὐτὸς is unchanged by genuine Ionic writers; and ωὐτὸς is used merely for ὁ αὐτός. (See § 29. Obs. 6.)

12. Instances of other changes are: πάρδαλις, Dorice πόρδαλις, - ὄνομα, Æolice

ὄνυμα,—ξστία, Ionice ίστίη, hearth.

Observations on the lengthening of Syllables in general (to § 21, and 27).

- 13. The mere poetical lengthening of ε and o is commonly effected in the Ionic way, by changing them into $\varepsilon\iota$ and ov (Obs. 1). The o is very seldom converted into ω , as $\delta \dot{v}\omega$, $\Delta\iota\dot{\omega}\nu\nu\sigma\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$, for $\delta \dot{v}\sigma$, $\Delta\iota\dot{\omega}\nu\nu\sigma\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$. When α , ι , ν , in common language short, are long in the ancient or poetical language, (as 'Ιλίου with the middle syllable long, $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$ with the long α , and some others,) it is not apparent in writing, except sometimes through the accent, as in loog for loog.
- 14. But in the most ancient writing they had no means to denote the lengthening of a syllable, the letters ε and o serving at the same time for η and $\varepsilon\iota$, and for ω and ov, and the consonants (according to § 21. Obs. 1.) not being written double. The writing continued uncertain until the grammarians settled it at least for the language in common use.
- 15. The grammarians also introduced into the ancient poets signs to denote syllables metrically lengthened by reduplication, or long vowels and diphthongs. But the practice was never perfectly settled. Such words were frequently written in the common way, and the correct metrical pronunciation was left to the learned reader 3. There are many traces of this in the poetical works handed down to us: as ολόη, (Il. a. 342. χ. 5.) with a long syllable in the middle, and διεμοιράτο, (Od. ξ. 434.) where the μ ought to be sounded double, and to be written double, as in $\xi \mu \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \nu$. And when we find in Homer the first syllable of 'Απόλλωνος, ἀπονέεσθαι, συνεγές, ὄφις, employed as a long one, it may be doubted whether this was done by lengthening the vowel, or doubling the consonant.

16. In modern times it has frequently been proposed to restore the ancient custom so far as not to double the consonants; which proposal has indeed been partly adopted, but in a very wavering and uncertain way. Beginners ought to be informed of this circumstance, that they may not be misled on finding sometimes $\dot{\alpha}\pi$ o $\lambda\lambda\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$, and sometimes $\dot{\alpha}\pi$ o $\lambda\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$ with the same quantity, and seeing in the same editions the reduplication observed in some words, and not in others.

But there is also frequently a double consonant close to a long vowel; as $\mu \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda \delta \nu$, ήσσων, ήττων, Κνωσσός, Ύμηττός, λεύσσω, κρείσσων, κρείττων. This is likewise the case with πράσσω, (Ion. πρήσσω,) Παρνασσός, (Ion. Παρνησσός,) Κηφισσός, in which the vowel must be lengthened. Several editors prefer the ancient orthography in proper names, and write $K\nu\omega\sigma\delta\varsigma$, $\Pi\alpha\rho\nu\eta\sigma\delta\varsigma$, $K\eta\phi\iota\sigma\delta\varsigma$, &c. The proper

3 The same was done in the opposite case, when long vowels were to be short-

ened. See § 7, 16, and Obs. 16.

² This change also takes place in the adj. $\lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$, $\omega \nu$, for $\lambda \tilde{a} \circ \varsigma$, $\delta \nu$, in the gen. $\delta \nu \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ for ναὸς, from ναῦς, and in several names in ᾱος, as Μενέλαος, 'Αμφιάραος, or -εως, but not in those in \alphaog, as Oivoµaog.

names of places in -οῦσσα come from -όεσσα: Σκοτοῦσσα, Πιθηκοῦσσαι, 'Αργινοῦσσαι, &c. But Συράκουσαι -ούσιος, with Συρακόσιος short, were already in use among the ancients. (See Buttmann's Complete Greek Grammar, § 21. Obs. 9.)

§ 28. Contraction.

- 1. A vowel, before which is another vowel in the same word, is called *vocalis pura*, because it sounds *pure*, that is to say, without being introduced by a consonant; and especially the end-syllables beginning with a vowel, as a, $o\varsigma$, ω , &c., are called *pure*, when they are preceded by another vowel, as in $\sigma o\phi ia$, $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \delta o\varsigma$, $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$.
- 2. The characteristic difference between the Ionic and Attic dialect is, that the former is in most instances fond of the meeting of vowels, and the latter mostly avoids it. (See, however, Obs. 1. and 5.)
 - 3. The usual remedies are-
 - 1.) the elision, when one vowel is rejected, and the other remains unchanged. This is chiefly done when single words meet together, and in compound words; see § 30 and 120.
 - 2.) the contraction, when several vowels are combined together in a long syllable. This is done conformably to the following main principles:—
 - a. Two vowels form a diphthong of themselves; thus arises ει and οι from εῖ and οῖ, as τείχει τείχει, αἰδόῖ αἰδοῖ (§ 49).

The other proper diphthongs are generally not formed in this manner, but the improper always,

α, η, ω, from αϊ, ηϊ, ωϊ, as γήραϊ γήρα, (§ 54.) Θρήϊσσα Θρήσσα, λώϊστος λώστος (§ 68).

b. Two vowels are converted into a long kindred sound; and we generally find

η from
$$εa - τείχεα τείχη, κέαρ κῆρ, (heart,)$$
 $ει$ from $εε - ποίεε ποίει, ρέεθρον ρεϊθρον (stream,)$ αο—and αου—τιμάομεν τιμῶμεν, τιμάου τιμῶ, οα—and οη—αἰδόα αἰδῶ, μισθόητε μισθῶτε, $ου$ from
$$\begin{cases} ου - πλόος πλοῦς, \\ μισθόομεν μισθοῦμεν, \\ οε - εμίσθοε ἐμίσθου, \\ εο - τείχεος τείχους, ποιέομεν ποιοῦμεν. \end{cases}$$

c. The doubtful vowels (a, ι, υ) absorb, when short, the following vowel, and thus become long; as,

ἄεθλος, contest, (short a, Ion.) ἄθλος, (Att.) τίμαε τίμα.

Χίιος Χῖος, (native of Χίος,) 'Ιφιι "Ιφι (dat.).

 $i\chi\theta\acute{\nu}\varepsilon\varsigma$ and $\alpha\varsigma$, (short ν ,) $i\chi\theta\~{\nu}\varsigma$ (from the sing. $i\chi\theta\acute{\nu}\varsigma$).

d. A long syllable absorbs a vowel without any other change: this occurs especially with

α, ε, ο,

before and after every kindred long syllable, and before the ω , as, for instance,

φιλέω φιλώ, τιμήεντος τιμήντος, τιμάω τιμώ, Ποσειδάων (long a) Ποσειδών, λᾶας λᾶς, (stone,) μισθόουσι μισθοῦσι, πλόοι πλοῖ.

4. Whenever any diphthong, the improper included, formed with an ι , is to undergo a contraction with a preceding vowel, the two first vowels are treated according to the laws above stated, and the ι either becomes an *iota subscriptum*, as in

τύπτ-εαι τύπτ-η (Obs. II. to § 103). ἀεί-δω ἄ-δω, ἀοι-δὴ ψ-δὴ, τιμ-άει and τιμ-άη τιμ-ᾶ,

or it is thrown out, if the iota subscriptum be not admissible, as in

μισθ-όειν μισθ-οῦν, 'Οπόεις 'Οποῦς (§ 41. Obs. 5).

Obs. 1. These principles apply only to the regular and analogical contraction. Several exceptions and peculiarities will be found below in the *Declensions* and *Conjugations*; and with regard to the *crasis* or contraction of two words, see the following §. But the Attic writers have not the contraction in every instance in which it might take place conformably to the above laws, as may be seen further on, and by attentive reading.

Obs. 2. The Ionians, on the contrary, as has already been observed above, commonly neglect the contraction, and frequently resolve a long syllable into its individual parts, though long ago disused by the other Greek tribes; as, for instance, the second pers. sing. of the pres. indic. in the pass. voice $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon a \iota$ instead of $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \gamma$, even $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon a \iota$, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon a \iota$, &c., instead of $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma$, which commonly is again contracted into $\phi \iota \lambda \ddot{\gamma}$: Attice $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$, $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}$, according to Obs. 3. to § 103. The Doric dialect has also many resolved forms in common with the Ionic.

Obs. 3. It is likewise owing to this propensity of the Ionians that we so frequently find in the Epic poets diphthongs separated in some words, as $\pi \acute{a}i$ ς for $\pi a\~{i}$ ς, $\delta tω$, $έ\~{v}τροχος$, and άγγἤιον for άγγεῖον, &c.; vowels extended, as φάανθεν, κρήηνον, for φάνθεν, κρῆγον, and the Ionic introduction of an ε, as $\mathring{η}ε$ for $\mathring{η}$, $\mathring{η}έλιος$ for $\mathring{η}λιος$, έεικοσι for $ε\~{ι}κοσι$, άδελφεὸς, τοντέον, &c. 1

¹ The student ought, however, to remember that grammarians speak of these separations and introductions in constant reference to the common form; but this common form itself may be a contracted form, derived from such a separation. This may be proved in some instances, as in $\hat{t}\tilde{v}$ for $\hat{v}\tilde{v}$, from $\hat{t}\tilde{v}g$, since there is no word $\hat{v}g$: separations, besides, occur only in a very limited number of forms.

- Obs. 4. Sometimes the Ionians favour the meeting of vowels by throwing out a consonant, as τέραος for τέρατος (see § 49). Compare also τύπτεαι, &c. in Obs. 3 to § 103.
- Obs. 5. There are, however, instances where the Ionic dialect has the contraction, and the Attic has it not; as ίρὸς with a long ι , Ion. for ἱερός. The Ionians have also, in common with the Dorians, a peculiar contraction of εο into εν, as πλεῦνες for πλέονες, ποι-εὐμενος from -εόμενος, for which we commonly have -ούμενος. But the Ionic dialect in the ancient Epic poets makes a much more frequent use of the contraction than the later Ionian prose-writers.
- Obs. 6. The ancients frequently expressed all the vowels in writing, and left the contraction to the speaker, which is called synizesis (συνίζησις) or συναλοιφή. This custom has been retained in many instances in the works of the ancient poets, especially the Epics, ex. gr. Il. λ. 282. "Αφρεον δὲ στήθεα, where the terminations φρεον and θεα must be pronounced as one syllable, "Αφρευν δὲ στήθη: β. 490. χάλκεον δὲ μοι ἤτορ ἐνείη (pronounce χαλκοῦν). In the Attics we have frequently in this way θεὸς, θεὸν, which else never occurs as a contraction, and some proper names, as Νεοπτόλεμος. See about a synizesis between two words, § 29, the last Obs.
- Obs. 7. That kind of contraction stated under d. $(\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega,\phi\iota\lambda\ddot{\omega})$, and such like) might be considered as an elision, (viz. the dropping of the ϵ ,) but it is more correct to give that name only to those cases in which one vowel is merely thrown off without intending a mixed sound. This (excepting such compounds as $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega$ for $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\gamma\omega$) occurs in the middle of words chiefly only in some Ionic abbreviations, as $\phi\circ\beta\dot{\epsilon}o$ for $\phi\circ\beta\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon$ 0 (see § 105. Obs. 7). But in the first-mentioned instances the language evidently intended a mixed sound,—as is shown by the analogy of the other cases, and the circumflex where it takes place, (see Text 6.)—and the already existing one long vowel was retained for that purpose.

Accent and Quantity.

- 5. When neither of the two syllables, which are to be contracted, is accented, the mixed sound generally is also not accented; as περίπλους, ἐτίμαον, contr. περίπλους, ἐτίμων.
- Obs. 8. Exceptions to this general rule are rare and anomalous; for instance, the contraction of $\chi\rho\bar{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\rho\varsigma$ into $\chi\rho\nu\sigma\rho\bar{\nu}\varsigma$, &c. Compare § 60, 6.
- 6. But if one of the two original syllables has the accent, the mixed sound also takes this accent, which, if it be the penultimate or antepen. syllable, is regulated by the general rule (§ 10 and 11). If it be on the last syllable, it takes the circumflex, $(\nu \acute{o}o\varsigma \ \nu o \~{\nu}\varsigma, \ \phi \iota \lambda \acute{e}\omega \ \phi \iota \lambda \~{\omega}, \ \&c.)$ unless the original form had the acute accent on the last syllable, which, however, is seldom the case, and then the acute accent is retained, as $\grave{\epsilon}\grave{a}\nu \mathring{\eta}\nu$, $\grave{\epsilon}\sigma\tau a\grave{o}\varsigma \grave{\epsilon}\sigma\tau \grave{\omega}\varsigma$, $\delta a \isc. \gamma \acute{e}\varsigma \delta \acute{a}\varsigma$.
- Obs. 9. Both are grounded on the theory stated in § 9, Obs. 1; and exceptions in either instance are rare (see, for instance, the accus. in $\dot{\omega}$, § 49).
- Obs. 10. In some few contractions the accent is transposed; ἄεργος—ἀργὸς, (§ 121. Obs. 6.) δελέατος—δέλητος, &c. (§ 41. Obs. 7.) See also the other cases of π ερίπλους, &c. § 36. Obs.
- Obs. 11. Though every mixed sound is essentially long, yet the pronunciation in some declensions, which have a contracted α or ι , has again obscured this sound, so

that it is sometimes short. This is the case with the neuter pl. in α , as $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha$, (see § 54. Obs.) and a few datives, as $K\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \rho \beta \iota$ from $K\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \rho \beta \iota \varsigma$, $\iota \iota \varsigma \varsigma$, (in Herod.) to which must be added $\delta \alpha \dot{\iota}$ (ι) and a few similar Epic forms (see § 56. Obs. 5). But some of these instances at least may also be considered as elisions of the first vowel, as may be seen in the Obs. to § 53.

§ 29.—Hiatus—Crasis.

1. When of two words immediately following each other, the first ends with a vowel, and the second begins with a vowel, the *spiritus*, which is heard between them, be it the *asper* or the *lenis*, produces an effect called a *hiatus*, still more disagreeable to the ear of the Greeks, and especially the Athenians, than the accumulation of vowels in the middle of a word.

The *hiatus* was not much tolerated in poetry, and in Attic poetry hardly ever. But even in prose, (excepting the Ionic,) its frequent recurrence was not liked.

- Obs. 1. The Attic verse allowed the hiatus only after the interrogative τi , after the particles $\ddot{v}\iota$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, and in the expressions $o\dot{v}\dot{o}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\epsilon\dot{i}_{\varsigma}$, $\mu\eta\dot{o}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\epsilon\dot{i}_{\varsigma}$, (§ 70, 1.) $\epsilon\dot{v}$ $o\dot{i}\dot{\delta}\alpha$, &c. &c.
- 2. The natural remedy against the hiatus is the coalition of two syllables into one 1; which is of two kinds: 1) when one of the vowels is entirely removed, it is an elision by an apostrophus, § 30; 2) when both are blended together into one sound or syllable, it is a crasis ($\kappa \rho \tilde{a} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ from $\kappa \epsilon \rho (\tilde{a} \nu \nu \nu \mu \iota)$). The latter, especially in prose, applies only to a limited number of cases, which will be stated in the Obs. to this §.

Obs. 2. With regard to the crasis, there are, first, three circumstances to be noticed:—

- a.) Every crasis renders the syllable long (§ 7, 7): this distinguishes several of its instances from the elision through the apostrophus, ex. $gr. \tau \bar{a}\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\epsilon} g$, $\kappa \bar{a}\rho \epsilon \tau \eta$ (for $\tau \delta$ $\dot{a}\lambda$, $\kappa a i \dot{a}\rho$, with a short a). Hence such as $\tau \dot{a}\nu \delta \rho \delta g$ must be lengthened in pronunciation, and $\tau \dot{a}\lambda \lambda a$ (for $\tau \dot{a}$ $\ddot{a}\lambda \lambda a$) must be marked with the circumflex, which some grammarians will not allow, though they accent $\tau \ddot{a}\lambda \lambda a$. But other cases, like $\tau a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\delta}$, $\tau a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\delta}$, (for $\tau \dot{\delta}$ $a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\delta}$, $\tau \dot{a}$ $a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\delta}$,) must be treated in the same way for the sake of uniformity. (Compare § 28. Obs. 7.)
- b.) The *iota subscriptum* takes place only when the ι is the last of the vowels which are to be contracted; therefore it is used in $\kappa \tilde{q} \tau a$ from $\kappa a \iota \tilde{\iota} \tau a$, but not in $\kappa \tilde{a} \nu$ from $\kappa a \iota \tilde{a} \nu$.
 - c.) There is commonly a 'over the crasis, which sign is called a coronis (κορωνίς).
 Obs. 3. The crasis is most used with the article: as

ούκ, ούπὶ, for ὁ ἐκ, ὁ ἐπὶ, τοὐναντίον, τοὖπος, for τὸ ἐναντίον, τὸ ἔπος, τοὔνομα, for τὸ ὄνομα,

¹ That the ν ἐφελκυστικὸν cannot be considered as a remedy against the hiatus, may be seen § 26. Obs. 2.

² This rule, through a needless striving for distinctness, is frequently deviated from, and the writing of $\kappa \tilde{a} \nu$, $\kappa \tilde{a} \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$, and such like, adopted.

 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha}, \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\imath}, \text{ for } \tau \dot{\alpha} \ \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\alpha}, \tau \dot{\alpha} \ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\imath},$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}, \tau \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha, \text{ for } \tau \dot{\alpha} \ \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}, \tau \dot{\alpha} \ \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha,$ $ceding \ \textit{Obs. a.}$ $edding \ \textit{Obs. a.}$

ώπαιτων, ώνηρ, for ὁ ἀπαιτων, ὁ ἀνηρ 3,

with which the less frequent crasis of the artic. postpositiv. or pronoun relative neuter agrees: as

αδοξε for α έδοξε, αν for α αν, &c.

Obs. 4. A crasis is not easily recognised, when diphthongs are absorbed: as ούμοι, for οἱ ἐμοὶ,

ώπαντωντες or άπαντωντες for οἱ ἀπαντωντες,

τάνδρὸς, τάνδρὶ, for τοῦ ἀνδρὸς, τῷ ἀνδρὶ, (see Obs. 2. a.)

ταὐτοῦ, ταὐτῷ (§ 74.) ἀπὸ ταὐτομάτου,

 $\tau \ddot{a} \tau \iota o \nu$ for $\tau \dot{o}$ $a \ddot{\iota} \tau \iota o \nu$, and such like;

or when θ is used on account of the spir. asp. (§ 17. Obs. 2.) as for instance,

θοιμάτιον, pl. θοιμάτια, for τὸ ἰμ., τὰ ἰμ.

θημετέρου, for τοῦ ἡμετέρου.

Obs. 5. The vowels of the article are generally contracted into \bar{a} with $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\rho\varsigma$, which comes from the ancient Doric form $\tilde{a}\tau\epsilon\rho\rho\varsigma$, (short a,) for $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\rho\varsigma$: thus,

ἄτερος, ἄτεροι, for ὁ ἕτερος, οἱ ἕτεροι, θατέρου, θατέρω, θάτερα, for τοῦ, τῷ, τὰ ἑτ.

Obs. 6. The crasis is even known to the Ionic dialect, but this always contracts οα into ω, as των αλμα, τωληθὲς, τωπὸ τούτου, for τὸ ἀπὸ τούτου, and at the same time alters the spir. asper into the lenis, as

ωριστος, for δ αριστος, ώλλοι, for οἱ αλλοι.

The same with ωὐτὸς, τωὐτὸ, for ὁ αὐτὸς, τὸ αὐτὸ (ταὐτό).

Obs. 7. Kai also frequently makes a crasis, ex. gr.

κάν for καὶ ἐν,-κᾶν for καὶ ᾶν and καὶ ἐάν.

καπειτα, κάκεῖνος, κάγω, for καὶ ἔπειτα, &c. (see Obs. 2. b.)

κάτα, for καὶ εἶτα,

καρετή, κίσος, for καὶ άρετή, καὶ ἴσος,

κώνος, κώκία for καὶ οἶνος, οἰκία,

χάτερος, for καὶ ἔτερος—χώ, for καὶ ὁ—

Other long syllables remain unchanged:

κεί, κού, κεύ, for καὶ εί, ού, εὐ: κείχον, for καὶ είχον.

The Ionians and Dorians use η for \bar{a} : as $\kappa \hat{\eta} \nu$, $\kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$.

Obs. 3. The particles $\tau o i$, $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau o i$, $\eta \hat{\tau} o i$, make likewise a long α with the particles $\tilde{\alpha} \nu$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \rho \alpha$, and should therefore be written as crases, $\tau \tilde{\alpha} \nu$, $\tau \tilde{\alpha} \rho \alpha$, $\mu \epsilon \nu \tau \tilde{\alpha} \nu$: but we commonly find $\tau' \tilde{\alpha} \nu$, $\tau' \tilde{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ or $\tau' \tilde{\alpha} \rho \alpha$, &c., in which case $\tau o i$ must not be confounded with $\tau \hat{\epsilon}$.

Obs. 9. Of many other crases, which we leave to the observation of the student, we only notice

ἐγῷμαι, ἐγῷδα, for ἐγὼ οῖμαι, οῖδα.

μοὐστὶν, μοὔδωκεν, &c. for μοί ἐστιν, ἔδωκεν,

προύργου, προύλίγου, for πρὸ ἔργου, ὀλίγου.

Obs. 10. We must also consider as crases all instances in which the first vowel of a word is only absorbed by the preceding long syllable; as

ούνεκα, for οὖ ἕνεκα,

όθούνεκα, for ὅτου ἕνεκα, (compare Obs. 4.)

³ It is unquestionable, that, at least in the most obvious instances, as $\dot{\alpha}\nu\eta_{\rho}$, $\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma_{C}$, $\dot{\alpha}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\dot{\rho}_{C}$, the only usual contraction of the Attic dialect is that of $\dot{\delta}$ with α into a long $\dot{\alpha}$, as $\dot{\alpha}\nu\eta_{\rho}$, (pronounce $h\bar{\alpha}n\bar{\epsilon}r$.) and wherever we find merely $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\eta}_{\rho}$, and the meaning requires the article, it ought to be $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\eta}_{\rho}$, and is mostly written thus in all new editions.

still often erroneously written ὅθ΄ οὕνεκα,

ωνθρωπε, ωνερ, ωναξ, for ω ανθρωπε, ανερ, αναξ.

For distinctness most of these words are, however, noted as elisions with the apostrophus: as

 $\ddot{\omega}$ ' $\gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\epsilon}$ ($\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\epsilon}$)— $\tau \tilde{\eta}$ ' $\rho \eta \mu i \alpha$ ($\dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu i \alpha$) $\pi o \tilde{v}$ ' $\sigma \tau i \nu$ ($\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$)— $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ' ν $\tau o \tilde{i} \varsigma$ ($\dot{\epsilon} \nu$).

Other grammarians therefore distinguish not only these cases from the elision (§ 30.) but also from the *crasis* by the name of aphæresis, $d\phi a i \rho \epsilon \sigma c c$; the cutting off of the first yowel in the second word 4.

Obs. 11. Many other contractions were never noted in writing, but left to pronunciation, as a synizesis, (compare § 28. Obs.) which, however, is not easily ascertained; ex. $gr. \dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon i$ où as an iambus, (Soph. Philoct. 446.) $\mu\dot{\eta}$ où in Attic poetry always as one syllable. And in Homer $\dot{\eta}$ $\epsilon i\sigma \delta\kappa\epsilon\nu$ as a dactylus, (Il. ϵ . 446.)— $\dot{\alpha}\sigma\beta\dot{\epsilon}-|\sigma\tau\psi$, où $\dot{\delta}$ $\dot{\nu}i-|\dot{\nu}\nu$, Il. ϱ . 89.

§ 30. Of the Apostrophus.

1. In the Greek, as in other languages, a short vowel at the end of a word before another vowel is thrown out by elision, and the apostrophus 'is placed as a mark or sign over the empty space; for instance,

ἐπ' ἐμοῦ for ἐπὶ ἐμοῦ:

and if the subsequent word has the *spir*. asper, the eventually preceding *tenuis* (according to § 17, 3.) is aspirated; as

 $\dot{a}\phi$ oũ, for $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$ oũ.

2. In prose, some words of frequent recurrence most commonly are attended with an elision, especially $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda\mathring{a}$, $\mathring{a}\rho a$ and $\mathring{a}\rho a$, $\mathring{a}\nu\mathring{a}$, $\mathring{b}\iota\mathring{a}$, $\kappa a\tau\mathring{a}$, $\mu\epsilon\tau\mathring{a}$, $\pi a\rho\mathring{a}$, $\mathring{a}\pi\mathring{o}$, $\mathring{v}\pi\mathring{o}$, $\mathring{a}\mu\mathring{o}$, $\mathring{a}\nu\tau$, $\mathring{e}\pi\mathring{i}$, $\mathring{o}\mathring{e}$, $\tau\mathring{e}$, $\gamma\acute{e}$: or frequent combinations, as $\nu\mathring{\eta}$ Δla , $(\nu\mathring{\eta} \Delta l')$, $\pi \acute{a}\nu\tau$ $\mathring{a}\nu$, (for $\pi \acute{a}\nu\tau a \mathring{a}\nu$,) and such like. The elision occurs less frequently in other words, and most seldom in Ionic prose. Poets, on the contrary, avail themselves of this licence with almost all short vowels; only the short ν , the monosyllables in a, ι , o, (excepting the Epic $\mathring{\rho}\mathring{a}$,) and the prep. $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathring{\iota}$ never admit the elision.

Obs. 1. If the rejected vowel had the accent, this accent is always dropped in prepositions and conjunctions; as $d\pi'$ from $d\pi \delta$, $d\lambda \lambda'$ from $d\lambda \lambda d$, $ob\delta'$ from $ob\delta \delta$. In all other words the accent is always thrown on the preceding syllable, and con-

stantly as an acute accent : for instance,

(κακά) κάκ' ἔπη, (δεινὰ, δείν') ἔπαθον, (φημὶ) φήμ' ἐγὼ, (τἀγαθὰ) τἀγάθ' αὕξεται, (ἐπτὰ) ἕπτ' ἔσαν.

Obs. 2. To determine when the elision is used in prose, and when not, is attended with great difficulty, because even $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, $\alpha \hat{\pi} \hat{\sigma}$, and such like, are often found without

an apostrophus. This difficulty is increased by its being proved that the ancients frequently did actually write down the vowel, which is to be dropped in speaking.

Obs. 3. In the Attic dialect the dat. sing. in ι and the particle $\"{o}\tau\iota$ never undergo an *clision*, and in Epic poetry chiefly only when there is no possibility of confounding them with the usually apostrophed accus, in α and the particle $\"{o}\tau\epsilon$, as $\grave{\epsilon}\nu$ $\delta\alpha\acute{\iota}\tau$, $\mathring{a}\sigma\epsilon\acute{\rho}'$ $\mathring{o}\pi\omega\rho\iota\nu\check{\phi}_{\iota}$, $\gamma\iota\gamma\nu\check{\omega}\sigma\kappa\omega\nu$, $\mathring{o}\tau'$ $\mathring{a}\nu\alpha\lambda\kappa\iota\varsigma$.

Obs. 4. Third persons taking the moveable ν may likewise be apostrophed by poets in case of need, and the same may be done with the dat. pl.; only the terminations $\alpha\iota\sigma\iota$, $\gamma\sigma\iota$, $\alpha\sigma\iota$, of the first and second decl. most common in the ancient language, are then assimilated to the terminations $\alpha\iota\varsigma$, $\gamma\varsigma$, $\alpha\iota\varsigma$, and hence admit of no apostrophus before a vowel. But the elision of the dat. pl. of the third decl. is avoided, because it would almost always be like another casus, ending in ς . This elision is, however, sometimes admitted by the intensive Epic form in $\sigma\sigma\iota$, as $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota$, $\tau\sigma\sigma\sigma\iota$.

Obs. 5. Poets also apply the elision (though less frequently) to the diphth, but only in the passive terminations $\mu a \iota$, $\sigma a \iota$, $\tau a \iota$, $\sigma \theta a \iota$, as $\beta o \iota \lambda \epsilon \sigma o' \epsilon \phi \eta$, $\epsilon \rho \chi o \mu' \epsilon \chi \omega \nu^1$. That the datives $\mu o \iota$, $\sigma o \iota$, were elided, is still very questionable. See Buttmann's Complete Greek Grammar, 1. § 30. Obs. 6. Whatever else is stated as long syllables, which have undergone an elision, especially $\kappa a \iota$ and $\tau o \iota$, (§ 29. Obs. 7, 8.) belongs to Crasis, and so does the seeming elision of $\tau \dot{a}$, $\tau \dot{o}$, (§ 29. Obs. 2. a.) and that of initial vowels (in the same §, Obs. 10.)

With regard to the apocope in $\partial \rho$, $\pi \partial \rho$, $\partial \nu$, (instead of $\partial \nu \partial \lambda$,) before a consonant, see below § 117. Obs.

§ 31.—Of the Parts of Speech.

- 1. There are, strictly speaking, but three principal parts of speech. For every word, which names or denotes an object, is a Noun; the word, by which something is predicated of an object, is called a Verb; and all the words, by which the speech thus formed is particularised, connected, and animated, are comprised under the name of Particles.
- 2. But these three principal parts of speech are generally subdivided, so as to form eight parts of speech in the languages with which we are best acquainted. 1.) The Noun, which is either substantive or adjective, gives 2.) the Pronoun, which also includes the article, and 3.) the Participle, which with regard to syntax is part of the verb. 4.) The Verb remains undivided; the Particles are 5.) the Adverb, 6.) the Preposition, 7.) the Conjunction, and 8.) the Interjection; but Greek grammarians commonly rank the latter among the adverbs.

¹ There are also instances of *elision* quoted of the inf. aor. I. act. in $\alpha\iota$, yet none where the diphthong dropping before a short vowel leaves the syllable a short one; the metre everywhere requires or allows a long syllable in that case. All such instances are, therefore, to be considered as *crases*, conformably to the rules laid down in the preceding §; but (if the syllable is not written in full as a synizesis) the apostrophus must be used for the sake of distinctness; in one case thus, $\gamma \epsilon \tilde{v} \sigma' \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$, for $\gamma \epsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \iota \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$, (long v,) in the other thus, $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha \iota' \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \epsilon$ ($\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \epsilon$).

OF THE NOUN AND ITS DECLENSIONS.

§ 32.—Of the Genders.

- 1. The masc., fem. and neut. Genders of the noun are mostly shown by the terminations, and will be noticed in each decl. They are indicated in the grammar by the article δ , (he,) $\dot{\eta}$, (she,) $\tau \delta$ (it) . See its declension, § 75.
- 2. Personal denominations (man, woman, god, goddess, &c.) always agree with the natural sex, be the termination what it may: for instance, $\hat{\eta}$ $\theta v \gamma \hat{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$, daughter, $\hat{\eta}$ $vv \hat{\rho} c$, the daughter-in law. The diminutives in ov are, however, excepted, being always of the neuter gender; as $\tau \hat{\rho} \gamma \hat{\nu} v \alpha i \sigma v$, little woman, from $\gamma vv \hat{\eta}$, woman, $\tau \hat{\rho}$ $\mu \epsilon i \rho \hat{\alpha} \hat{\kappa} i \sigma v$, little youth, from $\mu \epsilon i \rho \alpha \hat{\xi}$, youth.
- Obs. 1. In the class of diminutives must also be ranked $\tau \delta$ τέκνον, or $\tau \delta$ τέκος, child, and the pl. $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ παιδικά, used instead of the sing. to denote darling. All words, however, which are not in immediate contact with such personal neuters, are always construed in reference to the real sex and number. Homer even says τέκνον φίλε. The word $\tau \delta$ ἀνδράποδον, slave, is scarcely to be mentioned here, because this denomination denotes the slave as a thing, and not as a person.

Obs. 2. Hence every personal denomination, common to both sexes, is also generis communis, in grammar: for instance, instead of $\dot{\delta}$ $\ddot{u}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\varsigma$, (hie homo,) a woman is called $\dot{\eta}$ $\ddot{u}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\varsigma$ (here femina). It is the same with $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{\eta}$ $\theta\epsilon\dot{\delta}\varsigma$, god and goddess, $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{\eta}$ $\tau\rho\sigma\dot{\delta}\varsigma$, tutor and nurse, $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{\eta}$ $\phi\dot{\delta}\lambda\alpha\xi$, male and female keeper or guard, &c., though several of these words have also their own peculiar feminine appellations, as $\dot{\eta}$ $\theta\epsilon\dot{\alpha}$, goddess, which are not so readily used by Attic writers.

Obs. 3. Several names of animals are likewise generis communis, as, for instance, δ and η $\beta o \tilde{v} \varepsilon_{\zeta}$ (ox, cox,) δ and η " $\pi \pi \sigma \varepsilon_{\zeta}$ (horse). With regard to most animals, one and the same gender serves for both sexes; and this gender, when it is mass. or fem., is called genus epicænum (as, for instance, δ $\lambda \delta \kappa \sigma \varepsilon_{\zeta}$, wolf; η $\lambda \delta \kappa \pi \eta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\zeta}$, fox). But even in those, which are generis communis, one of the two genders applies to the species; as δ " $\pi \pi \sigma \varepsilon_{\zeta}$ is a horse in general and in an indefinite way, but at alyse applies to the whole species. The fem. is mostly preferred; thus at $\beta \delta \varepsilon_{\zeta}$ (but only in the pl.) most commonly denotes horned cattle in general. " $\Lambda \rho \kappa \tau \sigma \varepsilon_{\zeta}$, bear, and $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_{\zeta}$ camel, when the sex is of no particular importance, are always employed as of the fem. gender, ($\dot{\eta}$ $\ddot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \tau \sigma \varepsilon_{\zeta}$, $\dot{\eta}$ $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_{\zeta}$), even in speaking of the male; and this is also frequently the case with $\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \phi \sigma \varepsilon_{\zeta}$, stag, and $\kappa \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$, dog. The fem. $\dot{\eta}$ " $\pi \pi \sigma \varepsilon_{\zeta}$ signifies likewise horse, cavalry.

3. Of the masculine gender are (as in Latin)—the names of nations:

οί Σκύθοι, οἱ Γαλάται, &c.

the names of rivers (according to δ ποταμός, river):

δ Θερμώδων, δ Πήνειος, &c.

the names of months, (according to $\delta \mu \hat{\eta} \nu$, month,) without exception those ending in $\omega \nu$: as

δ Γάμηλιων, &c.

¹ See the reason in the Note to §-75.

the names of winds (according to ὁ ἄνεμος, wind): as ὁ ζέφυρος, ὁ βορράς.

- 4. Of the feminine gender are, the names of trees, as ή φηγὸς, beech-tree, ἡ πίτυς, pine; and of towns, countries, and islands, as ἡ Κόρινθος, ἡ Αἴγυπτος, Egypt (but ὁ Αἴγυπτος, the Nile); ἡ Λακεδαίμων, ἡ Λέσβος, &c.
 - Obs. 4. Exceptions to this rule are (and therefore remain masculine)-

The names of some trees, as ὁ ἐρινεῦς, wild fig-tree; ὁ φοίνιξ, palm-tree,
 ὁ, ἡ κέρασος, cherry-tree, ὁ, ἡ κότινος, wild olive-tree, ὁ, ἡ πάπυρος.

2.) The plurals of the names of towns ending in $o\iota$, as of Φίλιπποι, and those in $ευ_{\mathcal{C}}$ throughout, as $Φανοτεύ_{\mathcal{C}}$; of those in $o_{\mathcal{C}}$, the word Ὁρχομενὸς is constantly mase. Πύλος, Ἐπίδαυρος, Ἑλίαρτος, Ὁρχηστὸς, are commonly so; most of those in $ου_{\mathcal{C}}$, gen. $ουντο_{\mathcal{C}}$; in $α_{\mathcal{C}}$, gen. $αντο_{\mathcal{C}}$; as ὁ Σελινοῦς, ὁ Τάρας; but those in ων are commonly of the fem. gender, as ἡ Βαβυλων, ὁ, ἡ, Συκυών. Neuter remain, without exception, the names of towns ending in ον and $ο_{\mathcal{C}}$ (gen. $ου_{\mathcal{C}}$), as τὸ Δουλίχιον, τὸ "Αργος.

§ 33.—Declension.

1. The Greek declension has the five known cases of other languages, without any particular form for the *Latin ablative*, which is supplied partly by the gen. and partly by the dat.

2. There is an additional *number* in both the Greek declensions and conjugations, viz. the *dual*, when the question is of two. Yet it is not always used; many authors do not employ it at all. It is mostly employed by the Attic writers.

3. The dual has only two terminations, one for the nomin., acc. and vocat.; the other for the gen. and dat.

4. The Greek grammar has three declensions, which correspond to the first three Latin declensions, and the terminations of which are stated jointly in the following table:—

Sing.	1st Decl.	2nd Decl.	3rd Decl.
Nom.	η, α ης, ας	ος, Neuter ον	
Gen.	ης—āς ου	ου	ος (ως)
Dat. Acc.	$\eta - q$	ψ	
Voc.	$\eta v - av$ $\eta - a$	ον ε, Neuter ον	α or ν, Neuter like — the Nomin.
Dual.		c, 2150002 07	
N.A.V.	ā	ω	ε
G.D.	αιν	οιν	οιν
Pl.			
Nom.	αι	οι, Neuter ă	ες, Neuter ă
Gen.	ων	ων	
Dat.	αις	oig	σιν or σι
Acc.	$\bar{a}\varsigma$	ove, Neuter ă	
Voc.	l. aı	οι, Neuter ă	ες, Neuter ă

See about what is called the second Attic decl. § 37. It is omitted here for the sake of simplicity.

- 5. When these terminations are pure, and admit the contraction, (§ 28,) the contracted declension takes place, as it is stated below with regard to the three declensions. The words, which admit this contraction in all cases and numbers, are called $\delta\lambda \sigma\pi a\theta\bar{\eta}$ (completely suffering). This is always the case with the contracted first and second decl., but properly never with the third. (See § 48. Obs. 2.)
- 6. All the terminations noted above are mere terminations of cases, and therefore make no part of the root of the words. Whatever is immediately before these terminations is the root; for instance, $\tau\iota\mu$ is the root of $\tau\iota\mu\tilde{\eta}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Hence the first and second declensions differ essentially from the third, in so far as the first two decl. contain already in their nominat. a termination of case, whilst the third decl. does not so. In the first two decl., therefore, the root is apparent in the nominat.; whilst in the third decl., though it be likewise contained in the nominat., it is commonly with some alterations.
 - Obs. 1. The gen. pl. is $\omega \nu$ in all the three declensions.
- Obs. 2. The dat. sing. is ι in all the three declensions; in the first two it is the iota subscriptum.
- Obs. 3. The dat. pl. is properly $\sigma\iota\nu$ or $\sigma\iota$ in all the three declensions; for $\alpha\iota\varsigma$, $\alpha\iota\varsigma$, is only an abbreviation of the ancient form $\alpha\iota\sigma\iota\nu$, $\alpha\iota\sigma\iota\nu$, or $\alpha\iota\sigma\iota$, $\alpha\iota\iota$, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota\iota$, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota\iota$, $\alpha\iota\iota$, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota\iota$, $\alpha\iota\iota$, $\alpha\iota\iota$, $\alpha\iota\iota$, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota\iota$, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota\iota$, $\alpha\iota$,
- Obs. 4. The vocat. generally is like the nom. Even where it has a separate form, the nom. is often used instead of it, especially by the Attic writers.
- Obs. 5. The neuters have, as in Latin, three cases alike, (nom., acc., voc.) and their pl. is in a.
- Obs. 6. The three Greek declensions are very much like the first three Latin decl.; the Greek og is in Latin us, or (in the gen.) is; ov and $\omega \nu$ is in Latin um, and the Greek ν in general is in Latin m.
- Obs. 7. The Dual is, perhaps, only an old abbreviated form of the pl, which custom afterwards confined to the number 2. This is proved by the conformity of the pl. $\mathring{a}\mu\mu\varepsilon$, $\mathring{v}\mu\mu\varepsilon$, (see § 72. Obs. 6, 10,) with the dual of the third decl. Hence we find, especially in Epic poets, unquestioned instances of the dual instead of the pl. They are, however, chiefly in verbs, (see below, § 87. Obs. 6,) and in participles. (II. ε . 487. Hymn. in Apoll. 487, &c.)
- 7. With regard to accents, a general rule is, that the terminations of the gen. and dat., when long and accented, always take the circumflex, but the nomin., accus., and vocat. take the acute accent. Let it only be remembered that in the third decl. the termination of the nomin. and vocat. sing. is not the termination of a case, according to § 39.

§ 34.—First Declension.

- 1. The words in η_{ζ} and α_{ζ} are all masculine, and those in η and α are feminine.
- 2. The words in a have in the gen. $a_{\mathcal{G}}$, and retain their a in all their terminations of the sing., when there is a vowel before it, (a purum, § 28, 1,) as $\sigma o \phi i a$, or an ρ , as $\dot{\eta} \mu i \rho a$. The contracted nouns also retain it, as $\mu \nu \tilde{a}$, (see Obs. I.) also $\dot{a}\lambda a\lambda \dot{a}$, gen. $\tilde{a}_{\mathcal{G}}$, (war-shout,) and some proper names: $\Lambda \dot{\eta} \delta a$, $\dot{\Lambda} \nu \delta \rho o \mu i \delta a$, $\Phi \iota \lambda \delta \rho u i \delta a$, which likewise have a long a in the nomin.

3. All the other words in a have η_S in the gen., η in the dat. but they resume their a in the acc. and voc. (See Mo $\tilde{v}\sigma a$.)

4. The four terminations agree in the pl. and dual. See the following examples, in which the changes, which the accent undergoes according to the general rules, have been attended to.

Sing, $|\hat{n}_{\cdot}(honor_{\cdot})|\hat{n}_{\cdot}(wisdom_{\cdot})|\hat{n}_{\cdot}(Muse_{\cdot})|\delta_{\cdot}(citizen_{\cdot})|\delta_{\cdot}(uoungman_{\cdot})|$

Bury.	11,(1001001,)	11, (\alpha \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \ta	η, (111 αδε,)	0,(00020119)	o, (young man,)
Nom.	τιμή	σοφία	Μοῦσα	πολίτης	νεανίας
Gen.	τιμῆς	σοφίας	Μούσης	πολίτου	νεανίου
Dat.	τιμῆ	σοφία	Μούση	πολίτη	νεανία
Acc.	τιμήν	σοφίαν	Μοῦσαν	πολίτην	νεανίαν
Voc.	τιμή	σοφία	Μοῦσα	πολῖτα	νεανία
Dual.		·			
N.A.V.	τιμά	σοφία	Μούσα	πολίτα	νεανία
G.D.	τιμαῖν	σοφίαιν	Μούσαιν	πολίταιν	νεανίαιν
Plur.		,			1
Nom.	τιμαί	σοφίαι	Μοῦσαι	πολῖται	νεανίαι
Gen.	τιμῶν	σοφιῶν	Μουσῶν	πολιτῶν	νεανιῶν
Dat.	τιμαῖς	σοφίαις	Μούσαις	πολίταις	νεανίαις
Acc.	τιμάς	σοφίας	Μούσας	πολίτας	νεανίας
Voc.	τιμαί	σοψίαι	Μοῦσαι	πολῖται	νεανίαι
Cina a	Le (manht)	(comingion)	L' (ton don't	(Imifo	\15 (Atmidae)
Sing.	1	$ \dot{\eta}, (opinion,) $			
Nom. Gen.	δίκη	γνώμη	τρίαινα	μάχαιρα	
	δίκης	γνώμης	τριαίνης		
Dat.	δίκη	γνώμη	τριαίνη	μαχαίρα	
Acc.	δίκην	γνώμην	τρίαιναι	1 ,/0 3	
Voc.	δίκη	γνώμη	τρίαινα	μάχαιρα	'Ατρείδη
Dual.	01	,	,	,	24 10
N.A.V.	00000	γνώμα	τριαίνα	μαχαίρα	
G.D.	δίκαιν	γνώμαιν	τριαίναι	ν μαχαίρα	αν 'Ατρείδαιν
Plur.	0,	-	,	,	24 ~ ~ ~
Nom.	δίκαι	γνωμαι	τρίαιναι	1 /0 1	
Gen.	δικών	γνωμών	τριαινώ	1 /6 7	1
Dat.	δίκαις	γνώμαις	τριαίναι	1 /6 1	
Acc.	δίκας	γνώμας	τριαίνας		1 3
Voc.	δίκαι	γνωμαι	τρίαινα <i>ι</i>	μάχαιρα	ι Άτρεῖδαι

Examples for practice will be found in the Appendix.

5. Of the words of the masc. gender ending in $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$, the vocat. is a in those ending in $\tau\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$, and in several compound verbal nouns, which simply add $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$ to the consonant of the verb, as $\gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\mu \nu \rho o \pi \omega \lambda \eta_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\pi \alpha \iota \delta o \tau \rho \iota \beta \eta_{\mathcal{C}}$, and also in the national names, $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \eta_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\Sigma \kappa \iota \theta \eta_{\mathcal{C}}$. The others, which are the least in number by far, have η in the vocat., especially patronymics in $\delta \eta_{\mathcal{C}}$. (See above ' $\Lambda \tau \rho \epsilon \iota \delta \eta_{\mathcal{C}}$.)

OBSERVATIONS.

I. The contracted nouns of this declension are all $\delta\lambda \sigma \pi a\theta \tilde{\eta}$ (§ 33, 5). They all contract the *nomin*, into one of the usual terminations \tilde{a} , $\tilde{\eta}$, \tilde{a}_{5} , and $\tilde{\eta}_{5}$, and are then declined regularly; only those contracted into \tilde{a} , being originally *pure*, retain this vowel unchanged, and those in \tilde{a}_{5} take the Doric gen. in \tilde{a} . (*Obs.* IV. 4.) They are all known by the circumflex on the end-syllable. The resolved form is generally disused, or has maintained itself in the Ionic dialect with some alteration, as for instance,

μνάα—μνᾶ, gen. μνᾶς, pl. μναῖ, &c. (Ion. μνέα.)

 λεοντέα—λευντῆ, gen. ῆς, &c. pl. nom. λεονταῖ, aco. λεοντᾶς, (Ionice λεοντέη, λεοντείη,) lion's skin.

3.) Έρμέας— Έρμῆς, gen. οῦ, pl. Έρμαῖ, &c. (Ερίο, Ἑρμείας.)

4.) βορέας—also βορόᾶς, gen. βορόᾶ, &c. (The reduplication of the ρ is here merely an accidental peculiarity.)

It is the same with ' $A\theta\eta\nu\tilde{a}$, (originally - $\acute{a}a$, Ion. ' $A\theta\eta\nu\tilde{a}$,) $\gamma\tilde{\eta}$, (from FAA, Ion. $\gamma\tilde{a}$ a, rarely $\gamma\acute{e}a$,) earth.—See about the contracted feminines of adjectives, § 60. II. Quantity. 1. The nom. in a, which has $\eta\varsigma$ in the gen., is always short.

2. The nom. in α , which has $\alpha_{\mathcal{G}}$ in the gen., is mostly long, but also short in several words. The accent is here a safe guide, as (according to § 11. 4, 5,) not only all proparoxytona and properispomena, (as $\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\alpha\iota\rho\alpha$, $\mu\sigma\dot{i}\rho\alpha$,) have of course a short α , but it is an invariable rule in this decl. that all oxytona and paroxytona, which have $\alpha_{\mathcal{G}}$ in the gen., are long in the nom., as $\sigma\tau\sigma\dot{\alpha}$, $\chi\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}$, $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\tau\rho\alpha$, $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha$, $\sigma\sigma\dot{\phi}(\alpha, &c.,$

excepting only the numeral μία, and the proper names Πύρρα, Κίρρα.

3. But as to accent a word correctly, the quantity of the end-syllable must be known, and as this cannot be reduced to plain rules, it will be sufficient at first to

attend to the two following points:

- a.) Dissyllabic words in $\varepsilon\iota\alpha$ have the final α long, $(\chi \rho \varepsilon \iota\alpha)$ but the polysyllabic have the final α short: $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\varepsilon\iota\alpha$, (from $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\eta}\varepsilon$,) $\dot{M}\dot{\eta}\delta\varepsilon\iota\alpha$, $\dot{\gamma}\lambda\nu\kappa\dot{\varepsilon}\alpha$, (fem. of $\dot{\gamma}\lambda\nu\kappa\dot{\nu}\varepsilon$,) except the abstract substantives from verbs in $\dot{\varepsilon}\iota\omega$, as $\delta o\nu\lambda\dot{\varepsilon}\iota\alpha$ from $\delta o\nu\lambda\dot{\varepsilon}\iota\omega$, $\beta a\sigma\iota\lambda\dot{\varepsilon}\iota\alpha$, (royal authority,) from $\beta a\sigma\iota\lambda\dot{\varepsilon}\iota\omega$: but $\beta a\sigma\dot{\iota}\lambda\dot{\varepsilon}\iota\alpha$, (queen,) from $\beta a\sigma\iota\lambda\dot{\varepsilon}\iota\dot{\omega}$. See § 119. Obs. 6.
- b.) Substantives of three and more syllables, which are female denominations, have the final α short: $\psi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \tau \rho_i \alpha$, $\delta \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon_i \rho \alpha$, ${}^*O\mu \pi \nu_i \alpha$, &c., and the adjective $\pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \nu_i \alpha$ is short, though all other adjectives fem. of this kind have the final α long, as $\kappa \dot{\nu} \rho_i \alpha$, &c.
- 4. The rocat. α of the masculines in $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$ is short; of those in $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}$, long.—The final α of the dual is always long.
- 5. The termination $a_{\mathcal{C}}$ is long through the whole of this decl., and the *acc. pl.* distinguishes itself thereby from the third decl., in which it is short. The Dorians alone make the *acc. pl.* of the first decl. short.
 - 6. The acc. sing. in $a\nu$ conforms itself to the quantity of the nomin.
- III. Accent. 1. It is the characteristic of this decl. that the gen. pl. always has the accent on the end-syllable, let the word have the accent, where it may, in the

other cases: Μοῦσα Μουσῶν, ἄκανθα ἀκανθῶν. This is owing to this gen. being contracted from the ancient form in $\alpha\omega\nu$. (See Obs. IV. 3) Except, however, a.) the feminines of adjectives and participles, which are barytona in og, as ξένος, ξένη $-\xi$ ένων, αἴτιος, αἰτια-αἰτίων, τυπτόμενος, η -τυπτομένων: b.) the substantives χρήστης, usurer, οἱ ἐτησίαι, monsoons, and ἀφύη, sort of fish. By means of this anomalous accent, the words χρήστων, ἀφύων are distinguished from the same cases of the words χρηστὸς (useful) and ἀφυής (deformed).

2. Substantives of this deel, retain the accent, whenever the general rules allow it, on the same syllable which has the accent in the nomin. sing. (as, for instance, nomin. pl. σοφίαι, του. πολίτα.) Only the του. δέσποτα (from δεσπότης, master) is excepted; for the Homeric forms, μητίετα, &c. are accented in the same way in the nom. in Obs. IV. 2.—The feminines of the adjectives in og throw the accent again, whenever the end-syllable allows it, where the masculine has it, as ἄξιος, fem. ἀξία, pl. ἄξιοι, ἄξιαι.

3. All accented genitives and datives (see τιμή) have the circumflex. See § 33, 7.

IV. Dialects. 1. The Doric has in all terminations a long a instead of η ($\tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}_{\varsigma}, \tilde{\alpha}, \dot{\alpha}\nu$): the Ionic commonly has η instead of the long a, ($\sigma \circ \phi i \eta, \eta \varsigma, \eta, \eta \nu, \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha \iota \rho \alpha, \eta \varsigma, \eta, \alpha \nu, \dot{\sigma} \nu \varepsilon \eta \nu i \eta \varsigma$, &c.) excepting the acc. pl. But Epic poets retain the a in $\theta \varepsilon \dot{\alpha}, \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$, &c., and in some proper names in $\alpha \varsigma$, $\lambda i \nu \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma$. One of their peculiarities is the η instead of the short a in $\kappa \nu i \sigma \sigma \eta$, $\Sigma \kappa \dot{\nu} \lambda \lambda \eta$, commonly $\kappa \nu i \sigma \sigma \alpha$, $\Sigma \kappa \dot{\nu} \lambda \lambda \alpha$; and the reverse in the Homeric vocative $\nu \dot{\nu} \mu \phi \tilde{\alpha}$ for $\nu \dot{\nu} \mu \phi \eta$.

2. The ancient language had likewise masculines in $\check{\alpha}$, which continued in some dialects (and consequently also in the Latin). Homer and the other Epic poets have still frequently $i\pi\pi\acute{o}\tau\alpha$ in the nomin instead of $i\pi\pi\acute{o}\tau\eta c$, and $\mu\eta\tau\acute{\iota}\epsilon\tau\alpha$, &c.

3. The oldest form of the gen. sing. masc. is $\bar{a}o$, and of the gen. pl. of all terminations $\bar{a}\omega\nu$. Hence we find in the Epic poets, ' $\Lambda\tau\rho\epsilon i\delta ao$, Movoά $\omega\nu$, &c.

4. The Dorians contracted these genitives into \bar{a} , as $\tau o \bar{v}$ ' $\Delta \tau \rho \epsilon i \delta \bar{a}$, $\tau \bar{a} v$ Movo $\tilde{a} v$, (for Movo $\tilde{a} v$,) $\tau \tilde{a} v$ ' $\Delta \tau \rho \epsilon \iota \delta \tilde{a} v$. This Doric gen, has continued in use in the sing, of a few words, especially of proper names; as

'Αννίβας, (Annibal,) τοῦ 'Αννίβα, τοῦ ὀονιθοθήρα, fowler.

5. The Ionians on the contrary made of $\bar{a}o - \epsilon \omega$, (see § 27. Obs. 10,) but the ω has no influence on the accent: $\pi o \lambda i \tau \epsilon \omega$, (§ 11. Obs. 4,) and of $\dot{\alpha}\omega \nu - \dot{\epsilon}\omega \nu$, $\mu o \nu \sigma \dot{\epsilon}\omega \nu$.—Hence the common language has retained this gen. sing. in the names of a few Ionians, as $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \omega$, $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \epsilon \omega$, of $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \eta \varsigma$ and $\theta \alpha \lambda \ddot{\eta} \varsigma$.—When these terminations are preceded by a vowel, the ϵ may be dropped in verses; as, for instance, $\dot{\epsilon} \ddot{\nu} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda i \omega$ from $\dot{\epsilon} \ddot{\nu} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda i \eta \varsigma$, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ from $\dot{\epsilon} \ddot{\nu} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda i \eta \varsigma$, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ from $\dot{\epsilon} \ddot{\nu} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda i \eta \varsigma$, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ from $\dot{\epsilon} \ddot{\nu} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda i \eta \varsigma$, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ from $\dot{\epsilon} \ddot{\nu} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda i \eta \varsigma$.

6. Not only the ancient dialects, but also the Attic poets, and sometimes even the ancient Attic prose, (as in Plato,) have the old form of the dat. pl. aisi, aisi, (§ 33. Obs. 3,) as $\tau\iota\mu\alpha\iota\sigma\iota$, Moúsaisi, Moúsaisi, &c. (and the same in the second decl. oisi, oisii)—The Ionic dialect has $\eta\sigma\iota\nu$, $\eta\sigma\iota$, and $\eta\varsigma$. But poets vary with the shorter form $\alpha\iota\varsigma$ and $\eta\varsigma$. These Ionic forms are employed at times by the Attic dramatists.

7. The Ionic accus. δεσπότεα, εας, are heteroclites. § 56. Obs. 4.

§ 35.—Second Declension.

All the words in o_{ν} are of the neuter gender; those in o_{ζ} commonly are of the masc. There are, however, many feminine in o_{ζ} , independent of the names of persons, animals, trees, and towns, stated in § 32. See below a list of them in the Appendix. There are, besides, several substantives of the fem. gender in o_{ζ} ,

which properly are adjectives, the substantive being omitted, as, $\dot{\eta}$ διάλεκτος, dialect, (sc. $\dot{\phi}\omega\nu\dot{\eta}$,) $\dot{\eta}$ διάμετρος, diameter, (sc. $\dot{\gamma}\rho\alpha\mu\mu\dot{\eta}$,) $\dot{\eta}$ ἄτομος, atom, (sc. οὐσία,) $\dot{\eta}$ ἄνυδρος, desert, $\dot{\eta}$ χέρσος, and $\dot{\eta}$ ἤπειρος, continent, (sc. $\chi\dot{\omega}\rho\alpha$,) $\dot{\eta}$ σύγκλητος, (sc. βουλ $\dot{\eta}$,) senate; and several others.

Sing.	δ, (discourse,)	ή, (beech,)	δ, (people,)	6, (man,)	$\tau \dot{o}$, $(fig,)$
Nom.	λόγος	φηγὸς	δημος	άνθρωπος	σῦκον
Gen.	λόγου	φηγοῦ	δήμου	ανθρώπου	σύκου
Dat.	λόγω	φηγώ	δήμω	ανθρώπω	σύκω
Acc.	λόγον	ψηγὸν	δημον	ἄνθρωπον	σῦκον
Voc.	λόγε	φηγὲ	δῆμε	ἄνθρωπε	σῦκον
Dual.				1	
N.A.V.	λόγω	φηγώ	δήμω	ἀνθρώπω	σύκω
G.D.	λόγοιν	φηγοῖν	δήμοιν	ανθρώποιν	σύκοιν
Plur.	·			•	
Nom.	λόγοι	φηγοί	δῆμοι	ἄνθρωποι	σῦκα
Gen.	λόγων	φηγῶν	δήμων	ἀνθρώπων	σύκων
Dat.	λόγοις	φηγοῖς	δήμοις	ανθρώποις	σύκοις
Acc.	λόγους	φηγούς	δήμους	άνθοώπους	σῦκα
Voc.	λόγοι	φηγοί	δῆμοι	ἄνθρωποι	σῦκα

Examples for practice will be found in the Appendix.

1. For the neuters in o like ἄλλο, ἐκεῖνο, &c. which conform entirely with this declension, see the *Pronouns*, § 74.

2. The *vocative* is sometimes like the *nomin*. partly for the sake of euphony, as in $\theta_{\epsilon \delta c}$, and partly without any such reason, especially in the Attic writers, as $\tilde{\omega} \phi i \lambda o c$. (Aristoph. *Nub*. 1167.)

3. Quantity and accent require no particular notice; the final a is short as in Latin; the circumflex on the gen. and dat. (see $\phi_{N}\gamma \delta_{S}$) has been mentioned, § 33, 7.

4. Dialects. a.) In the oldest language the gen. ov was probably resolved into oo, whence what is called the Thessalian gen.

in οιο in Epic and Lyric poets: λόγοιο, φηγοῖο.

b.) The *Doric* dialect has in the *gen.* ω , and in the *acc. pl.* $\omega \varsigma$, as $\delta \ \nu \delta \mu \omega \varsigma - gen. \tau \tilde{\omega} \ \nu \delta \mu \omega$, *acc. pl.* $\tau \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \ \nu \delta \mu \omega \varsigma$: less frequently $\omega \varsigma$ in the *acc. pl.* Theorr. makes of $\delta \ \lambda \tilde{\omega} \kappa \omega \varsigma - \tau \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \lambda \tilde{\omega} \kappa \omega \varsigma$ instead of $\tau \omega \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \lambda \tilde{\omega} \kappa \omega \varsigma$.

c.) The neut. pl. in α appears to have had the gen. in $\acute{a}\omega\nu$ in the oldest language. See Hesiod, Sc. 7. Hence the Homeric $\acute{\epsilon}\acute{a}\omega\nu$ from $\tau\grave{a}$ EA, goods, possessions. (See the Anom. $\acute{\epsilon}\acute{\nu}c$.)

d.) See about the dat. pl. in οισι, οισιν, (λόγοισι, φηγοῖσιν,)

§ 34, Obs. IV. 6.—The Epic poets lengthen the gen. and dat. of the dual out to out, as, for instance, $l\pi\pi\omega$.

§ 36.—Contracted Nouns of the Second Declension.

Several words in oog and oov, sog and sov, are generally contracted, (as $\delta\lambda o\pi a\theta\tilde{n}$, § 33, 5,) according to the rules stated § 28, except that the a of the neut. gender absorbs the preceding ε or o in the contraction, and becomes long: $\delta\sigma\tau\tilde{\epsilon}a$ $\delta\sigma\tau\tilde{\epsilon}a$, $\delta\pi\lambda\delta a$ $\delta\pi\lambda\tilde{a}a$. (Compare below the adjectives in § 60.)

vigation,)	$\forall \dot{\sigma}$, (bone,)		
Plur.	Sing.	Plur.	
ς πλόοι, πλοῖ	όστέον, όστοῦν	όστέα, όστᾶ	
πλόοις, πλοῖς	όστέω, όστῷ	όστέοις, όστοῖς	
ν πλόους, πλοῦς	όστέον, όστοῦν	όστέα, όστᾶ	
πλόοι, πλοῖ	όστέον, όστοῦν	όστέα, όστᾶ	
	Plur. ς πλόοι, πλοῖ πλόων, πλῶν πλόοις, πλοῖς ν πλόους, πλοῦς	vigation,) τὸ, (b $Sing$. $αλόοι$, $πλοῖ$ $απλόοις$, $πλοῖ$ $απλόοις$, $πλοῖς$ $απλόους$, $πλοῦς$ $απλόους$, $πλοῦς$ $απλόου$, $απλοῦς$ $απλόου$, $απλοῦς$	

Dual.

N.A.	$\pi\lambda\delta\omega$, $\pi\lambda\tilde{\omega}$	ὀστέω,	$\dot{o}\sigma au ilde{\omega}^{2}$
G.D.	πλόοιν, πλοίν	οστέοιν,	όστοῖν.

Obs. For the compounds of the monosyllables $\pi \lambda \tilde{\nu} c$, $\nu \tilde{\nu} c$, &c., see § 60, 5.

§ 37.—Attic Second Declension.

The decl. of several words in $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, (masc. and fem.) and $\omega_{\mathcal{V}}$, (neuter.) is usually appended to the second decl. by the name of the Attic second decl. It has in all its cases an ω instead of the vowels and diphthongs of the common second decl., and an iota subscriptum where this declension has of or ω . The vocat. is constantly like the nomin. Another deviation from the rule is, that the gen. sing. is an oxytonon whenever the end-syllable is accentuated; ex. gr. $\tau \circ \tilde{\nu}$ ve $\tilde{\omega}$, contrary to § 33, 7.

	ბ, (temple,)			τὸ, (upper room,)		
	Sing.	Dual.	Plur.	Sing.	Dual.	Plur.
Nom.	νεώς	νεω	νεω	ανώγεων	ἀνώγεω	άνώγεω
Gen.	νεὼ	νεών	νεῶν	ἀνώγεω	ανώγεων	ανώγεων
Dat.	νεῷ		νεώς	ανώγεω		ανώγεως
Acc.	νεών		νεώς	ανώγεων		ανώγεω
Voc.	νεώς		νεω	ανώγεων		ανώγεω

¹ This regular rocat. is stated here for the sake of some proper names, as Πάνθους, rocat. Πάνθου. The rocat. of δορυξόος, οῦς, is in Aristophanes with the elision of the o, δορυξέ. (Compare Note to § 60, 5.) Else the rocat. of a proper name is of rare occurrence.

² See Buttmann's Complete Greek Grammar.

Obs. 1. The expression Attic decl. must not be understood as if the Attics had usually declined the words in of in this manner. It is rather a peculiar ancient decl. of a very limited number of words, a few of which follow likewise the common second decl., but with many variations; as $\delta \lambda a \delta c$, (people,) $\nu a \delta c$, $-\lambda \epsilon \delta c$, $\nu \epsilon \delta c$, $\delta \lambda a \gamma \omega \delta c$, (hare,) Ion. $\delta \lambda a \gamma \omega \delta c$ and $\lambda a \gamma \delta c$. Others also follow the third decl. (as Mirws, gen. Mirw and Mirws): others agree only in some parts now with this, and now with that decl. See about all these points § 56. Obs. 6. It is called the Attic decl. merely because, when there are two forms of this decl., that of which we treat here is generally peculiar to the Attics.

Obs. 2. The words of this decl. have also a peculiar

Accus. in w,

sometimes in addition to the regular one, (as $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \lambda \alpha \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $\lambda \alpha \gamma \dot{\omega}$,) less frequently indeed in some instances, but exclusively (or nearly so) in others. This is the case with the names of towns, $K \tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $K \dot{\epsilon} \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, $T \dot{\epsilon} \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, $"A \theta \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, and with $\dot{\eta} \ \ddot{\epsilon} \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, (aurora, accus. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \ddot{\epsilon} \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$), which is the Attic form of the Ionic $\dot{\eta} \dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{L}}$, (of the contracted third decl.) The neuter (nomin. and accus.) of some adjectives is likewise in ω , particularly $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\eta} \rho \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, (not subject to old age,) neut. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\eta} \rho \omega$.

Obs. 3. The gen. in wo of this decl. corresponds with the Epic gen. in o10, as

Πετεώς, gen. Πετεῶο (Homer).

Obs. 4. See about the anomalous accent of this decl. § 11, 8.

§ 38.—Third Declension—Gender.

- 1. The terminations of the words belonging to this decl. are too various to allow of any rule, and must be remembered individually. There are, however, a few which are pretty steady. See the *Obs*.
- 2. The final c, on the whole, is more the characteristic of the *masc*. and *fem.*, and a short vowel in the end-syllable is generally the characteristic of the *neut*. gender. There are no neuters whatever in ξ and ψ .

Obs. The terminations ωv , gen. $\omega v \circ g$ and $\partial v \circ g$, those in $v \circ g$ and ξ and ψ , fluctuate between the mass, and fem. gender, and are therefore the most difficult to be ranked under a strict rule. No regard is had in the exceptions to personal denominations like $\dot{\eta}$ $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$, mother, $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho$, wife, the gender of which is a matter of course (§ 32, 2). But where we say 'without exception,' there are no personal denominations of a different gender.

Of the Masculine Gender are

The words in ευς, as ὁ ὀρεύς, mule, ἀμφορεύς, amphora, without exception.

The substantives which have ντος in the gen., ὁ τένων, ονος, tendon, ὁ ὁδοὺς, όντος, tooth, ὁ ἱμὰς, άντος, leather strap; except the names of a few towns, § 32. Obs. 4.2.

Those in η_{θ} , as $\zeta \omega \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}_{\theta}$, except the fem. $\dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}_{\theta}$, belly, $\dot{\eta} \kappa \dot{\eta}_{\theta}$, fate, and with poets also $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\eta}_{\theta}$ and $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\theta} \dot{\eta}_{\theta}$, and the contracted neuters, which see below.

Those in ως, gen. ωτος, as γέλως, laughter, ὁ ἔρως, love.

Those in $\eta \nu$, as $\dot{o} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, month, $\dot{o} \alpha \dot{v} \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$, neck; except $\dot{\eta} \phi_0 \dot{\eta} \nu$, mind, $\dot{\eta}$, $\dot{o} \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$, goose. The words $\dot{o} \pi o \tilde{v} c$, $\pi o \delta \dot{o} c$, foot, $\dot{o} \kappa \tau \epsilon i c$, $\kappa \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{o} c$, comb.

Of the Feminine Gender are

The words in ω , as $\dot{\eta}\chi\dot{\omega}$, without exception.

Those in αc , which have $\alpha \delta \circ c$ in the gen., as $\dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \dot{\alpha} c$, torch, with the exception of a few adjectives generis communis, as $\lambda \circ \gamma \dot{\alpha} c$, $\sigma \pi \circ \rho \dot{\alpha} c$, § 63, 5.

Those in $\iota_{\mathcal{C}}$, as $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi \delta \lambda_{\iota_{\mathcal{C}}}$, $\dot{\eta}$ $\chi \acute{a} \rho_{\iota_{\mathcal{C}}}$, except the mass. $\ddot{o} \rho_{\iota_{\mathcal{C}}}$, serpent, $\ddot{\epsilon} \chi_{\iota_{\mathcal{C}}}$, viper, $\kappa \acute{o} \rho_{\iota_{\mathcal{C}}}$, bug, $\delta \rho_{\iota_{\mathcal{C}}}$, bug, bu

Abstract substantives in $\tau\eta\varsigma$, (Latin tas,) as $\dot{\eta}$ $\mu\kappa\rho\dot{\sigma}\tau\eta\varsigma$, (littleness,) parvitas, without exception; and the words $\dot{\eta}$ $\chi\epsilon\dot{\iota}\rho$, hand, $\dot{\eta}$ $\phi\theta\epsilon\dot{\iota}\rho$, louse, $\dot{\eta}$ $\nu\alpha\tilde{\nu}\varsigma$, ressel, $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\dot{\eta}\varsigma$, $\tilde{\eta}\tau o\varsigma$, garment.

Of the Neuter Gender are

The words in α , η , ι , v, as $\tau \delta$ $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$, body, $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta$, head, $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \iota$, honey, $\check{\alpha} \sigma \tau v$, city, without exception.

Those which have a short end-syllable with ϵ and o, without exception, as $\tau \delta$ $\tau \epsilon \tilde{\imath} \chi o \varepsilon$, $\tau \delta$ $\tilde{\eta} \tau o \varrho$, and the neuter adjectives in $\epsilon \varepsilon$, $\epsilon \nu$, $o \nu$.

Those in $\alpha\rho$, as $\tau\delta$ $\tilde{\eta}\pi\alpha\rho$, $\tau\delta$ $\nu\epsilon\kappa\tau\alpha\rho$, with those contracted from $-\epsilon\alpha\rho$ into $\eta\rho$, as $\tau\delta$ $\epsilon\alpha\rho$, $\tilde{\eta}\rho$, spring, $\tau\delta$ $\kappa\epsilon\alpha\rho$, $\kappa\tilde{\eta}\rho$, heart, $\tau\delta$ $\sigma\tau\epsilon\alpha\rho$, $\sigma\tau\tilde{\eta}\rho$, suct, fat. Only δ $\psi\alpha\rho$, starling, is excepted.

The words in $\omega \rho$, which are not personal denominations, as $\tau \delta$ $v \delta \omega \rho$, $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \omega \rho$, &c., except $\delta i \chi \dot{\omega} \rho$, (gore, matter, water of the blood,) and $\delta \dot{\alpha} \chi \dot{\omega} \rho$ (running soreness of the head).

Those in $\alpha\varsigma$, gen. $\alpha\tau \circ \varsigma$ and $\alpha\circ \varsigma$, as $\tau \circ \tau \circ \varsigma$, $\tau \circ \varsigma$,

There are no other neuters of this decl. beside $\tau \delta$ $\pi \tilde{v} \rho$, fire, $\tau \delta$ $\phi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$, light, $\tau \delta$ ov ς , ear, $\tau \delta$ $\sigma \tau \alpha i \varsigma$, dough.

The words in $a\varsigma$ are generally mass, when the gen, is $a\nu\tau o\varsigma$ —fem, when it is $a\delta o\varsigma$ —and neut, when it is $a\tau o\varsigma$ and $ao\varsigma$.

§ 39.—Inflection of the Third Declension.

1. We must distinguish in any declinable word the *root* and the *termination* of the case. The *nom. sing*. in the two first decl. has itself a particular termination; but in the third it is added only in the following cases, as,

Second decl. $\lambda \delta \gamma - o \varepsilon$, $\lambda \delta \gamma - o v$, $\lambda \delta \gamma - \psi$, Third decl. $\theta \dot{\eta} o$, $\theta \eta o \dot{\phi} c$, $\theta \eta o \dot{\phi}$.

2. But even in the third decl. the *nomin*. seldom is an absolutely unaltered root like $\theta \hat{\eta}_{Q}$: in most instances the end-syllable of the root is changed, either by an *addition*,

gen. βότρυ-ος, (root βοτρυ,) nom. βότρυς, or by a subtraction,

gen. σώματ-ος, (root σωματ,) nom. σώμα, or by a change,

gen. κανόν-ος, (root κανον,) nom. κανών 1.

¹ The root of a word with regard to its *inflection* very frequently differs from its *etymological root*. To him who does not carefully attend to this, it may appear more natural, for instance, to consider $\sigma\omega\mu$ as the root, and α , $\alpha\tau\sigma\varsigma$, as terminations. But part of this termination, the α at least, belongs to the formation of the word, and not to the deel, which here is the main point. It is true that in the first two deel, the original formation of the word and its declinable *desinences* often run one into the other, (as λόγ-ος, λόγ-ου,) and cannot be distinctly and methodically separated. But in the third deel, as the word $\theta\eta$ shows, the terminations of the other cases are distinct from the root, which is the point to be alone attended to here.

Obs. To be enabled to decline a word of the third decl. correctly, it is absolutely necessary to know the nomin. and one of the other cases, which commonly is the gen. But it is far easier to trace the nomin. in the gen. than vice versá; because the root, which here is of essential importance, is generally unaltered in the gen., but not so in the nomin. On consulting a dictionary, the gen. ought always to be carefully remembered along with the nomin. We far more frequently hit, in reading, on the gen., dat., &c., of an unknown word; and to find this in the dictionary, we must be able to discover the nomin. in the gen., &c. Some directions for this purpose will be given.

§ 40.

- 1. The most general alterations which a root undergoes in the nomin. are
 - 1.) The addition of a ς (as $\beta \acute{o}\tau \rho \upsilon \varsigma$, $\beta \acute{o}\tau \rho \upsilon o \varsigma$, $\mathring{a}\lambda \varsigma$, $\mathring{a}\lambda \acute{o}\varsigma$).
 - 2.) In words of the masc. and fem. the change of the ε and o of the root into η and ω in the nomin. (as $\varepsilon l \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\varepsilon l \kappa \hat{o} \nu o \varepsilon$, $\hat{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \varepsilon$, $\hat{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\varepsilon} o \varepsilon$.)
- 2. To apply this correctly, we must distinguish two principal cases, viz. whether there be before the termination of the casus either, 1. a consonant, or, 2. a vowel.

§ 41.

1. Whenever the termination of the casus is preceded by a consonant, and the nomin. takes the ς , it first follows of course from the general rule, that this ς coalesces with the letters γ , κ , χ , and β , π , ϕ , and becomes ξ and ψ , as

κόραξ κύρακ-ος, ὄνυξ ὄνυχ-ος,
ωψ, ωπ-ὸς, χάλυψ χάλυβ-ος.

- 2. These nomin. in ξ and ψ never alter the ε and o of the root, $(\phi\lambda \hat{\epsilon}\psi, \phi\lambda \varepsilon\beta \hat{\delta}c, \phi\lambda \hat{\delta}\xi \phi\lambda \delta\gamma \hat{\delta}c, Al\theta(\delta\psi, Al\theta(\delta\pi\delta c, \phi))$ excepting only $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{a}\lambda\hat{\omega}\pi\eta\xi$, $\hat{a}\lambda\hat{\omega}\pi\varepsilon\kappa\delta c$.
- 3. But when the letter immediately before the termination is δ or τ or θ , it disappears, according to the general rule, before the c, as

λαμπὰς λαμπάδος, Δωρίς Δωρίδος, κηλὶς κηλῖδος, ἄρνις ὅρνῖθος, κόρυς κόρῦθος, ἡ Πάρνης Πάρνηθος, τέρας τέρᾶτος, χάρις χάρῖτος.

Hereto must be added, that if the letter τ is preceded by κ , κ is, after having cast off τ , changed into ξ ; $\nu \dot{\nu} \xi \nu \nu \kappa \tau \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$, $\ddot{\kappa} \nu \alpha \xi \ddot{\kappa} \nu \alpha \kappa \tau \sigma c$.

4. The letters ν and $\nu\tau$ also disappear before the ς , but the

¹ With regard to all the following examples the learner must be informed that the statement βότρυς βότρυ-ος, for instance, means that 'the root βοτρυ, which results from the gen. βότρυ-ος, becomes βότρυς in the nomin.'

short vowel is then lengthened in the way stated § 25, 4. (with $\nu\tau$ it is constantly the case, with ν generally,) as

γίγας γίγαντος, χαρίεις χαρίεντος, όδους όδόντος, δελφις, (long ι,) δελφινος , Φόρκυς Φόρκυνος, μέλας μέλανος, κτεις κτενός 2.

5. But when the *nomin*. does not take the ς , it is only ν or ρ , of all the consonants, which is left with the *nomin*. $(\theta \mathring{\eta} \rho \theta \eta \rho - \mathring{\sigma} \varsigma, al \mathring{\omega} \nu al \mathring{\omega} \nu - o \varsigma)$. Besides these, there are only such roots as end in τ , which frequently take no ς , in which case the τ must be cast off³, ex. gr.

σωμα σώματ-ος, Ξενοφων Ξενοφωντ-ος. But in all instances where the *nomin*, ends in ν or ρ , the ε and ρ in the *masc*, and *fem*, are changed into ρ and ω :

λιμήν λιμέν-ος, ρήτωρ ρήτορ-ος, γέρων γέροντος.

- 6. A few neuters, which have $a\tau o c$ in the gen., take an ρ instead of c in the nomin.: as $\tilde{\eta}\pi a \rho$ $\tilde{\eta}\pi a \tau o c$. (Compare § 16. Obs. 1. f.)
- 7. The usual instances, where the termination of the casus is preceded by a consonant, are accordingly the following:

the gen. in $\begin{cases} \gamma o_{\varsigma}, \kappa o_{\varsigma}, \chi o_{\varsigma} \\ \beta o_{\varsigma}, \pi o_{\varsigma}, \phi o_{\varsigma} \end{cases}$ of the nomin. in $\begin{cases} \xi & (\phi \lambda) \delta \xi, \& c.) \\ \psi & (\tilde{\omega} \psi, \& c.) \end{cases}$, ,, ,, $\delta o_{\varsigma}, \tau o_{\varsigma}, \theta o_{\varsigma}$ of the nomin. in ς , as $\lambda a \mu \pi a \delta_{\varsigma}, \lambda a \mu \pi a \delta_{\varsigma}, \& c.$ but especially

ατος of the nomin. in $\begin{cases} \alpha & (\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha, \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma) \\ \alpha \varsigma & (\tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma, \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma) \\ \alpha \rho & (\tilde{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho, \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma) \end{cases}$ $, , , voς of the nomin. in <math display="block">\begin{cases} \nu & (\Pi \tilde{\alpha} \nu, \Pi \alpha \nu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma) \\ \varsigma & (\hat{\rho} \iota \varsigma, \hat{\rho} \iota \nu \tilde{\sigma} \varsigma) \end{cases}$

but especially

ενος and ονος of the *nomin*, in $\eta \nu$ and $\omega \nu$, (λιμήν λιμένος, εἰκών εἰκόνος):

,, ,, ,, ντος of the nomin. in $\begin{cases} a_{\varsigma}, \, \epsilon_{\iota\varsigma}, \, o_{\upsilon\varsigma}, \, \upsilon_{\varsigma}, \\ (\phi \grave{a}_{\varsigma}, \, \phi \acute{a}_{\upsilon} \tau o_{\varsigma}, \, \theta \hat{\epsilon})_{\varsigma} \, \theta \acute{\epsilon}_{\upsilon} \tau o_{\varsigma}, \\ (\delta o \grave{\upsilon}_{\varsigma}, \, \delta \acute{o}_{\upsilon} \tau o_{\varsigma}, \, \theta \grave{\upsilon}_{\varsigma}, \, \phi \acute{\upsilon}_{\upsilon} \tau o_{\varsigma},) \\ \omega_{\upsilon} \, (\gamma \acute{\epsilon}_{\rho} \omega_{\upsilon}, \, o_{\upsilon} \tau o_{\varsigma}) \, \vdots \end{cases}$

Dictionaries and grammars also state the nom. of those which have ινος in the gen. as being ιν, but in the most ancient with the state of the solded which were below 2. There is why to to be added which were below 2. The state of the solded which were below 2. The state of the solded which were below 2. The state of the solded which were below 2. The state of the solded which were below 2. The state of the solded which were below 2. The state of the solded which were below 2. The state of the solded which were below 2. The state of the solded which were solded

² There is only είς to be added, which see below, § 70.

³ Hence $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$, $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \sigma_{\rm C}$, (see the Anom.) may thus be accounted for; in the same manner the vocat. $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \alpha$ (of $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \xi \ddot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \tau \sigma_{\rm C}$), and $\gamma \dot{\nu} \nu \alpha \iota$ (of the antiquated form TYNAIZ, see Anom. $\gamma \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$,) since all these forms must, as they adopt no σ , cast off their consonants in the final syllable. § 4, 5.

the gen. in ρ of the nomin. in ρ ($\theta \eta \rho$, $\theta \eta \rho \delta c$, &c.): but especially

, , , ερος and ορος of the nomin. in ηρ and ωρ, (αἰθὴρ αἰθέρος, ρήτωρ ρήτορος,)
and of two neuters in ορ,
ἄορ, (sword,) and ῆτορ (breast).

8. The following isolated instances are better remembered each separately:

ό, ή, ἃλς ἁλὸς, salt, sea.
τὸ μέλι μέλιτος, honey; τὸ κάρη κάρητος, head.
ἡ νὺξ νυκτὸς, night; ὁ ἄναξ ἄνακτος, king.
ἡ δάμαρ δάμαρτος, wife.
ὁ ποῦς ποδὸς, foot.

and some others stated in the list of Anomalous words, § 58, especially $\gamma \acute{a}\lambda a$, $\theta \acute{\epsilon}\mu \iota \varsigma$, $\mu \acute{a}\rho \tau \nu \varsigma$, $o \widetilde{\nu} \varsigma$, $\chi \epsilon \acute{\iota} \rho$.

Obs. 1. The quantity of the penultimate syllable of the gen., whenever owing to a, ι, v , is not easily discovered, and can be determined only by authorities, as is that of the nominatives of other words; see the list of the words where it is long, in the Appendix, p. 458. But it may be laid down as a rule that the said syllable is long in all the substantives which have in the gen.

ανος, ινος, υνος,

as, for instance, Πὰν Πᾶνὸς, παιὰν παιᾶνος, ἡὶς ἡῖνὸς, δελφὶς δελφῖνος, μόσυν μόσῦνος.

Obs. 2. The end-syllables of the nomin., the quantity of which is not determined above, generally are like the penultimate of the gen. Hence, (with a few exceptions in poets,) $\ddot{\rho}\rho\nu\bar{\iota}g$ - $\ddot{\iota}\theta\circ g$, $\kappa\eta\lambda\dot{\iota}g$, (long ι ,) $\tilde{\iota}\delta\circ g$, $\pi\alpha\iota\dot{\alpha}\nu$, (long α ,) $\tilde{\alpha}\nu\circ g$. And in similar instances the length must be observed in pronunciation before ξ , ψ : the accent frequently shows it, as $\theta\dot{\omega}\rho\alpha\xi$ - $\alpha\kappa\circ g$, (Ion. $\theta\dot{\omega}\rho\eta\xi$ - $\eta\kappa\circ g$.) in $\phi\dot{\omega}\nu\iota\xi$ - $\bar{\iota}\kappa\circ g$, $\kappa\dot{\eta}\rho\nu\xi$ - $\bar{\iota}\kappa\circ g$ (with later writers $\phi\dot{\circ}\bar{\iota}\nu\iota\xi$, $\kappa\ddot{\eta}\rho\nu\xi$): on the contrary, $\alpha\ddot{\vartheta}\lambda\alpha\xi$ - $\alpha\kappa\circ g$, &c.

Obs. 3. All monosyllabic nomin., excepting the pronoun $\tau \wr \varsigma$, are long: hence $\pi \tilde{v} \rho$ $\pi \tilde{v} \rho \delta \varsigma$.

Obs. 4. The few words which have $\nu\theta o g$ in the gen. throw off only the θ before the g of the nomin., and retain, contrary to the usual practice of the Greek language, the ν , as ξλμινg, ξλμινθοg, (earth-worm,) Τίρυνg, Τίρυνgοg (§ 25. Obs. 2).

Obs. 5. If there be an η or o before the termination $\epsilon\iota c$, $\epsilon\nu\tau o c$, the contraction generally takes place; as $\tau\iota\mu\dot{\eta}\epsilon\iota c$ $\tau\iota\mu\dot{\eta}\epsilon\nu\tau o c$, contracted $\tau\iota\mu\ddot{\eta}c^4$ $\tau\iota\mu\ddot{\eta}\nu\tau o c$, $\mu\epsilon\lambda\iota\tau\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\iota c$ $\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\nu\tau o c$, contr. $\mu\epsilon\lambda\iota\tau\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}c$ $\dot{\epsilon}c$ It is the same with the names of towns in $o\ddot{\nu}c$, $o\ddot{\nu}\nu\tau o c$, as $\dot{\epsilon}c$ $o\ddot{\nu}c$, &c.

Obs. 6. The contractions which take place in the participles of the contracted conjugation ($\phi\iota\lambda\tilde{\omega}\nu$ $\phi\iota\lambda\tilde{\omega}\nu\tau\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\tau\iota\mu\tilde{\omega}\nu$ $\tau\iota\mu\tilde{\omega}\nu\tau\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}$, &c.) are best learned in the paradigms of this conjugation. We only observe that such proper names as $\Xi\epsilon\nu\sigma\phi\tilde{\omega}\nu$, $\tilde{\omega}\nu\tau\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}$, originate in this contraction.

Obs. 7. Another contraction takes place when the termination $\alpha\rho$ is preceded by ϵ , as κέαρ κῆρ, (heart,) gen. κέαρος κῆρος, and also ἔαρ ἤρ, (spring,) of which word we have in prose the resolved form in the nomin., and in the gen. and dat. the contracted form (ἕαρ, ἤρος). The same contraction occurs in some which have a τ in

⁴ Il. 1. 605, according to Wolf's reading, which is alone correct.

the gen., but in these the accent does not conform to the rules of contraction, and takes a more convenient place, thus: στέαρ στέατος, contracted στηρ στητός, (suet,) φρέαιο φρέατος φρητός, (well,) δέλεαυ, gen. δελέατος δέλητος, (bait, decoy,) θρηϊξ, Θράξ, Θρήξ, gen. Θρήτκος, Θρακός, Θρηκός. Compare § 28. Obs. 10. with § 43. Obs. 4.

8 42.

- 1. Those words which have a vowel before the termination of the casus, (or, according to § 28, 1, og purum in the gen.) take almost all an g in the nomin., excepting only a few neuters in ι and ν , and feminines in ω .
- 2. And as, according to § 38. Obs., neuters only can end in syllables, which are short through ε and o, the masc. and fem. make of the ε and o of their other casus their nominatives in η or ev, w or ov.
 - 3. Thus arises in particular

the gen. in αος of the neuters in ας, (σέλας σέλαος,)

,, log and vog of the nomin. in lg, l, and vg, v, (κὶς κιὸς, δάκου, υος,)

, ω_{0} of the nomin. in ω_{0} , $(\theta \dot{\omega}_{0}, \theta \omega \dot{\omega}_{0})$

,, ,, oog of $\begin{cases} \text{the nomin. in ovg, } (\beta \tilde{\text{ovg}}, \beta \tilde{\text{oòg,}}) \\ \text{the } \text{fem. in } \omega \text{ and } \omega \text{g,} \\ (\mathring{\eta} \chi \tilde{\omega}, \acute{\text{oog, ald}} \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\text{g, oog,}}) \end{cases}$

,, $\epsilon \circ \varsigma (\epsilon \omega \varsigma)$ of the nomin. in $\eta \varsigma$ and $\epsilon \varsigma$, $(a\lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \varsigma, \text{ neut. } a\lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \varsigma, \text{ gen. } \dot{\epsilon} \circ \varsigma,)$ the masc. in $\epsilon \upsilon \varsigma$, $(i\pi \pi \epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \varsigma, i\pi \pi \dot{\epsilon} \omega \varsigma,)$

and as an isolated instance,

ή γραῦς γραὸς, old woman.

With regard to vave, see the Anom.

4. But the gen. in

εος and εως

proceed also from the change of the vowel,

- 1.) in the numerous neuters in oc, as τείχος τείχεος,
- 2.) in most of the nomin. in is and i, and some in vs and v, as πόλις πόλεως, άστυ άστεος.
- Obs. 1. A more detailed account of this and of the gen. in $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}$ will be found in the contracted Declension, to which all these end-syllables are more or less subject.
- Obs. 2. The gen, in nog belongs to the dialects; see below about the words in avg and $\epsilon v \varsigma$, and about $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \varsigma$, § 50-52. See also Anom. "Ap $\eta \varsigma$, $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{v} \varsigma$, $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta v \varsigma$, $v \dot{\epsilon} \dot{o} \varsigma$, and a few contracted ones. § 53. Obs. 5.
- Obs. 3. In all these words (except only $\gamma \rho \bar{a} \delta \varsigma$) the vowels α , ι , ν , before the endsyllable of the gen. are short; hence the polysyllabic nominatives in $\alpha \varsigma$, $\iota \varsigma$, $v \varsigma$, are likewise short. But the monosyllabic nominatives, adhering to the rule of the preceding §, are constantly long; as μῦς μὕός. The subst. oxytona in ὑς (ὀφρὺς, $i\chi\theta\dot{\nu}_{\varsigma}$) are generally long in the nom. and accus. ($\dot{\nu}\nu$.)

§ 43.

The following examples may serve in the main for all instances of the usual decl.

 $|\delta, (wild \mid \delta, (age))| |\delta, \hat{\eta}, (good or \delta, (lion))| |\delta, (giant)|$

	09 (00000	0, (490)	0, 11, (9000 01	09 (000109)	o, (granes)
Sing.	beast,)		evil spirit,)		
Nom.	$\theta \hat{\eta} \rho$	αἰών	δαίμων	λέων	γίγας
Gen.	θηρὸς	αἰῶνος	δαίμονος	λέοντος	γίγαντος
Dat.	θηρὶ	αἰῶνι	δαίμονι	λέοντι	γίγαντι
Acc.	θῆρα	αὶὧνα	δαίμονα	λέοντα	γίγαντα
Voc.	$\theta \hat{\eta}_{\mathcal{Q}}$	αὶὼν	δαΐμον	λέον	γίγαν
Dual	**		,		* *
N.A.V.	θῆρε	αὶὧνε	δαίμονε	λέοντε	γίγαντε
G.D.	θηροΐν	αλώνοιν	δαιμόνοιν	λεόντοιν	γιγάντοιν
Plur.	'5				* *
Nom.	θῆρες	αἰῶνες	δαίμονες	λέοντες	γίγαντες
Gen.	θηοῶν	αλώνων	δαιμόνων	λεόντων	γιγάντων
Dat.	θηρσὶ(ν)	$\alpha l \tilde{\omega} \sigma l(v)$	δαίμοσι(ν)	λέουσι(ν)	$\gamma i \gamma \bar{a} \sigma \iota(\nu)$
Acc.	θηρας	αὶὧνας	δαίμονας	λέοντας	γίγαντας
Voc.	θῆρες	αἰῶνες	δαίμονες	λέοντες	γίγαντες
	$ \delta, (raven),$	δ , $\hat{\eta}$, $(child)$, δ, (jackal,)	6, (wood-	τ ò, $(thing,)$
Sing.		7 17 ()		worm,)	
Nom.	κόραξ	παῖς	θώς	κὶς	πρᾶγμα
Gen.	κύρακος	παιδός	θωὸς	κιὸς	πράγματος
Dat.	κόρακι	παιδὶ	θωῒ	κιϊ	πράγματι
Acc.	κόρακα	παΐδα	$\theta \tilde{\omega} a$	κὶν	πρᾶγμα
Voc.	κόραξ	παῖ	θώς	κὶς	πρᾶγμα
Dual					1 11
N.A.V.	κόρακε	παῖδε	θῶε	κίε	πράγματε
G.D.	κοράκοιν	παιδοῖν	θωοίν	κιοῖν	πραγμάτοιν
Plur.	1				5 41
Nom.	κόρακες	παῖδες	θῶες	κίες	πράγματα
-					2 61
Gen.		παίδων	θώων	κιῶν	πραγμάτων
Gen. Dat.	κοράκων κόραξι(ν)	παίδων παισὶ(ν)	θώων θωσὶ(ν)	κιῶν κισὶ(ν)	πραγμάτων πράγμασι(ν)

Examples for practice will be found in the Appendix.

Voc. κόρακες παίδες θωες

1. These examples are abundantly sufficient; for as soon as the *nomin*. and *gen*. of a word are known from the preceding §§ and the dictionary, a little reflection readily shows, for instance, how all the words in ξ and ψ are declined after $\kappa \acute{o} \rho \alpha \xi$,—those which have $\delta o \varsigma$, $\theta o \varsigma$, and $\tau o \varsigma$, in the *gen*. after $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$

κίες

παιδὸς,—and χιών χιόνος, ποιμὴν ποιμένος, after δαίμων δαίμονος,—but ὀδοὺς ὀδόντος, and even θεὶς θέντος, after λέων λέοντος, and lastly ἤπαρ ἣπατος after πρᾶγμα ατος. But there are still some directions requisite concerning the accus. and voc. sing. and the dat. pl.; see the following §§, and about the gen. in ως, §§ 51, 52.

2. Dialects. Independently of what will be stated in the following §§, we merely observe here, 1.) that the end-syllable $o\iota\nu$ of the dual is lengthened by Epic poets, just as in the second decl., as $\pi o\delta o \bar{\iota} \iota \nu$ for $\pi o\delta o \bar{\iota} \iota \nu$: 2.) that the Ionic dialect sometimes lengthens the gen. pl., when it has the circumflex, by adding an ϵ , as, for instance, Herod. $\chi \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ for $\chi \eta \nu \dot{\omega} \nu$, from $\chi \dot{\eta} \nu \chi \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} c$.

3. Quantity. The terminations of casus in ι , a, and $a_{\mathcal{C}}$, are constantly short in the third decl. (compare Obs. II. 5. to the first decl., and below, in § 52, the exceptions to the words in $\varepsilon v_{\mathcal{C}}$.)—With regard to the quantity of the final syllable of the nomin. and of the penultimate of the gen., see the Obs. to the

preceding §§.

4. Accent. The principal rules in this respect are, that:

1.) in dissyllabic and polysyllabic words the accent continues, as long as its nature allows it, on the same syllable where it is in the nomin. (See above, $\kappa \acute{o}\rho \alpha \xi$, $a \acute{l}\acute{\omega}\nu$.)

2.) Monosyllabic words throw the accent in the *gen.* and *dat.* of the three numbers on the termination of the casus. On the termination $\omega \nu$ it becomes, conformably to § 33. Obs. 7, a circumflex. (See above, $\theta \dot{\eta} \rho$, $\kappa i \varepsilon$.)

3.) The *nomin.*, *accus.*, and *vocat.*, on the contrary, never have the accent on the termination of a casus ¹.

From the second rule are excepted,

a.) the participles, as $\theta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\iota}_{\varsigma} \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau o_{\varsigma}$, $\hat{\omega} \nu \tilde{\sigma} \nu \tau o_{\varsigma}$, &c.

b.) the pl. of the adj. $\pi \tilde{a}\varsigma$, $\pi \tilde{a}v$, $(\pi a \nu \tau \delta \varsigma$, $\pi a \nu \tau \tilde{\iota}$,) gen. pl. $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau \omega v$, dat. $\pi \tilde{a}\sigma \iota v$:

c.) some which are become monosyllables by contractions, λãaς λᾶς, gen. λᾶος, (see below the Anom.) ἔαρ ῆρ, κέαρ κῆρ, gen. ῆρος, κῆρος: but not all, see above, § 41. Obs. 7, and below, οἶς, § 50. Obs. 6.

d.) the gen. pl. and dual of the following nine words: mais,

¹ It ought, however, to be remembered, that in this decl. the final syllable of the word $(\sigma\omega\tau \cdot \dot{\eta}\rho)$ is constantly different from the final syllable of the casus, $(\sigma\omega\tau\tilde{\eta}\rho - \alpha)$ § 39, 1.

θὼς, ὁ δμὼς, (slave,) ὁ Τρὼς, (Trojan,) τὸ φῶς, (light,) ἡ φῷς, (burn,) ἡ δᾳς, (torch,) τὸ ΚΡΑΣ, (head,) and of the anomalous τὸ οὖς, (ear,) ὁ σῆς (moth): hence παίδων, θώων, δμώων, Τρώων, φώτων, φῷδων, δᾳδων, κράτων, ὤτων, σέων, and in the dual παίδοιν, &c.²

e.) the lengthened Epic dat. pl. in εσι, εσσι, § 46. Obs.

§ 44.—Of the Accusative Singular.

1. The principal termination of the accus. in this decl. is a: but the words in $\iota\varsigma$, $v\varsigma$, $av\varsigma$, $ov\varsigma$, have

the accus. in v,

changing simply the ς of the *nomin*. into ν , as in the other declensions, and retaining the quantity. This is the only form in those words which have a vowel before the termination of the casus, as $\beta o \tilde{\nu}_{\varsigma}$, (gen. $\beta o \delta_{\varsigma}$,)— $\beta o \tilde{\nu}_{\nu}$, $\delta \rho \tilde{\nu}_{\varsigma}$, ($\delta \rho \tilde{\nu} \delta_{\varsigma}$,)— $\delta \rho \tilde{\nu}_{\nu}$, and the same with $\delta \chi \theta \delta \nu_{\nu}$, $\pi \delta \lambda \nu_{\nu}$, $\gamma \rho a \tilde{\nu}_{\nu}$, &c.

2. But those which have an additional consonant in the gen., have always a when the last syllable of the nomin. is accented, (as ἐλπὶς, ίδος—ἐλπίδα, ποῦς, ποδὸς—πόδα.) When the last syllable of the nomin. is unaccented, they generally take ν, but frequently also a, as ἔρις, ιδος—ἔριν and ἔριδα, κόρυς, υθος—κόρυν and κόρυθα, εὔελπις, ιδος—εὔελπιν and εὖέλπιδα, πολύπους, οδος—πολύπουν and πολύποδα.

Obs. 1. $\Lambda \tilde{\alpha} \alpha \varsigma$, contr. $\lambda \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ (stone), gen. $(\lambda \acute{a} \alpha \circ \varsigma) \lambda \tilde{\alpha} \circ \varsigma$, has also $\lambda \tilde{\alpha} \alpha \nu$, contr. $\lambda \tilde{\alpha} \nu$, in the accus. See likewise the Anom. $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \varsigma$, and § 49. Obs. 7. to the words in ω and $\omega \varsigma$. Obs. 2. Poets, but not Attic poets, have also retained of the ancient language $\beta \delta \alpha$ for $\beta \circ \tilde{\nu} \nu$, $\epsilon i \rho \delta \alpha$ for $\epsilon i \rho \delta \nu \nu$, &c.

§ 45.—Of the Vocative.

- 1. It frequently occurs in this third decl. that a word might have a distinct *vocat*., but commonly, and with Attic writers in particular, its *vocat*. is the same with the *nomin*. We shall state the rules by which some end-syllables may form a distinct *vocat*., and leave it to the student to notice the words in which it really is distinct.
- 2. The end-syllables $\varepsilon v \varsigma$, $\iota \varsigma$, $v \varsigma$, and the words $\pi a \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$, $\gamma \varrho a \tilde{v} \varsigma$, $\beta o \tilde{v} \varsigma$, throw their ς off, and those in $\varepsilon v \varsigma$ take the circumflex, (§ 11, 3.)

² The accentuation of several of these words is still a vestige of a contraction from the older forms, $\pi \acute{a}i\varsigma$, $\delta \acute{a}i\varsigma$, $\delta \acute{a}i\varsigma$, $KPAA\Sigma$, $o \acute{v}a\varsigma$: in the others it proceeds from the wish to distinguish them from the gen. of the words $a i T \rho \omega a i$, $\delta \mu \omega a i$, $\theta \omega \dot{\eta}$, (penalty,) $\dot{o} \phi \dot{\omega} \varsigma$, (man,) which have the same sound.

as βασιλεύς, vocat. $\tilde{\omega}$ βασιλεύ—Πάρι, $\Delta \omega$ ρὶ, Τῆθυ, ἡδὶ, &c.—παῖ,

γραῦ, βοῦ.

3. Those in $a_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$, before whose $_{\mathcal{C}}$ an $_{\mathcal{V}}$ has been dropped, do the same; but then they commonly resume the $_{\mathcal{V}}$, as for instance $\tau \acute{a}\lambda \ddot{a}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\breve{a}\nu o_{\mathcal{C}}$, \breve{a} $\tau \acute{a}\lambda \breve{a}\nu$,— $A''_{\bar{a}\mathcal{C}}$, $a\nu\tau o_{\mathcal{C}}$, \breve{a} $A''_{\bar{a}\nu}$,— $\chi a\rho (\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}, \epsilon_{\mathcal{V}} \tau o_{\mathcal{C}})$, \breve{a} $\chi a\rho (\epsilon_{\mathcal{V}})$. Yet several names in $\bar{a}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $a\nu\tau o_{\mathcal{C}}$, barely take the long a, as " $A\tau\lambda \bar{a}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $a\nu\tau o_{\mathcal{C}}$.

4. The words of which the *nomin*. ends in η or ω , merely shorten this *vowel* in the *vocat*.; but in general only when the other casus also have ε or o, see above $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ and $\lambda i \omega \nu$: it is the same with $\mu i \eta \tau \eta \rho$, $i \rho o \rho c$, $i \omega \mu i \eta \tau \varepsilon \rho$, $i \rho i \eta \tau \omega \rho$, $i \rho i \eta \tau o \rho$, $i \omega \rho i \tau c \rho$, $i \omega \rho i \omega \rho i \tau c \rho$, $i \omega \rho i \omega \rho i \tau c \rho$, $i \omega \rho i \omega \rho i \omega \rho i \omega c \rho i \omega \rho i$

5. The feminines in $\hat{\omega}$ and $\hat{\omega}_{\varsigma}$ make the *vocat*. in \tilde{oi} , (§ 11, 3.) as $\sum \alpha \pi \phi \hat{\omega}$, $\tilde{\omega} \sum \alpha \pi \phi \tilde{oi}$,—'H $\hat{\omega}_{\varsigma}$, $\tilde{\omega}$ 'Ho \tilde{i} .

Obs. 1. From the rule 4, are excepted those which have the accent on the end-syllable; as, $\pi οιμην, ένος$, $\tilde{ω}$ $\pi οιμην$ (shepherd); but only substantives, not adjectives (as for instance, $\tilde{ω}$ κελαινεφές). These three, πάτερ, $\tilde{α}νερ$, $\delta \tilde{α}ερ$, from πατηρ, $\tilde{α}νηρ$, $\delta \tilde{α}ηρ$ (husband's brother), gen. έρος, also follow the general rule, but remove the accent.

Obs. 2. The words which retain the long vowel in the other cases, continue unchanged in the vocat: hence $\tilde{\omega}$ Πλάτων (gen. ωνος), $\tilde{\omega}$ Ξενοφῶν $(\tilde{\omega}ντος)$, $\tilde{\omega}$ iητηρ $(\tilde{\eta}ρος)$, $\tilde{\omega}$ Κράτης $(\etaτος)$. There are but three among them which shorten the vowel in the vocat: 'Απόλλων, ωνος,—Ποσειδῶν, $\tilde{\omega}νος$,—σωτηρ, $\tilde{\eta}ρος$, (deliverer,) vocat. $\tilde{\omega}$ "Απολλον, Πίσειδον, σῶτερ, and they likewise throw the accent back.

Obs. 3. When the accent is in the nomin. on the penultimate syllable, it may be moved further back in the vocat. (§ 12, 2. a.) on shortening the final syllable; but this is done only in some words (θύγατερ, κακόδαιμον, Σώκρατες, "Απολλον), not in others, as for instance, χαρίεν, δαΐφρον, Παλαΐμον. Compare § 41, 5. note 3.

Obs. 4. It may easily be supposed, that the names of objects, which usually are not apostrophised, when once the case occurs, retain preferably the form of the nomin., as $\tilde{\omega}$ ποῦς, $\tilde{\omega}$ πόλις, and such like. But this is also frequently done, especially by Attic writers, with such words and names as $K\rho\epsilon\omega\nu$, $A\tilde{\iota}a\varsigma$, $\tau\dot{a}\lambda a\varsigma$, $\sigma\omega\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$, and such like.

§ 46.—Of the Dative Plural.

1. When the termination $\sigma\iota\nu$, $\sigma\iota$, of the dat. pl. is preceded by a consonant, the same general rules are observed (§ 41.) as with the ς of the nomin. See above $\kappa \acute{o} \rho a \xi$, $\pi a \widetilde{\iota} \varsigma$, $a \grave{\iota} \grave{\omega} \nu$, likewise "A $\rho a \psi$ "A $\rho a \beta o \varsigma$ —"A $\rho a \psi \iota \nu$, $\widetilde{\eta} \pi a \rho \widetilde{\eta} \pi a \tau o \varsigma$ — $\widetilde{\eta} \pi a \sigma \iota \nu$, &c.

2. If in these instances the vowel of the oblique casus differs from the vowel of the nomin., it continues so in the dat. pl. (δαίμων, ονος, δαίμοσι, $-\pi$ οῦς, π οδὸς, π οσὸν, $-\mathring{a}λωπηξ, εκος, <math>\mathring{a}λωπεξιν$.)
But, if ντ is dropped, the vowel is, according to § 25, 4. necessarily lengthened; see above λέων, γίγας: hence also $\mathring{o}δονς$, $\mathring{o}ντος$,

όδοῦσι,—τυπεὶς, έντος, τυπεῖσιν. But when the ν alone is dropped, the vowel remains short, κτεὶς, κτενὸς, κτεσίν.

- Obs. 1. The adjectives (not the participles) in $\epsilon\iota\varsigma$, $\epsilon\nu\tau \circ \varsigma$, have merely ϵ , as $\phi\omega\nu\dot{\eta}\epsilon\iota\varsigma$, $\epsilon\nu\tau \circ \varsigma$, $\phi\omega\nu\dot{\eta}\epsilon\sigma\iota\nu$.
- 3. When there is a vowel immediately before the end-syllable $\sigma\iota\nu$, $\sigma\iota$, consequently when there is $o\varsigma$ purum in the gen., the vowel remains unchanged as in the other oblique casus, $(\lambda\lambda\eta\theta\hat{\eta}\varsigma, \delta o\varsigma, \lambda\eta\theta\delta\sigma\iota, -\tau\epsilon\hat{\iota}\chi o\varsigma, \epsilon o\varsigma, \tau\epsilon\hat{\iota}\chi\epsilon\sigma\iota, -\delta\rho\tilde{\nu}\varsigma, \delta\rho\tilde{\nu}\delta\varsigma, \delta\rho\tilde{\nu}\sigma\hat{\iota}\nu.)$ But when the nomin. sing. has a diphthong, the dat. pl. likewise has the diphthong; as

βασιλεύς, έως, . . . βασιλεύσι γραύς, γραὸς, . . . γραυσὶ βοῦς, βοὸς, βουσίν.

βοῦς, βοὸς, • • • βουσίν•

Obs. 2. The old and Epic language has in all words instead of $\sigma\iota(\nu)$, -εσσι(ν), more rarely -εσι(ν), and as this termination begins with a vowel, it is appended exactly like that of the other casus, as $i\chi\theta\dot{\nu}$ -εσσι, κοράκεσσι, παίδεσσι, βόεσσι, βασιλέεσσιν, ἀνάκτεσι. In monosyllabic words this form of the dat retains the accent on the first syllable; as $\pi\alpha i\delta$ εσσιν, $\ddot{\iota}\nu$ εσι, from $\pi\alpha \ddot{\iota}$ ς, $\ddot{\iota}$ ς. See the dat in $\alpha\sigma\iota$ in the following \S , and other exceptions below in the words in ε $\dot{\nu}$ ς, and in the Anom. $v\dot{\iota}$ ος and χ ε $\dot{\iota}$ ρ.

§ 47.—Syncope of some words in $\eta \varphi$.

1. Some words in $\eta \rho$, gen. $\epsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, drop the ϵ in the gen. and dat. sing. and in the dat. pl., and introduce an a after the ρ instead of the ϵ in the dat. pl., only, as $\pi a \tau \eta \rho$ (father).

Gen. (πατέρος,) πατρὸς, dat. (πατέρι,) πατρὶ, accus. πατέρα, vocat. πάτερ.

Dual. N. Α. πατέρε, G. D. πατέροιν.

Pl. πατέρες, gen. πατέρων, dat. πατράσι, accus. πατέρας.

2. The following (with some anomalies in the accent) are declined in the same way:

μήτηρ, (μητέρος,) μητρὸς, μητρὶ, μητέρα, μῆτερ, (mother,) ή γαστὴρ, (γαστέρος,) γαστρὸς, γαστρὶ, γαστέρα, γαστὴρ, (belly, stomach,)

θυγάτηο, (θυγατέρος,) θυγατρός, τρὶ, τέρα, θύγατερ, (daughter,)

 $\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$, ($\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma c$,) $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho \sigma c$, $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho \iota$ (Ceres): the last of which also makes its accus. $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho \sigma$ according to this rule: Voc. $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho$. See about $\dot{a} v \dot{\eta} \rho$ the Anom.

Obs. 1. Poets sometimes neglect this syncope, and say, for instance, $\pi \alpha \tau \acute{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \varsigma$, $\theta \upsilon \gamma \alpha \tau \acute{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$, and sometimes employ it where it usually does not occur, as $\theta \acute{\upsilon} \gamma \alpha \tau \rho \epsilon \varsigma$, $\theta \upsilon \gamma \alpha \tau \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$, &c., $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$ (Homer).

Obs. 2. The accent of these forms is very anomalous: 1.) in the full form, (excepting the compound $\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$,) it always is on the ϵ , and hence is removed on it in

μήτηρ, θυγάτηρ: 2.) after the ε has been thrown out of most of the gen. and dat. the accent goes over to the termination, (μητρὸς, θυγατρῶν, θυγατράσι,) which else occurs only in words with a monosyllabic nomin.: 3.) Δημήτηρ on the contrary draws the accent back in all syncopated forms, Δήμητρος, &c., but θυγάτηρ, when it is syncopated by poets, only in the nomin. and accus. (θύγατρα, θύγατρες, θύγατρας.)

Obs. 3. Γαστήρ has in the dat. pl. γαστράσιν and γαστήρσιν. Even άστήρ, έρος,

(star,) which else is not syncopated, has yet ἀστράσιν.

§ 48.—Contracted Declension.

1. There are but few of the words, which have og purum in the gen., which are not contracted in some of their forms, though it is by no means the case in all the forms to which the contraction might apply in conformity to the general rules.

2. Their contraction indeed differs in some respects from the general rules; a deviation of this kind is stated in the follow-

ing rule :-

The contracted accus. pl. of the third decl. is constantly like the contracted nomin. pl.

Obs. 1. Thus, for instance, $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\varsigma$, $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\varsigma$, are regularly contracted $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon$, $\beta\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}\varsigma$, and the contraction of the accus. $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\varsigma$, $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\varsigma$, is, contrary to the general rules, exactly the same, even in words which commonly are not contracted in the nomin.

pl. See the only exception from this rule § 53, 2.

Obs. 2. There can be, properly speaking, no $\delta\lambda o\pi a\theta\tilde{\eta}$ (§ 33, 5.) in this decl, because the nomin. has no particular end-syllable like the other casus. But the termination of the nomin may be pure of itself, and therefore subject to contraction. This must then be considered as occurring in the root, and not in the deel. ($\kappa \hat{u}\rho$ $\kappa \tilde{\eta}\rho$, $(0\pi\delta\epsilon\iota\varsigma)$ $(0\pi\sigma\delta\epsilon_{\varsigma})$ and when the gen., which is contracted in the same way, is known, ($\kappa \tilde{\eta}\rho$, $\kappa \tilde{\eta}\rho o\varsigma$, $(0\pi\sigma\delta\epsilon_{\varsigma})$, $(0\pi\sigma\delta\epsilon_{\varsigma})$, $(0\pi\delta\epsilon_{\varsigma})$, $(0\pi\delta\epsilon_{\varsigma}$

Examples for the practice of the following principal instances of contraction are given in the *Appendix*,

§ 49.

The words in $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$, gen. $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}}$, (which properly are all adjectives,) the neuters in $o_{\mathcal{C}}$, gen. $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}}$, and the fem. in ω and $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, gen. $o_{\mathcal{C}}$, are contracted in all the casus in which two vowels meet.

Sing.	$\dot{\eta}$, (a trireme,)	$\tau \dot{o}$, (a wall,)	ή, (an echo,)
Nom.	τριήρης	τείχος	ήχὼ
Gen.	τριήρεος, τριήρους	τείχεος, τείχους	ηχόος ηχούς
Dat.	τριήρεϊ, τριήρει	τείχεϊ, τείχει	ηχόϊ, ηχοΐ
Acc.	τριήρεα, τριήρη	τείχος	ήχόα, ήχὼ
Voc.	τρίηρες	τεῖχος	ἠχοῖ

Dual. N.A.V. G. D.	τριήρεε, τριήρη τριηρέοιν, τριηροίν	τείχεε, τείχη τειχέοιν, τειχοῖν	$ \eta_{\chi \hat{\omega}} $ Second decl.
Nom. Gen.	τριήρεες, τριήρεις	τείχεα, τείχη	ήχοὶ
	τριηρέων, τριηρών	τειχέων, τειχων	Second decl.
Dat.	τριήρεσι(ν)	τείχεσι(ν)	
Acc.	τριήρεας, τριήρεις	τείχεα, τείχη	
Voc.	τριήρεες, τριήρεις	τείχεα, τείχη	

No substantives, strictly so called, terminate in $\eta_{\mathcal{G}}$, gen. $\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}$; and with τριήρης the word ναῦς is to be understood. Besides the adjectives, there are many proper names ending in $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$, gen. εος, as $\Delta ιομήδης$, and some in κράτης, as $\Sigma ωκράτης$, the decl. of which follows that of $\tau \rho i \dot{\eta} \rho \eta c$, except that they frequently form their accus. after the first decl. in $\eta\nu$. (See § 56. Obs. 4.)

The neuter adj. in ec, excepting this termination, are declined pl. τὰ ἀληθέα, ἀληθῆ.

Obs. 1. The feminines in $\dot{\omega}$ and $\dot{\omega}_{\zeta}$ are used merely in the sing. The dual and pl., when needed, follow the second decl. See about the masc. $\eta_{\rho\omega\varsigma}$ the Anom.

Obs. 2. The dual in η, for instance, Aristoph. Thesm. 282. ω περικαλλή Θεσμοφόρω, deviates from the general rule, as it is a contraction from $\epsilon\epsilon$ (see § 28, 3). The Attics also employed the resolved form; as τω γένεε, Plato Polit.

Obs. 3. The Attic writers never neglect the contraction in these words, except in the gen. pl. We generally find in several of those writers ἀνθέων, κερδέων, τριηρέων, &c. and in the gen. of "Appc, (Mars,)" Aproc. The resolved forms of the words in $\dot{\omega}$ and ws are no longer met with even in the Ionic dialect.

Obs. 4. Several compound adj. paroxytona in $\eta \varsigma$, derived from $\tilde{\eta}\theta \circ \varsigma$, continue also paroxytona in the gen. pl.; as συνήθης, των συνήθων, (resolved συνηθέων,) αὐτάρκης, αὐτάρκων, &c. Compare the adverbs in ως, § 115. Obs. 1. Even the gen. pl. τριηρῶν, which is accented above according to the rule, is commonly accented τριήρων, as coming from the adj. τριήρης.

Obs. 5. With regard to the deviating contractions of the words which have an additional vowel before the usual contraction, see below, § 53.

Obs. 6. The Dorians and Epic poets contract the gen. Eog into Eug, according to § 28. Obs. 5. as τοῦ γένευς from τὸ γένος.

Obs. 7. The accent of the accus, of the words in $\dot{\omega}$ $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \chi \dot{\omega})$ is contrary to the rule of § 28. Obs. 9. Those in $\dot{\omega}_{\zeta}$, however, (and there are but two, $\dot{\eta}\dot{\omega}_{\zeta}$ and $ai\delta\dot{\omega}_{\zeta}$,) are correctly accented $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\phi} \alpha$, $\dot{\eta} \tilde{\omega}$. The Ionic dialect frequently has the accus. of both in ove, as 'I' 'Iove, n' g nove.

§ 50.

All other words which have og purum and which are contracted, admit the contraction only in the nom. accus. and vocat. pl., and partly in the dat. sing. We first notice those in vs., gen. voc, and those in ic, when in the Ionic and Doric dialect they

have $\iota \circ \varsigma$ in the gen., and to these we annex the decl. of $\beta \circ \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$ and $\gamma \rho \circ \tilde{\iota} \circ \varsigma$, the similarity of which with those words terminating in $\iota \circ \varsigma$ and $\iota \circ \varsigma$ will be best seen by their being placed next each other.

Sing.	$\dot{\eta}$, (a fish,)	$\dot{\eta}$, (a city,)	$ \delta, \hat{\eta}, (an ox, $	$\dot{\eta}$, (an old
			or a cow,)	woman,)
Nom.	λχθὺς	πόλις	βοῦς	γραῦς
Gen.	λχθύος	πόλιος	Boòs	γραὸς
Dat.	ìχθύϊ	$\pi \delta \lambda u, \pi \delta \lambda \bar{i}^1$	βοΐ	γραΐ
Acc.	$i\chi\theta\dot{\nu}\nu$	πόλιν	βοῦν	γραῦν
Voc.	$i\chi\theta\dot{v}$	πόλι	βοῦ	γραῦ
Dual.				
N. A.	λχθύε	πόλιε	βόε	γρᾶε
G. D.	λχθύοιν	πολίοιν .	Βοοίν	γραοΐν
Plur.	12			• •
Nom.	ιχθύες, ιχθῦς	πόλιες, πόλις	βόες, βοῦς	γρᾶες, γραῦς
Gen.	λχθύων	πολίων		
Dat.	$i \chi \theta \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \iota(\nu)$	$\pi \delta \lambda \iota \sigma \iota (\nu)$		
Acc.	λχθύας, λχθῦς			
Voc.	ιχθύες, ιχθῦς	πόλιες, πόλις		

The contraction of $\gamma \rho \tilde{a} \epsilon_{\zeta}$ and $\gamma \rho \tilde{a} a_{\zeta}$ into $\gamma \rho a \tilde{v}_{\zeta}$ deserves notice, as uncommon. (The Ion. dialect has $\gamma \rho \eta \tilde{v}_{\zeta}$, $\gamma \rho \eta \delta_{\zeta}$, &c. without any contraction.) See about $\nu a \tilde{v}_{\zeta}$, which partly conforms to this, the Anom.

Obs. 1. Epic poets also contract the dat. vi: ίχθνῖ, (νέκνς, νέκνῖ,) νεκνῖ.

Obs. 2. The Dorians said, $\beta\tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\beta\tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{L}}$. This word has this accus in Homer (Il. η. 238) when it is employed in the fem. gender for an ox-hide, shield; compare Il. μ. 105. $\beta\delta\epsilon\sigma\sigma\nu$.

Obs. 3. The Attic writers frequently neglect the contraction in the words belonging to this \S , mostly in the nomin. pl., and habitually in monosyllabics, as $\kappa i \epsilon \epsilon$, $\mu \dot{\nu} \epsilon \epsilon$, $\delta \rho \dot{\nu} \epsilon \epsilon$, $\gamma \rho \tilde{\alpha} \epsilon \epsilon$, $\beta \delta \epsilon \epsilon$: often also $i \chi \theta \dot{\nu} \epsilon \epsilon$, &c.

Obs. 4. Through this contraction the pl. becomes again like the nomin. sing.; which is very remarkable. And even when the quantity is different, the accent at least does not always inform us of it; as $\delta \beta \delta \tau \rho \bar{\nu} g$ and $\tau o \nu g \beta \delta \tau \rho \bar{\nu} g$.

Obs. 5. Besides $\kappa i_{\mathcal{L}}$ none retain, in common language, the formation in $\iota_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\iota_{\mathcal{L}}$, but a few isolated forms of $\tau i_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\iota_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\iota_{$

Obs. 6. The word $\delta i \zeta$ (sheep) also follows the above decl. (Text 2.) and consequently has the gen. $\delta i \circ \zeta$, nom. accus. pl. $\delta i \zeta$ (long ι): but the nom. sing. generally is contracted, $\dot{\eta}$ olg. The decl. then is, gen. olog, dat. oil, accus. olv, pl. oleg, olag, contr. olg (likewise $\dot{\eta}$, al, and $\tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta$, olg). Homer has, however, in the dat. pl. $\delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$, viz. instead of $\delta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ according to the following §.

About the shortened dat. Kλέοβι, see § 28. Obs. 11.

Obs. 7. Most words in ovg follow the second contracted decl. like $\pi\lambda o\tilde{v}g$, $\dot{\rho}o\tilde{v}g$, $vo\tilde{v}g$, There are only two words declined like $\beta o\tilde{v}g$, viz. $\chi o\tilde{v}g$, (see the A nom.) and $\dot{\rho}o\tilde{v}g$ when it signifies sumach, yet both without any contraction.

§ 51.

- 1. Most words in $\iota_{\mathcal{C}}$ and ι , and a few in $\upsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$ and υ , retain the vowel of the *nomin*. in common language only in the *nomin*., accus. and vocat. sing.; in all the other cases they change it into ε , and then the dat. ε becomes ε , and the pl. ε and ε become ε , and the neuter ε becomes η : but there is no other contraction.
 - 2. The substantives in $\iota_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\upsilon_{\mathcal{G}}$, besides, have what is called

The Attic Gen.

by making the *gen. sing.* $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}$, (instead of ov,) and in the *dual* ψv^{1} , (instead of ov,) and accenting the three *gen.*, as if the last syllable were short. (See § 11, 5 and 8.)

3. Neuters in ν and ι have the usual gen. ἄστυ, ἄστεος, ἀστέων:

πέπερι πεπέρεος.

4. Hence arises for substantives the following usual decl.:-

Sing.	$\hat{\eta}, (a \ city,)$	$\delta(an ell,)$	$ \tau \diamond, (a \ town,) $		Plural.	
		πῆχυς	ἄστυ		πήχεις	ἄστη
Gen.	πόλεως	πήχεως		πόλεων	πήχεων	ἀστέων
Dat.		πήχει		πόλεσι(ν)	πήχεσι(ν)	ἄστεσι(ν)
Acc.	πόλιν	πῆχυν		πόλεις		ἄστη
Voc.		-/-	1 .	πόλεις		ἄστη
					. /	

Dual N. A. | πόλεε | πήχεε | ἄστεε G. D. | πόλεων | πήχεων | ἀστέοιν

5. Adjectives in $v_{\mathcal{C}}$, v, have the usual gen., and do not contract the neut. pl., ex. gr.

ήδὺς, neut. ήδὺ, gen. ήδέος, dat. ήδεῖ, pl. ήδεῖς, neut. ήδέα, gen. ήδέων.

Obs. 1. Most words in $v_{\mathcal{L}}$ are declined according to the preceding §. There is only π έλεκ $v_{\mathcal{L}}$, and partly ἔγχελ $v_{\mathcal{L}}$ and π ρέσ $\beta v_{\mathcal{L}}$, (see the Anom.) which follow the decl. of π $\tilde{\eta}$ χ $v_{\mathcal{L}}$. Besides π $\tilde{\omega}$ \tilde{v} , (which, however, is nowhere found contracted,) there is a considerable number of names of plants and minerals in ι , as σ ίνα π ι, κιννά β αρι, &c. which are declined like $\tilde{\alpha}$ σ τ v.

Obs. 2. Attic poets have also the gen. ἄστεως for the sake of the metre, and later writers employ it likewise in prose. (Plut. Sull. 13. $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\epsilon} \omega_{\mathcal{S}}$.)

Obs. 3. Ionic writers make always $\iota \circ \varsigma$ of those in $\iota \varsigma$, $(\pi \delta \lambda \iota \circ \varsigma, \&c.)$ according to the

¹ Grammarians state it as Attic ; but in our Attic works we have $\gamma ενεσέοιν$ and κινησέοιν, &c.

preceding article. There is also a gen. $\epsilon o \epsilon$, but only in Attic poets; they shorten the gen. $\epsilon w \epsilon$ for the sake of the metre; $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon o \epsilon$, $\ddot{\nu} \beta \rho \epsilon o \epsilon$, &c. Epics have the Ionic formation; only in the dat. they use $\epsilon \ddot{\iota}$, to avoid the cacophony of u, for instance, $\pi \delta \sigma \iota o \epsilon$, $\pi \delta \sigma \iota o \epsilon$. Hence, when they contract this dat, they often make it $\epsilon \iota$, $(\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota)$ instead of $\bar{\iota}$, which is peculiar to Ionic and Doric prose $(\pi \delta \lambda \bar{\iota})$. But the Ionic form of the words in $v \epsilon$ of this decl., excepting $\ddot{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \epsilon \lambda v \epsilon$, is $\epsilon o \epsilon$, $\epsilon \iota$: $\pi \tilde{\eta} \chi v \epsilon$, $\pi \dot{\eta} \chi \epsilon o \epsilon$, &c.

(bs. 4. Πόλις is the only word which with Epics has πόληος in the gen., and then

the accus. is $\pi \delta \lambda \eta a$.

Obs. 5. Contractions like $\pi\eta\chi\tilde{\omega}\nu$, and (of the adj. neuter $\eta\mu\iota\sigma\nu$) gen. $\dot{\eta}\mu\iota\sigma\sigma\nu$, pl. $\dot{\tau}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\eta}\mu\iota\sigma\eta$, belong to the time when the Attic writers were sinking.

§ 52.

The words in $\epsilon \delta c$ have also the Attic gen., but merely the gen. sing. in ωc without any peculiarity in the accent, because the accent in the nomin. always is on $\epsilon v c$, and must, according to δ 43. Obs. 4. 1, remain on the penultimate syllable. The contraction in these words too extends barely to the dat. sing. and nom. and accus. pl., in which latter case $\epsilon a c$, however, is more usual.

Sing.	$ \delta, (king,) $	Dual.	Plural.
Nom.	βασιλεύς	βασιλέε	βασιλεῖς
Gen.	Βασιλέως	βασιλέοιν	Βασιλέων
Dat.	βασιλεί	•	βασιλεῦσι(ν)
Acc.	βασιλέα		Βασιλέας, Βασιλείς
Voc.	βασιλεῦ		βασιλείς.

Obs. 1. The long α in the accus. sing. and pl. is an Attic peculiarity. Writers, not Attics, or what are called $\kappa o\iota voi$, (§ 1, 9.) employ the accus. $\epsilon i e$. But poets, even the Attics, sometimes contract the accus. sing. ϵa into $\tilde{\eta}$ (Il. o. 339. Aristoph. Acharn. 1151).

Obs. 2. The old Attics (Thuc., Aristoph.) contract the nomin. pl. into $\tilde{\eta} \varsigma$, as of $i\pi\pi\tilde{\eta} \varsigma$, of $Ma\nu\tau\iota\nu\tilde{\eta} \varsigma$, which termination is erroneously written with the iota sub-

scriptum, since it comes from the old $\tilde{\eta} \varepsilon \varsigma$. (See the following Obs.)

 $\hat{O}bs.$ 3. The Ionic dialect constantly has $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \hat{\eta} \hat{o}_{\zeta}$, $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \hat{\eta} \hat{i}_{\zeta}$, $\hat{\eta} \hat{a}_{\zeta}$, $\hat{\alpha} \hat{c}_{\zeta}$, &c. The form $\dot{\epsilon}o_{\zeta}$, $\dot{\epsilon}i_{\zeta}$, occurs rarely, and is only peculiar to the poets. See about the dat. pl. $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \sigma i$, and (from $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi \epsilon \dot{v}_{\zeta}$) $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi \dot{\eta} \epsilon \sigma \sigma i$, § 46. Obs. 2.

§ 53.

1. There are some deviations in the Attic contraction of the third decl., when there is a vowel either before or after an ϵ . The termination ϵa then is not contracted into η , but into a, as $i\gamma\iota\dot{\eta}\varsigma$, (sound,) accus. sing. and neut. pl. $i\gamma\iota\dot{\epsilon}a$, contr. $i\gamma\iota\ddot{a}$, (and the same with $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\dot{\phi}\nu\ddot{a}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\dot{\epsilon}a$, $\kappa\lambda\dot{\epsilon}o$, pl. $\kappa\lambda\dot{\epsilon}e$, $\kappa\lambda\dot{\epsilon}a$.

2. Even those terminations of the words in $\varepsilon v_{\mathcal{C}}$, which commonly are not contracted, absorb in this way the ε before a, $a_{\mathcal{C}}$,

and $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, in some words; as $\chi_{0\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\nu}\mathcal{G}}$, (measure of liquids, see the Anom. $\chi_{0\tilde{\nu}\mathcal{G}}$,) gen. $\chi_{0\tilde{\omega}\mathcal{G}}$, (for $\chi_{0\tilde{\epsilon}\omega\mathcal{G}}$,) accus. $\chi_{0\tilde{a}}$, accus. pl. $\chi_{0\tilde{a}\mathcal{G}}$, (for $\chi_{0\tilde{\epsilon}a\mathcal{G}}$,) $\Pi_{\epsilon i\rho a i \epsilon \tilde{\nu}\mathcal{G}}$, gen. $\Pi_{\epsilon i\rho a i \tilde{\omega}\mathcal{G}}$, accus. $\Pi_{\epsilon i\rho a i \tilde{a}}$: $\mathring{a}\gamma_{\nu i \epsilon \tilde{\nu}\mathcal{G}}$, $70\tilde{\nu}_{\mathcal{G}}$ $\mathring{a}\gamma_{\nu i \tilde{a}\mathcal{G}}$, and a few more. These are the few instances where the contracted acc. pl. differs from the contracted nom. pl.

3. This produces in proper names ending in $\kappa\lambda \ell\eta \varsigma$, contr. $\kappa\lambda\tilde{\eta}\varsigma$, a double contraction, but commonly merely in the dat., as

Nom. Περικλέης---Περικλῆς

Gen. Περικλέεος, contr. Περικλέους

Dat. Περικλέεϊ — Περικλέει — Περικλεῖ

Αcc. Περικλέεα--Περικλέα

Voc. Περικλέες--Περίκλεις

Decline in the same way 'Hoak $\lambda \tilde{\eta}_{S}$, (Hercules,) and others.

Obs. 1. The doubly contracted accus. occurs seldom; for instance, ' $H\rho\alpha\kappa\lambda\tilde{\eta}$. That we also meet with ' $H\rho\alpha\kappa\lambda\tilde{\eta}\nu$ (but only in later writers) may be accounted for from what is stated § 56. Obs. 4.

Obs. 2. Sometimes there is, instead of a contraction, an elision of one of the vowels, for instance, rocat. "Ηρακλές, (by way of exclamation in the later prose-writers,) and in the poets the gen. Σοφοκλέος, dat. 'Ηρακλέϊ, Homer ὑπερδέα, (instead of εᾶ,) for ὑπερδεία from -εής, σπέσσι for σπέεσσι.

Obs. 3. This elision may also serve to account for the unaccented termination α , ex. gr. in $\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$, which, because of the contraction, should be long, being short in the Epic poets. Compare § 23. Obs. 11.

Obs. 4. The learner must carefully notice which words, and which terminations of each word, have the usual form, or this peculiar contraction. We constantly find $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\iota\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$, $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\iota\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\varsigma$, (from $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\iota\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\varsigma}$,) but of $\dot{\nu}\gamma\iota\dot{\eta}\varsigma$ the accus. pl. $\dot{\nu}\gamma\iota\dot{\epsilon}\bar{\iota}\varsigma$, never $-\tilde{\alpha}\varsigma$.

Obs. 5. The Ionic dialect always has ὑγίεα, Ἡρακλέεα, ἐνδέες, &c., and the ancient poets contract the two first ε into ει or η : for instance, from κλέος, $\sigma\pi$ έος, we find the gen. κλέιος, pl. κλέια, dat. $\sigma\pi$ εῖι, and $\sigma\pi$ ηϊ, further Ἡρακλῆος, ηϊ, η̂α. See the Anom. about χρέος, χρέως.

§ 54.

1. Of the neuters in a_{ς} , these two, $\kappa \epsilon \rho a_{\varsigma}$, horn, and $\tau \epsilon \rho a_{\varsigma}$, prodigy, have $a_{\tau \circ \varsigma}$ in the gen., but cast off the τ in the Ion. dialect:

κέρατος, κέραος, τέρατος, τέραος,

and the three following, $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \rho \alpha \varsigma$, old age, $\gamma \epsilon \rho \alpha \varsigma$, honour, $\kappa \rho \epsilon \alpha \varsigma$, flesh, constantly have merely $\alpha \alpha \varsigma$.

2. This produces the following contraction:—

Sing. Dual. Plural. N. A. V. κέρας κέρας κέρας κέρας κέρας κεράοιν, κερών κεράων, κερών υπέρασι(ν)

- Obs. 1. The word $\tau \epsilon \rho a c$ admits the contraction only in the pl. ($\tau \epsilon \rho a$, $\tau \epsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} v$,) the Attics use solely $\tau \epsilon \rho a \tau c c$ in the sing.; and of $\kappa \epsilon \rho a c$ also the form $a \tau c c$ has continued in use along with the contraction. The three others commonly occur only contracted; a c c is in all writers a mere Ionic form.
- 3. The remaining neuters in $a_{\mathcal{S}}$, $a_{\mathcal{S}}$, take only the forms $a_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $a_{\mathcal{S}}$, for instance, $\sigma \in \lambda a_{\mathcal{S}}$, (light,) $\delta \in \pi a_{\mathcal{S}}$, (goblet,) $\tau \tilde{\psi}$ $\sigma \in \lambda a_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\delta \in \pi a_{\mathcal{S}}$, pl. $\tau \hat{\alpha}$ $\sigma \in \lambda a_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\delta \in \pi a_{\mathcal{S}}$. It is the same with $\delta \in \rho a_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\sigma \in \lambda a_{\mathcal{S}}$, and others.
- Obs. 2. The middle syllable $\rho \alpha$ is originally long in κέρας, (κέρατα, Anacr. 2. Eurip. Bacch. 919.) Hence the lengthened κεράατα and τεράατα of the (later) Epics.

Obs. 3. But the end-syllable, for instance, of τὰ γέρα, κρέα, is also used as short

(see § 28. Obs. 11, and more particularly, Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.).

Obs. 4. The α of these words is often changed in the decl. into an ε by the Ionians, as if the nomin. were in og: for instance, κέρεος, τὰ γέρεα, κρέεσσιν, and others. Some old words have barely this form, see the Anom. βρέτας, οὐδας, and partly κνέφας.

§ 55.

1. The comparatives in $\omega \nu$, neut. $o\nu$, gen. $o\nu og$, (§ 67. 68.) drop the ν in the accus. sing. and in the nomin., accus., and vocat. pl. and contract the two vowels, but without this contraction the ν never is dropped, not even by the Ionians; ex. gr.

Sing.	Plur.
Nom. μείζων, (greater,) N. μείζον	μείζονες, contr. μείζους
Gen. μείζονος	μειζόνων
Dat. μείζονι	μείζοσι(ν)
Acc. μείζονα, contr. μείζω, Ν. μείζον	μείζονας, contr. μείζους
Voc. μείζου	μείζονες, contr. μείζους
77	

Neut. pl. τὰ μείζονα, contr. μείζω.

Dual.

Ν. μείζονε, G. μειζόνοιν.

The Attics are as fond of the forms $\mu\epsilon i \zeta o \nu a$ and $\mu\epsilon i \zeta o \nu a \varsigma$ as of the contracted forms; $\mu\epsilon i \zeta o \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ occurs rarely.

2. The Attics contract in the same way, though it is rather harsh, the accus. of the two names $A\pi\delta\lambda\lambda\omega\nu$, $\omega\nu\sigma\varsigma$, and $\Pi\sigma\epsilon\iota$ - $\delta\tilde{\omega}\nu$, $\tilde{\omega}\nu\sigma\varsigma$ (Neptune).

Accus. 'Απόλλωνα 'Απόλλω, Ποσειδώνα Ποσειδώ.

Obs. The poets also have κυκεών, (drink of various ingredients,) κυκεώνα—κυκεώ (Epic, κυκειώ).—Compare about this contraction, and some similar ones, εἰκὼν, ἀηδὼν, the following §. Obs. 6. d. Obs. 7.

§ 56.—Anomalous Declension.

1. There is an anomaly in a decl., when one or more cases

of a noun are declined in a particular way different from the nomin.; see, for instance, $\mathring{a}v\mathring{\eta}\rho$, $\kappa\acute{u}\omega\nu$, $\gamma\acute{a}\lambda a$, in the list of Anomalous or Irregular Nouns.

Obs. 1. Among these mere deviations of the decl. must also be ranked the decl. of foreign and later Greek names in g with a long vowel, as

Φιλῆς, gen. Φιλῆ, dat. Φιλῆ, accus. Φιλῆν, vocat. Φιλῆ, 'Ίησοῦς, gen. 'Ἰησοῦ, dat. 'Ἰησοῦ, accus. 'Ἰησοῦν, vocat. 'Ἰησοῦ.

- 2. But most deviations from the regular formation consist in what is called an interchange of forms. In the Greek language one and the same word, especially in the old Greek, frequently had more than one form of inflection, though its signification did not vary. It is true that in the polished language there was but one of these forms in use; yet the other often maintained itself, sometimes for the sake of euphony, and sometimes by mere chance, especially in poetry; for instance, $\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ and $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho a$ (Ceres); $\delta \dot{a} \kappa \rho \nu o \nu$, anciently $\delta \dot{a} \kappa \rho \nu$, $\nu o c$ (tear).
- Obs. 2. Under this head must also be ranked when a mass, in o_s is at the same time a neuter in o_s of the third decl., as \dot{o} and $\tau \dot{o}$ σκότος, darkness, σκύφος, a drinking-ressel, $\ddot{o}\chi o_s$, ckariot; further prolongations of the fem. endings of the first decl., as σελήνη, \dot{a} νάγκη, Ion. σεληναίη, \dot{a} ναγκαίη, 'Αθηναί, (Minerva,) with Epics 'Αθήνη, Ion. 'Αθηναίη, and a number of female names in η with the Epic form εια: Πηνελόπη, Πηνελόπεια, Περσεφόνεια, Τερψιχόρεια, &c.—Several proper names have already double forms in the nomin., (for instance, -κλης and -κλος, 'Ιφικλης and 'Ιφικλος, and poets may use sometimes one form, sometimes the other, as the verse requires; Homer always has Πάτροκλος in the nomin., but in the accus. Πάτροκλον and Πατροκληα, in the vocat. Πάτροκλεις; and yet this cannot be considered as a metaplasm, of which we are going to treat presently.
- 3. As such double forms originated at a time when people had no idea of grammar, but every form and termination was always alone before their eyes without any regard to the others, it naturally followed that of two inflections, especially in declensions, they used in one case one, and in another the other, and thus the noun in use became a true anomalon; for instance, $\gamma \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$ should follow the first decl., but makes $\gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa \dot{\delta} c$ in the gen. from the unused nomin. $\Gamma \Upsilon NAI\Xi$: $\nu a \tilde{\nu} c$ has in the accus. $\nu a \tilde{\nu} v$, but in the dat. $\nu \eta \dot{\nu}$ (from the form $\nu \eta \tilde{\nu} c$, which is merely Ionic). See these words below in the list, and compare $Z\epsilon\dot{\nu} c$, $\dot{\nu}\delta\omega\rho$, $\gamma\dot{\delta}\nu\nu$, $\dot{\delta}\epsilon\nu\dot{\delta}\rho o\nu$, $\pi\tilde{\nu} \rho$.
- 4. But frequently these double forms were used, more or less, together in one casus, as $vi\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$, $gen.\ vio\tilde{v}$, and (after a nomin. of the third decl.) $vi\acute{\epsilon}o_{\mathcal{C}}$: see this word in the list, and

compare also θέμις, κοινωνὸς, ὄρνις, χοῦς. Such a word is called in Latin abundans.

5. When such double forms presuppose but one *nomin.*, from which they differ in their decl., the word is called a

Heterocliton:

for instance, $Oidi\pi ov_{\mathcal{C}}$, gen. $Oidi\pi odo_{\mathcal{C}}$, and after the contracted second decl. $Oidi\pi ov$. But when one casus presupposes an obsolete nomin., it is called a

Metaplasmus:

for instance, δένδρον, ου, dat. pl. δένδροις, and (as from τὸ Δ EN- Δ PO Σ) δένδρεσιν.

6. It is likewise a metaplasm, when neuters pl. in a are made of masc. in og, which is done in prose—especially with

τὰ δεσμὰ, σταθμὰ, σῖτα.

These forms are used in some particular connexions instead of οί δεσμοί, &c.

Obs. 3. The greatest part of the common and poetical anomalous nouns consists of *Heteroclites* and *Metaplasms*, or is a mixture of both. Those which must be noticed separately, are stated in alphabetical order in § 58, but we will previously bring several classes, to which many belong, under one point of view.

Obs. 4. Heteroclites are the words in $\eta_{\mathcal{S}}$, which are declined after the first and third decl., some throughout, as $\mu i \kappa \eta_{\mathcal{S}}$, mushroom, gen. ov and $\eta \tau \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$: especially proper names, as $\Delta \acute{a} \rho \eta_{\mathcal{S}}$, gen. ov and $\eta \tau \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ (see Anom. $\Theta a \lambda \widetilde{\eta}_{\mathcal{S}}$): others in part. All compound proper names in particular, which have $\varepsilon \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ in the gen., form the acc. in η and $\eta \nu$: $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \acute{a} \tau \eta_{\mathcal{S}}$, gen. ($\varepsilon \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$) ove, acc. $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \acute{a} \tau \eta_{\mathcal{S}}$ (Plato,) and $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \acute{a} \tau \eta_{\mathcal{S}}$ (Xenoph.).—And the Ionians form, vice versâ, the acc. sing. and pl. of the words in $\eta_{\mathcal{S}}$ of the first decl. after the third, as,

τὸν δεσπότεα, pl. τοὺς δεσπότεας, from δεσπότης, ov, Mιλτιάδεα from Mιλτιάδης, ov^2 .

Obs. 5. Some words in $\iota_{\mathcal{C}}$, which partly take a δ in the inflection, and partly not, constitute another kind of Heteroclites; for instance, $\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \iota_{\mathcal{C}}$, (wrath,) $\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \iota_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \iota \delta \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}$, and several proper names, as 'Aνάχαρσις, ιδος (Aristotle) and $\epsilon \omega \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}$ (Plutarch,) and the feminines in $\iota_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\iota \delta \sigma_{\mathcal{C}}$, as $\pi \alpha \nu \tilde{\eta} \gamma \nu \rho \iota_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\mu \tilde{\eta} \tau \iota_{\mathcal{C}}$, 'Iσις, Θέτις, &c. commonly have $\iota_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the Doric and Ionic dialect.—Under this head must also be reckoned some Epic datives with the shortened $\iota_{\mathcal{C}}$ (according to § 28. Obs. 11.) as $\delta a \tilde{\iota}$ for $\delta a \tilde{\iota} \delta \iota_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Obs. 6. The nominatives ending in $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\omega_{\mathcal{V}}$, $\omega_{\mathcal{O}}$, occasion likewise several changes, in some of which it is, however, doubtful whether one of the forms ought not rather to be considered as a contraction. Of this kind are

¹ Many, however, can only be said to be (abundantia) abounding for us in the grammar, since we are under the necessity of noticing at once in the aggregate, what was the practice at different periods, in different dialects, or of different writers; for instance, the various inflections of $\theta\ell\mu\mu g$.

² Names formed like patronymics, as $M\iota\lambda\tau\iota\dot{\alpha}\delta\eta\varsigma$, Εἰριπίδης, and most of those which are not compounds, like Σωκράτης, &c., as Aἰσχινης, Ξέρξης, Γύγης—with the exception of this Ionism, constantly follow in Greek the first deel., though they are declined in Latin entirely after the third (gen. Miltiadis, Xerxis, &c.).

a.) $\omega \varsigma$, gen. ω and $\omega \circ \varsigma$. Thus $M i \nu \omega \varsigma$, $\pi \acute{a} \tau \rho \omega \varsigma$, $\mu \acute{\eta} \tau \rho \omega \varsigma$, yet in the pl. com-

monly πάτρωες, &c. See Anom. κάλως, and compare ήρως.

b.) ως, gen. ωτος. These words sometimes drop the τ : ὁ ἰδρῶς, (sweat,) ἰδρῶτι, ἱδρῶτα, has an Attic double form, τῷ ἰδρῷ, τὸν ἰδρῶ, considered as a contraction, (like κέρατι, κέρα,) but agrees likewise with the forms of the Attic second deel., just as χρωτὶ, χρῷ (Anom. χρώς). See a more obvious transition to the Attic second deel. in the Anom. γέλως, and some adjectives, (εἰρὐκερως, &c.) § 63. Obs. 5.

Such words as have in the nominat. already two usual forms, can strictly neither be ranked amongst the *Heteroclites* nor amongst Metaplasms.

Such are

c.) Nom. ως and ος. Even ἔρως, ωτος, (desire, love,) which clearly is of the third decl., has a poetical double form, ἔρος, acc. ἔρον. It therefore cannot surprise us, if some words of the Attic second decl. have cases of the common second decl.; for instance, ταὼς, nomin., pl. ταψ and ταοί. See See also Anom. κάλως and γέλως.

d.) Nom. ως and ων. Here the change partly takes place already in the nomin.: ὁ ταὼς, gen. ὼ, and ταὼν, gen. ῶνος, (peacock,) ὁ τυφὼς, ὼ and τυφὼν, ῶνος, (whirlwind,) ἡ ἄλως, (threshing-floor,) gen. ω and ωος, also ἄλων, ωνος. The forms of the third decl. are more usual with all of them in the pl. The accus. ᾿Απόλλω, Ποσειδῶ, κυκεῶ, § 55, may be compared with them.

e.) Some feminines in ων have a collateral form in ω, gen. ους: γλήχων, ωνος, (pennyroyal,)—γληχὼ, οῦς, Γοργὼν, όνος, in old authors Γοργὼ, οῦς.
 Metaplasms.

Obs. 7. Under this head must be considered-

I. Subst. of the fem. gender terminating in $\omega \nu$, the collateral forms of which (unlike those in Obs. 6. e.) have been lost, ex. qr.

of είκων, όνος, (image,) we find also gen. είκοῦς, acc. είκω, acc. pl. είκοὺς,

of ἀηδών, όνος, (nightingale,)—gen. ἀηδοῦς, of χελιδών, όνος, (swallow,)—roc. χελιδοῖ,

though we might also assume a contraction in the manner of $\mu\epsilon i\zeta\omega\nu$, &c.

II. One subst. in ωρ, which points to a disused nomin. in ως, ex. gr.

 $i\chi\dot{\omega}\rho$, $\tilde{\omega}\rho\sigma_{c}$, (water of the blood,)—Homer has the accus. $i\chi\tilde{\omega}$ ³, instead of $i\chi\tilde{\omega}\rho\alpha$.

Obs. 8. Lastly, we find in Epic and Lyric poets, instead of the usual terminations in the cases of some words, others of a shorter or simpler form, the analogous nomin. of which is wanting; especially forms of the third decl. with the terminations $o_{\mathcal{C}}$, ι , α , $\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\epsilon \sigma \iota$, instead of the usual ones after the first and second decl.; for instance,

instead of $\dot{a}\lambda\kappa\tilde{\eta}$, (of $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{a}\lambda\kappa\dot{\eta}$, strength,)— $\dot{a}\lambda\kappa\dot{\iota}$ of $A\Lambda\Xi$,

,, κρόκην, (of κρόκη, woof in wearing,)-κρόκα of KPOΞ,

", , atôον, atôη, atôην, (of o atôης, infernal regions,)—äιδος, αιδι, αιδα of 'AΪΣ,

,, κλάδω, (of ὁ κλάδος, twig,)—κλαδὶ, pl. κλάδεσι of ΚΛΑΣ,

,, ἀνδραπόδοις, (of τὸ ἀνδράποδον, slave,)—ἀνδραπόδεσσι as if of ΑΝΔΡΑΠΟΥΣ,

,, , ὑσμίνη, (of ἡ ὑσμίνη, battle,)—ὑσμῖνι of ὙΣΜΙΣ,

and some others. Of this kind are likewise

αὶ στάγες, for σταγόνες, drops.

³ All these appearances are cleared up, when we have correct notions of the uniformity of all the deel., and perceive that the first and second deel., with their double forms, are properly nothing but old contractions and mutilations of the third. Thus the $acc.\ \omega$ of the Attic second deel. is connected with the contracted $acc.\ \omega$ of the third; that in ν of the third with the first and second; the $Ion.\ \delta\epsilon\sigma\pi\acute{o}\tau\epsilon a$ appears less irregular, &c. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gram. § 33. Obs. 3.

θέραπα, θέραπες, for θεράποντα, ες, attendant.

μάστῖ, μάστιν, (of MAΣΤΙΣ,) for μάστῖγι, α, of $\mathring{η}$ μάστιξ, scourge.

Some of these forms cannot even conveniently be considered as known metaplasms, but barely as isolated casus of old words; for instance,

 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \nu i \phi \alpha$, (snow,) of NI Ψ :

for the usual name of snow is χιών, and νιφάς has a derivative meaning (snow falling in large flakes). Further the casus

 $\tau \tilde{\eta}_{\varsigma} \ \sigma \tau_{i} \chi \tilde{o}_{\varsigma}, \ pl. \ \sigma \tau_{i} \chi \varepsilon_{\varsigma}, \ \alpha_{\varsigma}, \ of \ \Sigma TI\Xi,$

cannot be traced to the prosaic δ $\sigma \tau i \chi o_{\mathcal{S}}$, (line,) on account of the difference of the gender.

Obs. 9. A very particular anomalous decl. is effected in Epic poetry by the very frequent use of

the termination $\phi \iota \nu$ or $\phi \iota$,

employed instead of the dat. or gen. sing. and pl., and is appended chiefly according to the following analogy: as

- οφιν to nouns of the second decl.; for instance,

στρατός, στρατόφιν:

— ηφιν to those of the first decl.; for instance,
 κεφαλη, κεφαληφι, βία, βίηφιν⁴:

- εσφιν to the neuters in og, gen. εος; for instance,

 $\ddot{o}\chi o \varsigma$, $\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \theta o \varsigma - \ddot{o}\chi \epsilon \sigma \phi \iota$, $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \phi \iota \nu$.

Peculiarities and deviations (as κράτεσφι of KPAΣ, κρατὸς,) must be left to individual observation. It may, besides, safely be admitted that this form originally had a mere adverbial and chiefly local signification, exactly like the similar terminations $\theta\iota$, $\theta\epsilon\nu$: hence ὅρεσφιν, (in the mountains,) κεφαλῆφι (λαβεῖν), at the head, θύρηφι, out of doors; and the meaning was frequently determined by the addition of a prep., as ἐπ' ἰκριόφιν, on the deck of a ship; διὰ στήθεσφι, through the breast; and thus originated the habit of adding this form to all prepositions, which else govern the dat. or gen. in lieu of these casus. This continued to be its most frequent use by far, and it is but in few instances that we meet with this form without a prep., instead of a casus; for instance, ἀγλαίηφι πεποιθώς, trusting to courage; βίηφι, by force; and most rarely instead of the pure gen., as ὀστεόφιν θἰς, a heap of bones. But this form also assimilates to the real casus, as it often is grammatically connected with it, as ἀπὸ πλατέος πτυόφιν, and even frequently added to both the subst. and the adj. κρατερῆφι βίηφι, which, however, is also done with the undisputed local syllable δε in ὄνδε δομόνδε.

§ 57.—Defectives and Indeclinables.

- 1. Defectives are chiefly such words as, owing to their nature, occur but in one number, as $ai\theta\hat{\eta}\rho$, and the following plurals, $\tau\hat{a}$ $\xi\gamma\kappa\alpha\tau a$, (third decl.) entrails, of $\xi\eta\sigma(ai)$, monsoons, at $\delta\nu\sigma\mu a\hat{i}$, setting of the sun, west; and the names of festivals, as $\tau\hat{a}$ $\Delta\iota\nu\nu\hat{\nu}\sigma\iota a$, &c.
- 2. Words which are in common use only in particular connexions, the principal of which are

the neuters $\ddot{o}_{\nu a \rho}$, (dream, vision,) and $\ddot{v}_{\pi a \rho}$, $(true\ apparitions,)$ merely as nomin. and acc.

⁴ As grammarians assume that this syllable is a mere appendage to the requisite casus, they place the *iota subscriptum* under the η when it is the *dat.*, in order to distinguish it from the *qen*. This is evidently incorrect.

τὸ ὄφελος and τὸ ἦδος, (use, utility,) merely as nomin., for instance, τί ἂν ἡμῖν ὄφελος είης; of what use wouldst thou be to us?

 $\mu\acute{a}\lambda\eta$, (the ancient form instead of $\mu a\sigma\chi\acute{a}\lambda\eta$, shoulder,) in the expression $\delta\imath\pi\delta$ $\mu\acute{a}\lambda\eta\varsigma$ (under the arm).

See also $\tilde{\omega}$ $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu$, in the list. Of this kind are likewise several which from original nouns are become perfect adverbs, as the *accus*. $\grave{\epsilon}\pi i \kappa \lambda \eta \nu$, $\grave{\epsilon}\xi a i \phi \nu \eta c$, properly $\grave{\epsilon}\xi$ $a i \phi \nu \eta c$, and the like, § 115. Obs. 3, 5. Lastly, those which want some cases; see the *Anom*. $\grave{a}\rho \nu \grave{o}c$, $\pi \rho \acute{\epsilon}\sigma \beta \nu c$, $\sigma \sigma c c$.

3. Indeclinables are only some foreign words, (as $\tau \delta \pi \acute{a}\sigma \chi a$,) the letters of the alphabet $\check{a}\lambda\phi a$, $\mu\tilde{\nu}$, &c., and of genuine Greek words, most cardinal numbers (§ 70). The participium neutrum $\tau \delta \chi \varrho \epsilon \grave{\omega} \nu$ of the impers. $\chi \varrho \grave{\eta}$, is also in some respects indeclinable. See about $\tau o \tilde{\nu} \chi \varrho \epsilon \grave{\omega} \nu$, Buttm. Complete Gr. Gram. § 57. Obs. 4.

Obs. 1. The name of the letter $\sigma i \gamma \mu a$ is found declined τa $\sigma i \gamma \mu a \tau a$, &c. This might easily be accounted for from its having completely assumed the form of a

Greek word; but the correctness of the reading is questionable.

- Obs. 2. It is not proper to rank among the defectives several old and poetical words which occur but seldom in the oldest poets, and have by mere accident maintained themselves in some casus or other, as $\nu i \phi \alpha$, mentioned in § 56. Obs. 8. (see also the Anom. $\lambda i \tau i$, $\dot{\eta} \lambda \dot{\epsilon}$,) and among the indeclinables those of the same kind, which have accidentally maintained themselves merely in the nom. (or neuters in the nom. and acc.) as $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \dot{\omega} c$, gift, $\tau \dot{\delta}$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \alpha c$, body. There may be many words among the latter which the ancients never used in the gen. or dat., as $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \alpha c$ in particular; in that case they are defectives; they would be indeclinables only, if for instance they occurred in the gen. without changing their form, as $\tau o \tilde{\nu} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi a$. See the list about $\lambda i \pi a$.
- Obs. 3. Some such short collateral forms of known words, as we have seen § 56. Obs. 8, in other cases are also met with in the nomin. only, and therefore improperly pass for being indeclinable. And it is still more incorrect to consider them as arbitrarily abbreviated from forms in use by the ancient poets, since they are rather remnants of the old language before it was analogically polished; more perfect forms have subsequently been adopted in lieu of them. Such are:

τὸ δῶ 1, perfect form, τὸ δῶμα, house.

- τ ò κρῖ, (barley,) perf. ἡ κριθ ἡ, of which the former cannot be an abbreviation, since the gender is different.
- τὸ ἄλφι, (barley-flour,) perf. ἄλφιτον. The former simple form was probably declined like μέλι, ιτος.
- $\tau \dot{o}$ γλάφν, (care,) evidently the neuter of an adj. ΓΛΑΦΥΣ, instead of which γλαφνρὸς (excavated) was afterwards used.

See also the Anom. κάρα, κάρη, which is considered as an abbreviation of κάρηαρ, or κάρηνον, and some adjectives, § 64. Obs. 3, 4.

¹ Hesiod has it as a pl. (Th. 933. χρύσεα δῶ,) but (like the pl. κάρα) it may be considered as a contraction.

§ 58.—List of Irregular Nouns.

Prefatory Remark.—With regard to the arrangement and use of this list, compare below the prefatory remarks to the List of Anomalous Verbs. What is there observed about obsolete themes, applies likewise here to obsolete nomin.; they are distinguished in both places by capital letters. Whatever relates to common prose, is printed in a larger type, or partly so; whatever is of rare occurrence and poetical, is printed in a small type.

'Aηδων, § 56. Obs. 7. ἀλκὶ, § 56. Obs. 8. ἄλως and ἄλων, § 56. Obs. 6. d. ἄνα, τος., § 41. Obs. 5. a. c. with the note. ἄϊδος, &c. § 56. Obs. 8. ἄλφι, § 57. Obs. 3. ἄμφω, § 78. 4. ἀνὸραπόδεσσι, § 56. Obs. 8.

άνὴρ, (a man,) belongs to words like πατὴρ, (§ 47.) but admits the syncope in all the cases which have a lengthened termination, and inserts a δ (§ 19. Obs. 1): therefore, ἀνδρὸς, ἀνδρὶ, ἄνδρα, ὧ ἄνερ, pl. ἄνδρες, ἀνδρῶν, ἀνδράσιν, ἄνδρας.

In the Epic poets also regularly ἀνέρος, &c. and in the dat. pl. also ἄνδρεσσιν.

'Aπόλλων, acc. § 55, 2. voc. § 45. Obs. 2.

 \mathring{a} ργέτος, ι, Epic abbrev. instead of the gen. \mathring{a} ργ $\mathring{\eta}$ τος, dat. $\mathring{\eta}$ τι, from \mathring{a} ργ $\mathring{\eta}$ ς (white).

"Aρης, (Mars,) gen. "Aρεος, does not contract this gen., but it does the dat. "Aρει—acc. "Aρη and "Aρην, § 56. Obs. 4.

In Epics Appos, "Appi, "Appa. We also meet with the gen. "Apews. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gram.

άρνὸς, (τοῦ, τῆς, of the lamb,) ἀρνὶ, ἄρνα, pl. ἄρνες, dat. ἀρνάσι. The nomin. sing. is supplied by dμνός.

These are the cases of an obsolete nomin. APHN or APPHN, gen. $\epsilon\nu\sigma$, whence by $syncope\ \dot{\alpha}\rho\nu\dot{\alpha}_{\mathcal{G}}$, &c. like the similar cases of $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\eta}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

ἀστράσι, § 47. Obs. 3.

Bάττος has the metaplast. gen. of the first decl. Βάττεω in Herod.

βρέτας, (τὸ, an image,) gen. βρέτεος, pl. βρέτη (see § 54. Obs. 4). βῶν, acc. § 50. Obs. 2.

 γ άλα, (το, milk,) makes γ άλακτος, γ άλακτι (compare § 41, 5, with the note).

 γ άλως, (sister-in-law,) gen. $\tilde{\gamma}$ άλω, Ion. nom. γ αλόως, gen. γ αλόω. γ αστ $\tilde{\gamma}$ ρ, \S 47, 2, and Obs. 3.

 γ έλως, (ὁ, laughter,) gen. ωτος, αου. γ έλωτα, and (according to the Attic second decl.) γ έλων (§ 56. Obs. 6. b.)

Homer has also the dat. $\gamma \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \psi$, and in Od. v. 346, the acc. $\gamma \hat{\epsilon} \lambda o v$, but with the various reading $\gamma \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ (§ 37. Obs. 2. and § 56. Obs. 6. c.).

 γ λάφυ, § 57. Obs. 3. γ λήχων, § 56. Obs. 7.

γόνυ, (τὸ, the knee,) gen. γόνατος, &c. dat. pl. γόνασιν (as if of ΓΟΝΑΣ): compare δόρυ, δόρατος.

Ιοπ. γούνατος, &c., and with poets γουνός, γουνί, pl. γοῦνα, γούνων. Compare δόρυ.

Γοργών, § 56. Obs. 6. e.

γυνη, (wife,) γυναικός, γυναικί, γυναίκα, ὧ γύναι, pl. γυναίκες, ας, γυναικών, γυναιξίν (of ΓΥΝΑΙΞ).

Compare the voc. $\gamma \dot{\nu} \nu a \iota$ with $\ddot{a} \nu a$, § 45. Obs. 5, and § 41, note 5. The accent in $\gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa \dot{\nu} \dot{c}$ is an exception from § 43. Obs. 4.

δαΐ, § 56. Obs. 5.

δένδρον (τὸ, tree,) has the dat. pl. commonly δένδρεσι of τὸ δένδρος, which occurs in Ionic writers: compare κρίνον.

The pl. δένδρεα, δενδρέοις, comes from another Ionic form, and occurs likewise in common prose.

 $\Delta \iota \delta \varsigma$, $\Delta \iota i$, see $Z \epsilon i \varsigma$.

δόρυ, (τὸ, spear,) gen. δόρατος, &c. dat. pl. δόρασι (of ΔΟΡΑΣ): compare γόνυ, γόνατος.

Ion. δούρατος, &c. The (rather poetical) casus δορός, δορί, Ion. δουρὸς, δουρὶ, pl. δούρα, δούρων, δούρεσσιν, come from a still simpler form. Compare γόνυ.

δορυξέ, τος. see § 36, note. ἔαρ, ἤρος, see § 41. Obs. 7.

δῶ, § 57. Obs. 3. ἐάων, see ἐΰς.

δεῖνα, § 73.

έγχελυς, (ή, eel,) υος, has in the pl. Ion. ἐγχέλυες, &c. Att. ἐγχέλεις, ἐγχέλεων (§ 51. Obs. 1).

είκων, § 56. Obs. 7.

ἐὑς, (good,) an Epic word, of which there is only the gen. ἐῆος ¹, acc. ἐὑς. Also ἀὺς, acc. ἀὑν, neut. ἀὑ. The Epic gen. pl. ἐάων (see § 35. a. Obs. 4. c.) comes from another form, EOΣ, a, oν, and its neut, pl. τὰ EA (goods).

εως, § 37. Obs. 2.

Zεὺς, (Jupiter,) gen. Διὸς, dat. Διὶ, acc. Δία, (as if from ΔΙΣ,) and a still less frequent form, Zηνὸς, Zηνὶ, Zηνα, (from ZHN,) voc. Zεῦ.

Zώc, § 64. Obs. 2.

ἢλὲ, Π. ο. 128. φρένας ἢλὲ, (madman!) a rocat. abbreviated from the equally rare
ἢλεὸς (Od. β. 243. φρένας ἢλεέ).

ηρα, a defective accus. in the Epic poets (love, assistance).

ήρως, (hero,) gen. ωος, contracts in the Attics the accus. ήρωα, ήρωας, into ήρω, ήρως.
The short vowel is also absorbed in the other terminations for the sake of the metre, dat. ήρω, nom. pl. of ήρως. Compare § 56. Obs. 6. a.

¹ The gen. έῆος in Homer was formerly distinguished from it by the spiritus, where the sense seemed to require the pron. possessive thy; for instance, παιδὸς έῆος, of thy son. It was then considered as the yen. of an old form, 'ΕΥΣ for ἐὸς, his, which, like other forms of the third pers., (see the Syntax, § 127. Obs. 5.) was used for the pron. poss. of the second pers. But ἐῆος is the only correct reading. The pronoun here is not expressed, and the adjective ἐῦς supplies it in some degree; just as ἐσθλὸς (excellent) is sometimes very ingeniously employed where the pron. poss. might be used; for instance, II. ε. 469. π. 573. Compare especially Od. γ. 379, with II. ω. 422. See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 23.

ກູ່ບໍ່ς, see ຂໍບໍ່ς.

Θαλῆς, gen. Θάλεω, dat. Θαλῆ, acc. Θαλῆν. We find this name in the oldest and best writers, with the accent removed only in the gen., and with the Ion. gen. (Herod., Plato, &c.) The gen. Θαλοῦ, and the form Θάλης, Θάλητος, ητι, ητα, are later. To accent the first syllable of the casus after the first decl., excepting Θάλεω, is incorrect.

θέμις, (ή, right, Themis,) has in the old and Epic writers θέμιστος, Dor. θέμιτος, in common language θέμιδος, Ion. θέμιος. Even the goddess, with Plato, is in the gen. Θέμιτος.

θέραπα, θέραπες, § 56. Obs. 8.

θρίξ, (ή, hair,) gen. τριχὸς, &c. dat. pl. θριξὶ, after § 18.

ίδρως, § 56. Obs. 6, b. | Ίησοῦς, § 56. Obs. 1.

ίχωρ, § 56. Obs. 7, 2.

κάλως, (δ, cable,) gen. ω, acc. ων, pl. also κάλωες and κάλοι, acc. κάλους (from ΚΑΛΟΣ): see § 56. Obs. 6. a. c.

κάρᾶ, Att. κάρη, Ion. (τὸ, head.) Of the first form, though so frequent in Attic poets, there are no other casus met with in them but the dat. κάρα. We have stated above, § 41, 8, that the casus κάρητος, τι, belong to κάρη, but there is besides a more perfect form in the Epic poets, καρήατος, from a more rare nomin. κάρηαρ. Compare § 41. Obs. 7. We have in the Hymn to Ceres, (v. 12.) the pl. κάρᾶ (for -αα or -ηα).

To these must be added the poetical forms KPAA Σ and KPA Σ , the nom. sing. of which is not to be met with. The first is Epic, and neut. pl. $\kappa\rho\dot{\alpha}\alpha\tau\alpha$; the other $\kappa\rho\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}c$, $\tau\dot{\ell}$, is common to all the poets, and generally masc. acc. sing. $\kappa\rho\ddot{\alpha}\tau\alpha$, Homer. There is a third form peculiar to Sophocles,

nom. and acc. sing. τὸ κρᾶτα (Philoct. 1457).

κέρας, § 54. | κλαδὶ, § 56. Obs. 8.

κλείς, (ή, key,) κλειδύς, has in the acc. κλείδα, commonly κλείν, and in the pl. κλείδες, κλείδας, contr. κλείς.

κνέφας, (darkness,) prefers in the gen. the form -εος, (κνέφους, Aristoph. Eccl. 290.) and in the dat. q (§ 54. Obs. 4). Epic form -aoς, αϊ.

κοινωνός, (partner,) Xenophon has, instead of its regular pl., κοινῶνες and aς. Compare § 56. Obs. 8.

ΚΡΑΑΣ, ΚΡΑΣ, see κάρα.

κρέας, § 54. κρῖ, § 57. Obs. 3.

κρίνον, $(\tau \delta, lily)$, has a collateral form in the pl. $\tau \dot{a}$ κρίνεα, (Herod.) κρίνεσι, as if of KPINOΣ. Compare $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \rho o \nu$.

κρόκα, § 56. Obs. 8. | κυκεών, § 55. Obs.

κύων, (dog,) κυνὸς, κυνὶ, κύνα, ὧ κύον, pl. κύνες, κυνὧν, κυσὶ, κύνας.

κῶας, (τὸ, fleece,) gen. κώεος, pl. κώεα, § 54. Obs. 4.

λᾶας, contr. λᾶς, (ὁ, stone,) gen. λᾶος, dat. λᾶϊ, (§ 43. Obs. 4.) acc. λᾶαν, λᾶν, (§ 44. Obs. 1.) dat. pl. λάεσσιν. But we meet also with the gen. λάον after the first decl. (as from λάᾶς.)

 $\lambda i\pi a$, an old subst. neuter, (oil, grease, fat, Hippocrates,) for which we also find $\lambda i\pi a\varsigma$. The dat. $\lambda i\pi a\ddot{\imath}$, $\lambda i\pi a$, was shortened in pronunciation, and sounded again like $\lambda i\pi \ddot{a}$, particularly in the expression $\lambda i\pi a \dot{a}\lambda \epsilon i\phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a\iota$, to anoint one's

self with oil. Hither belongs also Homer's $\lambda i\pi'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda \alpha i\varphi$, which may be considered as the dat. of $\lambda i\pi \alpha \ \ddot{\epsilon}\lambda \alpha i\nu$ (olive-oil).

λῖς, (ὁ, lion,) acc. λῖν. No other casus occurs in the ancient authors.

λic, adj. see § 64. Obs. 3. 5.

λιτὶ, λῖτα, dat. and acc. of a nom. which is wanting, (fine linen.)

μάλη, § 57. 2.

μάρτυς, (witness,) makes μάρτυρος, &c. acc. μάρτυρα and μάρτυν, dat. pl. μάρτυσιν.

μάστι, ιν, § 56. Obs. 8. μέγας, see below, § 64.

μείς, is the Ionic nomin. of μην, (δ, month,) gen. μηνος, &c.

μέλε, a rocat. which occurs only in familiar conversation, $\tilde{\omega}$ μέλε, and is addressed to both sexes ².

μήτρως and Μίνως, § 56. Obs. 6. a.

ναῦς, (ή, ship,) the Attic declension of which is,

Sing.—nom. $va\tilde{v}_{\varsigma}$, gen. $v\epsilon\tilde{\omega}_{\varsigma}$, dat. $vn\tilde{v}$, acc. $va\tilde{v}v$, pl.—nom. $v\tilde{\eta}\epsilon_{\varsigma}$, gen. $v\epsilon\tilde{\omega}v$, dat. $vav\sigma$, acc. $va\tilde{v}_{\varsigma}$.

The old and Doric form is gen. $\nu \bar{a} \delta c$, (whence $\nu \epsilon \dot{\omega} c$, according to § 27. Obs. 10.) &c., the Ionic $\nu \eta \tilde{\nu} c$, $\nu \eta \delta c$, &c. acc. $\nu \tilde{\eta} a$ and $\nu \eta \tilde{\nu} \nu$. This gives a second Ionic decl. gen. $\nu \epsilon \delta c$, acc. $\nu \epsilon a$, pl. $\nu \epsilon \epsilon c$, $\nu \epsilon a c$. Thucydides has $\nu \epsilon \delta \tilde{\nu} \nu$ for the dat. of the dual.

νίφα, § 56. Obs. 8.

Οἰδίπους, gen. Οἰδίποδος and Οἰδίπου, dat.-οδι, acc.-οδa and -ουν, voc.-ον.

There is an Epic and Lyric collateral form, (as if of the nom. Οἰδιπόδης,) viz. gen. Οἰδιπόδαο, Dorice -ā, Ionice -εω, dat. -γ, acc. -ην, voc. Οἰδιπόδα.

őiç, oiç, § 50. Obs. 6.

ὄνειρον, (dream,) makes as a neuter ὀνείρατος, &c. pl. ὀνείρατα. (comp. πρόσωπον.) There is also ὁ ὄνειρος, ον.

ὄρνις, $(\delta, \dot{\eta}, bird,)$ ὄρν $\bar{\iota}\theta$ ος, has in the pl. a collateral form, (after $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \varsigma$) ὄρνεις, ὄρνεων: compare \S 56. Obs. 5.

We also meet in the Attic poets with the acc. $pl.\ \tilde{o}\rho\nu\tilde{\iota}_{\mathcal{L}}$ (§ 50. $\pi\delta\lambda\iota_{\mathcal{L}}$). The Dorians said $\tilde{o}\rho\nu\tilde{\iota}\chi o_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\tilde{o}\rho\nu\tilde{\iota}\chi a$, &c. (§ 16. $Obs.\ 1.\ a.$) without making the nomin. in \mathcal{E} .

ὅσσε, nom. and acc. (eyes,) is a dual only; it makes the gen. and dat. merely after the second decl. in a pl. form; ὅσσων, ὅσσοις, ὅσσοισιν.

οὖδας, $(τ \grave{o}, ground,)$ οὕδεος, οὕδεϊ (§ 54. Obs. 4).

οὖς, (τὸ, ear,) gen. ἀτὸς, &c. gen. pl. ἄτων, (§ 43. Obs. 4.) dat. pl. ἀσὶν, contr. from οὖας, ατος, Doric nom. ὧς.

παῖς, (child, boy,) παιδὸς, has in the dissyllabic Epic form πάῖς, πάῖν in the accus.

πάτρως, § 56. Obs. 6. a.

Πειραιῶς, gen. § 53.

² This is considered, (like ήλὲ above,) as an abbreviation, instead of μέλεε from μέλεος, unfortunate. But it also frequently occurs in a good and flattering sense. (Plato Theæt. 90. Schol.) It may be considered as the expression, 'my friend,' which is used in both senses.

πλέες, § 68, 6.

 $\pi\nu\nu\xi$, $(\dot{\eta}, the place where the people held their assemblies.) made$ anciently $\pi \nu \kappa \nu \delta c$, $\pi \nu \kappa \nu \delta c$, $\pi \nu \kappa \nu a$; afterwards also $\pi \nu \nu \kappa \delta c$, &c. πολύς, § 64.

Ποσειδών, ώνος, acc. Ποσειδώ, voc. Πόσειδον, (§ 45. Obs. 2. § 55, 2.) The oldest form is, Ποσειδάων, ονος, Dor. Ποσειδάν or Ποτειδάν, ανος, Ion. Ποσειδέων, ωνος.

πόσις, § 50. Obs. 5. and § 51. Obs. 3.

πρᾶος, πραὺς, § 64, 2.

 $\pi \rho \xi \sigma \beta \nu c_1(\delta_1)$ when it signifies old man, has only the acc. $\pi \rho \xi \sigma \beta \nu \nu_1$ voc. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v$. As ambassador, it is used only in the pl. (οί $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, dat. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$.) Its deficiencies are supplied by πρεσβύτης, old man, and πρεσβευτής, ambassador.

Isolated and poetical instances, as πρέσβεως, of the ambassador, Aristoph. Acharn. 93. πρέσβηες, old men, Scut. Herc. 245. prove nothing against the common use.

πρόσωπον, (τὸ, face,) pl. Ερίς προσώπατα, προσώπασιν. Compare ὄνειρον.

 $\pi\rho\delta\chi \circ \circ \circ \circ$, $(\dot{\eta}, ewer)$ Attic $\pi\rho\delta\chi \circ \circ \circ \circ$, $(\xi 60. Obs. 5.)$ passes over to the third decl. in the pl. : dat. pl. $\pi \rho \acute{o} \chi o \nu \sigma \iota \nu$, (Aristoph. Nub. 272. Eurip. Ion 434.) like βοῦς, βουσίν.

 $\pi \tilde{v}_{\rho}$, $(\tau \hat{o}, fire_{\gamma})$ makes its plural after the second decl. $\tau \hat{a} \pi v_{\rho} \hat{a}$, dat. πυροῖς (Xen. Anab. 7, 2).

σάος, σῶς, § 64, 3.

 $\sigma \dot{\eta}_{\mathcal{S}}$, $(\dot{\delta}, moth,)$ gen. $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta}_{\mathcal{S}}$, pl. $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha}_{\mathcal{S}}$, gen. $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{V}}$, § 43. Obs. 4. In later times, $\sigma \eta \tau \dot{\delta}_{\mathcal{S}}$, &c. $\sigma \kappa \dot{\omega} \rho$, (τὸ, ordure,) gen. $\sigma \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\sigma} \varsigma$, &c. (see $\ddot{\upsilon} \delta \omega \rho$.)

σμῶδιξ, (ή, weal,) makes σμώδιγγος, &c.

σπέος, § 53. Obs. 2 and 5.

στάγες, § 56. Obs. 8.

στιχὸς, (gen.) στίχες, § 56. Obs. 8.

 $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$, § 64, 3.

τὰν, ễ τὰν, (a salutation in common life, O thou 3! rarely O ye!) ταως, § 56. Obs. 6. c. d. τριχός, &c. see θρίξ.

τυφως, § 56. Obs. 6. d.

"δωρ, (τὸ, water,) gen. "δατος, &c., dat. pl. "δασιν."

See above, $\sigma \kappa \tilde{\omega} \rho$, $\sigma \kappa \alpha \tau \acute{o} \varsigma$. The old nomin. is "Y $\Delta A \Sigma$: its interchange with ύδος (compare § 54. Obs. 4.) accounts for the Epic dat. ύδει.

| στέαρ, στῆρ, gen. στητὸς, § 41. Obs. 7.

σωτήρ, roc. § 45. Obs. 2.

viòc, (son,) is regular, but very frequently, and especially in the Attics, also has the following casus, after the third decl., gen. viśoc, dat. viει, (acc. viśa,) dual, viśe, viśoιν, pl. υίεις, υίέων, υίέσιν, υίέας (υίεις).

Of these the gen. and the whole pl. are mostly used, even preferably to the regular form. The acc. viéa is rejected, as well as the reading of the

³ The reading $\tilde{\omega}$ ' $\tau a \nu$ rests on the absurd derivation from $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \eta \varsigma$, friend. $\tilde{\omega}$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau a$.

gen. viέως. The Ionians make the gen. viῆος, &c. All this is a mere lengthening of the casus, derived from the simplest old form ΥΙΣ, used by the Epic poets, and the accent of which in the gen. and dat. sing. points to a contraction of "vi, gen. viος, dat. viι, acc. via, pl. viες, dat. viασι, with the a inserted (like πατράσιν, ἀρνάσιν,) because the diphthong vi is not used before a consonant.

ὑσμῖνι, § 56. Obs. 8.

φάρυγξ, (ή, throat,) υγγος, poetically φάρυγος, &c.

φρέαρ, gen. φρέατος and ἄτος, contr. φρητός, &c. See § 41. Obs. 7.

 χ εὶρ, (ή, hand,) has in the gen. and dat. of the dual χ εροῖν, and in the dat. pl. χ εροῖν (with poets also χ εροὶς, χ ερὶ, and χ εροῖν). χ ελιδών, see § 56. Obs. 7. χ έρηῖ, &c. and χ έρεια, § 68, 2.

χοῦς, (ὁ, 'a measure of liquids,' congius,) is partly regular, (after βοῦς,) χοὸς, χοῒ, χοῦν, pl. χόες, χόας, but as it properly is a contraction of χοεὺς, (Hippocr.) the better Attic forms, gen. χοῶς, acc. χοᾶ, acc. pl. χοᾶς ⁴, come from this, according to § 53, 2. But χοῦς, ὁ, (earth heaped up,) has merely gen. χοὸς, acc. χοῦν, &c. See πρόχους.

χρέων, § 57, 3.

χρέως 5, (τὸ, debt,) an Ionic-Attic form for χρέος, (gen. χρέους,) has gen. again χρέως, pl. χρέα, (§ 53. Obs. 2.) &c. The dat. is wanting in both numbers. The Epic poets have χρεῖος and χρείως in the nomin.

χρως, (ὁ, skin,) gen. χρωτὸς, &c. Ionic χροὸς, χροὰ, χρόα. The Attic dat. χρ $\tilde{\psi}$ is used merely in the expression ἐν χρ $\tilde{\psi}$ (§ 56. Obs. 6. b).

ὧ τὰν, see τάν.

. | ώτὸς, see οὖς.

§ 59.—Of the Adjectives.

1. The Greek language, by its distinction of genders (motio), has two classes of adjectives; the *first* comprises adjectives of three terminations, the *second* adjectives of two terminations, in the last of which the masc. and fem. have a common form (they are, as in Latin, *communis generis*). There is, properly speaking, no third class of adjectives, since the few which might be enumerated in this class are not, as in Latin, *generis omnis*. See § 63, 3-5, and the seeming exception, *ibid*. Obs. 2.

⁴ Which must not be confounded with χοὰς, from ai χοαὶ, libations in honour of the dead.

⁵ Hitherto χρέως frequently occurred in our editions as nomin. and accus.; it has now been restored also as a gen. from MSS., for instance, Demosth. c. Timoth. 1189, 25. 1203, 16. The form itself may be explained by the verb χράω. The oldest form of the substantive was XPAOΣ, gen. XPAOΥΣ, and from this arose the nomin. and gen. χρέως, just as λαὸς and λαοὺς made λεώς. Χρέος is the abbreviation.

- 2. The fem. of adjectives of three terminations always follows the first decl.
- 3. The *neuter* always has in the *nomin.*, and consequently in the three similar casus, (§ 33. Obs. 5.) a particular form, but which in the other casus is declined like the *masculine*.

Obs. To decline adjectives correctly, we need only to know the gen. masc. beside the nomin.

§ 60.—Adjectives in -oc.

1. The adjectives in -og are the most numerous; they correspond to the Latin adjectives in us, and either are, like them, of three terminations,

Masc. oc, fem. η or \bar{a} , neuter ov; or of two terminations,

common os, neuter ov.

See the few which have the neuter in o, among the pronouns, § 74.

2. Most adjectives are of three terminations; whenever there is a vowel or ρ before the final syllable, they have in the fem. \bar{a} , gen. $a_{\mathcal{C}}$, else always η . Thus, for instance,

κοῦφος, κούφη, κοῦφον, (light,) φίλος, φίλη, φίλον, (dear, friendly,) δεινὸς, δεινὴ, δεινὸν, (dreadful,)

but

νέος, νέα, νέον, (young,) φίλιος, φιλία, φίλιον, (benevolent,) ἐλεύθερος, -έρα, -ερον, (free,) πυρόδς, -à, -ὸν (red).

- Obs. 1. Only those in oog have in the fem. η : $\~o\gamma\~ooog$, $\~o\gamma\~o\'og$, $\'ov\~oog$, $\'ov\~oog$, $\'ov\~oog$, $\'ov\~oog$, $\'ov\~oog$. But when ρ precedes, they also make the fem. in a: 'adp'oog, 'adp'oog. The fem. in a is always long, except in $\~ov$ oog, $\~ov$ ov, (divine), and some adjectives in $\~ov$ og. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gram., and $\pi\'ov$ ova, § 64. Obs. 3. Respecting the accent, see § 34. Obs. III. 1, 2.
- 3. The following adjectives in og are partly always, and partly usually, of two terminations, viz. δ and ή βάρβαρος, ον, ήσυχος, τιθασδς, ἄρτιος, καίριος, πάτριος, βασίλειος, γνώριμος, ωφέλιμος, &c., and with the Attics and poets many more, which commonly have the three terminations, as ἐλεύθερος, κόσμιος, &c.
- 4. Compound adjectives in ος, in particular, are of two terminations; as ό, ή βαθύκολπος, εὔφωνος, ἄλογος, ἀργὸς, (for ἄεργος,) ἀπόκληρος, ἐγκύκλιος, διάλευκος, (though the primitive is λευκὸς,

η, ον,) πολυγράφος: also those derived from compound verbs, as διάφορος, ὑπήκοος, ἐξαίρετος. Those, however, which in their derivation add the syllable κὸς, have always the three terminations, as ἐπιδεικτικὸς, η, ὸν, (from the verb ἐπιδείκνυμι,) εὐδαιμονικὸς, η, ὸν (from εὐδαίμων); and frequently also the adj. in ιος (οιος, &c.), when they are compounded with the so-called a privative; ex. gr. ἀνάξιος, ία, ιον.

Obs. 2. All adjectives in $o_{\mathcal{S}}$, which having their final syllables in $\kappa o_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\lambda o_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\rho o_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\rho o_{\mathcal{S}}$, and $\epsilon o_{\mathcal{S}}$,

clearly added, as a mark of their derivation from other words, for instance, μαντικός, δειλός, δειλός, φανερός, πλεκτός, χρύσεος, have generally, at least in prose, the three terminations. But among those ending in

μος, ιος, ειος, αιος,

there are several communis generis. Poets only sometimes allowed themselves, for the sake of the verse, $\dot{\eta}$ $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \delta c$, $\phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \delta c$, $\kappa \lambda \nu \tau \delta c$, and the like.

Obs. 3. It is also a pretty general rule, that the adjectives in $ο_{\xi}$, which in making their fem. in η or α would render it similar to the abstract subst., have it partly always, and partly frequently, in $ο_{\xi}$, ex, gr, $σωτήριο_{\xi}$, $έλευθέριο_{\xi}$, $βασίλειο_{\xi}$, because of $\dot{\eta}$ σωτηρία, έλευθερία, βασιλεία: thus $φίλιο_{\xi}$, which has the fem. φιλία, has also $\dot{\eta}$ φίλιο $_{\xi}$, because of the subst. $\dot{\eta}$ φιλία.

Obs. 4. The poets, on the contrary, employ also compound adjectives, which generally are communis generis, with the fem. form; as $\dot{\alpha}\theta\alpha\nu\dot{\alpha}\tau\eta$, $\dot{\alpha}\mu\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\nu}\kappa\eta$, Homer, $\dot{\alpha}\delta\mu\dot{\eta}\tau\eta$, Sophocles. See the comparatives and superlatives, § 65, &c., and Obs. 6 to the same §.

Examples for the practice of the adjectives in og are given in the Appendix.

- 5. Some adjectives in oog are contracted, viz.:
 - a.) The communia, like εὔνους, εὔνουν, (well-disposed,) gen. εὔνου. They are all compounds of contracted words of the second decl., as νοῦς, πλοῦς, &c. Their decl., as they are compounds of words already contracted, is independent of the general rules of accentuation; hence they retain the accent in all casus upon that syllable, where it was in the nom., whilst the resolved form is obliged to throw it forward; ex. gr. εὔνου (resolved εὖνόου). They even circumflex the penultima, when long by nature, before the contracted οι of the nom. plur.; ex. gr. εὖνοι; but it is to be recollected that the accent can never be removed to the antepenultima; thus, περίπλοι, κακόνοι (κακόνους ¹). The neuter plur. in oa remains unchanged: τὰ ἄνοα, of ἄνους, senseless.

¹ In the common language of the day, these contractions degenerated into abbreviations in $ο_{\mathcal{G}}$. Hence the collateral forms in $νο_{\mathcal{G}}$ of proper names originally ending in $νοο_{\mathcal{G}}$; the former therefore lengthen the penultima; ex.gr. Εὐθύνους and Εὐθῦνους, ᾿Αρχίνους and ᾿Αρχίνους, Καλλίνους, properly Καλλίνους.

Sing. εΰνους, εΰνουν
εὔνου
εὔνω
εὔνω
εὔνων, εὔνουν

Plur. εὖνοι, εὖνοα
εὖνων
εὖνοιν
εὖνους, εὖνοα

b.) The multiple numerals, $\delta \pi \lambda \delta \sigma \varsigma$, $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \delta \sigma \varsigma$, η , $\sigma \nu$, &c. (simple, twofold.) They have this peculiarity, that they all contract $\delta \eta$ and δa into $\tilde{\eta}$ and \tilde{a} : hence

Sing. διπλόος, διπλούς, διπλόη, διπλή, διπλόον, διπλούν διπλού, διπλού, διπλοής, διπλής, &c.

Plur. διπλόοι, διπλοῖ, διπλόαι, διπλαῖ, διπλόα, διπλᾶ διπλόων, διπλῶν, &c. 2

6. Some adjectives in εος, denoting a material, are contracted and transpose the accent; as χρύσεος, (golden,) χρυσέα, χρύσεον, contr. χρυσοῦς, χρυσῆ, χρυσοῦν, gen. οῦ, ῆς, οῦ, &c. If there be another vowel or ρ preceding the final syllable, the fem. is not contracted into ῆ, but into ᾶ, as ἐρέεος, (woollen,) contr. ἐρεοῦς, ἐρεᾶ, ἐρεοῦν: ἀργύρεος, (made of silver,) contr. ἀργυροῦς, ἀργυρᾶ, ἀργυροῦν. The letter ε in the Dual and Plur., like the letter o in the multiple numerals, becomes absorbed by the following diphthong or vowel; hence the neut. plur. τὰ χρυσᾶ, acc. fem. τὰς χρυσᾶς: ex. gr.

Sing. χρύσεος, σοῦς χρυσέα, σῆ χρύσεον, σοῦν χρυσέας, σῆς χρυσέου, σοῦ χρυσέας, σῆς χρυσέου, σοῦ χρυσέ φ , σ φ χρύσεον, σοῦν χρυσέ φ , σαῖ χρύσε φ , σοῖν χρύσεαι, σαῖ χρύσε φ , σ φ .

But the word (ἀργύρεος, έα, εον) ἀργυροῦς, ἀργυροῦν Gen. ἀργυροῦ, ἀργυροῦ, ἀργυροῦ, ἀργυροῦ, ἀργυροῦ, ἀργυροῦ, ἀργυροῦ, ἐρεοῦς, ἐρεοῦν, gen. ἐρεοῦν, ᾶς, &c.

§ 61.—Adjectives in ω_{ς} .

1. Adjectives in $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ after the Attic second decl. (see § 37.) are generally communis generis, as δ and $\hat{\eta}$ $\tilde{\iota}\lambda_{\tilde{\iota}\omega\mathcal{C}}$, $\tau \delta$ $\tilde{\iota}\lambda_{\tilde{\iota}\omega\nu}$, (propitious,) and partly have the neut. ω , as $\hat{a}\gamma\hat{\eta}\rho\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, neut. $\hat{a}\gamma\hat{\eta}\rho\omega\nu$ and $\hat{a}\gamma\hat{\eta}\rho\omega$. (See § 37. Obs. 2.)

2. The simple $\pi\lambda \ell\omega \varsigma$, (full,) $\pi\lambda \ell\alpha$, $\pi\lambda \ell\omega \nu$, neut. pl. $\pi\lambda \ell\alpha$, has the three terminations, but its compounds conform to the above

rule, ex. gr. ἀνάπλεως, ἀνάπλεων.

Obs. About those in $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega \varsigma$ and $\kappa \epsilon \rho \omega \varsigma$, see § 63. Obs. 5. — $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$, see § 64, 3.

² These numerals must not be confounded with the compounds of $\pi\lambda o \tilde{v}_{\mathcal{G}}$, (navigation,) which are communis generis, as \dot{o} , $\dot{\eta}$ $\check{a}\pi\lambda o v_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{v}\pi\lambda o v_{\mathcal{G}}$, &c. neut. ovv, neut. pl. oa.

§ 62.

The remaining adjectives of three terminations are-

1.) υς, εια, υ, as γλυκὺς, γλυκεῖα, γλυκὺ, sweet, see § 51, 5, ex. gr.

Sing. γλυκὺς, εῖα, ὺ Plur. γλυκεῖς, εῖαι, έα γλυκέος, είας, έος γλυκεῖς, ειῶν, έων γλυκεῖ, είαις, έσι γλυκὸν, εῖαν, ὺ γλυκεῖς, είας, έα γλυκὸν, εῖα, ὺ γλυκεῖς, εῖαι, έα

Dual. γλυκέε, εία, έε γλυκέοιν, είαιν, έοιν.

Examples: Βαρὺς, heavy, βραδὺς, slow, βραχὺς, short, εὐρὺς, broad, ἡδὺς, pleasant, ὀξὺς, sharp, ὡκὺς, swift, θῆλυς, εια, v, female.

2.) εις, εσσα, εν, gen. εντος, χαρίεις, graceful, § 46. Obs. 1.

Sing. χαρίεις, ίεσσα, ίεν Plur. χαρίεντες, ίεσσαι, ίεντα χαρίεντος, ιέσσης, ίεντος χαρίεντων, ιεσσων, ιέντων χαρίεντα, ίεσσαν, ίεν χαρίεντας, ιέσσας, ίεντα χαρίεν, ίεσσα, ίεν χαρίεντες, ίεσσαι, ίεντα Pual. χαρίεντε, ιέσσα, ίεντα

χαριέντοιν, ιέσσαιν, ιέντοιν.

Examples: αίματόεις, bloody, ύλήεις, woody, φωνήεις, vocal.

3.) ας, αινα, αν, ας μέλας, μέλαινα, μέλαν, black.

(gen. ανος,) gen. μέλανος.

There is but $\tau \acute{a}\lambda a\varsigma$, miserable, like $\mu \acute{\epsilon}\lambda a\varsigma$.

4.) The following isolated ones,

τέρην, τέρεινα, τέρεν, gen. ενος, (tender,) έκων, έκοῦσα, έκον, gen. όντος, (spontaneous,) πᾶς, πᾶσα, πᾶν, gen. παντὸς, (the whole, all,)

and all participles of an active form, § 88, 8, and § 103.

Obs. 1. The adjectives in $v_{\mathcal{G}}$ are also communis generis with poets: as $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}_{\mathcal{G}} \dot{\alpha} \dot{v} \tau \mu \dot{\eta}$, Hom. $\theta \ddot{\eta} \lambda v_{\mathcal{G}} \nu \epsilon_0 \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$, Theocr. The Ionians have, instead of the fem. $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \alpha - \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \eta$, as $\dot{\omega} \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$, $\beta \alpha \theta \dot{\epsilon} \eta \nu$ (Homer). Of $\ddot{\eta} \mu \iota \sigma v_{\mathcal{G}}$, (half,) the older Attics also made $\dot{\eta} \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \alpha$ instead of $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\iota} \sigma \epsilon \alpha$. See the note to Plato Meno. 17, and Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.

Obs. 2. There is a compound of ἐκὼν, ἀἐκων, (involuntary,) which is contracted ἄκων, ουσα, ἄκον. The neut. πᾶν is long, merely because it is a monosyllable; but in its compounds it is, conformably to analogy, short, $\"{u}π\bar{a}\varsigma$, $\"{u}π\bar{a}σ$ α, $\"{u}π\bar{a}ν$ (all taken together).

Obs. 3. The adjectives in $\varepsilon_{i,\zeta}$ give rise to contracted adjectives; $\acute{\eta}\varepsilon_{i,\zeta}$, $\acute{\eta}\varepsilon_{i,\zeta}$, are contracted into $\~{\eta}_{\zeta}$, $\~{\eta}\sigma\sigma\alpha$, $\~{\eta}\nu$ —and $\acute{\sigma}\varepsilon_{i,\zeta}$, $\acute{\sigma}\varepsilon\sigma\alpha$, $\acute{\sigma}\varepsilon\nu$, into $\~{\sigma}\~{\upsilon}_{\zeta}$, $\~{\sigma}\~{\upsilon}\sigma\sigma\alpha$, $\~{\sigma}\~{\upsilon}\nu$, for instance,

τιμῆς, τιμῆσσα, τιμῆν, gen. τιμῆντος—from τιμήεις, honoured.

μελιτοῦς, μελιτοῦσσα, μελιτοῦν, gen. μελιτοῦντος—from μελιτόεις, full of honey. See about them § 41. Obs. 5.

§ 63.—Adjectives of one and two terminations.

1. Adjectives of two terminations all follow the third decl. They are—

1.) $\eta_{\mathcal{S}}$, neut. $\epsilon_{\mathcal{S}}$ —as $\sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\eta}_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{S}}$, clear.

(gen. εος, contr. ους,) gen. σαφούς (Paradigma τριήρης and τείχος,) ex. gr.

Sing. σαφης, σαφες σαφούς σαφεί σαφή, σαφες Plur, σαφείς, σαφή σαφών σαφέσιν σαφείς, σαφή.

Dual Nom. σαφη, gen. σαφοίν.

Examples: ἀληθης, true, ἀγενψης, ignoble, ἀκριβης, accurate, αὐθάδης, (long a,) proud, θηριώδης, brutal, πλήρης, full, άλης, amassed, ψευδης, false, πρηνης, bending forward.

ὑγιης, see § 53.

2.) ων, neuter ον—as πέπων, πέπον, ripe.

(gen. ονος,) gen. πέπονος. (Parad. δαίμων.)

Examples: ἀμύμων, (long υ,) blameless, ἀπράγμων, idle, εὐγνώμων, well-meaning. See the comparatives in ων and ίων, § 67. 68, a. § 55.

ις, neuter ι—as ἴδρις, ἴδρι, knowing, gen. ἴδριος,
 (gen. ιος,) has very few examples. (Paradigma πόλις,
 § 50.)

νῆστις, jejune, fasting, τρόφις, well-fed. The Attic poets form the gen. of these words sometimes in ιδος: ἴδριδος.

4.) The following isolated one:

ἄρρην or ἄρσην, neut. ἄρρεν, ἄρσεν, masculine, gen. ἄρρενος, ἄρσενος.

2. But besides these, there are adjectives compounded with subst., of which they retain the final syllable and declension, as far as it is possible, as is best seen from the examples. They all are *communis generis*, and have a *neut*. gender when it can be formed agreeably to analogy; for instance,

εὔχαρις, εὔχαρι, graceful, gen. ιτος, from ἡ χάρις, ιτος, ἄδακρυς, ἄδακρυ, tearless, gen. νος, from τὸ δάκρυ, νος. μονόδους, μονόδου, gen. οντος, from ὁ ὀδοὺς, όντος.

Sometimes the conversion of η into ω , and ε into o, takes place in the final syllable; for instance,

from $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$, $\xi \rho \sigma \varsigma$, comes $\mathring{\alpha} \pi \mathring{\alpha} \tau \omega \rho$, $\sigma \rho$, fatherless, gen. $\sigma \rho \sigma \rho \eta \nu$, $\phi \rho \varepsilon \nu \mathring{\sigma} \varsigma$, comes $\sigma \mathring{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$, $\sigma \nu \psi ise$, gen. $\sigma \nu \sigma \varsigma$.

- 3. If no analogous neut. gender can be formed, the adjective has but one termination, which, however, is only communis generis, (not, as in Latin, omnis generis,) ex. gr. δ and $\hat{\eta}$ $\check{\alpha}\pi\alpha\iota\varsigma$, $\delta \circ \varsigma$, childless; δ and $\hat{\eta}$ $\mu\alpha\kappa\rho\delta\chi\epsilon\iota\rho$, longimanus, 'having long hands.'
- 4. There are some adjectives communis generis of one termination, in η_{ς} , $\eta\tau_{0\varsigma}$ ($\tilde{\eta}\mu\iota\theta\nu\tilde{\eta}_{\varsigma}$,) in ω_{ς} , $\omega\tau_{0\varsigma}$, ($\tilde{a}\gamma\nu\tilde{\omega}_{\varsigma}$,) in ξ and ψ , ($\tilde{\eta}\lambda\iota\xi$, $\kappa_{0\varsigma}$, $\mu\tilde{\omega}\nu\nu\xi$, $\chi_{0\varsigma}$, $a\tilde{l}\gamma\hat{l}\lambda\iota\psi$, $\pi_{0\varsigma}$,) and one in $\eta\nu$, $a\tilde{l}\pi\tau\tilde{l}\nu_{0\varsigma}$.
- 5. Common ones of this kind are also several adjectives in \grave{a}_{ς} , gen. $\acute{a}\acute{o}o_{\varsigma}$, as $\lambda o \gamma \grave{a}_{\varsigma}$, (select.) $\phi v \gamma \grave{a}_{\varsigma}$, $v o \mu \grave{a}_{\varsigma}$, $\sigma \pi o \rho \grave{a}_{\varsigma}$, and some in ι_{ς} and v_{ς} , gen. $\imath \acute{o}o_{\varsigma}$, $v \acute{o}o_{\varsigma}$ ($\check{a}v a \lambda \kappa \iota_{\varsigma}$, $\check{\epsilon}\pi \eta \lambda v_{\varsigma}$, $\sigma \acute{v}\gamma \kappa \lambda v_{\varsigma}$). But generally those in a_{ς} and ι_{ς} are only of the fem. gender, and on omitting a subst. become subst. of the fem. gender; for instance, $\acute{\eta}$ $\mu a \iota v \grave{a}_{\varsigma}$, $(\gamma v v \grave{\eta})$, a bacchant, $\acute{\eta}$ $\pi a \tau \rho \grave{\iota}_{\varsigma}$, $(\gamma \ddot{\eta})$ native country, $\acute{\eta}$ ' $\grave{1}\grave{a}_{\varsigma}$, $\acute{\eta}$ ' $\grave{E}\lambda \lambda \eta v \acute{\iota}_{\varsigma}$.
- 6. Several adjectives of one termination are only of the masc. gender, especially $\gamma \xi \rho \omega \nu$, $o\nu \tau o \varsigma$, (old,) $\pi \rho \xi \sigma \beta \nu \varsigma$, (old,) $\pi \xi \nu \eta \varsigma$, $\eta \tau o \varsigma$, (poor,) and after the first decl. $\xi \theta \xi \lambda o\nu \tau \eta \varsigma$, (voluntary,) $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \alpha \varsigma$, (noble,) and several in $\iota \alpha \varsigma$ (as $\tau \rho o\pi \dot{\alpha} \alpha \varsigma$, $\mu o\nu \dot{\alpha} \alpha \varsigma$). See also Obs. 7.
- Obs. 1. Some common adjectives of this kind have collateral fem. forms, but are mostly confined to poetry, as μουνογένεια, $\dot{η}δνέπεια$, from the masc. in ης. See also § 64. Obs. 3.
- Obs. 2. As (according to § 58, 3.) the neut. always is declined like the mass., the gen. and dat. of words, which have no neuter gender in the nomin., may be employed as being of the neuter gender, and such casus then actually are omnis generis; but this is done only by poets, as Eurip. Or. 834. δρομάσι βλεφάροις, Nicander Ther. 631. ἀργῆτι ἄνθει.

Obs. 3. Else the neuter, which is wanting, is supplied, in case of need, by a derivative form in ον, as βλακικὸν, ἀρπακτικον, μώνυχον, for βλὰξ, ἄρπαξ, μῶνυξ.

Obs. 4. Those compounded with ποῦς, ποδὸς, (foot) are regularly declined like their subst., as δίπους, οδος: but in the neuter they take ουν, (as εὕνους, εὕνουν, of the contracted second decl.) and yet decline this neuter according to the general rule, § 58, 3, like the maso. τὸ δίπουν, τοῦ δίπουδος.

Obs. 5. Adjectives coming from $\gamma i \lambda \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\omega \tau o_{\mathcal{L}}$, (laughter,) commonly forsake the decl. of their subst. and follow the Attic second decl. (see § 61); those made of $\kappa i \rho a_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\alpha \tau o_{\mathcal{L}}$, (horn,) change the α into ω , and follow the same decl.; but both have also the gen. $\omega \tau o_{\mathcal{L}}$, and the neut. $\omega \nu$ then has the same anomaly as the adjectives compounded with $\pi o \tilde{\nu}_{\mathcal{L}}$: for instance, $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{o} \gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \rho \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, neut. $\omega \nu$, gen. ω and $\omega \tau o_{\mathcal{L}}$. Those compounded with $\tilde{\epsilon} o \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$ retain nothing of the Attic second decl., but the accent in the nomin., as $\delta \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \rho \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, gen. $\omega \tau o_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Obs. 6. The compounds of $\pi \delta \lambda \iota_{\mathcal{G}}$ take a δ in their declension, ex. gr. $\phi \iota \lambda \delta \pi o \lambda \iota_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\iota_{\mathcal{G}}$, gen. $\iota_{\mathcal{G}} \circ g$; but in the Ionic and Doric writers they end regularly in $\iota_{\mathcal{G}} \circ g$ in the gen. case.

Obs. 7. But adjectives and substantives in Greek run so much one into the other, both in form and connexion, that not only many of the above-mentioned adjectives (as $\pi \rho i \sigma \beta \nu g$, $\pi i \nu \eta g$) may be considered as subst., but also several real subst. (in

 $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\tau\omega\rho$, $\varepsilon\nu_{\mathcal{C}}$, as for instance, $i\pi\nu i\tau\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$ $"i\rho\tau\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}$) may be looked on as adjectives, and become *communis generis* with poets, even when they are of the *masc. gender*; see § 123. Obs. 1.

§ 64.—Anomalous and Defective Adjectives.

1. The two adjectives, $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \gamma a \varsigma$, great, and $\pi o \lambda \grave{\upsilon} \varsigma$, much, derive only the nom. and acc. sing. masc. and the neuter from this simple form: $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \gamma a \varsigma$, $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \gamma a \upsilon$, $\pi o \lambda \grave{\upsilon} \varsigma$, $\pi o \lambda \grave{\upsilon} \upsilon$, $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \gamma a$, $\pi \acute{o} \lambda \upsilon$: all the rest and the whole fem. comes from the obsolete MEΓAΛOΣ, η , ON, and $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\upsilon} \varsigma$, $\mathring{\eta}$, $\grave{\upsilon} \upsilon$, thus:

Sing. M. N. M. N_{\cdot} $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{n}$. μεγάλη, μέγα, πολύς, Nom. μέγας, $\pi o \lambda \dot{v}$. Gen. μεγάλου, μεγάλης, μεγάλου, πολλού, πολλής, πολλοῦ, πολλώ, πολλή, μεγάλω, μεγάλη, μεγάλω, $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega}$, μεγάλην, μέγα. πολύν, Acc. μέγαν, πολλην, πολύ.

The dual and pl. are regular like those of adjectives in oc: $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{a}\lambda\omega$, a, ω , $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{a}\lambda\omega$, a, ω , $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{a}\lambda\omega$, a, a, $\pi\omega\lambda\dot{\omega}$, a, a, a, &c.

- Obs. 1. The forms πολλος, πολλον, are Ionic, and the regular forms of πολος are found in Epic poets; for instance, πολέος, πολέες, εῖς, &c. They have also πουλος, πουλος, πουλος, and employ the mass. likewise as fem. (ex. gr. Il. κ. 27.)
- 2. $\Pi_{\tilde{Q}\tilde{q}o\varsigma}$, mild, is employed in this form only in the masc. and neuter sing.: the whole fem. and most neut. pl. are borrowed from dialects, as $\pi \rho a \mathring{v}_{\varsigma}$ (Ion. $\pi \rho \eta \mathring{v}_{\varsigma}$).

Hence

Sing. πρᾶος πραεῖα πρᾶον, gen. πράου, &c.

Plur. πρᾶοι and πραεῖς πραεῖαι πραέα
πραέων πραειῶν πραέων
πρᾶοις and πραέσιν πραείαις πράοις and έσιν
πράους and πραεῖς πραείας πραέα.

- 3. $\Sigma \tilde{\omega}_{\varsigma}$, $(safe_{,})$ contr. from $\Sigma AO\Sigma$, has of this form only $\sigma \tilde{\omega}_{\varsigma}$, $(communis\ generis_{,})$. acc. and neuter $\sigma \tilde{\omega}_{\nu}$, acc. pl. $\sigma \tilde{\omega}_{\varsigma}$. Rarely fem. sing. and neut. pl. $\sigma \tilde{a}$. All the rest is of $\sigma \tilde{\omega}_{\varsigma}$, a, ov $(Ion. \sigma \tilde{\omega}_{\varsigma})$.
- Obs. 2. The acc. $pl.\ \sigma\tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is easily accounted for as coming from $\Sigma AOY\Sigma$. But the nomin. $pl.\ \sigma\tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$, which also occurs, points to the third deel. $\sigma\tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\sigma\tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$: just as $\Sigma AO\Sigma$ produced $\sigma\tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$, $ZAO\Sigma$ produced the Homeric $\zeta\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$, whence the common $\zeta\omega\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$. (Compare the verbs $\sigma\alpha\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\sigma\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\sigma\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{$
 - 4. The principal defective adjectives are
 - a.) ἀλλήλων, § 74.
 - b.) ἄμφω, § 78.
 - c.) φροῦδος, gone, evanescent, which is used merely in the nomin. of all genders and numbers (see § 151).

Obs. 3. We notice also a few rare and poetical occurrences, as

1.) πότνια, in the Epic poets πότνα, (renerable mistress,) only fem.

- 2.) μάκαρ, (blessed.) is communis generis, but has also μάκαιρα in the fem. The neuter does not occur.
- 3.) A few adjectives mass. have a less frequent derivative form for the fem. πένησσα of πένης, πρέσβειρα of πρέσβυς.

4.) Some common ones have a similar collateral form, (see above—εια for those in ης,) πίειρα of ὁ, ἡ, πίων, (fat,) πρόφρασσα of ὁ, ἡ, πρόφρων (kind).

5.) There are also older poetical simple forms, such as we have noticed of substantives, (and which are likewise erroneously considered as abbreviations,) πρέσβα for πρέσβειρα, λίς for λισσή (smooth).

6.) About $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{v}_{\zeta}$ and $\dot{\eta}\dot{v}_{\zeta}$ see the list of Anomalous Nouns.

7.) The facility with which (according to § 63, 2. &c.) adjectives may be formed of substantives, induced poets to make them as often as it suited their convenience, and to use them with isolated casus, of which the nomin. sing. frequently cannot even be presupposed, conformably to any correct analogy: as ἐρυσάρματες ἵτπποι, (from ἄρμα, ατος,) πολύαρνι Θυέστη, (from the gen. ἀρνὸς,) and the like.

§ 65.—Of the Degrees of Comparison.

(GRADUS COMPARATIONIS.)

- 1. The Greek language has, like the Latin and English, positive, (long,) comparative, (longer,) and superlative, (longest,) each of which is formed in the same way for the three genders and differs only in the terminations of the genders.
 - 2. The most usual forms of comparison are the terminations -τερος, a, ον, for the compar. and

-τατος, η, ον, for the superl.

- 3. Adjectives in ος reject the ς before these terminations, and retain the ο unchanged if they be preceded by a long syllable; as βέβαιος, βεβαιότερος, ἰσχῦρότερος, πιστότατος: and also after a muta cum liquida, for instance, σφοδρὸς σφοδρότατος, πύκνος πυκνότερος (see Buttm. Complete Greek Grammar, § 65. Obs. 2).
- 4. But when these terminations are preceded by a short syllable, o is changed into ω, ex. gr. σοφὸς σοφώτερος, καίριος καιριώτατος, ἐχυρώτερος, καθαρώτατος, &c.
- Obs. 1. There are, however, exceptions for the sake of the metre: the ω occurs in Epic poetry after a long syllable, as διζυρώτατος, κακοξεινώτερος, Homer, and in the Attic poets after muta cum liquida, as δυσποτμώτατος, Eurip.

Obs. 2. In some adjectives in $o_{\mathcal{C}}$ there is more commonly α_{ℓ} or $\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$ or $\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$ inserted instead of this o or ω , especially by the Attics; for instance,

1.) μέσος, μεσαίτερος, μεσαίτατος; ἴσος equal, ἴδιος peculiar, εὕδιος cheerful, πρώτος early, ὄψιος late, ἤσυχος quiet.

2.) εσ, especially by the Attics, ἐρρωμένος strong, ἐρρωμενέστερος, τατος, and

ἄκρῶτος, unmixed, pure (§ 66. Obs. 2); sometimes ἄφθονος, abundant, and several others in the dialects, as $\sigma \pi o v \delta a \tilde{\iota} o \varsigma$, &c.

3.) ισ: λάλος, λαλίστερος, τατος; πτωχὸς, and ὀψοφάγος lickerish; but the common form is also in use along with them.

Obs. 3. Some adjectives in $a\iota o \varepsilon$, viz. $\gamma \varepsilon \rho a \iota o \varepsilon$, old, $\pi a \lambda a \iota o \varepsilon$, ancient, $\sigma \chi o \lambda a \iota o \varepsilon$, slow, generally drop the o of the termination: $\gamma \varepsilon \rho a \iota \tau \varepsilon \rho o \varepsilon$, $\pi a \lambda a \iota \tau a \tau o \varepsilon$.

Obs. 4. Φιλος (dear) commonly does the same, or introduces $\alpha : \phi i\lambda \tau \epsilon \rho o c$, $\phi i\lambda \tau \tau \sigma \sigma c$, or $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha i \tau \epsilon \rho o c$, $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha i \tau \sigma \sigma c$, sometimes $\phi \iota \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon \rho o c$. For the Doric $\phi \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \rho o c$, see § 16. Obs. 1. d. ἄσμενος, when used as an adjective, has ἀσμενώτερος, ἀσμενώτατος; but when used as an adverb ἀσμεναίτερα or ἀσμενέστερα.

Obs. 5. Contracted adjectives in εος—ους change εω into ω, for instance, πορφυρεω-τατος, πορφυρεωτατος, but those in εος—ους, according to Obs. 2, take ες in the resolved form απλόος, απλοέστατος: hence the contraction is απλοῦς, απλούστατος.

Obs. 6. Comparatives and superlatives communis generis terminating in og are very rare, and never in the Attics, except sometimes those whose positive is communis, as Thuc. 3, 101. δυσεσβολώτατος ή Λοκρίς. But Homer has alsο δλοώτατος όδμή.

\$ 66.

- 1. Adjectives in υς barely drop the ς: εὐρὺς, εὐρύτερος, εὐρύτατος.
- Those in a_ζ, gen. aνος, do the same, but resuming the ν, which has been rejected before the ς: as μέλας, (gen. μέλανος,) μελάντερος.
- 3. Adjectives in $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$ convert those terminations into $\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}$, for instance $\partial_{\lambda}\eta \partial_{\dot{\gamma}}$, (gen. $\dot{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{C}}$,) $\partial_{\lambda}\eta \partial_{\dot{\zeta}}$ $\partial_{\lambda}\eta \partial_{\dot{\zeta}}$
- Obs. 1. The end-syllable $\eta_{\mathcal{G}}$ of the first decl. being, as we have seen above, (§ 63. Obs. 7.) frequently an adjective termination, allows a comparison, which always is -ίστατος, as $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\pi\tau$ ίστατος from $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\pi\tau\eta_{\mathcal{G}}$ (thief). Only $\dot{v}\beta\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}_{\mathcal{G}}$ (insulter) has for euphony's sake $\dot{v}\beta\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\tau}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}$.
- Obs. 2. Ψενδης, έος, (false,) also has -ίστερος, and according to the doctrine of grammarians the case is the same with ἀκρᾶτης, (immoderate,) because ἀκρατέστερος is the compar. of ἄκρᾶτος (pure). But in our editions, at least, we also meet with ἀκρατέστερος from the former word, (ex. gr. Xenoph. Mem. 1, 2, 12.) just as we have ἐγκρατέστερος from ἐγκρατης (moderate).

Obs. 3. The simplest form is in μάκαρ μακάρτατος, ἄχαρις ἀχαρίστερος.

¹ In Xenoph. Mem. we twice meet with $\beta \lambda \alpha \kappa \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho o c$, (3, 13, 4. and 4, 2, 20.) from $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon}$. This is unquestionably wrong, because of the ω , since the α in $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon}$, $\beta \lambda \alpha \kappa \dot{o} c$, is long. The correct reading unquestionably is $\beta \lambda \alpha \kappa \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho o c$, $\tau \alpha \tau o c$: for whenever a word did not readily admit the degrees of comparison, they were generally made of the derivative form $\kappa \dot{o} c$. Compare § 63. Obs. 3.

§ 67.

1. Another, but far less frequent, form of comparison is:—
-īων, neuter τον, for the compar.

-ιστος, η , $o\nu$, for the superl.

See above in § 55. the decl. of this compar.

- 2. This form of comparison is used in-
 - 1.) Some adjectives in υς, in this way, ήδὺς, ήδίων, ἥδιστος:
 - 2.) Four in ρος, dropping the ρ, viz.
 αἰσχρὸς, αἰσχίων, αἴσχιστος, (shameful,)
 ἐχθρὸς, ἐχθίων, ἔχθιστος, (inimical,)
 οἰκτρὸς, (οἰκτρότερος,) οἴκτιστος, (mournful,)
 κυδρὸς, κυδίων, κύδιστος (glorious).
- 3. In some compar. of this form the preceding consonant along with the ι is changed into $\sigma\sigma$ or $\tau\tau$: see Obs. 7. The adjective $\tau a \chi \delta \varsigma$, (swift,) superl. $\tau \acute{a} \chi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \varsigma$, is written with a θ in the compar.

θάσσων, neuter θασσον, Attice θάττων, θαττον, whence it appears that the τ in ταχνς arose from a θ, according to δ 18, 2.

Obs. 1. This form of comparison always has the accent on the antepenultima, when the quantity of the last syllable allows it: hence, ήδυς, ήδιων, neut. ήδιον, ήδιστος. Obs. 2. The ι of this compar. is also used as short by poets, especially by Epic poets.

Obs. 3. Of the adjectives in $v_{\mathcal{G}}$, none but ήδ $\dot{v}_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\tau \alpha \chi \dot{v}_{\mathcal{G}}$ commonly have this form: the remaining adjectives have either $\dot{v}\tau \epsilon \rho o_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\dot{v}\tau \alpha \tau o_{\mathcal{G}}$, (as δασ $\dot{v}_{\mathcal{G}}$, βαρ $\dot{v}_{\mathcal{G}}$,) or have both forms, in which case the forms $\dot{\iota}\omega v$, $\iota \sigma \tau o_{\mathcal{G}}$ are poetical. Thus in Homer, for instance, βάθιστος from βαθ $\dot{v}_{\mathcal{G}}$, (deep.) βράσσων, βράδιστος, or βάρδιστος from βραδ $\dot{v}_{\mathcal{G}}$, (slow.) πάσσων, πάχιστος from παχ $\dot{v}_{\mathcal{G}}$, (thick.) βραχ $\dot{\iota}\omega v$, $\ddot{\omega}$ κιστος, &c.

Obs. 4. Of the adjectives ending in poc the following have this form of com-

parison, viz.

αίσχρὸς, ἐχθρὸς, οἰκτρὸς, κυδρὸς,

and they also use the other collateral form more or less, but $oi\kappa\tau\rho\delta\varsigma$ never has the compar. in $i\omega\nu$. The form $i\omega\nu$, $\iota\sigma\tau \circ \varsigma$, appears to be derived from some old positives in $\nu\varsigma$. (See § 69. Obs. 1.)

Obs. 5. We must also notice under this head μ ακρὸς, (long,) because of its compar, μ άσσων, (for μ ακίων,) μ ήκιστος. This change of the vowel in the superl. is likewise found in the subst. τ ὸ μ ῆκος, (length,) and other derivatives. But here too μ ακρότερος, μ ακρότατος, are more usual.

Obs. 6. A few more comparatives of this form may be seen among the Anomalous Adjectives of the following sections. Some are used only by poets, as φιλίων, φίλι-

στος, from φίλος.

Obs. 7. Hither belong also the comparatives of adverbs: $\check{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu$, (nearer,) from $\check{\alpha}\gamma\chi\iota$, (Hom.) and the frequently used $\mu\check{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\sigma\nu$, (magis,) $\mu\acute{\alpha}\lambda\iota\sigma\tau\alpha$ from $\mu\acute{\alpha}\lambda\alpha$.

\$ 68.

Several adjectives have an anomalous form of comparison.

This anomaly mostly consists in forming their degrees from obsolete positives, and when there are more forms derived from one positive, (see $a\gamma a\theta \delta c$ and $\kappa a\kappa \delta c$,) each generally has one of the more particular meanings of that positive, or at least is preferred in some connexions. This must be left to the individual notice of the learner.

Superlative, Comparative, 1. ἀγαθὸς, (good,) ἀμείνων, ἄμεινον, (better,) ἄριστος, (best,) βελτίων, βέλτιστος, κρείσσων or κρείττων, κράτιστος, λωΐων, commonly λώων, λώϊστος, commonly λώστος.

We find in old poets the real compar. of ἄριστος, viz. ἀρείως ¹, and of κράτιστος even the positive κρατύς. The Ionians have κρέσσων instead of κρείσσων, the Dorians κάρρων, (for KAP- $\Sigma\Omega N$,) from another form of the *positive*, whence comes also the adv. κάρτα, (very much,) and the poetical superl. κάρτιστος. The Epics have βέλτερος, λωΐτερος, instead of βελτίων, λωΐων. (See § 16. Obs. 1. d. about the Doric βέντιστος.)

2. κακὸς, (bad,) κακίων, χείριστος, χείρων, ήσσων or ήττων, ήκιστος.

The regular form κακώτερος is used by poets. Instead of χείρων the Ionians have χερείων, and the Dorians χερήων. We find in the Epic poets the dat. χέρηϊ, acc. χέρηα, pl. χέρηες, τὰ χέρεια employed instead of the compar., though they are properly the casus of an old positive XEPH Σ^2 .

The comparative ησσων (Ion. ξσσων) only signifies worse, inferior, weaker, and is every where the opposite of κρείσσων. The superl. seldom occurs as an adjective; the neut. pl. ηκιστα occurs very frequently, but simply as an adverb. § 115, 73.

3. μέγας, (great,) μείζων, (Ion. μέζων,) 4. μικρός, (small,) ζ ἐλάσσων, ττων, έλάχιστος, 5. ολίγος, (little,) \ μείων, δλίγιστος.

1 "Aρης, the God of War, probably identical with it, and the abstract subst. ἀρετή, are still evidences of the positive.

² They commonly are considered as syncopated forms of the compar. (the same as πλέες,) but a comparison with the old German basz, (whence the Germ. besser,

as $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon_i$, but a comparison with the old German bosz, (whence the Germ. besser, the Engl. better,) which was used not merely as a positive, but also as a compar, authorises our view of the subject. (See Luther's German Bible, Gen. 12, 13, 19, 9.)

It is an adjective in Il. ψ . 531. according to the only correct reading. See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 4. This form of comparison stood formerly very improperly under $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \delta c$ in Greek Grammars, merely because the adverbial form may be translated into Latin minus, minime. $\kappa \kappa \kappa \delta c$ is the only positive of $\tilde{\eta} \tau \tau \omega \nu$.

As these two ideas are so nearly related, the forms $\lambda \lambda a \sigma \sigma \omega v$, $\lambda \lambda a \chi \iota \sigma \tau \sigma c$, and $\mu \epsilon \iota \omega v$, serve alike to express the notions of smallness and fewness. The old positive $\lambda \lambda a \chi \delta c$ is still found in poets; $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma c$, is likewise used; and poets have also the compar. $\delta \lambda \ell \lambda c \omega v$, and superl. $\mu \epsilon \delta \sigma \tau \sigma c$.

πολὺς, (much,) πλείων or πλεῖστος,
 πλέων, (more,) (most.)

The Attics have also $\pi \lambda \epsilon \bar{\imath} \nu$ instead of the neut. $\pi \lambda \epsilon \bar{\imath} \nu \nu$, but only in such a combination as $\pi \lambda \epsilon \bar{\imath} \nu \tilde{\eta} \mu \iota \rho \iota \iota \iota$. The Ionians and Dorians make the contraction $\pi \lambda \ell \iota \nu \nu$, $\pi \lambda \ell \iota \nu \nu$, $\pi \lambda \ell \iota \nu \nu$. Homer has also $\pi \lambda \ell \ell \nu \nu$, in the plural, the positive instead of the compar.

7. καλὸς, (beautiful,) καλλίων, κάλλιστος 8. ῥάδιος, (easy,) ῥάων, ῥᾶστος.

The Ionians, with whom the positive is ρηΐδιος, have ρηΐων, ρήϊστος, the Epics ρηΐτερος, τατος, all from PAIS, PHIS: and from its neut. pl. PHIA came the adv. ρεῖα, ρέα (easily).

9. ἀλγεινὸς, (grievous,) ἀλγίων, ἄλγιστος.

But the regular form ἀλγεινότερος, τατος, is more usual in the masc. and fem.

10. πέπων, (ripe,) πεπαίτερος, πεπαίτατος. 11. πίων, (fat,) πιότερος, πιότατος.

Obs. The old superl. in $\alpha \tau \sigma g$ is peculiar to poets, $\mu \not \in \tau \alpha \tau \sigma g$, (middlenost,) from $\mu \not \in \sigma g$, and of $\nu \not \in \sigma g$, (new,) $\nu \not \in \alpha \tau \sigma g$, $\nu \not \in (ast,)$ of which the contracted fem. $\nu \not \in \tau g$ denotes, in prose, the lowest (with us the highest) string of a musical instrument.

§ 69.

- 1. There are also defective degrees of comparison without any positive, (see the Obs.) and several of the above anomalous forms, like ήττων, κρείττων, λῷστος, &c., may be considered as such.
- 2. Under this head must also be ranked those which denote a sequence, and whose *positive* is mostly a particle, referring to place or position: for instance,

πρότερος, (prior,) πρῶτος, (primus,) from πρό, (before;) ὑπέρτερος, τατος, and ὕπατος, (higher, highest,) from ὑπὲρ, (over, above;)

ἔσχατος, (extreme, outermost,) from ἐξ, (out of;) ὕστερος, ὕστατος, (later, the latest,) from ὑπό;

⁴ Compare the note to χέρηϊ, &c.; for πλέες is obviously an abbreviation of π ολέες, as the *compar*. πλείων itself is derived from this abbreviation of π ολύς.

and such comparisons as are formed of adverbs; ex. gr.

πλησιαίτερος, τατος, from πλησίος, (near; as in Latin prope, propior, proximus.)

προυργιαίτερος, τατος, from προύργου.

ηρεμέστερος, τατος, from ηρέμα.

περαίτερος is better to be derived from πέρα, πέραν, (be-yond,) although there is an adject. περαΐος 1.

- 3. Sometimes degrees of comparison are given to substantives which may be taken in an adjective sense; for instance, έταῖρος (friend) makes έταιρότατος, κλέπτης, (thief,) κλεπτίστατος. (See § 66. Obs. 1.)
- Obs. 1. Some old grammarians erroneously ranked among compar. and superl., made of substantives, some defective ones in $\iota\omega\nu$ and $\iota\sigma\tau\sigma\varsigma$, of which there is a kindred abstract subst. in $\sigma\varsigma$, as $\dot{\rho}\iota\gamma\iota\omega\nu$, (more horrible,) κέρδιστος, (most crafty,) ὕψιστος, (most high;) substantives, $\tau\dot{\sigma}$ $\dot{\rho}\tilde{\iota}\gamma\sigma\varsigma$, (shuddering,) κέρδος, (cunning,) ὕψος, (height,) and some of the above-mentioned deviations are explained in the same way; for instance, ἔχθιστος from $\tau\dot{\sigma}\tilde{\iota}\chi\theta\sigma\varsigma$, (hatred,) μήκιστος from μῆκος, (length,) κάλλιστος from κάλλος, (beauty,) &c. But it is unquestionable that these subst. and those compar. and superl. rather presuppose a corresponding positive, and this is so much the more certain, since the positive of some few of them may actually be found in the old poets; ex. gr. κρατὸς, from which comes κράτιστος, and τὸ κράτος, ἐλεγχἑες, (shame-ful,) ἐλέγχιστος, and τὸ ἔλεγχος. Compare § 119, 3. e. and 10. d.

Obs. 2. The poets, especially the Epics, have several of those degrees of comparison belonging to this section; for instance, $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma_c$, $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \tau a \tau \sigma_c$ and $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma_c$, (braver, most excellent,) which may be considered as belonging to $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \dot{\sigma} c := \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma_c$, (more impudent,) from $\kappa \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$, $\kappa \nu \nu \dot{\sigma} c$, $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma_c$, (more powerful,) from $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} c$, $\pi \dot{\nu} \mu \alpha \tau \sigma_c$, $\dot{\nu} \pi \lambda \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma_c$, and others, which are sufficiently explained in dictionaries.

- Obs. 3. We meet but very rarely with compar, and superl, made of a word which already expresses a degree of comparison; they give greater intensity to its signification; for instance, $\xi \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \dot{\omega} \tau \alpha \tau o c$, $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \iota \sigma \tau o c$. Expressions of this kind are found in later writers, not Attics. When Epic poets at times combine both forms of a compar. as $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho o c$, it is done for the sake of the metre, and not to strengthen the expression.
- Obs. 4. The more ancient language had also a termination of derivative adjectives in $\tau \epsilon \rho o \varepsilon$, which must not be confounded with that of the comparative; ex. gr. ἀγρότερος, (rural,) ἀρέστερος, (on the mountains,) θηλύτερος (as θῆλυς, feminine).

OF NUMERALS.

§ 70.—Cardinal Numbers.

a'. είς, μίἄ, εν, gen. ενὸς, μιᾶς, ενὸς, 'one.'
 There is an anomalous change of accent in μία, μιᾶς, μιᾶ,

 μlav . Epic poets have also instead of this fem. la, gen. $l\tilde{\eta}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

¹ We frequently meet with compar. and superl. of ἄνω, ἔνδον, &c. as ἀνώτερος, ἐνδότατος, &c.; but they are in many places obvious corruptions of the adverbial form ὁ ἀνωτέρω. See § 115. and 125.

From the composition of this word with the negatives oubs and und arise the negative adjectives,

ούδεὶς, οὐδεμία, οὐδεν, and μηδεὶς, μηδεμία, μηδεν, πο one, none.

They retain in their decl. the accent of $\tilde{\epsilon i}_{\mathcal{G}}$; $o\dot{\imath}o\dot{\delta}\varepsilon\nu\dot{\delta}_{\mathcal{G}}$, $o\dot{\imath}o\dot{\delta}\varepsilon\mu\tilde{\imath}_{\mathcal{G}}$, &c. The separation of $o\dot{\imath}o\dot{\delta}\dot{\varepsilon}$ $\varepsilon i_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\varepsilon}$ $\dot{\varepsilon}\nu$, &c., in writing, gives intensity to the negative signification, not even one, not the least. Several Greek writers, mostly later ones, have also $o\dot{\imath}o\theta\dot{\varepsilon}i_{\mathcal{G}}$, neut. $\dot{\varepsilon}\nu$, but the fem. as usual.

2. β'. δύο, (nomin. acc.) δυοίν, (gen. dat.) two.

The Attics have δυεῖν, but merely in the gen.: they also use δύο as indeclinable in the gen. and dat. Un-Attic are N. A. δύω, G. δυῶν, D. δυοῖ, δυοίν: Ion. δυοῖσιν: Epic δοιῶ and δοιοῖ, which is completely declined. The word ἄμφω, (both) is noticed below, § 78.

- 3. γ'. τρεῖς, (comm.) τρία, (neut.) three, gen. τριῶν, dat. τριοὶ(ν), acc. like the nom.
- 4. δ. τέσσαρες οτ τέτταρες, four, neut. a, gen. ων, dat. τέσσαρσι, τέτταρσι, (poet. τέτρασι,) acc. ac, a.

Ion. τέσσερες, Dor. τέττορες, τέτορες, old and Æol. πίσυρες. The remaining simple numbers up to ten, and the decimal ones up to a hundred, are not declined at all.

έ. πέντε	 5 1	΄. δέκα	10	ξ'. έξήκοντα	60
5. 88 .	 6	έ. είκοσι or σιν	20	ο΄. έβδομήκοντα	70
ζ'. έπτὰ .	 7)	΄. τριάκοντα .	30	π'. ογδοήκουτα .	80
η'. ὀκτω .	 8 1	ί. τεσσαράκοντα	40	4. ενενήκοντα .	90
θ'. ἐννέα	 9 1	΄. πεντήκοντα .	50	ρ'. έκατον	100

Not only the long a in $\tau \rho \iota \acute{a} κον \tau a$, but also the short one in $\tau εσ-σαρ \acute{a} κον \tau a$, is converted by the Ionians into η : $\tau \rho \iota \acute{\eta} κον \tau a$, $\tau εσ-σερ \acute{\eta} κον \tau a$. Other Ionic and Epic forms are ἐείκοσι, ὀγδώκον τα, ἐνν ἡκον τα, Doric $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon$, 5, εἶκα τι, 20.

The compounds with ten commonly are—

ιβ΄. δώδεκα .	12 13	ιδ΄. τεσσαρεσκαί- εί΄. έπτακαίδεκα ιέ΄. πεντεκαίδεκα $\frac{14}{16}$ ιθ΄. έννεακαίδεκα $\frac{16}{16}$	18	
		15 servaidera 16		

Δεκατρεῖς, δεκάπεντε, &c., occur but seldom. Τρεῖς and τέσσαρες are also declined in these compositions; for instance, τεσσαρακαίδεκα, τεσσαρσικαίδεκα, δεκατριών, &c.

Δυώδεκα and δυοκαίδεκα are Ionic and poetical. The forms

τρισκαίδεκα, έκκαίδεκα, show that the rest of the numbers combined by καὶ up to 19, must not be written separate. Τεσσερεσκαίδεκα is indeclinable in Ionic. (ex. gr. Herod. 1, 86. has it twice.)

The rest of the compound numbers are usually written separate, and when the small number precedes, the two are joined by καί; if it comes last, the conjunction generally is omitted; for instance, πέντε καὶ εἴκοσιν, οτ εἴκοσι πέντε; 32, τριάκοντα δύο, οτ δύο καὶ τριάκοντα.

The round numbers after 100 are regularly declined:

200. σ	έ. διακόσιοι, αι, α.	3,000. γ.	τρισχίλιοι.
300. τ	΄. τριᾶκόσιοι.	4,000. 8.	τετρακισχίλιοι.
	΄. τετρακόσιοι.		πεντακισχίλιοι.
	ο΄. πεντακόσιοι.	6,000. 5.	έξακισχίλιοι.
	ζ. έξακόσιοι.		έπτακισχίλιοι.
	ύ. ξπτακόσιοι.		όκτακισχίλιοι.
	ο΄. ὀκτακόσιοι.		έννακισχίλιοι.
	δ΄. ἐννακόσιοι.		μύριοι, αι, α 1.
	α. χίλιοι, αι, α.		δισμύριοι, etc.
	3. δισχίλιοι.		

The a in διā and τριāκόσιοι is long: Ionice διηκόσιοι, &c., ἐννεά- χ īλοι, δεκά χ īλοι are old forms in Homer. These large numbers may be used as collectiva in the sing.: διακοσία ἵππος (ἡ ἵππος, cavalry).

Obs. 1. Instead of the numbers compounded with 8 and 9, a frequent use is made of a circumlocution; for instance, instead of 49, we find ένὸς δέοντος (or μιᾶς δεούσης) πεντήκοντα, viz. 50, less one or wanting one, δυοῖν δεόντοιν πεντήκοντα, 48, and also ένὸς or δυοῖν δέοντες, the verb δεῖν signifying both to be in want of and to want.

Obs. 2. When other words are compounded with these numbers, the Greek has for the unit μονο - (μόνος, single,) for 2 δι - for 3 τρι - and for 4 τετρα, as μονόκερως, δίκερως, δισύλλαβος, διετής, (ἔτος), διώβολον, (δβολὸς), τρίπους, τετράπους, &c.² The rest of the numbers are generally joined with α and ο, as πεντά - μετρος, ξξά - γωνος, είκοσά - εδρος, πεντηκοντό - γνος, εκπηχυς, (ἔξ), and the like. The Ionians make also find πεντεναία, εκατόμ - πυλος, εκπηχυς, (εξ), and the like. The Ionians make of εννέα, είνάπηχυς, &c. In these combinations the α sometimes remains before a vowel, and sometimes not; the ο is always dropped or contracted in the compounds with ετος (year); hence we have επταέτης, (of seven years,) better επτέτης, τριακονταέτης, or τριακοντούτης (for οέτης 3). Observe likewise ενναέτης, (of nine years, nine years old,) and εννῆμαρ (for oτης α).

¹ Muplou, many, numberless, is contradistinguished from it by the accent.

² The composition with δις-, τρις-, is used only when the meaning of δὶς, τρὶς, twice, thrice, must be expressed, as in δισθανής (Homer), δισμύριοι, δίσεφθος, τρισ-άθλιος, and the like.

³ These words have εος, oug, in the gen. and are generis communis; but they also have a fem. in ις. τριακοντούτιδες σπουδαί.

§ 71.—Ordinal Numbers and other derived ones.

- 1. The ordinal numbers are adjectives of three terminations, the first two of which are two defective forms of comparison. See § 69, 2.
 - 1. πρῶτος or, in speaking of two, πρότερος
 - 2. δεύτερος, α, ον 1
 - 3. τρίτος, η, ον
 - 4. τέταρτος
 - 5. πέμπτος
 - 6. ξκτος
 - 7. έβδομος
 - 8. ὄγδοος
 - 9. ἔνατος and ἔννατος
 - 10. δέκατος
 - 11. ένδέκατος
 - 12. δωδέκατος
 - 13. τρισκαιδέκατος
 - 14. τεσσαρακαιδέκατος
 - 15. πεντεκαιδέκατος 16. έκκαιδέκατος
 - 17. έπτακαιδέκατος

- 18. ὀκτοκαιδέκατος
 - 19. ἐννεακαιδέκατος
 - 20. εἰκοστὸς
 - 21. εἰκοστὸς πρῶτος, οτ πρῶτος καὶ εἰκοστὸς, &c.
 - 30. τριακοστός
 - 40. τεσσαρακοστός
 - 50. πεντηκοστός
 - 60. έξηκοστός
 - 70. έβδομηκοστός
 - 80. δηδυηκοστός
- 90. ἐνενηκοστὸς
- 200. διακοσιοστός, &c.
- 1,000. χιλιοστός
- 2,000. δισχιλιοστός
- 10,000. μυριοστός, &c.

The interrogative πόστος, quotus? is answered by an ordinal number².

Τέτρατος is used instead of τέταρτος for the sake of the metre; the Ionians have εἴνατος instead of ἔννατος, ἔνατος: the Epics have τρίτατος, ἑβδόματος, ὀγδόατος: the Dorians πρᾶτος for πρῶτος.

2. The numeral adverbs answering the question, How many times? are: ἄπαξ, (once,) δὶς, τρὶς, τετράκις, πεντάκις, ὀκτάκις, ἐννεάκις οτ ἐννάκις, ἑκατοντάκις, χιλιάκις, &c. (poet. -κι.) Interrogative, ποσάκις.

3. Multiples answering the question, How manifold? are ἀπλόος, contr. ἀπλοῦς, (simple,) διπλοῦς, τριπλοῦς, τετραπλοῦς, &c. (see § 60.) or also διπλάσιος, &c.

4. Numbers as subst. all end in àς, gen. άδος; as, ή μονὰς, (unity,) δυὰς, τριὰς, τετρὰς, πεντὰς, (also πεμπτὰς and πεμπὰς,) έξὰς, έβδομὰς, ὀγδοὰς, ἐννεὰς, δεκὰς, &c., εἰκὰς, τριūκὰς, τεσσαρακοντὰς, &c., έκατοντὰς, χιλιὰς, μυριάς.

¹ The superl. δεύτατος, (last,) made of it, is merely poetical.

² Further πολλοστός, one of many, όλιγοστός, one of few. Hence το πολλοστόν μέρος, one of many parts, viz., a very small part.

PRONOUNS.

§ 71 a.—Division of Pronouns.

- 1. The division of the pronouns is supposed to be known from the Latin grammar; the following §§ therefore treat of them according to their etymological order. In order to facilitate the review of all pronouns, see the following illustrations.
- 2. The pronouns are usually divided into three classes: 1, into pronouns substantive, that is to say, which are used instead of substantives or persons; 2, into pronouns adjective, which are for the most part used for adjectives, yet sometimes also for substantives; 3, into pronouns adverb, which are used instead of adverbs.
 - I. Pron. substantivum.
 - 1. pron. personalia ἐγω, σὸ, τ, § 72, 3.
 - 2. pron. reflexiva ἐμαυτοῦ, σαυτοῦ, ἑαυτοῦ, &c. § 74, 3.
 - 3. pron. reciprocum ἀλλήλων, &c. § 74, 4.
 - 4. pron. indefinit. δ, ή, τὸ δεῖνα, § 73.
 - II. Pron. adjectivum.
 - pron. demonstrativa; to these belong the art. præpos. δ, ή, τὸ, § 75.
 οὖτος, αὕτη, τοῦτο, this, &c. § 76, 2.
 ὅδε, ήδε, τόδε, this, &c. § 76, 1.
 ἐκεῖνος, η, ο, that, yon, § 74, 1.
 αὐτὸς, ἡ, ὸ, himself, &c. § 74, 1. ὁ αὐτὸς, ibid. 2.
 - 2. pron. relativa; to these belong the art. postpos. $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\hat{\eta}$, δ , with the strengthened forms $\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, &c. who, &c. § 78. $\delta \sigma \tau_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\delta \tau_{\mathcal{C}}$,
 - 3. pron. interrogativum $\tau i_{\mathcal{C}}$, τi , who? what? § 77, 1.
 - 4. pron. indefinit. $\tau \wr \varsigma$, $\tau \wr$, some one, &c. § 77, 1.
 - 5. pron. possessiva ἐμὸς, ἐμὴ, ἐμὸν, my, σὸν, &c. § 72, 4.
 - 6. pron. correlativa. See § 78 and 79.
 - III. Pron. adverbiale.

To this class belong those pronouns which are derived from adverbia modi, loci, temporis. See § 116.

§ 72.—Pronouns Substantive and Possessive.

1. The pronouns substantive or personal of the first and second persons are $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$, I, $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\bar{\imath}c$, we, $\sigma\dot{\nu}$, thou, $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\bar{\imath}c$, (long v,) you.

- 2. The pronoun of the third person has no longer its nom. sing., like i', the Latin se, with which it also corresponds in the Attic language in its reflective signification. In the plural it has a particular form for the neuter, which, however, occurs but rarely.
- Obs. 1. This pronoun is altogether not frequent in the Attic writers; for even in its reflective signification they prefer the compound ἐαυτὸν (§ 74, 3); and in the direct sense of him, her, it, they employ the oblique cases of the pronoun abros, § 74, 2. It occurs more frequently in the Ionic and Epic writers, who use it indiscriminately for him, her, and it, and for the Latin se. (See the Syntax, § 127. about this reflective pronoun.)
 - 3. These *pronouns* are declined thus:—

Sing.	I,	thou,	the Latin SE:
Nom.	έγω	σὺ	
Gen.	ἐμοῦ, and μοῦ,	σοῦ	ov
Dat.	έμοὶ, and μοὶ,	σοὶ	oį̇̃
Acc.	ἐμὲ, and μὲ,	σὲ	8
Dual,	We both,	you two,	
N.A.	νῶϊ, νὼ,	σφῶϊ, σφὼ,	σφωὲ
G.D.	νῶϊν, νῷν,	σφῶίν, σφῷν,	σφωΐν
Pl.	We,	you,	
Nom.	ήμεῖς	ύμεῖς	σφείς, neut. σφέα,
Gen.	ήμῶν	ύμῶν	σφῶν
Dat.	ήμῖν	ύμῖν	$\sigma\phi$ ί $\sigma\iota(\nu)$
Acc.	ήμᾶς	ύμᾶς	σφᾶς, neut. σφέα.

Obs. 2. The oblique cases of the second and third person are enclitical, yet, according to the principles of § 14. Obs. 7. they may also be orthotoned. It is only the monosyllabic form of the cases of eya, which is enclitic; when orthotoned, the disyllabic form must be used. Of the forms beginning with $\sigma \phi$, only the oblique cases of the third person, and the forms of dialects noticed in the sixth Observation, are enclitic; yet $\sigma\phi\tilde{\omega}\nu$ and $\sigma\phi\tilde{\alpha}\varsigma$ with the circumflex are excepted, but in their resolved form, (σφέων, σφέας, Obs. 6, 8.) and when σφάς is made short by Poets, they also are enclitic.

Obs. 3. When one of these pronouns has a preposition prefixed, it generally continues orthotoned, as $\pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma o \tilde{v}$, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma o i$, $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi i \sigma i \nu$, and, consequently, (of $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$,) κατ' ἐμὲ, ἐξ ἐμοῦ 2.

Obs. 4. The particle $\gamma \in (\S 149, 2.)$ is often appended to these pronouns for the sake of emphasis, in which case έγω, έμοι, and έμε throw the accent back: ἔγωγε (equidem), εμοιγε, εμεγε, σύγε, &c., and the oblique cases of σύ cease to be enclitical; ex. gr. μή σέγε.

Obs. 5. The oblique cases of $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$ and $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$ are, according to grammarians, equally capable of being inclined, and in every instance, when they are enclitics,

1 See about this very rare nomin. and its actual occurrence in Attic writers, Buttm. Complete Greek Grammar.

² Some grammarians excepted only πρός με, and it really occurs mostly thus in the Attic writers. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. and Jacobs' Præf. ad Anthol. p. 32.

instead of throwing their accent on the preceding word, they draw it back: $\eta\mu\omega\nu$, $\eta\mu\nu$, $\psi\mu\nu$, &c., which rule, however, is not generally observed in our editions.

Obs. 6. Dialects.

1. The Dorians and Epics have an old form ἐγών for ἐγώ.

- 2. The Dorians have $\tau \dot{v}$ for $\sigma \dot{v}$, and in the enclitic acc. again $\tau \dot{v}$. The acc. $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ occurs rarely, and only orthotoned (Theoer. 1, 5); even the Dorians and Æolians retain $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ instead of it. $T \dot{v} \nu \eta$ is an old form of the nomin. with the Epics.
- In the dat, the Dorians and Ionians have τοὶ for σοὶ, but only when it is enclitic.
- 4. The gen. ov of these pronouns comes from ϵo , hence the Epic poets have

έμέο, σέο, ἕο,

or $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\tilde{\iota}o$, $\sigma\epsilon\tilde{\iota}o$, $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}o$, whence the Ionians and Dorians have $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$, $\mu\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$, $\sigma\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$, $\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$, (see § 28. Obs. 5.) and the Dorians instead of $\sigma\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$ also $\tau\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$ and $\tau\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\varsigma$. The gen. $\tau\epsilon\sigma\tilde{\iota}o$ for $\sigma\epsilon\sigma$, $\sigma\epsilon\tilde{\iota}o$, Il. θ . 37. is quite anomalous.

5. The Poets make use of a peculiar gen. formed by the appendage of (compare

§ 116.) the syllable $\theta \epsilon \nu$:

έμέθεν, σέθεν, έθεν,

whereof $\ddot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\nu$, in its direct meaning, (Obs. 1.) is enclitical; for instance, II. a. 114.

- Έμὶν, τὶν or τέιν, ἳν, (with the sp. lenis,) are orthotoned (§ 14.) Dorie datives for ἐμοὶ, σοὶ, οῖ. (Yet τὶν sometimes is only acc., Theoer. 11.)
- The old Ionism of the Epics lengthens the pronoun of the third person with an ε, gen. ἐεῖο, dat. ἐοῖ, acc. ἑέ. This form (like ἐμοῦ) is always orthotoned.
- The Ionians resolve the contraction of the pl. and say ἡμέες, ὑμέες, σφέες, gen. ἡμέων, &c. (Epice, ἡμείων, &c.) acc. ἡμέας, &c.

Poets shorten the end-syllables ιν and ας—ἡμὶν, ὑμὶν, ἡμὰς, ὑμὰς, σφάς.
 If enclitic (Obs. 5.) they are written ἥμιν, &c.

10. The final syllable of the nomin. $\dot{\alpha}\mu\dot{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\dot{\nu}\mu\dot{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is also shortened by the Dorians, and in the acc. they take the (dual) termination $\epsilon-\dot{\alpha}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$, $\dot{\nu}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$, for $\dot{\eta}\mu\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\dot{\nu}\mu\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{C}}$, all with the a and v long. This change of pronunciation and accent gives the following old Æolic form, which the Epic poets have retained:

Νοπ. ἄμμες, ὔμμες,

Dat. ἄμμῖν, ὅμμιν, or ἄμμῖ, ὅμμι,

Αcc. ἄμμε, ὅμμε.

If $\dot{\alpha}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$ or $\ddot{\alpha}\mu\mu\epsilon$ also occurs instead of $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$, (Theoer. 11, 42.) it is the same figure by means of which $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\tilde{\iota}_{\mathcal{C}}$ often is used for $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$. Compare Obs. 7, 2.

11. There is a similar abbreviation of the pl. of the third person,

Dat. σφὶ οτ σφὶν, Αcc. σφὲ,

both enclitic. The Attic poets also make use of the $acc. \sigma \phi \dot{\epsilon}$, but in all numbers (for $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{v}\nu$, $\dot{\eta}\nu$, $\dot{\delta}$, and $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{v}c$, $\dot{\alpha}c$, $\dot{\alpha}$). $\Sigma\phi\dot{\nu}\nu$ is very rarely used for the sing. See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 17, 14.

12. Lastly there is another enclitic acc. of the third person,

Ion. µìv, Dor. and Att. vìv,

which also is used for all the genders and numbers, but only in the direct meaning ($Obs.\ 1.$) of him, her, it, $pl.\ them$. The Attics make use of their $\nu i\nu$ merely in poetry.

4. The pronouns possessive derived from these personal pronouns are regular adjectives of three terminations. They are generally formed from the yen. sing. in this manner:

gen. ἐμοῦ gives ἐμὸς, ἐμὴ, ἐμὸν, my.

gen. $\sigma \circ \tilde{v}$,, $\sigma \circ \varsigma$, $\sigma \dot{\gamma}$, $\sigma \dot{\circ} v$, thy.

gen. $o\tilde{b}$, δc , $\hat{\eta}$, δv , his, (of the fem.) her.

And from the nomin. thus:

ήμεῖς gives ἡμέτερος, α, ον, our. ὑμεῖς ,, ὑμέτερος, α, ον, your. σφεῖς ,, σφέτερος, α, ον, their.

The pronouns possessive of the third person sing. and plur. are frequently expressed in prose by the gen. of pronouns substantive. For particulars on this point, see Syntax, § 127, 7.

Obs. 7. Dialects:

- The Dorians and Ionians have instead of σὸς—τεὸς, ἀ, (ή,) ὁν, and for ὃς,
 —ἑὸς, ἀ, (ή,) όν.—With regard to the pretended form ἑῆος, see above the
 Anom. ἐν̄ς, with the note.
- 2.) There is an old shorter form for the possessive pl.,

 $\bar{a}\mu\dot{o}_{\mathcal{S}}, \dot{\eta}, \dot{o}\nu, \quad \dot{v}\mu\dot{o}_{\mathcal{S}}, \dot{\eta}, \dot{o}\nu, \quad \sigma\phi\dot{o}_{\mathcal{S}}, \dot{\eta}, \dot{o}\nu,$ used by the Dorians and Epic poets, but the latter pronounce the first person with the sp. lenis,

 $\vec{a}\mu \delta \varsigma, \dot{\eta}, \delta \nu$:

the Attic poets do the same, yet only in the meaning of the sing. (for lµbç compare Obs. 6, 10); for instance, Eurip. Electr. 555. Soph. Electr. 588.

Obs. 8. Poets also make of the dual of the first and second person

νῶι-νωίτερος both our, of us both, σφῶι-σφωίτερος, both your, of you both.

§ 73.

We must also rank among the pronouns personal

 δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \delta$ δε $\tilde{\iota}$ va, a certain person, some one, such and such a one, (the French un tel): it is declined

nom. and acc. δείνα, gen. δείνος, dat. δείνι, pl. οί δείνες, &c.

Obs. It is sometimes, though very rarely, indeclinable: for instance, τὸν δεῖνα, τὸν τοῦ δεῖνα (νἱὸν), Aristoph. Thesm. 622.

§ 74.

1. The following four pronomina adjectiva are regularly declined, except that they have o in the neuter:

αὐτὸς, αὐτὴ, αὐτὸ, himself, herself, itself; ἐκεῖνος, ἐκεῖνη, ἐκεῖνο, this, that, yon; ἄλλος, ἄλλη, ἄλλο, other; \mathring{o}_{ς} , $\mathring{\eta}$, \mathring{o} , see § 75.

Obs. 1. The Ionians are fond of introducing an ε in some forms of $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o} c$, as $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \eta$, $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v$. (§ 28. Obs. 3.)

Obs. 2. 'Ereivog comes from erei, yonder, in that place. The Ionic form is reivog,

 η , σ , and the Doric $\tau \tilde{\eta} \nu \sigma \varsigma$, σ , σ . The Æolic was $\kappa \tilde{\eta} \nu \sigma \varsigma$.—See § 29. Obs. 6. about $\tilde{\omega} \lambda \lambda \sigma$ for $\tilde{\sigma}$ $\tilde{\sigma} \lambda \lambda \sigma$.

2. The pronoun $a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\nu} c$ has three significations: 1.) self; 2.) in the oblique cases, him, her, it; 3.) with the article, the same. See the Syntax. We merely observe that in the last signification it frequently coalesces with the article, (according to § 29. Obs. 4.) and in this instance the neuter is both in $\dot{\nu}$ and $\dot{\sigma}$: hence

δ αὐτὸς, ἡ αὐτὴ, ταὐτὸ and ταὐτὸν ταὐτοῦ, τῆς αὐτῆς, ταὐτοῦ ταὐτῷ, ταὐτῆ, ταὐτῷ, &c.

The forms $\tau a \tilde{\upsilon} \tau \tilde{\eta}$ and $\tau a \tilde{\upsilon} \tau \tilde{a}$, especially when the *coronis* ' is wanting, must not be confounded with $\tau a \tilde{\upsilon} \tau \eta$ and $\tau a \tilde{\upsilon} \tau a$ from $o \tilde{\upsilon} \tau o \varsigma$, § 76.—See about the Ionisms $\omega \tilde{\upsilon} \tau \tilde{\upsilon} \varsigma$, $\tau \omega \upsilon \tau \tilde{\upsilon}$, § 29. Obs. 6.

3. It is with avroc that is formed

the pronoun reflective,

which being compounded with the acc. of the pronouns personal $(\hat{\epsilon}\mu\hat{\epsilon}, \sigma\hat{\epsilon}, \hat{\epsilon})$ is declined thus in the oblique cases:

gen. ἐμαυτοῦ, ἐμαυτῆς, dat. ἐμαυτῷ, ῆ, acc. ἐμαυτὸν, ὴν, of myself, to myself, myself.

gen. σεαυτοῦ, or σαυτοῦ, &c. of thyself, to thyself, thyself.

gen. ἑαυτοῦ, or αὐτοῦ, &c. of himself, or herself, &c. neuter accus. ἑαυτὸ, αὐτό.

The two words of the two first persons in the pl. are written separately; the third is declined like the former:

gen. ήμων αὐτων, dat. ήμιν αὐτοις, αις;

acc. ήμᾶς αὐτοὺς, άς.

gen. ὑμῶν αὐτῶν, dat. ὑμῖν αὐτοῖς, αῖς, &c.

gen. έαυτων or αύτων, dat. έαυτοῖς or αύτοῖς, αῖς;

acc. έαυτούς, or αύτούς, àς, á.

Obs. 3. Originally the sing, was also naturally written separate. Homer still has $\sigma oi \ a \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\varphi}$, of $a \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\varphi}$. We likewise find separately in his works, $\tilde{\epsilon} \ a \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\eta} \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\mu}' \ a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\nu} \nu$, &c. Il. a. 271. ξ . 162. ξ . 490; and Od. ξ . 185. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \ \sigma' \ a \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\nu} \tilde{\nu}$, (or $\sigma a \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\nu} \tilde{\nu}$, $\tilde{\eta} \varepsilon$,) is considered as an elision of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \ \sigma \dot{\alpha}$.

Obs. 4. In these compositions the Ionians have ωv instead of αv , (§ 27. Obs. 1, 11.) and commonly do not elide the ε in the first person. They say $\dot{\varepsilon}\mu\varepsilon\omega v\tau o\tilde{v}$,

σεωυτόν, έωυτόν, &c.—See about έωυτέην, &c. Obs. 1.

4. But it is with ἄλλος that is formed the reciprocal pronoun

in the strictest sense 1:

¹ It is thus called, because it is used when the action of the verb falls upon the subject. The distinction between reflective and reciprocal is sufficiently clear in

gen. ἀλλήλων, dat. ἀλλήλοις, αις, acc. ἀλλήλους, ας, α, dual, ἀλλήλω, α, οιν, αιν, one another.

This dual serves for an action which is reciprocal between two individuals; but the plural may be used equally well in such cases.

§ 75.—The Articles.

- 1. The Greek grammarians give the name of articles $(\tau \hat{a} \stackrel{?}{a} \theta \rho a)$ to the two simplest adjective-like designations of subst., which in a proposition have a reference to each other in two connected sentences. One of these designations is called in modern languages the definite article (the), the other the pronoun relative (who, which 1).
 - 2. Of these two articles one is

Articulus præpositivus, δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau\dot{\delta}$, the,

which is declined like the pronomina adjectiva, § 74, except that

- 1.) the *nomin. sing.* and *pl.* of the *masc.* and *fem.* gender are unaccented, (\S 10, 4,) and have the *spiritus asper*; in all the other cases a τ is prefixed.
- 2.) not only the *neuter*, as in the adj. pronouns, but also masc. in the nom. sing. ends in o (δ).

The other is the

Articulus postpositivus, δ_{ς} , $\hat{\eta}$, δ , who, which.

This is declined exactly like the pronomina adjectiva, § 74, 1.

English, the reflective verb being construed with self, and the reciprocal with one another, each other. The word reciprocal comprises in some degree both cases;

hence some grammarians call reciprocal what we call reflective.

1 The following is a proposition in which the two Greek articles occur: 'This is the man who will save us,' οὖτός ἐστιν ὁ ἀνὴρ, δς σώσει ἡμᾶς. As these two little words act like articulations, and join two sentences like joints of limbs, the Greeks called them τὰ ἀρθρα, articul³, joints. But that the first of these two articles, ὁ, ἡ, τὸ, (the,) often stands alone in an unconnected sentence, and strictly speaking acts no longer as a joint, is owing to the circumstance, that in a great variety of such instances we keep the reference in our minds; for instance, the man was here, i. e. the man whom you know, the man of whom we spoke before, or something of that sort. Hence language gradually annexed the articulus præpositivus, 'the,' to any object which was considered as sufficiently designated by a previous observation or by circumstances. The grammars of modern languages retained the denomination of article for this articulus præpositivus, without attending to its origin; but gave to the articulus postpositivus, very properly on considering it by itself, the name of the pronoun relative. And as in modern languages another pronoun, Λ , Λ , which in fact is nothing but a feebler τ le, τ l, quidam, designates indetermined objects, just as the designates determined ones, the latter (THE) was called the definite, and the former (Λ , Λ) the indefinite article, though these words have nothing of the nature of articulations or joints. In a Greek grammar we ought to abide by the old Greek denominations, since they are so well founded. But articles are needlessly considered as parts of speech in any grammar. They really are pronomina adjectiva.

	Articulus præpositivus,			Articulus postpositivus			
	t)	he,			who, wh	hich,	
Sing.	M.	F.	N.	M.	F.	N.	
Nom.	ნ ,	ή,	τò,	δς,	ή,	õ,	
Gen.	τοῦ,	τῆς,	τοῦ,	οὖ,	ής,	οΰ,	
Dat.	$ au ilde{\omega},$	$ au ilde{\eta},$	$ au ilde{\psi},$	ૄ ઌ૾ૼ,	$ ilde{\eta},$	ψ,	
Acc.	τον,	την,	τò,	δν,	ῆν,	ő,	
Dual.							
N.A.	τω,	τà,	$\tau \dot{\omega}$,	ῶ,	å,	ώ,	
G.D.	τοῖν,	ταῖν,	τοΐν,	οĩν,	αĩν,	oໂv,	
Plur.							
Nom.	οί,	ai,	τà,	oî,	aἳ,	à,	
Gen.	τῶν,	τῶν,	$ auar{\omega} u$,	້ຜົນ,	ω̈ν,	ພັນ,	
Dat.	τοῖς,	ταῖς,	τοῖς,	οίς,	αῖς,	οίς,	
Acc.	τούς,	τὰς,	τά.	ούς,	άς,	ä.	

Obs. 1. The deviations in the dialects are the same as in the end-syllables of the first and second decl.; for instance, $\tau \tilde{oio}$ for $\tau \tilde{oi}$, $\dot{\alpha}$ for $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \tilde{a}_{\mathcal{C}}$ for $\tau \tilde{\eta}_{\mathcal{C}}$, &c. Homer has, though but rarely, for for the gen. \tilde{oio} of the articulus postpositivus.

Obs. 2. Both articles were alike in form in the old language, and were only distinguished by their position and accent, as is still the case with $\hat{\eta}$, oî, α . The Epic poets have also $\hat{\sigma}$, (incorrectly $\hat{\sigma}$,) for $\hat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{C}}$, and all the forms of the art. prap., which begin with τ , are likewise used by the Ionians and Dorians for the corresponding forms of the postpositivus;

 $\tau \dot{o}$ for \ddot{o} , $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ for $\ddot{\eta} \nu$, &c.

The Dorians also have $\tau o i$, $\tau a i$, for both o i, a i, and o i, a i.

- Obs. 3. The two articles are in fact nothing but the old simple pronoun demonstrative, (this,) and are frequently employed for it in the works of the ancients in many contexts even in prose, as is shown in the Syntax. See the usual pronouns demonstrative derived from it in the following Section.
- 3. The articulus postp., or simple pronoun relative, is strengthened in several combinations, partly by the enclitic particle $\pi \epsilon \rho$, $(\mathring{\sigma} \pi \epsilon \rho, \mathring{\phi} \pi \epsilon \rho, \mathring{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho, \&c.)$ partly by being compounded with the pronoun $\tau i \varsigma$ ($\mathring{\sigma} \sigma \iota \varsigma$, &c.). See § 77, 3.
- Obs. 4. With regard to the enclitic τ è, which in Epic poetry is appended to $\eth g$, as $\eth g$ $\tau \epsilon$ or $\eth \sigma \tau \epsilon$ for $\eth g$, $\eth \nu \tau \epsilon$ or $\tau \delta \nu \tau \epsilon$ for $\eth \nu$, see § 149, under $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$.

§ 76.

1. The Greek has a double form for the general pronoun demonstrative, 'this.' One is made by appending the enclitic $\delta \varepsilon$, (§ 14. Obs. 3.) to the articulus præp.:

ύδε, ήδε, τόδε, gen. τοῦδε, τῆσδε, &c. plur. οίδε, αίδε, τάδε, τούσδε, &c.

2. The other form $o\tilde{v}\tau o\varsigma$ comes from the same *article*, and conforms itself entirely to it in its very anomalous decl. Wherever the *article* has the *spiritus asper* or the τ , the *pronoun*

has the same; and where the article has o or ω , the pronoun has in the first syllable ov, but where the article has η or a, the pronoun has av: for instance, $\delta - o\tilde{v}\tau o\varsigma$, $o\tilde{v} - o\tilde{v}\tau o\iota$, $\tau\tilde{\omega}v - \tau o\tilde{v}\tau\omega v$, $\tilde{\eta} - a\tilde{v}\tau\eta$, $\tau\tilde{a} - \tau a\tilde{v}\tau a$, &c.

Masc. Fem. Neut. Masc. Fem. New. Nom. οὖτος, αὕτη, τοῦτο, οὖτοι, αὖται, τα	
Nom. ούτος, σύτη, τούτο, ούτοι, σύται, το	eut.
Gen. τούτου, ταύτης, τούτου, τούτων, τούτων, τούτων, τούτων, τούτων, τούτων, τούτοις, ταύταις, το Αcc. τοῦτον, ταύτην, τοῦτο, τούτους, ταύτας, το	ούτων, ούτοις,

Masc. Fem. Neut.

Dual, N. A. | τούτω, ταύτα, τούτω, G. D. | τούτοιν, ταύταιν, τούτοιν.

Obs. 1. As the articulus prap, was the only pronoun demonstrative in the oldest language, (§ 126.) but gradually lost this meaning, it is obvious that both these forms only give to it more intensity, and that $o\bar{b}\tau o g$ in particular is in some degree the superl. of \dot{o} .—See the still greater intensity given to both by annexing i, § 80.

Obs. 2. The dialects offer no peculiarity but the Ionic ε in τουτέου, ταυτέης, &c.

and the very anomalous Epic form

of the dat. τοῖσδεσι, τοῖσδεσσι, for τοῖσδε.

Obs. 3. The nom. $o\tilde{\nu}\tau o g$, $a\tilde{\nu}\tau \eta$, is likewise used as a kind of vocative or exclamation in the sense of the Latin heus! ho! you yonder! hark!

§ 77.

1. The simple Pronoun Interrogative,

τίς, neut. τί, gen. τίνος; who or which? what? quis, quæ, quid?

always has the accent on the ι , $(\tau \iota \nu \epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}, dat. pl. \tau \iota \sigma_{\iota}, \&c.)$ and distinguishes itself by that circumstance, and by its nomin. and accus. sing. constantly having the acute accent, (see § 13.) from the simple pronoun indefinite,

τὶς, neut. τὶ, gen. τινὸς, any one, some one, something, aliquis, aliqua, aliquid,

which besides is mostly enclitic, and written without an accent. They are both regularly declined after the third decl., and the ι is every where short.

Obs. 1. In those rare instances in which $\tau i \varsigma$ or τi gets the acute accent, because it is followed by other enclitics, the connexion or the accent of the preceding word must distinguish it from the pronoun interrogative: as, $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$ $\tau\dot{\iota}\varsigma$ $\pi o\tau \epsilon$.

Obs. 2. Poets, and also the Attic comic writers, employ instead of the interrogative τί the emphatic τιή, what then, why then, why so?

2. The following forms are often used instead of the *gen*. and *dat*. of both *pronouns*:

τοῦ, τῷ, (in the three genders,) orthotoned for τίνος, τίνι, and enclitic for τινὸς, τινὶ, as τῷ τεκμαίρει τοῦτο; how dost thou prove that?—γυναικός του, of a certain woman,—χρῆσθαί τψ, to use something:

and for the neut. pl. of the pronoun indefinite ἄττα, Ion. ἄσσα, for τινὰ, but always orthotoned, as,

δεινα άττα, for δεινά τινα, Od. τ. 218. δπποῖ ἄσσα.

3. The compound pronoun relative $\delta \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$, (who, which,) an intensive of $\delta \varsigma$, has a double decl.

Nom. ὅστις, ἥτις, ὅ, τι (see § 15, 2).

Gen. οὖτινος, ἤστινος, dat. ῷτινι, ϟτινι, &c.

Αcc. δυτινα, ήντινα, δ,τι.

Plur. Nom. οἵτινες, αἵτινες, ἄτινα,

Gen. ὧντινων, Dat. οἷστισι, αἷστισι,

Αcc. οὕστινας, ἄστινας, ἄτινα.

It also admits one of those collateral forms of $\tau\iota\varsigma$, which we have just mentioned (2):

ὅτου, ὅτω—for οὖτινος, ὧτινι (but not for the fem.). ἄττα, Ion. ἄσσα—for ἄτινα.

Obs. 3. The collateral form $\tau o \tilde{v}$, $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$, must not be confounded with the article, from which it is totally different, as is shown by the triple gender and the dialects. The $\tau o \tilde{v}$ of the article is resolved by the Epics into $\tau \tilde{v} \tilde{v}$, but the $\tau o \tilde{v}$ for $\tau i v o c$, $\tau v o c$, into $\tau \tilde{v} o$, whence Ion. and Dor. $\tau \tilde{v} \tilde{v}$ —dat. Ion. $\tau \tilde{v} \varphi$.—The same in the compound pronoun relative, $\tilde{v} \tau \tilde{v} o$, $\tilde{v} \tau \tilde{v} o c$, $\tilde{v} \sigma c c$, $\tilde{v} \tau \tilde{v} o c$, $\tilde{v} \sigma c c$, $\tilde{v} \tau \tilde{v} o c$, $\tilde{v} \sigma c c$, $\tilde{v} \sigma c c$, $\tilde{v} \tau \tilde{v} o c$, $\tilde{v} \sigma c c$, $\tilde{v} \sigma c$

Obs. 4. The Ionians have this collateral form also in the gen. and dat. plural, τέων, τέοισι, for τινῶν, τισὶν, and the Attics even have, though rarely, in the compound pronoun relative, ὅτων, ὅτοισι, Χευ. Απαδ. 7, 6, 24. Œcon. 3, 2. (see Schn.) Soph. Œd. T. 414. Aristoph. Eq. 758. Ionice ὅτεων, ὁτέοισιν, and also in the fem.

ὸτέησιν.

Obs. 5. Epics also have the compound pronoun relative with the first syllable unchanged in all the cases— $\ddot{o}\tau\iota\varsigma$, $\ddot{v}\tau\iota\nu\alpha$, (for $\ddot{v}\nu\tau\iota\nu\alpha$ and $\ddot{u}\tau\iota\nu\alpha$,) $\ddot{o}\tau\iota\nu\alpha\varsigma$. Whenever they use the double τ , they write the neuter thus: $\ddot{o}_{2}\tau\iota$.

(See § 80, about ὁστισοῦν, ὁτφοῦν, &c.)

4. The, compounded with on and $\mu \hat{\eta}$, gives the negative pronouns over ξ , $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \xi$, ι , no one, none, which are declined like $\tau \iota \xi$ (§ 78, 1).

§ 78.—Pronouns and Correlative 1 Adjectives.

1. Correlativa are words, several of which stand in such a connexion with each other, that one contains a certain question,

¹ Pronouns cannot be so precisely defined in theory, as not to admit many words which may also be considered as adjectives.

and the corresponding ones express the simplest relations, which answer that question. The general correlatives have been stated above, viz.:

the pronoun interrogative $\tau(\varsigma, who?$ the demonstrative $\delta, \delta \delta \varepsilon, o \tilde{v} \tau_0 \varsigma, this:$ the indefinite $\tau(\varsigma, any one, some one:$

the pronoun relative oc, compound ootic, which:

the negative o $\mathring{v}_{\tau\iota\varsigma}$, $\mathring{\mu}\mathring{\eta}\tau\iota\varsigma$, (§ 77, 4.) or o \mathring{v} oèèç, $\mathring{\mu}\mathring{\eta}$ oèèç, (§ 70, 1.) no one, none, each, of course, with its fem. and neut.

2. When these expressions are expressly confined to two objects, or two parts,

the interrogative is $\pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$, α , α , which of the two?

the demonstrative the same with the above.

the indefinite ὁ ἕτερος, (ἡ ἐτέρα, &c.) one of the two.

the relative ὁπότερος, which of the two.

the negative οὐδέτερος, μηδέτερος, neither.

- Obs. 1. 'O ἕτερος is exactly the Latin alter; and when one of two is already named, δ ἕτερος becomes definite, and must be translated the other (4). See about the crasis ἄτερος, θατέρου, &c. § 29. Obs. 5.
- 3. The answer to $\tau i_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\pi \circ \tau_{\xi \varphi \circ \mathcal{C}}$ may also be each. This has in Greek the form of a compar. and superl.

έκάτερος, a, ov, each of the two,

ἕκαστος, η, ον, each or every one (of several).

4. Other general answers may be given to tic, as

The question $\pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \rho o \varsigma$ may be answered by

δ ετερος, in the sense of the other (see Obs. 1).

άμφότερος, α, ον, άμφότεροι, αι, α, both,

and in some connexions, instead of ἀμφότερος, simply the dual.

N. A. $\ddot{a}\mu\phi\omega$, G. D. $\ddot{a}\mu\phi\tilde{o}i\nu$ with the accent removed, which is used for the three genders.

Obs. 2. In Poets ἄμφω is sometimes indeclinable, and used for the gen. and dat. See about ἄλλος and ἕτερος, \S 127, 5.

§ 79.

1. Independently of these general correlatives, there are also some definite or particularising ones, referring to the properties and relative circumstances of the object, (of what nature? in

what place?) These are formed in Greek after a very distinct analogy; but as they are partly adjectives and partly adverbs, we shall treat of the latter separately, § 116.

2. Each series of correlatives has a common root and inflection, but distinguishes itself by the initial letter. The interrogative begins with a π , for instance, $\pi \acute{o}\sigma o c$, quantus? how great? how much? The same form, but usually with a change in the accent, serves for the indefinite, $\pi o \sigma \acute{o} c$, aliquantus, of a certain size or number. When there is a τ instead of the initial π , it is the demonstrative, $\tau \acute{o}\sigma o c$, tantus, so great, so much; but if the initial consonant is dropped, and the word begins with the spiritus asper, it is the relative, $\ddot{o}\sigma o c$, quantus, as great as, as much as. There is in common language no negative to answer to these questions.

3. Beside the simple relative, there is also a compound one, which in some circumstances is generally preferred. It answers to the *correlative ὅστις*, ὅτου, and is formed by prefixing an o to

the interrogative,

πόσος, relative ὅσος and ὁπόσος (poet. ὁππόσος).

4. The simple pronoun demonstrative $(\tau \delta \sigma \sigma_{\mathcal{C}})$ is mostly used as a perfect demonstrative by poets only; but in prose sometimes merely in the restricted instances, when there is no particular stress on the relations of quantity, (or quality, in $\tau \sigma \tilde{\iota} \sigma c$), $ex.\ gr.\ \sigma \sigma \omega$ $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega \nu \ \epsilon \sigma \tau i$, $\tau \delta \sigma \omega$ $\mu \tilde{\iota} \lambda \lambda \delta \nu$ $\phi \nu \lambda \dot{\iota} \delta \tau \tau \epsilon \tau a \iota$, (Xen. Cyrop. 1, 6, 26.) $\tau \delta \sigma \sigma c$ Kal $\tau \delta \sigma \sigma c$. Hence we generally find a more emphatic form used, and just as the article δ (the old and weaker demonstrative) is strengthened either by the enclitic $\delta \epsilon$, $(\tilde{\sigma} \delta \epsilon)$, or by being changed into $\tilde{\iota} \delta \tau \sigma c$, the same is done here; $\tilde{\iota} \sigma c$ in the second instance is changed into $\tilde{\iota} \sigma c \sigma c$, as—

τόσος-τοσόσδε or τοσοῦτος.

The former is declined in the middle of the word—τοσόσδε, τοσήδε, τοσόνδε, gen. τοσούδε, &c.

(see about the accent, § 14. Obs. 3.) The latter conforms entirely to οὖτος respecting the diphthongs ov and av, but has in the neuter both ov and o, thus—

τοσούτος, τοσαύτη, τοσούτον and τοσούτο. gen. τοσούτου, τοσαύτης, &c.

pl. τοσούτοι, τοσαύται, τοσαύτα, &c.

gen. τοσούτων, τοσούτων, τοσούτων, &c.
5. The following are the three completest series of this kind:—

Interrog.	Indef.	Demonstr.	Rel.
πόσος; how great? how much?	ποσὸς,	τόσος, τοσόσδε,	όσος, δπόσος,
quantus?	11000053	τοσοῦτος,	
π oĩo ς ; of what kind?	ποιὸς,	τοΐος, τοιόσδε,	οίος, όποίος,
of what nature? qualis?		τοιοῦτος,	
πηλίκος;	πηλίκος,		ήλίκος,
how old? how great?		τηλικόσδε, τηλικοῦτος	όπηλίκος.

See about the Ionic forms κόσος, κοῖος, ὁκόσος, &c. § 16. Obs. 1. c.

Obs. 1. There are also a few incomplete correlatives, which, like $\pi \acute{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho o \varsigma$, $\delta \pi \acute{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho o \varsigma$ in the preceding Section, have only the compound relative beside the interrogative; of this kind are especially $\pi o \delta a \pi \delta \varsigma$, $\delta \pi o \delta a \pi \delta \varsigma$, (where born, of what country, of what ylace,) the derivatives of $\pi \acute{o} \sigma o \varsigma$, like $\pi \acute{o} \sigma \tau o \varsigma$, $\pi o \sigma \tau a \~{o} \varsigma$, $\pi o \sigma a \pi \lambda \acute{a} \sigma \iota o \varsigma$, $-\delta \pi o \sigma \tau \delta \varsigma$, &c.: and the demonstrative $\tau \acute{v} \nu \nu o \varsigma$, $\tau \nu \nu \nu o \~{v} \tau o \varsigma$ (tiny, so very small, tantillus,) is also a correlative.

Obs. 2. This class of words derive their correlative power from the initial letters π , τ , &c., but some of them denote also other relations, when compounded, especially with the general correlatives, $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\rho\varsigma$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\rho\varsigma$, $\pi\ddot{\alpha}\varsigma$ (§ 78); for instance, the interrogative $\pi\rho\tilde{\alpha}\rho\varsigma$ is also answered by $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\rho\tilde{\alpha}\rho\varsigma$, $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\rho\tilde{\alpha}\rho\varsigma$, of another kind, of a different nature, $\pi\alpha\nu\tau\rho\tilde{\alpha}\rho\varsigma$, of all sorts, of every kind. It is the same with $\pi\rho\delta\alpha\pi\dot{\alpha}\rho\varsigma$, which gives $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\rho\delta\alpha\pi\dot{\alpha}\varsigma$, $\pi\alpha\nu\tau\rho\delta\alpha\pi\dot{\alpha}\varsigma$, $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\delta\alpha\pi\dot{\alpha}\varsigma$, (belonging to our country, our countryman,) and the like.

Obs. 3. To consider $\tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \tilde{v} \tau \sigma \varsigma$, &c. as a compound of $\sigma \tilde{v} \tau \sigma \varsigma$ is improper. The final syllable $\sigma \tilde{v} \tau \sigma \varsigma$ here, and in the word $\sigma \tilde{v} \tau \sigma \varsigma$ by itself, is nothing but the strengthening of the termination $\sigma \varsigma$ in the way of a superlative, as will be clearly

shown below, in treating of the particles, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\alpha\tilde{\nu}\theta\alpha$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\theta\epsilon\nu$, § 116.

§ 80.—Appendages.

- 1. The compound and strengthened pronouns relative, like ὅστις, ὅτου, ὅσπερ, ὁπόσος, &c., annex to all their cases the little word οὖν, which retains the accent, and in this composition exactly answers to the Latin cunque, denoting the completeness of the relation; as ὅστις, who, ὁστισοῦν, quicunque, whosoever, ἡτισοῦν, ὁτιοῦν, ὁτφοῦν, αcc. ὁντιναοῦν or ὁντινοῦν, &c.— ὁσπεροῦν, ὁποσοσοοῦν, ὁπηλικουοῦν, &c.
- Obs. 1. The form $\delta\dot{\eta}\pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ imparts still greater force to this signification; as $\dot{\sigma}\sigma \tau \iota \sigma$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\eta}\pi \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma \tau \iota \nu$, whoseever it may be, $\dot{\sigma}\sigma \sigma \nu \dot{\epsilon}\dot{\eta}\pi \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon}$, but it is frequently written separate.
- 2. In the language of familiar intercourse, the Attics, to give greater intensity to the *pronoun demonstrative*, annex to all its forms the

which likewise draws the accent on itself, is always long, and absorbs all short final vowels; for instance,

οὖτος—οὑτοοὶ, this here, (Latin hicce, French celui-ci,) αὑτηϊ, (from αὕτη,) τουτὶ, (from τοῦτο,) ἐκεινοοὶ, (celui-là,) ἐκεινονὶ, &c. τοσουτονὶ, τοσονοὶ, (viz. so much, so great as you see there,) &c.

See about long vowels and diphthongs being made short before this i, § 7. Obs. 16.

Obs. 2. Whenever the enclitic $\gamma \hat{\epsilon}$ is annexed to the pronouns demonstrative, the $\hat{\epsilon}$ takes the place of the ϵ , as $\tau o \tilde{\nu} \tau \acute{\rho} \gamma \epsilon$, $\tau o \nu \tau o \gamma \acute{\epsilon}$.

Obs. 3. If there be an σ before this ι , it is sometimes found with the moveable ν , as $o\dot{\nu}\tau \sigma \dot{\sigma} \dot{\nu}$, $\tau o\nu \tau o\nu \sigma \dot{\nu}$. (The case is the same with the adverb $o\dot{\nu}\tau \omega \sigma \dot{\nu}$ from $o\ddot{\nu}\tau \omega g$.)

§ 81.—Of the Verb.

1. The essential forms of the Greek verb, as moods, tenses, &c., may be supposed to be known from other languages; but the Greek is more copious than the Latin, English, or German verb, especially with regard to having a middle voice distinct from the active and passive voice, the optative as a particular mood distinct from the conjunctive, the aorist as a particular tense, the dual as a particular number, and a greater variety of moods and participles for the several tenses. It must not be imagined, however, that every form is perfect and in constant use in every verb, though Greek grammars necessarily state all the forms complete in one verb.

2. It also happens, much more frequently in the Greek than in other languages, that a form, which according to a strong analogy should be confined to one signification, has the opposite; for instance, the *passive* voice often has the signification of the *active*. Hence we must first be acquainted with the forms themselves, remembering at the same time what their

principal destination is conformably to analogy.

3. But these significations can be thoroughly developed in the *Syntax* only, and what is absolutely necessary for their comprehension may be supposed to be known from other languages, as, for instance, the notions of the *passive* voice, the conjunctive and imperative of the present, &c. There are, however, a few previous remarks stated respecting the optative in § 88, 2, and respecting the middle voice in § 89. We now proceed to treat more particularly of the tenses of the Greek verb.

4. The most satisfactory division of the tenses is according

VERBS. 115

to their reference to the present, past, and future. But the past tense, in common language, is susceptible of a greater variety than the other two. The tenses referring to time past are known by the general name of praterites; there is, however, this essential difference, that, in one of their forms, the thought is confined to the present time, and facts or circumstances are mentioned simply as having occurred; this is the perfect: in the other forms the thought is transferred to the past, and facts and circumstances are narrated as they occurred at that particular time 1. This narrative species of the praterite introduces new subdivisions, and gives, in Latin, German, and English, the imperfect and the plusquamperfectum, and in Greek an additional tense, the aorist, of which the import is stated in the Syntax, § 137.

5. None of the known languages distinguish the two species of *præterites* by forms so strongly marked as the Greek. The *perfect* adheres in the main to the analogy of the *præterite* and of the *future*, whilst the narrative species of the *præterite* has its own distinct analogy, on which the Greek grammar builds a second disjoint of the tanges and calls.

builds a second division of the tenses, and calls

Principal Tenses the present, perfect, and future, and

Historical Tenses

the imperfect, plusquamperfectum, and aorist.

6. There is a double distinction in the form. 1.) The tenses of the Greek verb are distinguished one from the other by their terminations; and 2.) the præterites by an additional prefix, called the augment. The historical tenses again distinguish themselves from the other tenses, and consequently also from the perfect, by a peculiar augment, and particular inflections of the terminations of their numbers and persons². We proceed to the augment, and shall treat of the inflections and conjugation in § 87.

¹ Even in a lively narrative this transfer of the thought to the particular time past frequently betrays the narrator into the use of the *present* tense.

² That our classification of the Greek tenses by augments and terminations is, as it were, firmly rooted in the language, and, of course, essentially important, is very obvious. A present tense, præterite, and (perhaps periphrastic) future, are the three most indispensable tenses with which the scanty old language may be supposed to have been satisfied. They, therefore, are justly called principal tenses. This præterite unquestionably served for the purposes of narration; it is, indeed, to the need of narrating or relating (the oldest want of men) that we trace the origin of all language; yet this præterite was at the same time a mere perfect, just as we hear even now in several parts of Germany the uneducated class deliver their narratives

§ 82.—Of the Augment.

1. The augment is an alteration in the beginning of the word, which in most instances consists of a real addition, and, when this is not the case, is yet derived from an original addition.

2. The augment is different according as the Greek verb begins with a consonant or a vowel. When the verb begins with a consonant, the augment makes a syllable of itself, and is then called the syllabic augment. But when the verb begins with a vowel, this vowel, when short, generally becomes long. This is called the augmentum temporale, (auξησις χρονική,) the word χρόνος referring likewise to the quantity of syllables.

3. The augment is, according to the preceding §, the characteristic sign of past tenses, viz. of the imperf., perfect, plusquamp., and aorist. But the perf. belongs likewise to the principal tenses; its augment therefore differs from that of the historical tenses, as will be seen in the syllabic augment, which

is the foundation of the temporal one 1.

The Syllabic Augment.

4. The augment of the perfect, when the verb begins with a consonant, consists in prefixing the initial letter of the verb with the vowel ϵ , as

τύπτω, perf. τέ-τυφα:

hence this augment is also called the reduplicative augment, or simply reduplication. If the verb begins with an aspirata, it follows, from § 18, that the corresponding tenuis is prefixed, for instance,

φιλέω—πε-φίληκα, θύω—τέ-θυκα, χωρέω—κε-χώρηκα. The fut. 3, which comprises the meaning of the perfect, retains this augment, § 99.

5. But the historical tenses merely prefix an &, which is called simply augmentum; for instance,

τύπτω, imperf. ἔ-τυπτον, aor. ἔ-τυψα,

and the plusquamperfectum, which adds the historical meaning

exclusively in the heavy German perfect. It was only in proportion as the language was cultivated, that it gradually separated the historical tenses, with their modifications, from the practerite, and left the old practerite to keep its station, as a pure perfect, among the principal tenses. To observe the analogy, which in the Greek so clearly distinguishes the principal and historical tenses, is highly interesting. The learner must, therefore, direct his particular attention to the syllabic augment, and the terminations of the tenses particularised in § 87, 3.

We combine the theory of the reduplication of the perfect with that of the simple augment, of which the former is the foundation, because this combination

best shows wherein the two augments agree, and essentially differ.

VERBS. 117

to the signification of the *perfect*, puts this ϵ before the *redu-*plication of the perfect; as

τύπτω, perf. τέ-τυφα, plusq. ε-τετύφειν.

The principal tenses (with respect to the augment) are therefore distinguished from the historical; the former taking either no augment (pres. and fut.) or the reduplication (perf.); the latter taking either the simple augment, or the reduplication and the augment (plusquamp.).

6. There are augments in the active, passive, and middle voice; the simple augment is only in the indicative, the reduplication in all moods and participles. As the imperf. and plusquamp. do not form any moods, the above-mentioned rule

may be more readily expressed in the following way:

The reduplication of the perfect is retained in all moods and participles; but the augment of the aorist takes place only in the indicative.

Thus of $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$,

the PERF. is τέτυφα, inf. τετυφέναι, part. τετυφως,

AOR. $\begin{cases} 1. \ \ \ddot{\epsilon}\tau v \psi a - \tau \acute{v} \psi a \iota - \tau \acute{v} \psi a \varsigma, \\ 2. \ \ \dot{\epsilon}\tau v \pi o v - \tau v \pi \tilde{\epsilon} \iota v - \tau v \pi \grave{\omega} v, \end{cases}$

and the fut. 3. is like the perfect. (See 4. Obs.)

Obs. The rule may be expressed still more accurately. Any reduplication, or whatever supplies its place, continues through all moods, (hence the irregular reduplication of the aor. λέλαθον, part. λέλαθων, and the like, § 83. Obs. 7.) but any simple augment takes place merely in the indicative (hence the irregular aor. ἤγαγον drops merely the augmentum temporale in the infin., &c., ἀγαγεῖν, § 85. Obs. 2). See about any founded or unfounded exceptions, the Anom. ἄγνυμι and εἰπεῖν,

§ 83.

1. The preceding Section stated the syllabic augment in its proper capacity and regularity; the present will show its deviations and peculiarities.

2. Whenever a verb begins with an ρ , this ρ is doubled after

the ε , as—

ράπτω, imperf. ἔρραπτον,

(See § 21, 2, and the exceptions *ibidem*, Obs. 2.) and the perfect and plusquamp. have only the same augment without the reduplication:

Perf. ἔρραφα, plusquamp. ἐρράφειν.

3. When a verb begins with a double letter, it takes merely the ε instead of the reduplication, which ε then remains unchanged in the plusquamp., ex. gr. ψάλλω, perf. ἔψαλκα, plusq. ἐψάλκειν: ζητέω, ξέω, perf. pass. ἐζήτημαι, ἔξεσμαι. And this

is done in most instances where the verb begins with two consonants, ex. gr.

φθείρω, perf. ἔφθορα, plusq. ἐφθόρειν, σπείρω, perf. pass. ἔσπαρμαι, plusq. ἐσπάρμην, ἔκτισμαι of κτίζω, ἔπτυγμαι of πτύσσω.

Obs. 1. From this last rule are excepted, and consequently subject to the principal rule,

a.) Two consonants, of which the first is a mute, the other a liquid, (muta cum liquida,) for instance, γράφω γέ-γραφα, κέ-κλιμαι, πέ-πνευκα, τέ-θλακα, &c. But γν takes merely the ε, and γλ, βλ, are fluctuating; ex. gr. γνωρίζω—ἐγνώρισμαι, κατ-εγλωττισμένος, δι-έγλυπται and δια-γέγλυπται, βλάπτω βέβλαμμαι, βλαστάνω ἐβλάστηκα 1.

b.) The perfects μέμνημαι and κέκτημαι of μνάω and κτάομαι. The Ionians, however, (and even the Attics sometimes, ex. gr. Plato, Meno. 39.) have ἔκτημαι. All other verbs beginning with μν and κτ take simply the ε,

ex. gr. εμνημόνευκα, εκτεάτισμαι, εκτονα.

c.) The perfects πέπταμαι, πέπτηκα, πέπτωκα, πεπτηὼς, (see the Anom. πετάννυμι, πέτομαι, πίπτω, πτήσσω,) all of which rather are syncopated verbs of the root ΠΕΤΩ. Any perfect, immediately and regularly derived from πτ, has merely ε, as the usual perfect of πτήσσω ἔπτηχα, and ἐπτόημαι, ἔπτισμαι.

Obs. 2. The same kind of augment, peculiar to verbs beginning with ρ, may have taken place in the old language with other semivowels; hence the two perfects ἔμμορα and ἔσσυμαι, see the Αποπ. μείρομαι and σεύω.—The Epics double all liquids for the sake of the metre, but only in the imperf. and αοτ. ἔλλαβεν, ἔμμαθε.
—See the Αποπ. δεῖσαι about ἔδδεισε.

Obs. 3. A few verbs of the common language, beginning with liquids, have, instead of the reduplication, the syllable ϵi or ϵi , ϵx . gr. $\epsilon i\lambda \eta \phi \alpha$. See the Anom. $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$, $\mu \dot{\epsilon} i \rho o \mu \alpha \iota$, and PEQ under $\epsilon i \pi \dot{\epsilon} i \nu$.

Obs. 4. Homer's $\dot{\rho} \epsilon \rho \nu \pi \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha$ is the only instance of a reduplication before ρ .

Obs. 5. In the three verbs βούλομαι, (to be willing,) δύναμαι, (to be able,) and μέλλω, (to be about to do, intend to do,) the Attics commonly strengthen the syllabic augment by the addition of the temporal one; ex. gr. ἠδυνάμην instead of ἐδυνάμην, the same in ἀπολαύω, see § 86. Obs. 2.—See about the syllabic augment before a vowel, § 84. Obs. 5. &c.

Obs. 6. Non-Attic poets often omit the augment in the historical tenses; ex. gr. βάλε for ξβαλε, βῆ for ξβη, γένοντο for ξγένοντο, &c. ² Compare about the accent, Obs. 1, 2, to § 103.—This omission in the plusquamp. is also very common in prose: τετύφεισαν, τέτυπτο, for ξτετύφεισαν, ξτέτυπτο, δεδίει (Plato, Phædr. 251. a.) for ξδεδίει, and the like. But the omission of the real reduplication is very rare and doubtful. See about ξδεκτο and the like, § 110, 8, and about the Epic redupl. δει, instead of δε, the Anom. δεῖσαι and δείκνυμι.

Obs. 7. The aor. 2. (of the active and middle voice) has also frequently the redupl. in Epic poets, and this redupl. continues through all the moods, (see § 82, 6, with the Note,) ex. gr. πέπληγον, λελαθών, πεπιθεῖν, λελαβέσθαι, &c. In some

With Attics for the sake of the metre but seldom; see Herm. on Eurip. Hec. p. xxxii. In prose never, not even in Ionic prose. The sole exception is χρῆν.

See the Anom. χράω, χρή.

Observe that $\gamma \nu$, $\gamma \lambda$, $\beta \lambda$, belong to those instances of muta cum liquida which are also excepted from the rule of the others in prosody (see § 7, 10). The other exceptions stated in that Section do not occur in a way which applies here; for δέδμημαι is a syncope. See the Anom. δέμω.

few verbs the simple augment is added in the indic. $\phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ in the factor (see the Anom. and compare $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o \mu a \iota$ and $\Phi E N \Omega$).—The present and the factor have this redupl. only in some mostly poetical forms derived from reduplicated tenses; see § 111.

§ 84.—The Temporal Augment.

1. When the verb begins with a vowel, whether it has the sp. asper or lenis, the augment coalesces with the initial vowel into a long vowel; and this kind of augment, called the temporal augment, (see § 82, 1.) continues unchanged in all the praterites. A or ε generally becomes η , and $o-\omega$, ex. gr.

ἀνύω, imperf. ἤνυον, perf. ἤνυκα, plusq. ἠνύκειν, ἁρμόζω, imperf. ἥρμοζον, perf. ἥρμοκα, plusq. ἡρμόκειν, ἐλπίζω, imperf. ἤλπιζον, perf. ἤλπικα, plusq. ἡλπίκειν, ὁμιλέω, imperf. ὡμίλεον, perf. ὡμίληκα, plusq. ὡμιλήκειν.

- 2. The following verbs, $\xi \chi \omega$, I have, $\xi \delta \omega$, I let, $\xi \lambda \kappa \omega$, I drag, (see the Anom.) $\xi \rho \pi \omega$ and $\xi \rho \pi \dot{\nu} Z \omega$, I creep, $\xi \theta \dot{\iota} Z \omega$, I accustom, $\xi \lambda \dot{\iota} \sigma \sigma \omega$, I wind, $\xi \sigma \tau \dot{\iota} \delta \omega$, I give a feast, $\xi \pi \omega$ (see the Anom.) and $\xi \pi \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, I follow, $\xi \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} Z \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, I work, do not change the ε into η , but into $\varepsilon \iota$, for instance, imperf. $\varepsilon \dot{\iota} \chi \sigma \nu$, perf. $\varepsilon \dot{\iota} \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, &c. (See Obs. 4.)
- Obs. 1. See also είλον, έλεῖν, in the Anom. α iρέω, εἴωθα in the Anom. ἔθω, and the verbs belonging to the root ' $E\Omega$, § 108.¹
- 3. The vowels ι and υ can only be augmented when they are short, and they then become long through the augment: ex. gr. $\tilde{\iota}_{\kappa\epsilon\tau\epsilon}$ (Eurip. Med. 971.) aor. $\tilde{\iota}_{\kappa}$ ($\epsilon\tau$ (338); and even when the syllable is already long by position, the augment must be rendered sensible in pronunciation, ex. gr. ι σχύω $\tilde{\iota}$ σχυον, $\tilde{\iota}_{\mu\nu}$ $\tilde{\iota}$ $\tilde{\iota}_{\mu\nu}$ $\tilde{\iota}$ $\tilde{\iota$

4. Of the vowels long in themselves, \bar{a} (according to Text 1.) commonly becomes η ; the others η , ω , $\bar{\iota}$, \bar{v} , are not susceptible

of the augment, ex. gr. ἡττάομαι,

imperf. ήττώμην, perf. ήττημαι, plusq. ήττήμην, except by the removal of the accent. See Obs. 4.

5. A diphthong may be *augmented* when its first vowel can be changed in the way mentioned above; and if the second vowel be an ι , it is subscribed thus:

¹ The following are improperly considered as belonging hither, viz. ἔπω and ἐρέω. See the Αnom. εἰπεῖν—ἕζω about εῖσα. See § 108, 2.—ἐρύω and ἐρωτάω about εἴρυσα, εἰρώτων, which forms belong, however, to the Ionic εἰρύω, εἰρωτάω.

But many verbs neglect this augment, (Obs. 2.) and it never takes place with ou and $\varepsilon\iota$: οὐτάζω-οὔτάζον, εἴκω-εἶκον, εἶξα;with the solitary exception of εἰκάζω, which, however, is only augmented by the Attics, and that but rarely, είκασα, είκασμαι, Att. ήκασα, ήκασμαι. In the verbs beginning with ευ the usage is fluctuating; ηὐχόμην and εὐχόμην, εὑρέθην, very seldom ηύρέθην.

Obs. 2. Those verbs in which the augment would produce a cacophony or indistinctness, remain in general unchanged, especially a few beginning with a, av, or, followed by another vowel, ἀΐω, ἄημι, ἀηδίζομαι, αὐαίνω, οἰακίζω, οἰόω, and some others; only that the short α , for instance in $\dot{\alpha}t\omega$, is lengthened: imperf. αιον, (long a,) αὐαίνετο, οἰάκιζεν, &c. But ἀείδω makes ἤειδον according to the general rule. Neither do some other verbs beginning with or take the augment, οίνίζω, οίκουρέω, οίστρέω.

Obs. 3. But the Ionians and non-Attic poets frequently neglect this augment as well as the syllabic one in any verb, ex. gr. ἀμείβετο for ἡμείβετο, ἔων for εἴων, (of ἐάω,) &c. even in the perf. and plusq. pass., ex. gr. ἄμμαι, οἴκημαι, (οf ἄπτω, οἰκέω,) Herod. The Dorians, instead of changing those beginning with a into η , merely

alter the quantity, ā.

Obs. 4. The temporal augment arose unquestionably from the contraction of the syllabic augment ε with the vowel of the verb, ex. gr. $\ddot{a}\gamma\omega$, $\ddot{\epsilon}$ - $a\gamma o\nu$ $\ddot{\eta}\gamma o\nu$: the contraction of $\epsilon \epsilon$ into η , and ϵo into ω , is, however, a deviation from the general custom (§ 28, 3. b); but that of $\epsilon \alpha$ into η , and $\epsilon \epsilon$ into $\epsilon \iota$, ($\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \omega$, $\tilde{\epsilon} - \epsilon \chi o \nu$, $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \chi o \nu$,) agrees exactly with it .- Hence the accent of some compound verbs is accounted for. As the accent (according to Obs. I. 1. to § 103.) always rests, as far as possible, on the antepenultima, $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tilde{\eta}\pi\tau\sigma\nu$ of $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}\pi\tau\omega$, for instance, has the circumflex on the penultima, because of the contraction. And thus the augment sometimes is to be known only by the accent, ex. gr. $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\eta\kappa\omega$, (from $\eta\kappa\omega$,) imperf. $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\eta\kappa\sigma\nu$: $\alpha\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\gamma\epsilon$ is the imperative of $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon i\rho\gamma\omega$, and $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon i\rho\gamma\epsilon$ the third person of the imperf.

Obs. 5. But the syllabic augment has actually been retained in some instances before a vowel. Beside several Epic forms, the following three verbs of the common language, which by the rule are not susceptible of the temporal augment, have

the syllabic one:

ωθέω, ωνέομαι, οὐρέω, imperf. ἐώθουν, ἐωνούμην, ἐούρουν.

The case is the same with the verb AIΩ, (see Anom. ἄγνυμι,) I break, aor. ἔαξα,

&c., to distinguish it from $\ddot{a}\gamma\omega$, I lead.

Obs. 6. The temporal augment arose from the \varepsilon even in the perfect. For as the usual redupl. (§ 82.) consisted in the repetition of the first consonant with an &, this & alone could be prefixed when the verb began with a vowel, and it formed the temporal augment along with this vowel. The ε is still found unchanged in the first-mentioned verbs, (as ἔαγα, ἔωσμαι, ἐώνημαι, ἐούρηκα,) and besides in

ἔοικα, ἔολπα, ἔοργα,

from $\epsilon \ddot{\imath} \kappa \omega$, $\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \omega$, $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega$. The o in these perfects comes from the change of the vowel in the root, (of which below,) and ε is redupl.: ἔργω ἔ-οργα, like δέρκω δέδορκα.

Obs. 7. This augment retains the sp. asper of the verbs, which have it, ex. gr. ἐάλων, ἐάλωκα, from 'ΑΛΟΩ. See Anom. ἀλίσκομαι, also ἀνδάνω, ἕννυμι.

Obs. 8. Just as we have seen above (§ 83. Obs. 5.) the syllabic augment increased by the temporal one, the latter is commonly increased in the verb ὁράω, (I see,) by the syllabic one, and retains the spiritus: imperf. εώρων. See about the perfect verbs. 121

έώρακα, δράω, and also οἴγω, ἀνοίγω, among the Anomalous Verbs. The Epic poets do this likewise with other verbs, ex. gr. ἐφνοχόει of οἰνοχοέω, ἐἡνδανε of ἀνδάνω.

Obs. 9. When a verb begins with ϵo , it is the second vowel which takes the augment. This occurs in the verb $\dot{\epsilon}o\rho\tau\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\rho\tau\alpha\zeta\sigma\nu$, and the perfects of Obs. 6. in the plusq. $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\psi}\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\lambda\pi\epsilon\iota\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\rho\tau\epsilon\iota\nu$.

§ 85.—Attic Reduplication.

1. There is no redupl. in verbs beginning with a vowel, as we have seen above (compare § 84. Obs. 6). But several of them, all radical verbs, have in the perfect a peculiar redupl. called the Attic redupl., which, however, is not exclusively peculiar to the Attics; most verbs, which have it, disdaining the above simpler form. It consists in the repetition of the first two letters of the verb, with the vowel unchanged, before the usual temporal augment in the perfect; for instance,

ἀγείρω, (ἤγερκα,) ἀγ-ήγερκα, ἀγήγερμαι, ἐμέω, (ἤμεκα,) ἐμ-ήμεκα, ὀρύττω, (ὤρυχα,) ὀρ-ώρυχα, ὀρώρυγμαι, ὅζω, (ὧδα,) ἄδ-ωδα.

- 2. This form is fond of a short vowel in the third syllable, and on that account makes long vowels short, as, for instance, in ἀλείφω, perf. ἀλήλιφα, ἀλήλιμμαι, ἀκούω, perf. ἀκήκοα.
- 3. The plusquamp. sometimes adds a new temporal augment, most commonly in ἀκήκοα, ἠκηκόειν: but it is omitted in the generality of instances (compare § 83. Obs. 6).

Obs. 2. The aor. 2. of some verbs among those which belong to this §, (as § 83. Obs. 7.) has in the poets a redupt, corresponding to that of the perfect, yet so as to give precedence to the temporal augment; ex. gr.

ΑΡΩ, Pf. ἄραρα, (Ion. ἄρηρα,) aor. ἤραρον.

The case is the same with $\mathring{\eta}$ καχον, $\mathring{\omega}$ ρορεν, and some other forms (see the Note below). The verb $\mathring{\alpha}$ γω (see Anom.) has this aor. $\mathring{\eta}$ γαγον in the common language. This redupt. continues also in the other moods, which only reject the temporal augment: $\mathring{\alpha}$ ράρη, $\mathring{\alpha}$ καχεῖν, $\mathring{\alpha}$ γαγών (see § 82. Obs.).\frac{1}{2}

¹ Grammarians formerly used to explain the Epic forms ἤραρεν, ὤρορε, as perfects made by transposition of ἄρηρα, ὄρωρα: but ἤρᾶρα or other perfects of this kind are nowhere to be met with in the genuine old Poets. These third persons have everywhere the signification of the aor., and there are in Homer and other Poets other aorists as 3 pl. ἄραρον for ἤραρον, part. ἀραρών. The other similar aorists do also agree with them, as ἀγαγεῖν, ἀκαχεῖν, ἀπαχεῖν, ἀπαφεῖν, ἀλαλκεῖν, and ἐνεγκεῖν, (for which see the Ανοω.) and also those beginning with a consonant, as $\pi επιθεῖν$, λελαθεῖν, &c. § 33. Obs. 7.

Obs. 3. A very extraordinary redupt. of the aor, at the end of the word is found in the Epic poets in

έρύκω, aor. ήρύκακον, έρυκακέειν, (for -εῖν,)

and also in $\dot{\epsilon}\nu i\pi\tau\omega$, $\dot{\eta}\nu i\pi\alpha\pi\epsilon$. See the Anom.

§ 86.—Of the Augment in compound Verbs.

1. With regard to compound verbs, the principal rules are the following: when the verb is compounded with a prep., the

prep. stands before the augment in the augmented tenses.

It is therefore advisable, first to place the augment before the simple verb, and then to prefix the preposition. In the case of two vowels coming together, the vowel of the *preposition* (with the exception of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \hat{\iota}$ and $\pi \rho \hat{\iota}$) undergoes an *elision*. Several other changes will be best seen in the examples:

προσφέρω—προσ-έφερον; συλλέγω, συν-έλεγον; ἀποδύω—ἀπ-έδυσα, ἀπο-δέδυκα, ἀπ-εδεδύκειν; συρράπτω, συν-έρραπτον; ἀπαλλάττω, ἀπ-ήλλαττον; περιβάλλω, περι-έβαλλον.

In the *moods* of the agrist, the preposition resumes of course its original form, after having cast off the augment, ex. gr.

συλλέγω, aor. συνέλεξα, conj. συλλέξω, inf. συλλέξαι, ἀποδύω, — ἀπέδυσα, — ἀποδύσω, — ἀποδύσαι.

2. Verbs not compounded with prepositions take the augment in the beginning, ex. gr.

μελοποιέω, έμελοποίουν, μεμελοποίηκα, πλημμελέω, πεπλημμέληκα,

άφρονέω, ήφρόνουν, οἰκοδομέω, ώκοδόμησα.

But verbs compounded with $\tilde{\iota \nu}$ and the inseparable particle $\delta \nu \sigma$ - take the *temporal augment* in the middle, as

εὐεργετέω, εὐηργέτουν; δυσαρεστέω, δυσηρέστουν; but when an immutable vowel or consonant comes after those particles, the augment is in the beginning, as

δυσωπέω, εδυσώπουν,

δυστυχέω, εδυστύχησα, δεδυστύχηκα,

εὐδοκιμέω, ηὐδοκίμουν;

only those in εὖ are more generally (§ 84. Obs. 5.) without any augment, εὐφραίνετο, εὐωχούμην (εὐωχεῖσθαι).

Obs. 1. The prep. $\pi \rho \delta$ frequently makes a crasis with the syllabic augment, $\pi \rho o$ - $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha$, $\pi \rho o \tilde{v} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha$: see § 120. Obs. 7.

Obs. 2. Some compound verbs, which frequently occur in the language of familiar intercourse, and of which the primitives are to be found only in the dialects or poets, take the augment before the prep.; as $k\kappa d\theta \epsilon \nu \delta \nu \nu$, $k\kappa d\theta \iota \zeta \nu \nu$, $\eta \phi i \nu \nu \nu$, (of $d\phi i \eta \mu \iota$) yet we find also $ex. gr. \kappa a\theta \eta \tilde{\nu} \delta \nu \nu$ in most authors, and $d\pi o \lambda a \nu \omega$ always gives $d\pi \epsilon \lambda a \nu \nu \nu$, and

verbs. 123

sometimes (according to § 83. Obs. 5.) with the double augment $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\eta}\lambda\alpha\nu\nu$, though there is no primitive verb to it. See also $\dot{\alpha}\mu\pi\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ in the Anom. $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$, and $\dot{\alpha}\mu\phi\iota\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu\mu\nu$, § 108, 3. About $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\lambda\lambda\dot{\omega}\nu$, see Obs. 3.

Obs. 3. We must principally know, whether verbs are merely compounded with another word, or derived from a word already compounded. With respect to this distinction a general rule may be observed, the principle of which cannot be shaken, although it is subject to many exceptions. Compound verbs of the former kind have the augment always in the middle, and most verbs compounded with prepositions follow this rule; for instance, $\sigma v \nu - \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha$. Compound verbs of the latter kind have the augment in the beginning. To these belong, I, all verbs not compounded with prep., (the adv. $\epsilon \tilde{v}$ and $\delta v \sigma$ - included,) though their second part should be perfectly similar to the primitive verb; for instance, μελοποιέω, which is not derived from μέλος and π οιέω, but from $\dot{\delta}$ μ ελο π οι $\dot{\delta}$ ς, and which has therefore $\dot{\epsilon}$ μ ελο π οίουν. 2, many verbs too, though they be compounded with prep.; ex. gr. έναντιοῦμαι from the compound έναντίος, ήναντιούμην; ἀντιβολέω from ἀντιβολή, ήντιβόλουν. Yet the usage of verbs, compounded with prep., having the augment in the middle, has occasioned a deviation from this principle, so that even in verbs derived from words compounded with prep. the augment is most usually after the prep. Homer has $d\nu\tau\epsilon\beta\delta\lambda\eta\sigma\epsilon^{1}$. The Attics generally have έξεκλησίασαν, ένεκωμίαζον, προεφήτευσα, συνήργουν, έπιτετήδευκα, ἐνεχείρουν, and many more, though there are no simple primitives of all these verbs, (ἐκκλησιάζω, ἐγκωμιάζω, προφητεύω, συνεργέω, ἐπιτηδεύω, ἐγχειρέω,) which are derived from $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma(\alpha,\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\kappa\omega\mu\iota\sigma\nu,\pi\rho\sigma\phi\eta\tau\eta\varsigma,\sigma\nu\nu\epsilon\rho\gamma\delta\varsigma,\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\tau\eta\delta\epsilon\varsigma$, and from έν and χείο. 'Εμπολάν (to trade, from ἐμπολή, merchandise,) has indeed commonly $\dot{\eta}$ μπόλησα, — η κα, but Lucian has $\dot{\epsilon}$ μπεπόληκα.—Even verbs compounded with substantives have the redupt. in the middle, having no augment in the beginning, as iπποτετρόφηκα from iπποτροφέω.

Obs. 4. The following verbs commonly take the augment in both places together: \dot{a} νορθόω \dot{n} νώρθουν, $\dot{\epsilon}$ νοχλέω \dot{n} νώχλησα, \dot{a} νέχομαι \dot{n} νειχόμην, παροινεῖν πεπαρφύνηκα. The anomaly is still greater in the verbs δ ιακονεῖν, δ ιαιτᾶν, δ εδιηκόνηκα, κατεδιήτησα, since they come from δ ιάκονος, δ ίαιτα, in which the α does not belong to another word. Common practice has been betrayed into this irregularity by the

mere similarity of the composition.

CONJUGATION.

§ 87.—Numbers and Persons.

Connecting Vowel.

- 1. All the terminations of the Greek verb in its tenses, numbers, and persons, may be divided into two very distinct principal classes, one for the *active*, the other for the *passive* voice. Hence, though the import deviates in some tenses, one class is called the *active conjugation*, the other the *passive*.
- 2. The principal tenses of either conform in some respects to a particular analogy, by which they are distinguished from the historical tenses.
- 3. This appears more distinctly from the following table, which shows the terminations of the three persons and numbers

¹ See Buttm. Lexilogus, 63, 13.

in the different tenses and their conjugation. But it refers merely to the indicative: the way in which it is to be applied to the conjunctive and optative will appear from the following §.

Principal Tenses.

	Acti	ve.	Passive.				
	1 Person, 2	Person,	3 Person,	1 Person, 2 Person, 3 Person,			
Sing.		ς		μαι	$(\sigma a \iota)$	ται	
Dual.	wanting	τον	τον	μεθον	σθον	σθον	
Plur.	μεν	τε	σιν, σι	μεθα	$\sigma heta \epsilon$	νται.	
Historical Tenses.							
Sing.	1	ς		μην	(σo)	το	
7) 7	1 10			0	0	0	

Dual. wanting $\tau o \nu$ $\tau \eta \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$ $\sigma \theta o \nu$ $\sigma \theta \eta \nu$ Plur. $\mu \epsilon \nu$ $\tau \epsilon$ ν or $\sigma a \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \theta a$ $\sigma \theta \epsilon$ $\nu \tau o$.

4. Here must be also noticed the doctrine of the so-called

- 4. Here must be also noticed the doctrine of the so-called connecting vowel. Such is the term applied to that letter, by means of which the above-noted terminations are annexed to the root of the verb. For instance, in λv -o- $\mu \epsilon v$, λv - $\epsilon \cdot \tau \epsilon$, $\epsilon \lambda v \sigma$ - $\alpha \cdot \mu \eta v$, the letters o, ϵ , a, are the connecting vowels; λv is the root.
- 5. The general rule is, that all the tenses of the verb, with the exception of the perf. and plusq. pass., and the irregular verbs in μ , annex those terminations noted above to the root, by means of the connecting vowel. But this vowel being variable, the manner in which the connecting vowel is used in the different tenses and moods is most easily learned from the paradigm. There are eight connecting vowels, viz., five simple vowels: a, ϵ , η (η), o, ω ; and three diphthongs: $a\iota$, $\epsilon\iota$, and $o\iota$.
- Obs. 1. Thus for instance the termination $\mu\epsilon\nu$ of the 1 pers. plur. act. is annexed to the root of the pres., fut., &c. by means of $o(\lambda\nu o \mu\epsilon\nu, \lambda\nu\sigma o \mu\epsilon\nu)$; to the root of the aor. 1. and perf. by means of $a(\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\nu\sigma a \mu\epsilon\nu, \lambda\epsilon\lambda\nu\kappa a \mu\epsilon\nu)$; to that of the plusq. by means of ϵ ($\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\lambda\nu\kappa \epsilon \iota \mu\epsilon\nu$); to that of the aor. 1. pass. by means of $\eta(\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\nu\theta \eta \mu\epsilon\nu)$; to that of the conj. by means of $\omega(\lambda\nu \omega \mu\epsilon\nu)$; to that of the pres. opt. &c. by means of $\omega(\lambda\nu \omega \mu\epsilon\nu)$; to that of the opt. aor. by means of $\omega(\lambda\nu\sigma \alpha\iota \mu\epsilon\nu)$. From these examples it will be seen, that the terminations $(\mu\epsilon\nu, \tau\epsilon, \&c.)$ sufficiently indicate the persons, but that the connecting vowel alone is not sufficient to enable us to recognise the tenses and moods, since there are besides various changes taking place with the root of the verb, and which will be treated of in the following §§.

Obs. 2. The terminations of the first and third persons singular of the active are not given in this table, because in most instances they have no consonant, but only the connecting vowel, and generally alone, which connecting vowel is, however,

¹ Though in this instance it has nothing more to connect, it is yet identical with it, and is consequently dropped in any formation which has no connecting vowel. Compare, for instance, $\dot{\epsilon}\tau i\theta\eta$ with $\dot{\epsilon}\tau i\theta\eta$ -ν, and $\dot{\epsilon}\tau i\theta\epsilon$ -μεν, where ϵ or η belongs to the root.

verbs. 125

very different in different tenses. Compare, for instance, 1. $\lambda \dot{\nu} - \omega$, 3. $\lambda \dot{\nu} - \varepsilon \iota$, with $\lambda \dot{\nu} o - \mu \varepsilon \nu$ or $\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \nu \sigma - \alpha$, $\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \nu \sigma - \varepsilon$ with $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\nu} \sigma - \alpha - \mu \varepsilon \nu$. But in most historical tenses the first person has a steady ν , ($\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \nu - o - \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \kappa - \varepsilon \iota - \nu$,) and the third person, when its vowel is an ε , the mutable ν ($\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \nu - \varepsilon \nu$ or ε). In the less frequent conjugation of verbs in $\mu \iota$, both persons have a very peculiar termination in the present, viz. $\mu \iota$, $\sigma \iota$. See § 106.

Obs. 3. The third person pl. of the principal tenses of the active is given here as it is in use in the common language. But it is proper to observe that in the Doric dialect it ends in $\nu\tau\iota$, and that the vowel of the $\sigma\iota$ of the common form is always long, because there has been a ν dropped; $\tau\acute{\nu}\pi\tau \sigma \nu\sigma\iota$, $D\sigma\iota$, $\tau\acute{\nu}\pi\tau \sigma \nu\tau\iota$, $-\tau\epsilon\tau\acute{\nu}\phi\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\iota$,

Dor. τετύφαντι. See the Obs. V. 4. to § 103.

Obs. 4. The terminations $\sigma a\iota$ and σo of the second pers. pass. are only to be noticed as a foundation or basis, since they have remained unchanged but in very few instances. The manner in which they coalesce with the preceding letters, so as not to be recognised, is explained below in the Observations III. to § 103.

Obs. 5. With regard to the peculiarities which distinguish the historical from the principal tenses, the following points must be carefully attended to in the

above table 2:

a.) A characteristic, which runs through the whole active and passive form, is, that the third pers. dual, which in the principal tenses is always the same with the second, (as act. τύπτετον, τύπτετον, pass. τύπτεσθον, τύπτεσθον,) constantly ends in ην in the historical tenses, ex. gr. imperf. act. 2. ἐτύπτετον, 3. ἐτυπτέτην, pass. 2. ἐτύπτεσθον, 3. ἐτυπτέσθην. Compare below Obs. V. 3. in § 103.

b.) The third pers. pl. of the active affords also a steady distinction; it always ends in σ_l , with the mutable ν (ov $\sigma_l\nu$, a $\sigma_l\nu$, or σ_l ,) in the principal tenses, but in the historical tenses constantly with a steady ν (o ν , a ν , $\varepsilon_l\sigma_a\nu$, $\eta\sigma_a\nu$).

c.) In the passive form the two kinds of tenses are completely different throughout the singular, and in all the third persons. The termination $\mu a \iota$ in the principal tenses is always $\mu \eta \nu$ in the historical ones, and $\tau a \iota$ (sing. and pl.) always becomes τo . The difference of the terminations coming from $\sigma a \iota$ and σo is equally steady. (See Obs. 4.)

Obs. 6. The first person is wanting through the whole active in the dual; that is to say, it does not differ from the pl. That the dual, as an old pl, especially in verbs, has sometimes the signification of the pl, with Poets, has been remarked above, § 33. Obs. 7. See particularly Od. 0. 49. Hymn. Apoll. 487. 501. Pind. Ol. 2, 157.

§ 88.—Moods and Participles.

1. The Greek language is richer in moods and participles than any other language. The imperfect and plusquamp. exist only in the indic. Other moods and participles may be formed of the other tenses. The future, however, has neither conjunctive nor imper., and the perfect seldom has a conjunctive, optative, and imper. See below, § 137. Obs. 11. Thus every present, perf. 1 and 2, aorist 1 and 2, has beside the indicat. a conj., imperat., infinit., and participle.

² All these differences are of particular use in reading the Epic poets, where they frequently are the only means of recognising the tenses when the *augment* is dropped. (See above the *Obs.* to §§ 83, 84.)

2. The opt. derives its name from serving to express a wish, but is also frequently used in a variety of other connexions, as will be seen in the Syntax. We only observe here, that its signification corresponds almost thoroughly to that of the Latin imperfect, perf., and plusquamp. of the conjunctive, which is wanting in Greek.

3. This observation is closely connected with the following principal rule concerning the conjugation of the *conj*. and *opt*.:—

The conjugation of the *conj*. of all tenses has constantly for its basis that of the principal tenses, while that of the *optative* is always grounded in the conjugation of the *historical* tenses ¹.

The table of the preceding Section thus contains in its upper series the terminations of all conjunctives, and the lower one those of all optatives. The peculiarity of each mood thus lies in the modifications of the connecting vowel, by which they are distinguished from each other as well as from the indicat.; and for this reason, this vowel has been also called the mood-vowel.

4. The connecting vowels η and ω are peculiar to the conj., and the following rule is here observed:—

The conjugation of all conjunctives follows that of the conjunctive in the present;—the

pres. conjunct. is formed of the pres. indicat. in the following manner:—

Wherever the indic. has o, ov, ω , the conj. has ω :

Wherever the *indic*. has ε , $\varepsilon\iota$, η , the *conj*. has η or η .

Hence: Ind. τύπτομεν, τύπτουσιν, τύπτεται, τύπτεις, τύπτη, &c. Conj. τύπτωμεν, τύπτωσι, τύπτηται, τύπτης, τύπτη, &c. The terminations of all the conj. are therefore

in the Act. ω, ηc , η —ητον, ητον, ωμεν, ητε, ωσι(ν), in the Pass. ωμαι, η , ηται, ώμεθον, ησθον, ησθον, ώμεθα, ησθε, ωνται.

5. The characteristic letter of the *optative* is ι : it coalesces into a diphthong with the preceding vowel, (whether radical or connective,) which diphthong continues the same through all numbers and persons. The termination of the first person in the active is either $\mu\iota$ or $\eta\nu$, (as $\tau \iota \pi \tau \iota \eta \iota \iota \eta \iota$, $\tau \iota \theta \iota \iota \eta \nu$,) and in the

¹ Thus, for instance, the opt. even of principal tenses always has $\eta \nu$ in the third person of the dual, and in the passive constantly τo in the third person sing. and pl. (§ 87. Obs. 5.)

VERBS. 127

last instance this η continues along with the diphthong in all the other terminations: $o\iota\mu\iota$, $o\iota\varsigma$, $o\iota$, &c.— $\epsilon\iota\eta\nu$, $\epsilon\iota\eta\varsigma$, $\epsilon\iota\eta$, $\epsilon\iota\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$, &c. In the passive the diphthong is immediately before the historical termination $(\tau\nu\pi\tau\circ\iota-\mu\eta\nu, \tau\iota\theta\epsilon\bar{\iota}-\tau\circ, &c.)$.

6. The imper. has a second and third person in all the num-

bers; its terminations in all tenses are—

2. 3. 2. 3. 2. 3.

Act. sing. . . , $\tau \omega$, dual, $\tau o \nu$, $\tau \omega \nu$, pl. $\tau \varepsilon$, $\tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ or $\nu \tau \omega \nu$.

Pass. sing. (σo ,) $\sigma \theta \omega$, dual, $\sigma \theta o \nu$, $\sigma \theta \omega \nu$, pl. $\sigma \theta \varepsilon$, $\sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ or $\sigma \theta \omega \nu$.

7. The infin. has the following terminations:

act. Eir, or vai or ai.

pass. ofai.

8. All participles are adjectives of three terminations; and the fem., of course, (according to § 58, 2.) always follows the first decl. The masc. of the active has in the gen. $\nu\tau\sigma\varsigma$, which gives ς or ν for the nom., and σa for the fem., thus—

ων οτ ους, ουσα, ον, ας, ασα, αν,

gen. ovrog.

gen. avroc.

εις, εισα, εν, gen. εντος. υς, υσα, υν, gen. υντος.

The participle of the perfect active deviates from this; it always has

ως, νία, ὸς,

gen. ότος.

For the declension of these participles, see § 103. The participles of the passive voice all end in

μενος, η, ον.

Obs. These terminations experience some modifications in their application; they undergo a contraction not only in the contracted verbs, (§ 105.) but also in some parts of the usual conjugation, which are grounded in contraction. See § 95, 7. and Obs. I. 3. to § 103.

§ 89.—Active, Passive, and Middle Voice.

1. The idea of a passive includes also the case, when the action, which I suffer, is inflicted or done by myself. It may therefore be expressed by the passive form, as versor in Latin does not merely signify 'I am turned about,' but also 'I turn myself about.' This meaning of the passive is called the reflective'; but the Greek goes still further, and employs the

¹ Compare, above, the Note to § 74, 4.

passive voice in connexions, where the verb has only a collateral relation to the subject (for instance, 'I prepare a dwelling for myself'). All these cases, which will be more fully explained in the Syntax, give the signification media; and the passive, when it has this signification, is called medium, 'the middle voice.'

2. With regard to their form, we have already shown the difference between the passive and active voice, § 87. Each active tense is accordingly changed into its natural passive in the way which we are going to point out here for the first persons of the indic. of all tenses.

	Active,	Passive.	Ac	ctive,	Passive.
Present,	ω,	ομαι	Impèrf.	òν,	όμην
Perf.	α, κα,	μαι	Plusq.	ειν, κε	ιν, μην
Fut.	$\int \sigma \omega$,	σομαι		σα,	σάμην
2 000 0	(ω,	οῦμαι	11011	(ον,	όμην.

3. The four following tenses of this natural passive, viz.

the pres. and the imperf. the perf. and the plusquamp.

comprise the *medial* signification in all cases where that *medial* signification occurs, so that through the connexion or context alone we can discover whether they are of the *passive* or *middle* voice. But in the *aor*. and *fut*. the above natural *passive* is generally only a *medium*, or *middle* voice: the *passive* has a particular form for both these tenses, which have this peculiarity, that the *aor*., notwithstanding its *passive* signification, assumes the *active* form in its conjugation, whilst the *future*, formed from this *aor*., passes again into the *passive* form.

Aor. pass.
$$\begin{cases} \theta_{\eta\nu}, \\ \eta\nu, \end{cases}$$
 Fut. pass. $\begin{cases} \theta'_{\eta\sigma} \circ \mu\alpha\iota, \\ '_{\eta\sigma} \circ \mu\alpha\iota. \end{cases}$

In contradistinction to those forms, the above natural passive of the fut. and aor. is called in grammar fut. and aor. medii:

Fut. med.
$$\begin{cases} \sigma \circ \mu \alpha i, \\ \circ \tilde{\nu} \mu \alpha i, \end{cases}$$
 Aor. med. $\begin{cases} \sigma \acute{a} \mu \eta \nu, \\ \acute{o} \mu \eta \nu. \end{cases}$

But the first four tenses, which have only the natural passive form for both significations, and consequently should be called passivomedia, are simply denominated passiva in the theory of grammatical forms, and can only take the name of media when they have the medial signification in the context, that is to say in the syntax.

VERBS. 129

The following table brings at once under review the double forms of the fut. and agrists of the three voices of the verb:

	Active.	Passive.	Medium.
Fut.	J σω	θήσομαι	σομαι
T. 666 *	ξω.	ήσομαι	οῦμαι
Aor.	∫σα	$\theta\eta\nu$	σάμην
2107.	OV	ην	όμην.

Obs. The medial or middle form of the aor. is omitted in all verbs which have not such a medial signification; it is the aor. pass. in $\theta\eta\nu$ or $\eta\nu$, which assumes this signification in several verbs, (§ 136.) and therefore the medial form occurs only in a limited, though considerable, number of verbs. We begin, however, by considering every Greek verb as perfect, and shall notice, further on, which tenses are actually in use in each verb.

4. The old Greek grammarians have in their grammars a complete medium, or middle voice, in which the present and imperf. of the passive are given at length as tenses of the medium; but instead of letting them be followed by the perf. and plusq. pass., which also have the double signification, they have a separate

Perf. and plusq. medii,

the import of which is the following.

5. The perf. act. has two different forms, each of which ends in a in the first person. The common form, as will be seen below, either aspirates the radical consonant, or introduces a κ, (λέγω λέλεχα, φύω πέφυκα,) the uncommon one does neither (φεύγω πέφευγα, δαίω δέδηα). In most instances the latter form, which differs so little from the other, really is the true practical perfect belonging to the regular pres. of the act. from which it is derived; and in but few instances the present has a transitive, and this perfect an intrans. signification. (See § 113.) This anomaly of a small number of verbs ought not to have had any influence on the theory of the Greek verb in general; yet because the intrans. signification is in some instances the same with the reflective signification of the medium, (as, for instance, 'I have frightened myself,' or 'I am frightened,') and because both forms of the perf. exist together in a few verbs, the old grammarians placed this perf. with its dependent plusq. in the conjugation of the medium, though in every instance, where a verb has a medium, it is only

the perf. and plusq. pass., which have the true medial signification along with the passive one. (See § 136.)

6. Modern grammarians have therefore abandoned this mis-

leading method; and as the double formation of other tenses is marked by numbers in the theory of the Greek conjugation, § 90. I. they now call this more uncommon perf. and plusq.

Perf. and plusq. secundum,

but it occurs only in the active voice. The perfect of the passive is always made in a uniform way from both forms of the perfect of the active.

§ 90.—Tenses.

- 1. The Greek tenses partly have a double form, marked in grammar by the first and second, though this does not establish a difference in the signification. The perf. has a double form only in the act. voice, (§ 89, 6.) but the futures and acrists have double forms in the active, passive, and middle voice.
- 2. The passive has besides a peculiar fut. 3, or what is called paulo-post-fut., which takes the reduplication of the perfect (§ 99). See Syntax, § 138.
- 3. All the tenses of the Greek verb are distributed or classed in the following table under the head of what is more correctly called the *active*, *passive*, and *middle* voice.

This table notices the augments and terminations of the first person. The longer line is the space left for the root of the verb, the smaller one in front for the initial letter repeated in the augment. The sp. asper over the termination denotes the aspiration of the preceding consonant.

-			
	Act.	Pass.	Med.
Pres.	ω	— <i>о</i> μαι	as in the pass.
Imperf.	ἐ ον	è — όμην	
Perf. 1.	-ε — ά or κα	-ε — μαι	
Plusq. 1.	έ-ε — έιν or κειν	έ-ε — μην	:
Perf. 2.	ε — α		
Plusq. 2.	ἐ-ε — ει <i>ν</i>		
Fut. 1.	$\sigma\omega$	— θήσομαι	— σομαι
Aor. 1.	<u>έ</u> — σα	$\dot{\epsilon} - \theta \eta \nu$	è — σάμην
Fut. 2.	ω	— ήσομαι	- οῦμαι
Aor. 2.	è — ον .	ἐ — ην	ἐ — όμην
Fut. 3.	wanting	-ε σομαι	wanting.

4. The manner of combining these terminations of the tenses with the root of different verbs requires a particular explanation, called the theory of the *formation of the tenses*, previously to which we have to state what is called in grammar the *characteristic of the verb*, and the *theme of the verb*.

§ 91.—Characteristic of the Verb.

- 1. The letter immediately preceding the principal vowel of the termination of a tense is called the *characteristic* (distinctive mark) of that tense. Thus, for instance, in the above table σ is the *characteristic* of the *fut*. 1. and of the *aor*. 1. in the *act*. and *med*.
- 2. But that letter which remains at the end of the root of the verb, after having rejected all which serves merely for the terminations of the inflections, is more particularly the *characteristic of the verb*. On rejecting, for instance, the ω of the *present*, we find that the letter, or two letters, which precede the ω , constitute the *characteristic* of that verb, $ex.\ gr.\ in\ \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ the γ , in $\phi o \nu \epsilon \acute{\nu} \omega$ the $\epsilon \nu$.

Obs. The question is not here about the etymological root of the verb, which in $\phi o \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega$ is the syllable $\phi o \nu$, but about the radical letters of the verb (compare the Note to § 39.) to which $\epsilon \nu$ belongs. Thus in $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$, it is ϵ and α , not λ and μ , which are the true characteristics.

3. Verbs are divided into different classes, according to their characteristics. Hence verbs which have a vowel before the ω of the present, that is to say, whose characteristic is a vowel, are called verba pura. See § 28, 1. Verbs of which the characteristic is ε , a, o, admit of contraction in the pres. and imperf. in the act. and pass.; they are therefore called verba contracta. See § 105. Verbs of which the characteristic is one of the letters λ , μ , ν , ρ are called verba liquida or verba λ , μ , ν , ρ . See § 101. Verbs not included in these classes are called verba muta. For a similar division into classes see § 100, a.

§ 92.—Double Themes.

- 1. In Greek, as in Latin, the *present* is considered as the *principal* tense, that is to say, the tense which serves for the formation of all the other tenses. This process is easy in most verbs, since, on rejecting the ω , we find the root and characteristic of the verb, which are the basis, on which all the other forms of the verb are built.
- 2. But in many verbs, that which remains after rejecting the ω of the *present*, is not to be immediately taken for the pure root of the verb; for on stripping other tenses of the same verb of their peculiar *terminations* and *augments*, we find a root left, which is more or less different from the root of the *present*,

so that we must discriminate which form of root is the primitive one.

- 3. This difference consists, in one part of these verbs, merely in the vowel, and chiefly in the alteration of the three short vowels ϵ , $\check{\alpha}$, o. As there is nothing in simple vowels why one should be considered as the radical vowel preferably to the other, the vowel of the *present* tense is, for uniformity's sake, considered as the radical vowel, as, for instance, in $\tau o \epsilon \phi \omega$, $\epsilon \tau o a \phi \eta \nu$, $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho o \phi a$, exactly like cleave, clave, cloven, in English.
- 4. But the root of the verb in the present tense is in many verbs of a lengthened and fuller form, partly through a long vowel, or through a diphthong, whilst the other tenses have a short vowel, and partly through a greater number and variety of consonants; $ex. gr. \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega \, i \lambda i \pi o v$, $\tau i \kappa \omega \, i \tau i \kappa \eta v$, $\beta i \lambda \lambda \omega \, i \beta a \lambda o v$, $\tau i \pi \tau \omega \, i \tau i \eta \tau v$, $\tau i \sigma \sigma \omega \, i \tau i \gamma \eta v$. There is even a considerable number of verbs, of which the present offers a still greater variety, and sometimes an additional syllable, as $\lambda a \mu \beta i v \omega$, where the root is $\lambda a \mu \beta a v$, whilst other tenses $i \lambda a \beta o v$, $\lambda i \psi o \mu a v$, make the root $\lambda a \beta$, $\lambda \eta \beta$. This is the principle on which the present tense of a verb frequently appears in a fuller form than other tenses of the same verb.
- 5. It is, no doubt, more natural and easier to adopt the simple root rather than the fundamental one; but as it would disturb the uniformity of the grammatical process, if the present of such verbs were derived from other tenses, grammarians have introduced the following theory. As there are verbs with double forms of the present, one simple, and the other fuller, for instance, $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$ and $\lambda \iota \mu \pi \acute{a} \nu \omega$, $\epsilon \delta \omega$ and $\epsilon \sigma \theta i \omega$, one of which generally is less used or even obsolete, we assume for tenses not analogous with the present another disused verbal form, and give to it the form of a present tense for grammatical purposes; for instance, $\epsilon \lambda a \beta \omega$ is considered as coming from a disused pres. $\lambda \acute{a} \beta \omega$ or $\lambda \acute{n} \beta \omega$, and such imaginary or supplementary forms of presents are printed in grammars in capital or initial letters and without any accent, $\Lambda AB\Omega$, to point them out as not being in usc. (See the second prefatory remark to the List of Anomalous Verbs.)
- 6. Any present tense, whether in use or obsolete, which serves for the formation of other parts of the verb, is called a theme, $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu a$, and any verb which requires a supplementary verbal form along with its real present tense, is said to have a

double theme. The characteristic of the simple theme in contradistinction to other forms, where it is not easily recognised, is called the pure characteristic of the verb; as y in the theme TAΓΩ in contradistinction to the $\sigma\sigma$ in $\tau \acute{a}\sigma\sigma\omega^{1}$.

7. This plurality of forms in one and the same verb is properly an anomaly; hence the annexed List of Anomalous Verbs mostly contains verbs of that kind. But when the difference between the usual theme, and the obsolete or imaginary one, is not very great, or common to several verbs which have the same characteristic in the usual present tense, it is considered merely as a variety of the usual conjugation, that the anomalous one may not be needlessly increased.

8. We reckon here chiefly verbs of which the pure characteristic in their usual present tense is disfigured by the introduction or change of a letter. They are of three sorts:-

1.) In verbs with the characteristic $\pi\tau$, the τ is a strengthening addition; their pure characteristic is one of the labials β , π , ϕ , (compare § 20.) ex. gr.

κρύπτω, τύπτω. $KPYB\Omega$, $TY\Pi\Omega$, ΡΑΦΩ.

2.) Most verbs with $\sigma\sigma$ or $\tau\tau$ have as pure characteristic one of the palatal letters γ , κ , χ : for instance—

πράσσω, φρίσσω, βήσσω, $\Pi PA \Gamma \Omega$, $\Phi PIK\Omega$, $BHX\Omega$,

in some few it is also a lingual letter. See Obs. 2-4.

3.) Most verbs with ζ (Dor. $\sigma\delta$) have δ for their pure characteristic: as-

φράζω - ΦΡΑΔΩ, ὄζω - ΟΔΩ,

but several have also y, as-

κράζω-ΚΡΑΓΩ.

All these verbs retain the fuller form and impure characteristic only in the present and imperf. of the act. and pass. voice; the rest of the tenses are derived from the simpler theme. But for the sake of brevity and uniformity we grammatically treat the two themes as one conjugation, and consider the matter as if, for instance, in τύψω, τυπείς, and the like, the τ of the pres. $\tau \hat{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$ had been rejected, or as if ζ , and not the pure characteristic δ, had been dropped before the σ in φράσω (fut. of φράζω).

 $^{^1}$ To avoid too great an accumulation of such themes, this Grammar, instead of noticing disused themes in $\Omega,$ simply states the root, TYII, TAF, &c.

- 9. To these verbs must be added those which in the present tense barely differ in quantity, the pure characteristic being doubled in the present, which in common language is done only with the λ , as $\beta\acute{a}\lambda\lambda\omega$ $\Bilde{i}\beta a\lambda ov$, $\sigma\tau\acute{e}\lambda\lambda\omega$ $\sigma\tau\epsilon\lambda\~{\omega}$ $\Bilde{e}\sigma\tau\acute{a}\lambda\eta\nu$, or the pres. tense having, instead of the short vowel of the other tenses, a diphthong or long vowel; as $\lambda\epsilon\acute{e}\pi\omega$ $\lambda\epsilon\acute{e}\psi\omega$ $\Bilde{e}\lambda\iota\pi\sigma\nu$, $\tau\acute{n}\kappa\omega$ $\tau\acute{n}\xi\omega$ $\Bilde{e}\tau\acute{e}\alpha\kappa\eta\nu$, $\phi a\acute{e}\nu\omega$ $\phi a\nu\~{\omega}$ $\pi\acute{e}\phi a\gamma\kappa a$, $\phi \epsilon\acute{v}\gamma\omega$ $\phi \epsilon\acute{v}\xi\omega$ $\Bilde{e}\phi\nu\gamma\sigma\nu$. They, too, have a simple theme, as $BA\Lambda\Omega$, $\Lambda I\Pi\Omega$, $\Phi \Upsilon \Gamma\Omega$, (Lat. fugio,) etc.: but such trifling differences are not sufficient to throw these verbs out of the usual grammatical method, which considers the present tense as a basis; hence they are stated below, among the regular conjugations; as mere abbreviations of the root of their present tense.
- Obs. 1. Verbs in ζ , with the simple characteristic δ , exceed the others in number less by their primitives, as $\chi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, $\chi \dot{\epsilon} \zeta \omega$, $\xi \zeta \omega \mu \alpha \iota$, $\sigma \chi \dot{\epsilon} \zeta \omega$, than by the multitude of derivatives in $\dot{\epsilon} \zeta \omega$ and $\dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, which follow the same conjugation. To the characteristic γ belong all verbs denoting a call or sound, as $\kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \zeta \omega$, $\delta \dot{\alpha} \omega$, &c. with a few others, of which we meet in prose with

στάζω, στίζω, στηρίζω, σφύζω, μαστίζω,

and some which are fluctuating between the two formations; see the Anom. $\dot{\alpha}\rho\pi\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$, $\pi\alpha i\zeta\omega$, $\beta\alpha\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$, $\nu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$. We even find in some other verbs the pure characteristic to be $\gamma\gamma$. See the Anom. $\pi\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$, $\kappa\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$, $\sigma\alpha\lambda\pi i\zeta\omega$, fut. $\pi\lambda\dot{\alpha}\gamma\xi\omega$, &c.

Obs. 2. The simple characteristic of some verbs in $\sigma\sigma$ or $\tau\tau$ is not one of the

palatals, but linguals, and hence follows the analogy of those with Z, as

πλάσσω, πάσσω, πτίσσω, βλίττω, βράσσω, κνάσσω, λεύσσω, ἰμάσσω, ἐρέσσω, κορύσσω, λίσσομαι, νίσσομαι,

(fut. πλάσω, &c.) and two are fluctuating: see the Anom. ἀφύσσω, νάσσω.

Obs. 3. Some verbs have the present tense indifferently with ζ or ττ, but follow only one of these double presents in the rest of their tenses; as, in particular, σφάττω or σφάζω, (to kill, slay,) fut. σφάξω, &c. and ἀρμόζω or ἀρμόττω, (to join, fit,) fut. ἀρμόσω, &c.

Obs. 4. It will be stated, § 95. Obs. 2, that the Doric dialect has a palatal letter

in some tenses of the verbs, of which the characteristic is a lingual.

Obs. 5. We have remarked above, in general, that the characteristic $\pi\tau$ always contains one of the three mutes of the labial organ, and $\sigma\sigma$, $\tau\tau$, either a palatal or (according to Obs. 2.) a lingual. But which letter it be, is generally indifferent: we shall see below that most verbs are used only in those tenses (fut. 1. aor. 1. perf. 1.) which must also change this pure characteristic according to the general rules, (§ 16. &c.) and the three mutes constantly in the same way. For instance, the fut. $\beta\eta\xi\omega$ merely shows that the pure characteristic of the verb $\beta\eta\sigma\sigma\omega$ is a palatal letter, but does not indicate which palatal it is. This may indeed be inferred in these instances from other kindred words 2, but as it is of no importance with regard

¹ It is obvious that in most of these verbs we cannot suppose the real original characteristic to have been γ , but that the anxiety to avoid the meeting of σ ($\sigma\tau\iota$ - $\sigma\theta\epsilon\iota$ ε , $\beta\alpha\sigma\tau\alpha\sigma\theta\epsilon\iota$ ε ,) caused many verbs in ι ζ ω , $\dot{\alpha}$ ζ ω , to assume the other form. See Obs. 6.

VERBS. 135

to inflection, all those verbs, of which the pure characteristic does not appear from the conjugation itself, may be considered, if they be with $\pi\tau$, as having the characteristic π , and if with $\sigma\sigma$ or $\tau\tau$, as having either the characteristic γ , or (those of *Obs.* 2.) the characteristic δ , which is grounded in the kindred termination ζ . We therefore have only to notice the few verbs which really show, in any of their tenses, another letter than any of those which we have mentioned, as their pure characteristic. They are

a.) With πτ,
 βλάπτω, κρύπτω, pure characteristic β,
 βάπτω, ῥάπτω, θάπτω, σκάπτω, ῥίπτω, θρύπτω,—φ.

b.) With $\sigma\sigma$, $\tau\tau$, only the *Anom.* $\phi\rho i\sigma\sigma\omega - \kappa$, *Anom.* $\lambda i\sigma\sigma o\mu\alpha i, -\tau$: and

κορύσσω—θ (§ 98. Obs. 5).

Obs. 6. But we cannot repeat too often, that in all this we do not mean to assert etymological truth, but grammatical analogy. Though such formations as $\pi\rho\acute{a}\sigma\sigma\omega$ $\pi\acute{e}\pi\rho a\gamma\alpha$, $\kappa\lambda\acute{a}\gamma\gamma\omega$ $\kappa\lambda\acute{a}\gamma\xi\omega$, can hardly be accounted for otherwise than by assuming an older form, it would yet be absurd to pretend that derivative verbs like $\grave{a}\lambda\lambda\acute{a}\sigma\sigma\omega$, $\chi\omega\rho(\i\omega)$, came from an old form in $\gamma\omega$ and $\delta\omega$: the former, however, really has an aor. 2. pass. $\grave{a}\lambda\lambda\alpha\gamma\~{\eta}\nu\alpha$, and the other the Ionic 3 pers. pl. of the perf. pass. $\kappa\epsilon\chi\omega\rho(\i\omega)\alpha\alpha\alpha$. It is rather evident that other verbs have been formed after the analogy of those which previously existed in the language. But to draw the line is impossible; at least, it would needlessly complicate the statement of grammatical principles. It is far better to bring all the verbs which agree in that kind of formation under one point of view; and it may be supposed that several of the simple themes have actually been formerly in existence, (this supposition is, indeed, confirmed by verbs of that kind occurring in the old poets, such as $\beta\lambda\acute{a}\beta\omega$, $\lambda\acute{t}\tau\rho\mu\alpha$, $\delta\rho\acute{u}\phi\omega$ for $\delta\rho\dot{u}\pi\epsilon\omega$, $\delta\rho\acute{u}\phi\omega$ for $\delta\rho\acute{u}\sigma\sigma\omega$, and others,) and that in the progress of the language other verbs were formed on the analogy of similar roots.

Obs. 7. The modes of strengthening the present tense, not comprised in this Section, especially the lengthening of some present tenses with $-\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ and $-\dot{\alpha}\omega$, are

reviewed below in § 112, as belonging to the anomaly of the verb.

§ 93.—Formation of the Tenses.

1. The annexion of the terminations of the tenses, as stated in § 90, is not always a straightforward operation, but frequently influenced by euphony; and when the characteristic of the verb does not harmonise with those terminations, it necessitates changes and differences, besides other peculiarities in the usual language.

2. It is, therefore, of importance to know which tenses are derived one from the other, or agreeing with each other.

the radical θ may be inferred from such subst. as $i\pi\nu\sigma\pi\lambda\dot{a}\theta\sigma\varsigma$ (maker of ovens). But the inference is not always safe, because the radical letter in such derivations frequently is different without any apparent cause; for instance, $a\sigma r. pass. \dot{k}\kappa\phi\dot{k}\beta\eta\nu$, $adv. \kappa\rho\dot{v}\phi\alpha$, $ad\dot{v}. \kappa\rho\dot{v}\phi\alpha$, $ad\dot{v}. \kappa\dot{v}\phi\dot{v}\phi\alpha$. This and similar instances show, what was indeed to be expected, that the radical letter is not always steady, but frequently fluctuating, as in English, to weave and webster, in German, decken, 'to cover,' and ein dach, 'roof.' Yet in most cases there is a correspondence between the verbal and nominal forms, and in doubtful cases the grammarian is warranted in considering the simple vowel of a verb as its radical letter, or pure characteristic.

Some tenses, connected in signification, are evidently derived one from the other; ex. gr. the imperf. from the pres. tense, and plusquamp. and fut. 3. from the perf.

- 3. Of other tenses it cannot be properly affirmed that they are derived one from the other; but as they agree more or less in their derivation from the common root of the verb, grammar considers them, for the convenience of the learner, partly as derived from each other, (ex. gr. the aor. 1. in σa from the fut. in $\sigma \omega$,) and partly as exhibiting an example which serves to point out, once for all, in one tense what else would require to be repeated in each tense.
- 4. The tenses are accordingly classed in the following three series, in the order in which they are derived from each other in the generality of verbs, or stated in the grammar:—
 - I. Pres. and imperf. act. and pass.
 - II. Fut. and aor. 1. act. and med.

Perf. and plusq. 1. along with perf. and plusq. pass. and fut. 3.

Aor. and fut. 1. pass.

III. Fut. and aor. 2. act. and med.

Aor. and fut. 2. pass. Perf. and plusq. 2.

In order to apply this table to verbs of a double theme and of a lengthened characteristic, the following observations are to be attended to:—

In series I. the full form (double theme) and the lengthened characteristic remains always unchanged, ex. $gr. \tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$, $\ddot{\epsilon} \tau \nu \pi \tau \sigma \nu$; full form $\pi \tau$; whilst the second and third series (§ 92, 8.) are derived from the simple theme, and in such a manner that

In series II. the simple characteristic is always changed, according to the general rules, ex. gr. $\tau \dot{\nu} \psi \omega$, $(\tau \dot{\nu} \pi - \sigma \omega)$, perf. $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \nu \phi a$ $(\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \nu \pi - \dot{a})$. But

In series III. the simple characteristic remains unchanged, ex. gr. ἔτυπου, τέτυπα.

When the verb has but one characteristic, the first and third series retain it unchanged, but it is commonly changed in the second.

5. Although one of these tenses may not be used in a verb, yet grammar states it, to serve as a uniform basis for others actually in use.

§ 94.

1. The formation of the tenses, and their differences among themselves, are pointed out for each tense in only one of its forms, which always is the *first person* of the *indic*. All the other personal and modal forms are conjugated alike in all verbs, as soon as that *first person* is known, as will be seen below in the *paradigms* (compared with §§ 87, 88).

Obs. Only the perf. pass. is of such a nature that its formation must be studied in its several modal and personal terminations; see \S 98.

- 2. Several tenses are formed in a manner so simple and constantly uniform, that they are easily known from the examples below. We will only previously state those of the usual conjugation in ω :—
 - 1.) The present ω gives the imperf. ον—τύπτω, ἔτυπτον.
 - 2.) Every tense in ω is in the pass. ona. Thus the press ω gives the press of the pass. $\tau \acute{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$, $\tau \acute{\nu} \pi \tau o \mu a\iota$: and the fut. the fut. med. $\tau \acute{\nu} \psi \omega$, $\tau \acute{\nu} \psi o \mu a\iota$. The \S 95, 7, shows that the fut. 2, or circumflexum in $\tilde{\omega}$, med. -o $\tilde{\nu} \mu a\iota$, is comprised in this rule.
 - 3.) Every tense in oν is in the pass. όμην. Thus the imperf. oν gives the imperf. pass. ἔτυπτον, ἐτυπτόμην: and the aor. 2. the aor. 2. med. ἔτυπον, ἐτυπόμην.
 - 4.) The aor. 1. med. annexes merely the syllable μην to the aor. 1. ἔτυψα, ἐτυψάμην.
 - 5.) The perf. always gives the plusquamp. in the act. voice by changing a into ειν—τέτυφα, ἐτετύφειν: and in the pass. by changing μαι into μην—τέτυμμαι, ἐτετύμμην. See about the other persons of the plusquamp. pass. in particular, § 98.
 - 6.) Both forms of the aor. pass. give the fut. pass. by changing ην into ήσομαι—ἐτύφθην and ἐτύπην—τυφθήσομαι, τυπήσομαι.

The rest of the tenses require particular rules.

§ 95.—Futurum Activi.

1. The principal form of the fut. in Greek is the termination $\sigma \omega$. It occurs in by far the greatest number of verbs, and is on that account called fut. 1., ex. gr.

παύω, fut. παύσω.

2. When the characteristic of the verb is a consonant, it causes the changes usually connected with σ , ex. gr.

λέγω, πλέκω, τεύχω, fut. λέξω, πλέξω, τεύξω, θλίβω, λείπω, γράφω, θ λύψω, λείψω, γράψω, θ λείνω, πείσω, πείσω, πείσω, πείσω, πείσω.

- Obs. 1. When the characteristic of the verb is a lingual letter preceded by ν , a change takes place before the σ of the fut. according to § 25, 4. But the case is of rare occurrence; it is most distinct in $\sigma\pi\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\omega$, fut. $\sigma\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}\sigma\omega$. See also the Anom. $\pi\dot{\iota}\sigma\omega$, $\chi\alpha\nu\delta\dot{\iota}\sigma\omega$.
- 3. The verbs in $\pi\tau$, $\sigma\sigma$ or $\tau\tau$, and ζ , having the pure characteristic, (according to § 92.) change $\pi\tau$ into ψ , $\sigma\sigma$ ($\tau\tau$) into ξ , and ζ into σ , ex. gr.

τύπτω, (ΤΥΠΩ,) τύψω, ράπτω, (ΡΑΦΩ,) ράψω, τάσσω, (ΤΑΓΩ,) τάξω, φράζω, (ΦΡΑΔΩ,) φράσω,

and in rarer instances (§ 92, with the Obs.) ζ is changed into ξ , and $\sigma\sigma$ ($\tau\tau$) into σ , ex. gr.

κράζω, (ΚΡΑΓΩ,) κράξω, πλάσσω, (ΠΛΑΘΩ,) πλάσω.

Hence, when the characteristic of the verb is

a labial, the fut. ends in $\psi \omega$, a palatal, ,, ,, $\xi \omega$, a lingual, ,, ,, $\sigma \omega$, a vowel, ,, ,, $\sigma \omega$.

4. When the characteristic of the verb is a vowel, (verba pura, § 91. Obs.) the syllable which precedes the termination σ_{ω} is generally long, let its quantity in the present tense be what it may 1, ex. gr.

δακρύω, $(\bar{v},)$ δακρύσω, $(\bar{v},)$ τίω, $(\bar{i},)^2$ τίσω, $(\bar{i},)$

and consequently ε and o become η and ω , ex. gr.

φιλέω, δηλόω, φιλήσω, δηλώσω.

See the exceptions in the Obs. 3, 4.

5. The characteristic a is changed into η in the fut., except when the vowels ε , ι , or an ρ precede, in which cases the a in the fut. is long 3 , ex. gr.

τιμάω, ἀπατάω, τιμήσω, ἀπατήσω,

¹ The probable reason of it is stated in the Obs. 15.

² Tw is taken here in its usual quantity, though Homer uses it also as long.
³ Compare the analogous rules of the first decl. § 34, 2, and of the fem. in adjectives, § 60, 2.

βοάω, ἐγγυάω, βοήσω, έγγυήσω, ἐάω, μειδιάω, δράω, φωράω, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\sigma\omega$, $\mu\epsilon\imath\delta\imath\dot{a}\sigma\omega$, $(\bar{a},)$ δράσω, φωράσω (ā).

See the exceptions in the Obs. 6, 7.

6. But the penultima of futures in άσω, ίσω, ύσω, is always short when they come from verbs in ζ , or $\sigma\sigma$, $\tau\tau$, ex. gr. in φράσω, δικάσω, νομίσω, κλύσω, coming from φράζω, δικάζω, νομίζω, κλύζω: and in πλάσω, πτίσω, from πλάσσω, πτίσσω 4.

Obs. 2. The Doric dialect has ξ instead of σ , (in the fut. and aor. 1.) not only in most verbs with ζ, ex. gr. κομίζω, δικάζω, from κομίζω, δικάζω, but also in verbs which have a vowel before the ω in the present tense, but chiefly only when the vowel in the usual fut. is short; ex. qr. ἐγέλαζε. (See Obs. 3.) The Dorians have, however, the common form along with this, and use both indifferently in verses according to the exigency of the metre 5.

Obs. 3. Several verbs, of which the characteristic is a short vowel, keep this

vowel unchanged in the fut. especially,

γελάω, χαλάω, θλάω, κλάω, (to break,) σπάω, fut. γελάσω, &c. άλέω, άρκέω, ἐμέω, καλέω, τελέω, ζέω, ξέω, τρέω, αἰδέρμαι, ἀκέρμαι, fut. άλέσω, αίδεσομαι, &c. ἀρόω, fut. ἀρόσω,

άνύω, ἀρύω 6, ἐλκύω, μεθύω, πτύω, fut. ἀνύσω, &c.

and some poetical verbs, (κοτέω, νεικέω, ἐρύω, τανύω,) as well as a few rare and obsolete themes, from which the tenses of some anomalous verbs are derived, as κορέσω, κοεμάσω, ἐλάσω, &c. The quantity of the pres. tense of the verbs in ύω belonging to this class is yet unfixed, though on the whole it may be assumed to be short; all the other verbs, which have $v\sigma\omega$ in the fut., are partly long, partly undetermined in the present tense : see § 7. Obs. 10.

Obs. 4. Some verbs are fluctuating between the two formations, partly in the fut. itself, and partly in the tenses derived from it, (according to § 93, 4.) which are

the following:

αίνεω, (to praise.) fut. αίνεσω, αοτ. ήνεσα, perf. pass. ήνημαι, αοτ. 1. p. ήνεθην (Epic, αίνήσω, ήνησα).

 $\pi \circ \theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, (to desire,) fut. $\pi \circ \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$ and $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, perf. $\pi \epsilon \pi \dot{\delta} \theta \eta \kappa \alpha$, pass. $\eta \mu \alpha \iota$, aor. 1. p. έποθέσθην.

δέω, (to tie, bind,) fut. δήσω, perf. δέδεκα, pass. εμαι, aor. 1. p. ἐδέθην. αἰρέω, (to take,) fut. αἰρήσω, perf. pass. ήρημαι, aor. 1. p. ήρέθην. See also the Anom. γαμέω, στερέω, ευρίσκω, νέμω, and ΡΕΩ under είπεῖν. Το

4 The vowel in all these verbs is short by itself, and not lengthened in the fut.: were it long by itself, it would continue so in the fut., as it does in χούζω, χούσω. Whether verbs like κνώσσω, λεύσσω, νῖσσομαι or νείσσομαι, actually made κνώσω, λεύσω, νίσομαι, νείσομαι, in the fut., as is generally assumed, is not absolutely decided. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gram.

⁶ The verbs ἀνύω (to accomplish) and ἀρύω (to draw up water) have in the pres.

tense an Attic collateral form, ἀνύτω, ἀρύτω.

⁵ The instances are more rare when this form, 1. takes place also with the long vowel, as νικάξη, and, 2. passes over to other tenses, as ἐλυγίχθην, (for -ίσθην from $\lambda \nu \gamma(\zeta \omega_i)$ in Theoer. It is, however, evident that this Derism was a partial practice, which gradually went over from the verbs, in which the radical letter is a palatal, into other verbs by a specious analogy. We have in Obs. 8. a perfectly similar and unquestionable instance with the Doric a.

these must be added some dissyllabic verbs in $\dot{v}\omega$, $\bar{v}\sigma\omega$, which shorten the v again in the perf. and aor. 1. pass., though with the Attics even the v of the present tense is long:

perf. pass. $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \check{\nu} \mu \alpha \iota$, aor. 1. pass. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \acute{\nu} \theta \eta \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \acute{\nu} \theta \eta \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \acute{\nu} \theta \eta \nu$, with a short ν , perf. act. λέλὔκα, τέθὔκα, or δέδῦκα 7.

Obs. 5. All verbs which retain the short vowel in the fut. may in non-Attic poetry double the σ in both the fut. and the aor.: as $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \omega$, $\epsilon \kappa \delta \mu \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon$, $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, έγέλασσε, καλεσσάμενος, άνύσσας 8.

Obs. 6. The verb ἀκροάομαι (to hear) has ἀκροᾶσομαι, contrary to the analogy of β οάω, ἀλοάω, fut. ήσω: and χράω, χράομαι, has χρήσω, &c. contrary to the analogy

of δράω, άσω.

- Obs. 7. That the Ionians have in verbs, which usually make their tenses with a long α , an η instead of this long α , (as $\theta \epsilon \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$, $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$,) and the Dorians, on the contrary, instead of the η in verbs in $\dot{\alpha}\omega$ always $\bar{\alpha}$, $(\tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega, \dot{\epsilon} \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha,)$ follows of course from the general principle stated § 27. Obs. 5, 7. Only ἐάω has in all the dialects ¿áσω.
- Obs. 8. But the Dorians also frequently introduced \bar{a} in the conjugation of verbs in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, as φιλάσω, δάσας, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ ονάθη, from φιλέω, δέω, πονέω. It is particularly frequent in the later Doric writers.

Obs. 9. The following six verbs,

 $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \omega$, I navigate, $\pi\nu\dot{\varepsilon}\omega$, I blow, νέω, I swim, ρέω, I flow, yέω, I pour, $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$, I run,

have in the fut., or at least in its derivatives, ευ 9, ex. gr. πλεύσομαι, επνευσα,

γεῦμα, &c.: and the two following,

καίω, I burn, κλαίω, I weep,

the primitive form of which, peculiarly retained by the Attics, is κάω, κλάω, with \bar{a} , have av: $\kappa a \hat{v} \sigma \omega$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda a v \sigma a$, &c. See the Anom.

Obs. 10. That several verbs in ω have $\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$ in the fut., is noticed below in § 112, 8.

7. The trisyllabic and polysyllabic futures, which have a short vowel before the final syllable σω, viz. α, ε, ι, are susceptible of a collateral form, which, being rather peculiar to the Attics, is usually called

Futurum Atticum.

The instances of this kind are all agreed in this, that the σ is dropped, and that the final syllable becomes a circumflexed or contracted termination. This is effected in two ways.

8. In the verbs, of which the future ends in άσω or έσω, the two vowels $\dot{a}\omega$ or $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, after having dropped the σ , are contracted, and the same contraction takes place in the other persons,

7 Compare also some verbal nouns of the dissyllabic verbs in ιω and νω, as φύσις.

τίσις, ἄτῖτος, φὔτής. See below, § 119. Obs. 2, 5, 7.

§ That in some of our editions, (especially in the old ones,) verbs which never have a short vowel are written with a double σ , to show their quantity, offends against correctness. Yet there are verbs in which the point has always been disputed, and still is partly so; as in $\ell \rho \nu \rho \mu \alpha \nu$, (to preserve,) in $\mu \eta \tau (\sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \sigma)$, &c.

It is remarkable that all the six verbs denote a flowing current-like motion. See them all in the list of Anom. Verbs, especially on account of the non-Attic conjugation of $\rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, and the quite unusual one of $\chi \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ with ϵv .

VERBS. 141

according to the general laws of contraction, so that these futures are conjugated exactly like the present tense of the contracted verbs in $\delta\omega$ and $\delta\omega$, as will be seen hereafter. But here, too, the Ionians leave the form $\delta\omega$, $\delta\varepsilon\iota\varsigma$, uncontracted; ex. gr.

βιβάζω, f. βιβάσω, (βιβάω, βιβάεις, &c. not in use,) fut.
Att. βιβώ, ας, α, pl. ωμεν, ατε, ωσι(ν),

τελέω, f. τελέσω, Ion. again τελέω, τελέεις, fut. Att. τελώ, εῖς, εῖ, pl. οῦμεν, εῖτε, οῦσι(ν),

with which the fut. med. likewise agrees, $\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$, \tilde{q} , &c. $\tilde{o}\tilde{v}\mu\alpha\iota$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\iota}$, &c. Compare the present tense of the verba contracta in the active and passive voice, § 105. with the Obs.

9. No contraction of the vowels can take place in the fut. in $\ell\sigma\omega$ after the σ has been dropped, but the second vowel ω alone takes the circumflex, and they are then conjugated like the contracted verbs in $\ell\omega$, $\tilde{\omega}$, ex. gr.

κομίζω, f. κομίσω, $(-i\omega)$, fut. Att. κομιώ, ιεῖς, ιεῖ, pl. ιοῦμεν, ιεῖτε, ιοῦσι(v), med. κομιοῦμαι, ιεῖ, ιεῖται, &c.

Obs. 11. This fut. Att. thus has its first foundation in the Ionic dialect, to which the dropping of the σ between the two vowels is peculiar. See above, § 28. Obs. 4, and compare Obs. III. 2. to § 103. The Attic dialect afterwards contracted the two vowels whenever it could be done, and something analogous was remarked in the verbs in $t\sigma\omega$.

Obs. 12. We have instances of a fut. in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ in this resolved form: $\tau \dot{\epsilon}\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}\iota$, Il. θ . 415. κορέεις, ν . 831, for κορέσεις. See the Anom. κορέννυμι. But the form $\tilde{\omega}$, $\tilde{\alpha}\varsigma$, like the corresponding pres. tense of the rerba contracta, is not resolved by the Ionians, (Herod. δικ $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$, ἐλ $\tilde{\alpha}\varsigma$, ἐλ $\tilde{\omega}\nu$,) but the Epics have the lengthened form, (Homer, κρεμόω, ἐλάα, περάαν,) like the present tenses of § 105. Obs. 10. The instances, however, of both the resolved and contracted forms are not frequent. The most uncommon are those verbs in which the usual present itself ends in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ and $\dot{\alpha}\omega$: $\tau\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\tau\epsilon\lambda\tilde{\omega}$, fut. τελέει, (Homer,) τελεῖ, (Plato Protag. 331.) καλέω, καλῶ, fut. καλεῖσθε, (Demosth. Lept. 5.) καλοῦντας (Xenoph. Hell. 6,3,2.) for καλέσοντας. See likewise the Anom. χέω. No change can take place in most instances, that is to say, either when the fut. σω comes from a pres. in άζω, (ex. gr. δικᾶν for δικάσειν, of δικάζω, βιβᾶ Plato Phædr. 7. for βιβάσει &c.) or when the simple present tense in έω and άω is obsolete (ex. gr. ἀμφιῶ, ἀμφιεῖτε, for ἀμφιέσω, &c. of ΑΜΦΙΕΩ): see below, ἕννυμι, § 96, and κορέεις above; σκεδά for σκεδάσει, see the Anom. σκεδάννυμι and others. To this Obs. belongs also the fut. of some verbs in $i\omega$, which is like the pres. See the Anom. ἐρύω, τανύω.

Obs. 13. The long vowel, especially the ω in the futures in $\omega\sigma\omega$, is very seldom shortened so as to admit this contraction; ex. gr. $\xi\rho\eta\mu\nu\tilde{\nu}\tau\varepsilon$ for $\xi\rho\eta\mu\omega\sigma\varepsilon\tau\varepsilon$, olkew $\tilde{\nu}\tau\alpha\varepsilon$ for olkew $\tilde{\nu}\tau\alpha\varepsilon$, Thuc. 3, 58. 6, 23. (See about this and some doubtful similar instances, Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. § 95. Obs. 16, with the Note.)

Obs. 14. In the verbs in $i\zeta\omega$ the fut. in $\iota\tilde{\omega}$ really is more in use than the regular form in $i\sigma\omega$: it also occurs with the Ionians, but never in the resolved form, ex. gr. $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\lambda\alpha\ddot{\imath}\epsilon\ddot{\iota}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, $\theta\epsilon\sigma\pi\iota\epsilon\ddot{\iota}\nu$, νομιοῦμεν, &c. in Herod and Hippocr.

10. The tense, which is called in grammar Futurum Secundum,

after having shortened the radical syllable, annexes in the Ionic dialect the termination $\ell\omega$ to the pure characteristic of the verb, and in the common language contracts it into $\tilde{\omega}$, conjugating it in both the act. and the medial voice according to the rules of contracted verbs. Thus for instance $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$, short radical syllable $\tau \nu \pi$, fut. secund. $\tau \nu \pi \tilde{\omega}$. (See Obs. 16.)

11. This fut. occurs only in verbs whose characteristic is λ , μ , ν , ρ , and which in general have not the future in $\sigma\omega$. We shall treat of them in particular in § 101. Grammarians formerly assumed a fut. 2. in all verbs, even in those in which it never was used, for the bare purpose of deriving from it the aor. 2., of which the formation will be shown in the following §. The fut. 2. pass. stands in a very different predicament; for, as it is derived, not only in grammar, but in the regular process of the language, from the aor. 2. pass. (see § 89, 3.) it really occurs in every verb which has this aor., about which see below, § 100.

Obs. 15. To bring all this under an analogous point of view, we take the termination $\sigma\omega$ (fut. 1.) for the basis. To this was prefixed ε , either with or without any connecting vowel; but the short form was preferred in common use, and $\varepsilon\sigma\omega$ was also abbreviated into $\dot{\varepsilon}\omega$, $\tilde{\omega}$, (fut. 2.) chiefly in the verbs of which the characteristic is λ , μ , ν , ρ . Whenever a short vowel of the root of the verb was placed before $\dot{\varepsilon}\sigma\omega$, the two vowels coalesced, and the word was lengthened, Text 4, 5. ($\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$, $\tau\bar{\iota}\sigma\omega$.) But when such a short vowel was prefixed to $\sigma\omega$, ($\tau\varepsilon\dot{\iota}\dot{\varepsilon}-\omega$, $\nu\circ\mu\dot{\iota}-\sigma\omega$,) these forms remained unchanged, or the same purpose which originated the fut. 2. produced in this instance the several forms of the fut. Att.

Obs. 16. There are in the verbs with λ , μ , ν , ρ , a few exceptions respecting the fut. 1. in $\sigma\omega$, which will be stated below, and also instances where the form of the fut. 2. occurs without the characteristic λ , μ , ν , ρ . ¹⁰ The instances are all of the middle voice, viz.

μαχοῦμαι, and along with it the fuller form μαχέσομαι, which has maintained itself, see Anom. μάχομαι 11,

έδουμαι, καθεδουμαι, see Anom. έζομαι,

¹⁰ Exactly as in other verbs the aorists $\xi\chi\epsilon\alpha$, $\epsilon l\pi a$, &c. which correspond to those in λ , μ , ν , ρ ($\xi\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota\lambda a$, $\xi\phi\eta\nu a$). It is very probable that just as this form of the aorist became more general in the Alexandrian dialects, (see the Note to § 96. Obs. 1.) futures of that kind got into use in some dialects without being admitted into the more polished ones. Hence the old method of stating a fut. 2. $\tau\upsilon\pi\tilde{\omega}$ in the paradigm of $\tau\dot{\upsilon}\pi\tau\omega$.

These two futures, as being the regular and Attic one, might be derived from the pres. tense $\mu\alpha\chi\dot{\epsilon}o\mu\alpha\iota$, which was really in use among the Ionians, but it is more conformable to analogy to suppose that this Ionic present tense was introduced by the very forms which have the appearance of coming from it. That it was the same with $\kappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, will be shown in § 110, 11, 2; but as this present tense is alone in use, grammar must derive the fut. $\kappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\omega$ from it.

 $\pi\iota o\tilde{v}\mu\alpha\iota$, a form censured by the ancient critics, instead of the still more anomalous $\pi\iota o\mu\alpha\iota$, (see Obs. 18.) from ΠΙΩ, see Anom. $\pi\iota \nu\omega$,

and there are a few poetical instances: $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, Hom. Hymn. Ven. 127. from TEKΩ, (Anom. $\tau i \kappa \tau \omega$,) $\mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\nu} \mu \alpha \iota$, (Dor. for $- o \tilde{\nu} \mu \alpha \iota$,) Theocr. 2, 60. from MHΘΩ (Anom. $\mu \alpha \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$).—The note to $\delta \dot{\gamma} \omega$, in the Anom. ΔA , shows in what respect the

VERBS.

Epic forms κείω, δήω, belong hither.

Obs. 17. The Dorians use ϵv instead of ϵv in all circumflexed futures, and in the contraction in general; which contraction they have according to § 28. Obs. 5. in common with the Ionians, whenever the latter use any; ϵx . ϵy . ϵx . ϵy . ϵx . ϵx . ϵy . ϵx . ϵx . But the Dorians also circumflex the common fut. 1. in $\epsilon \omega v$, and conjugate it as if it were a contraction of $\epsilon \omega v$, ϵx . $\epsilon y v$. ϵv

the Futurum Doricum,

but merely as a fut. of the middle voice, (compare § 100, 4.) and always with the Attic contraction ov, ex. gr. $\phi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \gamma \omega$, fut. usual $\phi \epsilon \nu \xi o \tilde{\nu} \mu \alpha \iota$. See also among the Anom. $\pi a i \zeta \omega$, $\chi \dot{\epsilon} \zeta \omega$, $\kappa \lambda a i \omega$, $\pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$.

Obs. 18. We meet with a very deviating form of a fut. in $\pi io\mu au$, I will drink, and $\delta \delta o\mu au$ I will eat, which have the exact form of the pres. tense of the pass. voice of the simple themes, to which they belong, and about which see the Anom. $\pi i\nu \omega$ and $\delta \sigma \theta i\omega$.

§ 96.—Aor. 1. and 2. Act.

1. The aor. in a is called aor. 1. Whenever the fut. ends in $\sigma \omega$, the termination of the aor. 1. is σa , and it undergoes the same change as we observed respecting $\sigma \omega$, for instance,

τύπτω, τύψω, — ἔτυψα, τάσσω, τάξω, — ἔταξα, κομίζω, κομίσω, — ἐκόμισα, φιλέω, φιλήσω, — ἐφίλησα, πνέω, πνεύσω, — ἔπνευσα (see § 95. Obs. 9.)

The aor. 1. in a of the verbs in λ , μ , ν , ρ , see § 101.

- Obs. 1. A few anomalous verbs, though not verbs in λ , μ , ν , ρ , make their aor. 1. in a instead of σa , for instance, $\chi \acute{\epsilon} \omega$, $\acute{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon a$. See also the Anom. καίω, $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \~{\iota} \nu$, $\sigma \epsilon \acute{\iota} \omega$, $\acute{a} \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \rho \mu a \iota$, and $\check{\eta} \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa a$ under $\phi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \omega^1$.—With regard to the aor. 1. in κa of some verbs in $\mu \iota$, $(\epsilon x. gr. \ \~{\epsilon} \delta \omega \kappa a)$ see below the verbs in $\mu \iota$.
- 2. The *aor*. in ν is called *aor*. 2. In the common conjugation it is the termination $o\nu$, which is added to the characteristic of the verb in the following manner:
 - i.) the aor. 2. is always made from the simple theme and pure characteristic of the verb, whenever (according to § 92.) the latter has a lengthened form in its pres. tense;

¹ The Alexandrian dialect, (§ 1. Obs. 10.) formed of several verbs, which commonly have only the aor. 2. in $o\nu$, an aor. in a, ex. gr. εlδα for εlδον, ελιπαν 3 <math>pl. for ελιπον, and the like. See the Note to Obs. 9.—Hence also some medial forms of the aor. 2. are found in non-Attic writers fluctuating between o and a, for instance, εθραντο for εθροντο.

- ii.) it generally renders short the penultima of the present:
- iii.) it partly changes the ε of the radical syllable into α .
- 3. By these changes alone the aor. 2. distinguishes itself from the *imperf*.: and all verbs, in which none of these changes can take place, (as $\dot{a}\rho\dot{\nu}\omega$, $\gamma\rho\dot{a}\phi\omega$, and the like,) or in which the bare quantity of the vowel would constitute the difference, have no aor. 2. act, whatever 2.
- 4. Moreover it does not occur in any derivative verb, formed from other words with fixed terminations, as $\alpha \zeta \omega$, $\zeta \zeta \omega$, $\alpha (\nu \omega)$, $\delta \nu \omega$, εύω, όω, άω, έω.
- 5. Most verbs have the aor. 1., and but few, comparatively speaking, the aor. 2. In the grammar, however, the latter is set down in many verbs, which in reality have it not, because many verbs, though destitute of the aor. 2. act., yet form the aor. 2. pass. on the same principles; hence this formation is preferably shown once for all in the aor. 2. act., and the aor. 2. pass. is derived from it 3.
- 6. The changes and abbreviations of the characteristic and radical vowel of the pres. tense into the characteristic and vowel of the aor. 2. (mentioned above 2.) are effected in their several instances in the following manner:

Characteristic

070	u accer	00000	′						
Pres.	λλ .	Aor	. 2.	λ	,,	,,		ἔ βαλον	
				π	99	99		*ἔτυπον	
23	$\pi \tau$	99	{	β	99	22	κούπτω,	*ἔκρυβον	
			(ϕ_{\perp}	99	59	ράπτω,	*ἔρραφον	
59	σσ, ττ	99		γ^4	99	* ,,	τάσσω,	*ἔταγον	
	ζ		S	8	,,	,,		*ἔφραδον	
			1	γ	29	,,	κοάζω,	ἔκραγον	
C	v Vowe	el							
25	$\alpha\iota$,,		ă	,,	99	πταίρω,	ἔπταρον	
25	η	99		ă	99	99 99	$\lambda \acute{\eta} \theta \omega$,		
			(ĭ.	,,		\ /	ἔλιπον	
25	ει	22	₹	εor	ă in	the	verbs λ , μ ,	ν , ρ , of which ν	we
			1			it §]			
99	ευ	,,	_	ŭ	99	,,	φεύγω,	ἔφυγον	
99	8	22		ă .	22	99	τρέπω,	έτραπον.	

² But they may form an aor. 2. pass.; for instance, $\frac{1}{5}\gamma\rho\acute{a}\phi\eta\nu$. See below § 100. ³ With regard to the aorists stated Text 6, as examples, the learner must be With regard to the aorists stated Text 6, as examples, the learner must be informed that the forms $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\eta\pi\sigma\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\rho\nu\beta\sigma\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\lambda\sigma\rho\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\alpha\rho\nu$, never occur at all, or at least only in a very few passages, which on that account are suspected of being corrupted (they should be $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\nu\psi$ a, $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\alpha\xi\alpha$, &c.); they are stated here merely on account of the aor. 2. pass. (as $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\tilde{\nu}\pi\eta\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\rho\dot{\nu}\beta\eta\nu$,) which is really in use.

4 Of the verbs which in their $\sigma\sigma$ conceal another radical letter than γ , (§ 83.) which must of course re-appear in the aor. 2., there is none but the poetical $\lambda(i\sigma\sigma\nu\mu\alpha$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\iota\tau\dot{\nu}\mu\eta\nu$, (see Anom.) which has an aorist of that kind.

verbs. 145

Obs. 2. The form of the aor. 2. bears the same relation to the simple theme as the imperf. to the pres. tense in use; but distinguishes itself from the imperf. partly by its aoristic signification, (of which we treat in the Syntax,) and partly by having its own moods and participles, made after the form of the present. And there is this constant analogy, that the real imperf. of a verb always conforms itself exactly to its usual pres. tense, and that consequently a true aor. in the indic. differs from the usual imperf., and that in the other moods it differs from the usual pres. tense. Hence, for instance, $\xi\gamma\rho\alpha\phi\rho\nu$ necessarily is the imperf. and $\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi\gamma c$ the conjunctive present, &c.

Obs. 4. The same analogy respecting the distinction of the aor. 2. from the imperf. obtains also in those verbs with double themes, which, on account of their more considerable deviations, can only be noticed in the list of Anomalous Verbs, as $\lambda a\mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, $\dot{\alpha}\mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, &c. For in them too the aor. 2. is constantly the imperf. of the obsolete form, $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda \alpha \beta o\nu$, $\ddot{\eta}\mu \alpha \rho \tau o\nu$, from $\Lambda \Lambda B \Omega$, $(\Lambda H B \Omega)$, ' $\Lambda M \Lambda P T \Omega$.

Obs. 5. Hither belongs also the aor. of some verbs in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ and $\dot{\alpha}\omega$. These terminations are not derivative in some verbs, but merely lengthened forms of the primitive simple one, (§ 92. Obs. 7, and below, § 112, 8.) of which the aor. 2. as $\kappa\tau\nu\pi\dot{\epsilon}\omega\ \ddot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\nu\pi\rho\nu$, $\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\omega\ \ddot{\epsilon}\gamma\rho\rho\nu$, (from KTYIIQ, $\Gamma\Omega\Omega$ ⁶,) has maintained itself as

6 See also the anomalous πιτνέω, στυγέω, τορέω, μηκάομαι, μυκάομαι, and

compare ληκέω and θορέω in λάσκω, θρώσκω.

The separation of the aor. 2. from the imperf. might be historically accounted for in this way. The Greek language originally made no distinction between the signification of the aorist and that of the imperf., and both historical praterites in ν and a (ἔτννον or ἔτνπτον, and ἔτνψα) were probably formed for that mixed signification without any distinction, just as the German and English languages have imperf. of one syllable and imperf. in Ed. (I find, found; I print, printed.) Hence the import of the aor. and imperf. is not fully distinguished one from the other in the oldest writers (§ 137. Obs. 4). But when the Greek language began to observe a marked difference between the signification of the aor. and that of the imperf., the latter gradually assumed the fixed form ν, but the aor. did not on that account immediately confine itself to the form of a. This form in many verbs was probably as unusual to the Greeks as I seed, falled, runned, is to an Englishman, instead of I saw, fell, ran. When, owing to the great variety of the Greek verbal forms, the præterite in oν also acquired a double form, it may have been derived in a different manner from the same pres. tense, (ἕλειπον, ἕλιπον,) or from an already existing double theme of the verb, (ἕλαβον, ἑλάμβανον,) the signification of the aor. would naturally be gradually attached to one of them; but the inclination to attend to analogy would have the effect of confining the aor. to that form in ν which was furthest removed from the usual pres. tense; and when later wants required the separation of the moods and participles, which originally had probably a common form with the pres and præterite, they were made partly for the aor. conformably to analogy, (from the form in α,) and partly (for the form in ον) recourse was had to the moods and the participles of the unusual pres. tense, which was likewise the basis of the indic., yet with some deviations in the accent, which the ear required in the infin. and participles of the unusual pres. tense, which was likewi

other tenses have maintained themselves in some verbs. (Compare the perf. 2. § 97, Obs. 4, and the aor. 1. in the Anom. $\gamma \alpha \mu k \omega$.)

Obs. 6. The abbreviation of the penultima (λήθω ἔλαθον, φεύνω ἔφυγον) may also be justly considered as a return to the old form of the verb, which frequently (as we have seen above, § 92.) has been lengthened only in the pres. tense. And even the change of ε into α may be considered in that light, since we likewise find this α in the Ionic dialect in the pres. tense of some of these verbs, as $\tau \rho \acute{a}\pi \omega$, $\tau \acute{a}\mu\nu\omega$. But as, notwithstanding the probability of some instances, we never can arrive at an absolute certainty on the whole, the kindred words, ex. gr. φυγή and the Latin fugio, may, just as well as ἔφυγον, have been abbreviated from φεύγω: the Ionic $\tau \rho \acute{a}\pi \omega$ may, just as well as $\check{\epsilon}\tau \rho a\pi o\nu$, have been derived from $\tau \rho \acute{\epsilon}\pi \omega$ through a change of the vowel; and as there are, besides, so many verbs which change nothing but their vowel, it is better not to increase the number of verbs of double forms or anomalous verbs, particularly as changes of the vowel in præterites are also frequent in other languages. At the same time, it is very probable, that in part of these verbs the primitive short radical syllable of the present tense was lengthened and strengthened, whilst in another part the originally long radical syllable was actually made short in the aor, and other forms 7.

Obs. 7. In some verbs, however, the syllable which precedes the termination is long in the aor. 2, and offers no difference but a simpler form, or the change of the vowel into α , ex. gr. εὖρον, ἔβλαστον, ἔπαρδον (see the Anom. εὐρίσκω, βλαστάνω, πέρδω, and others).—The length by position is destroyed in some few poetical forms by a transposition, ex. gr. δέρκω ἔδρακον: see also the Anom. πέρθω, δαρθάνω, τέρπω.

Obs. 8. With regard to the aor. 2. in $\eta\nu$, $\omega\nu$, $v\nu$, and the syncopated aorists of the act. and pass. voice, see below the verbs in μ t and § 110.—about the α instead of o in some medial forms, (ex. gr. $\epsilon i \nu \alpha \mu \eta \nu$,) in non-Attic writers, the Note above to Obs. 1.—and about some anomalous verbs, which impart a neutral signification to the aor. 2, whilst their aor. 1. has the transitive meaning, § 113.

Obs. 9. We have just seen in the first Obs. to this Section, that some verbs form the aor. 1. with the characteristic of the aor. 2; but we also meet with the opposite case, viz. the aor. in or with the characteristic σ , of which we have a most complete instance in the aor. of the verb $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$: this is commonly derived from IETQ, and makes $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\sigma\sigma\nu$, $\pi\epsilon\sigma\tilde{\epsilon}i\nu$, and to this may be added the Epie $\tilde{i}\xi\sigma\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\beta\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\tau\sigma$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\tau\sigma$. See the Anom. $i\kappa\nu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\mu\alpha\iota$, $\beta\alpha\dot{\nu}\omega$, $\delta\dot{\nu}\omega$, and some imper.; ofore compared with the fut. o $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\omega$, see the Anom. $\phi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega$, and the Epie $\tilde{\alpha}\xi\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ from $\tilde{\alpha}\gamma\omega$, $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\sigma$, $\tilde{\nu}\rho\sigma\epsilon\sigma$, (see the Anom. $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$, $\tilde{\nu}\rho\nu\nu\mu\iota$,) compared with the imperf. $\beta\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\sigma$, $\delta\dot{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\sigma$ of the afore-mentioned indicatives.

§ 97.—Perfectum 1. and 2. Activi.

1. The perf. act. has the same terminations (a, aς, εν or ε,

⁷ That most, if not all, analogy in language originates in this way, is an incontestable truth. It was natural that, as the narrative form (of the *præterite*) became more frequent, the plastic form (the *præte*) was made more prominent by a stress laid on the principal syllable; but it was equally natural to endeavour, by way of contrast with the *præt*, to accent the distinctive syllables of the *præterite*, and thus obscure the principal syllable of the verb, which the vivacity of narration would, moreover, cause to be pronounced with greater rapidity.

* The custom formerly was to consider all these as forms derived from the fut., contrary to the analogy of the language. The above statement makes it sufficiently obvious that, as the language had formed the two arrists in or and a without σ , $\ell\ell$ wor and $\ell\ell$ a, $\ell\ell$ or and $\ell\ell$ a, $\ell\ell$ or and $\ell\ell$ a, (see Obs. 1. with the Note,) it might likewise form both in σ or and σ a with σ , ℓ are σ (see $\pi(\pi\tau\omega)$ and ℓ are σ or, ℓ or ℓ and ℓ or ℓ or ℓ and ℓ or ℓ

&c.) in its different forms, but varies in its characteristic. The perf. 1. has its own characteristic, but the perf. 2. always has the unchanged characteristic of the verb.

2. But the perf. 1. also varies its own characteristic.

a.) When β , π , ϕ , or γ , κ , χ , is the characteristic of the verb, this characteristic becomes (or continues) aspirate, and an α is annexed; for instance,

τοι βω, λέπω, γράφω,—τέτριφα, λέλεφα, γέγραφα, λέγω, πλέκω, τεύχω,—λέλεχα, πέπλεχα, τέτευχα.

If this characteristic of the verb be changed in the pres. tense, the pure characteristic becomes aspirate; for instance,

τύπτω, (τύψω,) τέτυφα ; τάσσω, (τάξω,)—τέταχα ; στηρίζω, (στηρίξω,)—ἐστήριχα ;

or more generally expressed:

the perf. 1. has ϕa , where in the fut. is $\psi \omega$,

b.) When the characteristic of the verb is a lingual letter or a vowel, the termination of the perf. 1. is κa , and the same changes of the vowel and characteristic take place as in the fut. before the termination $\sigma \omega$. Hence, where the fut. has the pure termination $\sigma \omega$, the perf. 1. changes it merely into κa ; for instance,

πείθω, (πείσω,) πέπεικα, κομίζω, (κομίσω,) κεκόμικα, $τίω, (τίσω, <math>\bar{\iota}$,) $τέτ\bar{\iota}κα,$ φιλέω, (φιλήσω,) πεφίληκα, τετίμηκα, έρυθριάω, (ὲρυθριάσω, \bar{a} ,) $\mathring{η}$ ρυθρί $\bar{a}κα,$ $σπάω, σπάσω, (<math>\check{a}$,) $\mathring{ε}$ σπάκα, πνεω, (πνεύσω,) πέπνευκα.

The verbs in λ , μ , ν , ρ , have also κa in the *perf.* 1.: see § 101.

3. The perf. 2. (formerly called perf. medii, see § 89, 4-6.) annexes the same terminations to the characteristic of the verb without any change; for instance,

λήθω λέληθα, σήπω σέσηπα, φεύγω πέφευγα.

4. But three circumstances must be attended to:

a.) When the characteristic is impure in the *pres*. (§ 92, 6.) the simple root and the pure characteristic appear as in the *aor*. 2.; for instance,

πλήσσω, (ΠΛΗΓΩ,) — πέπληγα, φρίσσω, (ΦΡΙΚΩ,) — πέφρικα, σζω, (ΟΔΩ,) — σδωδα.

b.) This form is, on the whole, fond of a long vowel in the root, even when it is short in the tenses which have the simple radical vowel. Hence the *pres.* is again lengthened in the above instances.

φεύγω, aor. 2. ἔφυγον,—πέφευγα, λήθω, aor. 2. ἔλαθον,—λέληθα, σήπω, aor. 2. pass. ἐσάπην,—σέσηπα.

The η is assumed in the *perf*. only when the strengthening of the *present* tense consists of a diphthong, or of a length by position,

1. $ex. gr. \delta a i \omega$, $(a o r. 2. \ \ \delta \delta a \circ \nu)$ — $\delta \epsilon \delta \eta a^1$,

2. $\theta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega$, (fut. $\theta \ddot{a} \lambda \tilde{\omega}$,) — $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \lambda a$.

But after ρ and after vowels we have \bar{a} instead of η , as, for instance,

κράζω, (ἔκρἄγον,)—κέκρᾶγα,

ἔαγα, ἕαδα, in Anom. ἄγνυμι, άνδάνω.

c. But this *perf*. is also particularly fond of the vowel o, and consequently this alone not only remains unchanged in $\kappa \acute{o}\pi\tau \omega$, (KOΠΩ,) $\kappa \acute{\epsilon} \kappa o \pi a$, (Homer,) but is also used as a change for ϵ , as

φέρβω, - πέφορβα,

ΤΕΚΩ, — τέτοκα (see the Anom. τίκτω).

This has a different effect on the diphthong ε_{ℓ} of the pres. according as ε or ι is the radical, which may be discovered in the tenses which shorten the vowel. When the radical vowel is ε , (which, however, occurs only in the verbs λ , μ , ν , ρ ,) ε_{ℓ} is changed into ρ , but when ρ is the radical vowel, ρ is changed into ρ ; for instance,

σπείρω, (fut. σπερῶ,) — ἔσπορα, λ είπω, (aor. 2. ἔλιπον,) — λέλοιπα.

5. But the greatest number of verbs, especially the derivatives, have the *perf.* 1. The *perf.* 2., like the *aor.* 2., (§ 96, 4.) occurs therefore only in primitive verbs. It may also be

It is not correct to write δέδηα and πέφηνα, σέσηρα, &c. neither here nor in the corresponding instance of the aor. 1. of λ, μ, ν, ρ . The perf. 2. always has the simple or abbreviated root of the verb (here ΔA , ΦAN , &c.) for its basis, and lengthens its vowel. According to the analogy of $\phi \epsilon i \gamma \omega$, πέφευγα, it might indeed resume the $a\iota$ of the pres.; but there is no motive for its further change in η .

verbs. 149

observed that the perf. 2. prefers the intransitive signification. See Obs. 5.

Obs. 1. Some perf. have likewise the change of the vowel into o, as $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$, (to send,) $\pi \epsilon \pi o \mu \phi \alpha$, $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \omega$, (to steal,) $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda o \phi \alpha^2$, $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega$, (to turn,) and $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \omega$, (to nourish,) $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho o \phi \alpha^3$. See also $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$, $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \lambda o \gamma \alpha$, among the Anom., and the change of $\epsilon \iota$ into $o \iota$ in $\delta \epsilon \delta o \iota \kappa \alpha$ of $\Delta E I \Omega$, see the Anom. $\delta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \sigma \alpha \iota$.

Obs. 2. With this conversion of ε into o corresponds the change of η into ω in the perf. of the Anom. ὁήγνυμι, (PHΓΩ,) ἔρὁωγα 4, and related to both are the ω and o intercalated in some perf., which else would be dissyllables; the o according to the Attic reduplication: for instance, ἔθω, (εῖθα,) εἴωθα, ἄγω, ἡχα, ἀγήοχα. See also in the list of Anomalous Verbs, ἐδήδοκα under ἐσθίω, ἐνήνοχα under φέρω, ἀνήνοθα and ἐνήνοθα, each for itself, and the pass. forms ἄωρτο under αἴρω, ἕωνται, in a Note to ἵημι, § 108, 1. 5

Obs. 3. That according to the Attic redupl, the vowel is shortened in $\dot{\alpha}$ κούω $\dot{\alpha}$ κήκοα, $\dot{\alpha}$ λείφω $\dot{\alpha}$ λήλζφα, ΈλΕΥΘΩ ἐλήλζθα, has been noticed, § 85. Epic poets were allowed for the sake of the metre to rechange the η of this perf. into a short

ă in the participles fem., as σεσαρνῖα, τεθαλνῖα, ἀραρνῖα.

Obs. 4. The case is the same with the few instances of the perf. 2. in verbs in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ and $\dot{\alpha}\omega$, like $\dot{\rho}i\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ $\ddot{\epsilon}\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}i\gamma\alpha$, $\mu\bar{\nu}\kappa\dot{\alpha}o\mu\alpha\iota$, (aor. $\ddot{\epsilon}\mu\bar{\nu}\kappa\sigma\nu$,) $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\mu\bar{\nu}\kappa\alpha$, as with the aor. 2. in § 96. Obs. 5. They point to simple forms PIΓΩ, MYKΩ. See also the Anom.

γηθέω, δουπέω, μηκάομαι.

Obs. 5. That the number of perf. 2., taking even those into the account which occur only in poets, is but very limited, has already been noticed in Text 5. We will just mention in particular 6, among those belonging to transitive verbs, ἀκήκοα, λέλοιπα, τέτοκα, ἕκτονα, πέποιθα, οἶδα, ἔσπορα, ἔστοργα, ὅπωπα, δέδορκα, and among the intransitive, κέκρᾶγα, λέλᾶκα, τέτρῖγα, πέφρῖκα, ἔρρῖγα, ἔοικα, εἴωθα, ἕᾶδα, ὅδωδα, ἐλήλυθα, σέσηρα, τέθηλα, τέθηπα, μέμηνα, κέχηνα, γέγονα, κέχοδα, πέπορδα, μέμὖκα, (μυκάομαι,) along with some, which properly are intransitive, and only become transitive in particular connexions, as λέληθα, πέφευγα, δέδια. To these may be added from § 113. Obs. 3, 4, those belonging to verbs which are partly transitive and partly intransitive in some of their tenses, and in which the perf. 2. in particular has the intransitive signification.

Obs. 6. But as the perf. is not so much needed in the copious Greek language as in other languages, the perf. act. does not occur, or occurs but very rarely, in many verbs, which have no perf. 2., and of which the perf. 1. would sound harsh or strange; it is supplied by the acr. or by a circumlocution with the perf. pass. (See

below, § 134. Obs. 1.)7

² The usual agr. 2. pass. $k \kappa \lambda \acute{a} \pi \eta \nu$ shows that the pure characteristic is not ϕ

(in which case κέκλοφα might as well be the perf. 2).

⁴ Compare πέπτωκα in the Anom. πίπτω.

⁵ See in Buttm. Lexilogus 1., towards the end, a more detailed explanation of these forms.

³ Τέτροφα, as coming from τρέφω, (when it may also be considered as perf. 2.) occurs but seldom; in Od, ψ . 237, it is intransitive; in Soph, Ed, C. 186, it is transitive. As perf. of τρέπω we find it without any various readings in the old writers, Soph. Trach. 1009. We meet in later writers (Matthiæ's Gr. Gr., English transl., § 183, 3, p. 228.) with τέτραφα.

⁶ We merely state here the *perf.* themselves, and observe that, though they all are formed from their themes according to the above rules, they mostly belong to *Anomalous Verbs* stated below in the list, with which the learner must already be familiarly acquainted, to trace every one of the *perf.* mentioned here to its right verb.

⁷ It was a general rule with the Greeks, that if any form of a verb sounded disagreeably or strange, or was attended with obscurity and equivocation, it was dis-

See about the perf. of the conj., opt., and imper., § 137. Obs. 11.

Obs. 7. The κ of the perf. 1. in κa is sometimes dropped by the Ionians, when it is in rerbs pure, whereby the perf. 1. becomes the perf. 2. Hither belong the Homeric participles,

κεκαφηώς, τετιηώς, τετληώς, and others, for -ηκώς.

The same is done in Epic poetry, on making the vowel short, in the 3 pers. pl. and in the participle of some words, as—

βεβάασι, βεβαως, for βεβήκασι, βεβηκως, from BAΩ, (Anom. βαίνω,) πεφύασι,

πεφυώς, for πεφύκασι, πεφυκώς, from φύω,

and there are some old perf. of which these forms only, and none whatever in $\kappa \alpha$, occur; as, $\mu \epsilon \mu \dot{\alpha} \alpha \sigma_i$, $\mu \epsilon \mu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\omega} c$, $\delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \sigma_i$, $\delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\omega} c$ (see the Anom. MAO, ΔAO). But the Anom. ΔEIO , $\delta \epsilon \delta \sigma \alpha i$, has both $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \delta \sigma i \kappa a$ and $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\epsilon} a$, which are used alike, and conjugated throughout. Hence the 1 pers. sing. is also assumed for the above forms, though it occurs nowhere: $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \phi \nu a$, $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu a a$, $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta a a$, $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \beta a a$, and likewise $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a a$, (for $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a$ or $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \kappa a$, see below $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \mu i$,) $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a a$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu a a$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \lambda a a$, (see the Anom. $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \mu a i$, $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} a i$) whence some syncopated forms like $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \beta a \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \dot{a} \nu a i$ (for $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \beta \dot{\epsilon} a \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu a \dot{\epsilon} \nu a i$). See § 110.

§ 98.—Perfectum Passivi.

1. The perf. pass. annexes the terminations μai , σai , τai , &c. and the plusq. $\mu \eta \nu$, σo , τo , &c. not as is done in the other pass. forms, by means of the connecting vowel, (§ 87. Obs. 5. oµai, $\varepsilon \tau ai$, &c.) but immediately to the characteristic of the verb.

2. When the characteristic of the verb is a labial, palatal, or lingual letter, those letters are changed before μ , σ , τ , according to the general rules in § 20, 22, 23, 24; thus for instance $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$, $(TY\Pi\Omega,)$ $\tau \rho \dot{\iota} \beta \omega$, $\pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \omega$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \chi \omega$, $(TYX\Omega,)$ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\iota} \theta \omega$, $\dot{q} \delta \omega$, $\phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, $(\Phi P A \Delta \Omega,)$ have in the perf. and plusq. pass.

τέτυ-μμαι, τέτυ-ψαι, τέτυ-πται, for -πμαι, πσαι, πται

plusq. ἐτετρί-μμην, ἐτέτρι-ψο, ἐτέτρι-πτο, for βμην, βσο, βτο πέπλεγ-μαι, πέπλε-ξαι, πέπλε-κται, for κμαι, κσαι, κται

plusq. ἐτετύ-γμην, ἐτέτυ-ξο, ἐτέτυ-κτο, for χμην, χσο, χτο πέπει-σμαι, πέπει-σαι, πέπει-σται, for θμαι, θσαι, θται ή-σμαι, ή-σται, for δμαι, δσαι, δται

plusq. $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \phi \rho \acute{a} - \sigma \mu \eta \nu$, $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \acute{a} \epsilon \phi \rho a - \sigma \sigma$, for $\delta \mu \eta \nu$, $\delta \sigma \sigma$, $\delta \tau \sigma$, and to prevent the meeting of three consonants, (§ 19, 2.) the σ must in the further conjugation of this perf. and of the plusq. be dropped before the terminations $\sigma \theta \sigma \nu$, $\sigma \theta \eta \nu$, $\sigma \theta \epsilon$, $\sigma \theta \alpha \nu$, &c. for instance,

2 pers. pl. and dual, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau v - \phi \theta o v$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau v - \phi \theta \varepsilon$, for $\pi \sigma \theta o v$,— $\pi \sigma \theta \varepsilon$,

used, though it might appear of great grammatical importance; another turn of expression was preferably resorted to. Little attention, however, can be paid to this circumstance in the theory of grammatical forms, which notices what analogy requires, and leaves practice to individual observation.

1 Homer's πέπυσσαι is only a poetical or metrical redupt. instead of πέπυσαι

(Plato Protag. 310).

inf. $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} - \chi \theta a i$, $\tau \epsilon \tau \dot{a} - \chi \theta a i$, for $-\kappa \sigma \theta a i$, $-\gamma \sigma \theta a i$, 2 pers. imp. $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} - \sigma \theta \omega$, $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho \dot{a} - \sigma \theta \omega$, for $\theta \sigma \theta \omega$, $-\delta \sigma \theta \omega$; but instead of the 3 pers. pl. νται, ντο, there generally is a circumlocution used with the verb $\epsilon i \nu a i$, to be, $\tau \epsilon \tau \nu \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o i$ (ai) $\epsilon i \dot{\sigma} \dot{\nu}$, and in the plusq. $\tilde{\eta} \sigma a \nu$.

Obs. 1. We suppose for uniformity's sake, that in verbs having a lingual letter for their characteristic, ex. gr. $(\pi\epsilon i\theta\omega)$ $\pi\epsilon\pi\epsilon\iota$ - $\sigma\theta$ o ν 2 pers. dual, the radical letter θ has not been ejected before $\sigma\theta$ o ν , but the letter σ in $\theta\sigma\theta$ o ν , and thus $\theta\theta$ o ν has become $\sigma\theta$ o ν , according to § 24, 2.

Obs. 2. The Ionians, however, have no occasion for this circumlocution, because instead of $-\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ $-\nu\tau o$, they may use $-\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ $-\alpha\tau o$, which the Attic writers sometimes imitate in these tenses. See more detailed remarks about this in Obs. IV. 3. § 103.

3. When the characteristic of the verb is a vowel, the terminations $\mu a \iota$, $\sigma a \iota$, $\tau a \iota$, &c. are annexed to the vowel of the fut., and the third pers. pl. is regularly formed by $\nu \tau a \iota$ and $\nu \tau o$, since three consonants do not meet here together, ex. gr.

ποιέω
$$(ποιήσω)$$
—πεποίη—μαι, σαι, ται—πεποίηνται νέω $(νεύσω)$ —νένευ —μαι, σαι, ται—νένευνται.

Obs. 3. The change of ε into o does not take place in the pass. voice; ex. gr. $\kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \omega$, (κέκλοφα,) κέκλεμμαι. But the three verbs $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega$, (to turn about,) $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \omega$, (to nourish,) and $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \omega$, (to turn,) have the α in the perf. pass. $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \iota$, &c. $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \iota$, (from $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \omega$, $\theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \psi \omega$,) εστραμμαι.

Obs. 4. Some verbs change the diphthong ϵv (which they have in the pres., or take only in the fut.) into v in the perf. pass.; ϵx . gr. $\tau \epsilon \dot{v} \chi \omega$, $(\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon v \chi \alpha)$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau v v \mu \alpha \iota$. The same with $\phi \epsilon \dot{v} \gamma \omega$ and $\pi v \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, $(\pi v \dot{\epsilon} \dot{v} \sigma \omega)$, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi v \dot{v} \mu \alpha \iota$. In $\chi \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ($\chi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{v} \sigma \omega$) this is already done in the perf. act. $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \chi \dot{v} \kappa \alpha$, $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \chi \dot{v} \mu \alpha \iota$. See § 95. Obs. 4. about the altered quantity of some verbs in $\dot{\epsilon} \omega$ and $\dot{v} \omega$.

Obs. 5. The σ in the perf. pass. is properly (§ 23.) the changed lingual letter of the root itself before the μ , which lingual letter has maintained itself unchanged in some few forms in Epic poetry, κέκαδμαι, πέφφαδμαι, from ΚΑΔΩ, (see Anom. καίνυμαι,) φράζω, κεκόρυθμαι from ΚΟΡΥΘΩ, 2 κορύσσω.

Obs. 6. The o, however, is also adopted by several verbs of which the character-

istic is a vowel, and not a lingual letter; as,

άκούω ήκουσμαι, κελεύω κεκέλευσμαι.

The same with $\pi\rho$ ίω, $\chi\rho$ ίω, $\pi\alpha$ λαίω, $\pi\tau$ αίω, $\dot{\rho}$ αίω, $\theta\rho$ αύω, \ddot{v} ω, $\beta\acute{v}$ ω, $\xi\acute{v}$ ω, and $\chi\acute{o}$ ω, $\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\chi\omega\sigma\mu\alpha$ ι, and generally with all verbs which do not change their short vowel; ex. gr. τ ελέω, $(\tau$ ελέσω,) τ ετέλεσμαι, as well as $\xi\acute{\epsilon}$ ω, $\dot{\alpha}$ κέομαι, σ πάω, θ λάω, $\dot{\alpha}$ νύω. See the Anom. κλείω, τ ίω.

Obs. 7. In case two γγ should be requisite before μ , one is dropped, as ἐλέγχω, perf. ἐλήλεγχα, pass. ἐλήλεγμαι, σφίγγω ἔσφιγμαι: ³ the rest of the terminations generally remain, ἐλήλεγξαι, γκται, &c. ἔσφιγξαι, &c.

Obs. 8. In the same way, when the perf. pass. requires two $\mu\mu$, and the root has a third μ , one of them is dropped of course :—κάμπτω—κέκαμμαι, κέκαμψαι, &c.

³ There is no doubt that this γ by itself is then the nasal sound ng; compare

§ 4, 4.

² This radical θ is confirmed by the subst. κόρυς, νθος. Else it might be considered, according to the old fashion, as an intercalated θ in lieu of σ (κλανθμὸς, $\delta \rho \chi \eta \theta \mu$ ὸς, ξ 119. Obs. 3).

- 4. It is partly on account of the difficulty of their formation, and partly because they are seldom wanted, that the conj. and opt. generally are not formed at all, but a circumlocution with είναι is resorted to, τετυμμένος (η, ον,) $\tilde{ω}$ and είην.
- Obs. 9. These moods can be formed only when there is a vowel before the termination, which easily emerges into the terminations of the conj., and is readily combined with the characteristic ι of the opt.; for instance, κτάομαι, κέκτημαι,

conj. κέκτωμαι, η, ηται, &c. opt. κεκτήμην, κέκτηο, κέκτητο, &c.

There are but few isolated forms of trisyllabical perf., which all are anomalous. Thus Plato has the conj. ἐκτέτμησθον, (τέμνω, τέτμημαι,) Andocides the conj. διαβέβλησθε (βάλλω, βέβλημαι). See also κέκλημαι and μέμνημαι under καλέω and $\mu\iota\mu\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\kappa\omega$. Even when the radical vowel is ι or ν , the opt. may be formed by absorbing the additional ι, which renders the vowel long, but Homer's λέλυτο, Od. 6, 238. (λύω, λέλ $\ddot{\nu}\mu\alpha\iota$, § 95. Obs. 4.) is probably the only instance which occurs 4.

§ 99.—Futurum 3.

The third fut. or paulo-post-fut. pass. derives its signification (§ 138.) and its form from the perf. pass., of which it retains the augment, substituting gouat for the termination of the perf.; hence we need only compare the 2 pers. of the perf. in oai, $(\psi_{\alpha i}, \xi_{\alpha i})$ and change αi into one; for instance,

τέτυμμαι, (τέτυψαι,) — τετύψομαι, τέτραμμαι, (τέτραψαι,) — τετράψομαι, τέτυμμαι, (τέτυψαι,) τέταγμαι, (τέταξαι,) — τετάξομαι, πέπεισμαι, (πέπεισαι,) — πεπείσομαι, πεφίλημαι, (πεφίλησαι,) - πεφιλήσομαι.

Obs. 1. Whenever the vowel of the fut. 1. is shortened in the perf. pass., the fut. 3. takes again the long vowel: δεδήσομαι, λελ \bar{v} σομαι (see § 95. Obs. 4). 1

Obs. 2. There is no 3 fut. to be met with of the verbs λ , μ , ν , ρ , and there are but few of those which have the temporal augment,

§ 100.—Aoristus 1. and 2. Pass.

1. All Greek verbs have the *aor.* pass. either in $\theta_{\eta\nu}$, or merely in η_{ν} , and several have both kinds at once; the former is called aor. 1. the latter aor. 2. (See § 89, 3.)

⁴ Others insist on κεκτ ηται, λελῦτο, &c., but I have adopted the accentuation of some MSS. as alone conformable to analogy; for κέκτωμαι and κέκτητο must stand in the same relation to κέκτημαι, and λέλντο to λέλνμαι, as τύπτωμαι and τύπτοιτο to τ ύ π τομα. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr., and about the opt. forms κ εκτ $\tilde{\psi}$ το, μ εμνέ ψ το, see the list of Anomalous Verbs.

But it must not be supposed on that account that the 3 fut, is formed from the 1 fut. of the middle voice with the redupl.; for I do not know whether the above τ ετράψομαι occurs any where, but β εβλήσομαι, κεκλήσομαι, which actually occur, clearly confine us to the perf. (See the Anom. βάλλω, καλέω.)

2. The aor. 1. pass. annexes $\theta_{\eta\nu}$ to the characteristic of the verb. It follows of course from § 20, that when the characteristic of a verb is a *labialis* or palatina, it is changed into an aspirata; for instance,

λείπω, ἀμείβω, — ἐλείφθην, ἠμείφθην, λέγω, πλέκω, — ἐλέχθην, ἐπλέχθην, τύπτω, (ΤΥΠΩ,) — ἐτύφθην, τάσσω, (ΤΑΓΩ,) — ἐτάχθην.

When the characteristic of the verb is a *lingual* letter, it is changed into σ , according to § 24, 2; for instance,

πείθω (perf. πέπεισμαι,) — ἐπείσθην, κομίζω (perf. κεκόμισμαι,) — ἐκομίσθην.

When the characteristic is a vowel, the termination $\theta_{\eta\nu}$ is (like $\mu a \iota$ in the *perf. pass.*) annexed to the *vowel* of the *fut.*; for instance,

ποιέω (ποιήσω, πεποίημαι,) * ἐποιήθην, τιμάω (τιμήσω, τετίμημαι,) — ἐτιμήθην, φωράω (φωράσω, πεφώραμαι,) — ἐφωράθην.

3. With regard to other changes the aor. 1. pass. chiefly follows the perf. pass., taking σ in the same cases; for instance,

τελέω (τετέλεσμαι,) - ἐτελέσθην,

and mostly changing the vowel of the preceding syllable in the same way as the perf. pass.; for instance,

τεύχω (τέτυγμαι) — ἐτύχθην.

Obs. 2. See about the verbs in ω , which have η in the perf. pass. and ε again in

the aor. 1. pass. § 95. Obs. 4.

Obs. 3. Those which, without being verbs in λ , μ , ν , ρ , change their ε into α in the perf. pass. (§ 98. Obs. 3.) retain their ε here: $\sigma\tau\rho\dot{\varepsilon}\phi\omega$, (ξ $\sigma\tau\rho\dot{\varepsilon}\phi\mu\alpha\iota$,) ἐ $\sigma\tau\rho\dot{\varepsilon}\phi\theta\eta\nu$, $\tau\rho\dot{\varepsilon}\pi\omega$ ἐ $\tau\rho\dot{\varepsilon}\phi\theta\eta\nu$, $\tau\rho\dot{\varepsilon}\phi\omega$ ἐθρέφθην. But the Ionians and Dorians have ἐ $\tau\rho\dot{\varepsilon}\phi\theta\eta\nu$, ἐ $\sigma\tau\rho\dot{\varepsilon}\phi\theta\eta\nu$.

4. The aor. 2. pass. annexes $\eta\nu$ to the pure characteristic of the verb, and follows the rules given for the formation of the aor. 2. act. Hence we need only form that tense, whether it be in use or not, and change $o\nu$ into $\eta\nu$; for instance,

τύπτω, ἔτυπον,—ἐτύπην, τρέπω, ἔτραπον,—ἐτράπην.

Obs. 4. The aor. 2. pass. is after all nothing but a softer form of the aor. 1. Hence it commonly exists along with the aor. 1., but chiefly in primitive verbs only;

and in most verbs, which make their aor. 1. in $\chi\theta\eta\nu$ and $\phi\theta\eta\nu$, it is preferred, this aor. 1. being used only by poets, when they want a long syllable, or by tragic writers, who prefer full and antiquated forms. Yet even in prose both were used indifferently, and the choice was probably determined by euphony.

Obs. 5. Though the formation of the aor. 2. pass. agrees so perfectly with that of the aor. 2. act. that it follows the latter in grammar, it is yet absolutely independent of it; for in almost all the verbs which have the aor. 2. pass., the aor. 2. act. is not in use, as in $k\kappa\lambda\dot{\alpha}\pi\eta\nu$, from $\kappa\lambda\dot{k}\pi\tau\omega$, $k\kappa\rho\dot{\nu}\beta\eta\nu$, $k\tau\dot{\nu}\pi\eta\nu$, $k\beta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\beta\eta\nu$, $k\dot{\nu}\dot{\rho}i\phi\eta\nu$, from $\kappa\rho\dot{\nu}\pi\tau\omega$, $\tau\dot{\nu}\pi\tau\omega$, $\beta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\pi\tau\omega$, $\dot{\rho}i\pi\tau\omega$, $k\tau\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi\eta\nu$ from $\tau\rho\dot{\kappa}\phi\omega$. Only the verb $\tau\rho\dot{\kappa}\pi\omega$ prefers the aor. 2, as it is stated above, in both the act. and the pass.

Obs. 6. As there is no such interchange in the pass. as in the act. between the acr. 2. and the imperf., some verbs which, according to § 96, 3, cannot form an acr. 2. act., form an acr. 2. pass. It may in such a case be made after the imperf. instead of the acr. 2. act.; only the rule that the long vowel becomes short in the acr. 2. holds out here also, for instance,

 $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega$, (imperf. $\ddot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \sigma \nu$,) — $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \nu$, $\tau \rho i \beta \omega$, (imperf. $\ddot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \bar{\iota} \beta \sigma \nu$,) — $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho i \beta \eta \nu$, ($\ddot{\iota}$.)

Obs. 7. On that account some verbs, of which the radical vowel is ε , form an aor. 2. pass. without changing the ε into a: for instance, $\phi \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega - \dot{\varepsilon} \phi \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \eta \nu$, and $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ and $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (see the Anom.). See also about the unchanged long vowel in $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \nu$ the Anom. $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$.

Obs. 8. The verb $\psi \dot{\nu} \chi \omega$ commonly takes a γ in the aor. 2. pass. $\dot{\epsilon} \psi \dot{\nu} \gamma \eta \nu$. See

Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.

Obs. 9. The characteristic δ , θ , τ , does not take place in the aor. 2. pass., and instances with a vowel before the termination are hardly to be met with, except $\dot{\epsilon} k \dot{\alpha} \eta \nu$ from $\kappa \alpha i \omega$, and these three $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\alpha} \eta \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\rho} \dot{\nu} \dot{\eta} \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\phi} \dot{\nu} \eta \nu$, (see the Anom. ΔA , $\dot{\rho} \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, $\dot{\phi} \dot{\nu} \omega$,) which have the signification of the act. The rest of the verbs in ω purum and contractum, and those in $\delta \omega$, $\theta \omega$, and $\zeta \omega$, have only the aor. 1. pass.

Obs. 10. There is a striking agreement of the two aor. pass., in point of form and conjugation, with the act. of the verbs in $\mu\iota$. Compare the aor. pass. in the paradigm of $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$ with the imperf. and the collateral moods of the pres. tense of

τίθημι.

§ 100. a.

- 1. In the preceding doctrine on the formation of tenses, the regular verbs ending in ω have been divided into five classes. We have treated of four of these in § 95—100. viz. 1. of verbs whose characteristic is a labial letter, ex. gr. $\lambda \epsilon / \pi \omega$, $\tau \delta / \pi \tau \omega$; 2. of verbs whose characteristic is a palatina, ex. gr. $\lambda \epsilon / \omega$, $\tau \delta / \sigma \omega$; 3. of verbs whose characteristic is a lingual letter, ex. gr. ∂ / ω , ∂ / ω ; 4. of verbs whose characteristic is a vowel, ex. gr. ∂ / ω ; 4. of verbs whose characteristic is a vowel, ex. gr. ∂ / ω ; ∂ / ω . To these classes a fifth must be added, viz. of the verbs ∂ / ω ∂ / ω , or verba liquida.
- 2. As it is unavoidable that the learner should get sometimes embarrassed whilst following the numerous changes (§ 95—100.) which the characteristic of the verb undergoes in the formation of tenses, we have deemed it useful to bring under one view all the changes of the four principal characteristics,

in order to show their regularity and analogy, and in this way to assist the memory of the student. The following contains the changes of the characteristics in those tenses only which are enumerated in the second series. (§ 93.) The *perf.* and *plusq. pass.*, being the most difficult tenses, are marked with their inflections throughout.

Characteristic. | Charact. | Charact. | Charact.

labialis.		palatina.	lingualis.	vocalis.				
β , π , ϕ and $\pi\tau$.		γ , κ , χ and $\sigma\sigma$ or ζ ,	$\delta, \tau, \theta \text{ and } \zeta \text{ or } \sigma\sigma,$					
,,,,				1				
Activum.								
Fut. 1.	ψ_{ω}	ξω	σω	$\sigma\omega$				
Aor. 1.	ψa	ξα	σα	σα				
Perf. 1.	фа	χa	κα	κα				
Plusq. 1.	φειν	χειν	κειν	κειν				
		Passia	vum.					
Perf.	μμαι	γμαι	μαι	μαι				
	Ψaι	ξαι	σαι	σαι				
	πται		σται	ται				
	μμεθο			μεθον				
	φθον	χθον	σθον	σθον				
	φθον	χθον	σθον	σθον				
	μμεθο		σμεθα	μεθα				
	$\phi \theta \varepsilon$	$\chi heta arepsilon$	$\sigma heta arepsilon$	$\sigma heta arepsilon$				
				νται				
Plusq.	μμην	γμην	σμην	μην				
	40	ξο	σο	σο				
	πτο	кто	στο	το				
	μμεθο		σμεθον	μεθον				
	φθον	χθον	σθον	σθον				
	φθην	χθην	σθην	σθην				
	μμεθο		σμεθα	μεθα				
	$\phi \theta \varepsilon$	$\chi \theta$ ε	σθε	σθε				
		_	_	ντο				
Fut. 3.	ψομαι	ξομαι	σομαι	σομαι				
Aor. 1.	$\phi \theta \eta \nu$		$\sigma \theta \eta \nu$	$\theta\eta\nu$				
Fut. 1.	φθήσομ		σθήσομαι	θήσομαι				
Medium.								
Fut. 1.	ψομαι	ξομαι	σομαι	σομαι				
Aor. 1.	ψάμην	ξάμην	σάμην	σάμην				
2207 6 1.	φαμην	Sapir	σαμην	σαμην				

§ 101.—Verbs in λ , μ , ν , ϱ .

1. The verbs of which the characteristic is one of the letters λ , μ , ν , ρ , deviate so much in the formation of their tenses, that it is necessary to bring them under a particular point of view.

2. All these verbs are generally without the fut. 1. or fut.

in $\sigma \omega$, but they always have the fut. 2. (§ 95. 7, 8.) Thus

νέμω, fut. Ion. νεμέω, commonly νεμῶ, μένω, commonly μενῶ,

of which the conjugation, $v \in \mu \tilde{\omega}$, $\tilde{\epsilon} i \in \tilde{c}$, $\tilde{\epsilon} i \in \tilde{c}$, $\tilde{\epsilon} i = \tilde{c} i =$

3. If the syllable, which precedes the termination, be long in the *pres.*, it is always made short in this *fut.* without any excep-

tion; for instance,

ψάλλω, στέλλω, F. ψαλῶ, στελῶ, κρίνω, ἀμύνω, κρῖνῶ, ἀμῦνῶ.

For this purpose the diphthong $a\iota$ is converted into \check{a} , and $\epsilon\iota$ into ϵ , for instance,

σαίρω, κτείνω, Γ. σαρώ, κτενώ.

Obs. 1. The Ionic forms, ἀγγέλλω, fut. ἀγγελέω, κοῖνέω, κτενέω, φἄνέω from φαίνω, πλῦνέω from πλύνω, &c. pl. ἑομεν, and so on, are now easily accounted for, as well as the Doric verbs with the contraction εο-εν: for instance, βαλεῦμεν, βαλεῦμαι (§ 95. Obs. 17). See about the forms in σω, Obs. 3.

4. These verbs also form the aor. 1. without σ , merely in a. They retain the characteristic as it is in the fut., but make the syllable before the termination long again; yet independently of the pres., for either they barely lengthen the vowel of the future, ex. gr.

τίλλω, (τἴλῶ,) —ἔτῖλα, κρίνω, (κρἴνῶ) —ἔκρῖνα, ἀμύνω, (ἀμὕνῶ) — ἤμῦνα,

or they commonly change the ε of the fut. into $\varepsilon\iota$, and α into η , for instance,

μένω, στέλλω, τείνω, (μενῶ, στελῶ, τενῶ,)—ἔμεινα, ἔστειλα, ἔτεινα,

Other verbs requiring the short vowel, as those in ρ , ν , ω , $\lambda\mu\omega$, $\sigma\mu\omega$, or with the vowels η , $o\nu$, and the like, do not occur at all in common language; and the old poetical verbs, of which we have the forms, $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\iota r\nu v \nu$, $\theta \tilde{\epsilon}\rho\mu\epsilon \tau$ 0, and the like, are defective; similar ones in the common language, $\delta \tilde{\alpha}\kappa\nu\omega$, $\tau \tilde{\epsilon}\mu\nu\omega$, $\kappa \tilde{\alpha}\mu\nu\omega$, $\beta o \tilde{\nu}\lambda \rho\mu\alpha$, are anomalous.

ψάλλω, φαίνω, (ψαλῶ, φανῶ,)—ἔψηλα, ἔφηνα.

Several verbs, however, having $a\iota$ in the pres., take \bar{a} in the aor. 1.; for instance,

περαίνω, περανώ,—ἐπέρανα, inf. περαναι, πιαίνω, πιανώ,—ἐπίανα, inf. πιαναι.

Obs. 2. The $\check{\alpha}$ is taken by the verbs in -ραίνω and -ιαίνω, ex. gr. εὐφρᾶναι, μαρᾶναι, —πιαίνω, πιᾶναι, except τετρῆναι and μιῆναι. Most of the others in αίνω and αίρω are always found with η in the Attic writers, ex. gr. σημαίνω σημῆναι, χαλεπῆναι, λυμήνασθαι, &c.—ἐχθαίρω ἐχθῆραι—καθῆραι, &c., excepting, however, κοιλᾶναι, λευκᾶναι, πεπᾶναι, κερδᾶναι, ὀργᾶναι, ἰσχνᾶναι. Later writers, or what are called κοινοὶ, (see § 1, 9.) make also many other verbs with $\bar{\alpha}$, (as σημαίνω, μιαίνω, ἐχθαίρω, &c.) and the Dorians of course do this throughout, whilst the Ionians again have almost everywhere their η .—Verbs beginning with α , αΐρω, (to raise,) and ἄλλομαι, (to leap,) have $\bar{\alpha}$, which is changed into η in the indic. only because of the augment: ἦρα, ἄραι, ἄρας, &c. ἡλάμην, ἄλασθαι, &c.²

Obs. 3. The oldest language and the Æolian dialect also made the fut. and the aor. 1. of these verbs with σ : Homer, ἔκερ σ a, Theocr. ἔτερ σ a, from κείρω, τείρω, and this formation alone continued to be used in common language with respect to some verbs, like κέλλω, (I land,) ἔκελ σ a, φύρω, (I knead,) φύρ σ ω. See also the

Αποπ. ἀραρίσκω, κυρέω, ὄρνυμι.

5. The aor. 2. retains the vowel exactly as it is in the fut.; for instance,

βάλλω, (βαλῶ,)—ἔβαλον, φαίνω, (φανῶ,)—aor. 2. pass. ἐφάνην, κλίνω, (κλἴνῶ,)—aor. 2. pass. ἐκλίνην, (ἴ,)

except that in dissyllabic verbs the ε of the fut. is changed into a. See below, 8.

- Obs. 4. The aor. 2. act. is used in very few of these verbs, and, where both occur, is more poetical: thus $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\alpha\nu\rho\nu$ occurs more rarely than $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\epsilon\nu\rho\alpha^3$. But in the pass. the aor. 1., whenever it retains the consonant before the θ , is generally peculiar to the Poets (compare § 100. Obs. 4). It is the aor. 2. which is most in use, ex. gr. φαίνω $\tilde{\epsilon}\phi\dot{\alpha}\nu\eta\nu$, $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\nu$, $\sigma\phi\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omega$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\phi\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\nu$, $\pi\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\alpha}\rho\eta\nu$.—Yet $\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega$ and all polysyllables have merely the aor. 1. pass. excepting $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$, which has $\dot{\eta}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\eta\nu$ and $\dot{\eta}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\nu$.
- 6. The perf. 2. is completely comprised in the rules given above in \S 97. 3, 4: hence,

 $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega, -\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \lambda \alpha,$ $\phi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \omega, -\pi \dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \nu \alpha.$

² The learner must here be put on his guard against two errors: 1. nothing is more common than to find $\tilde{q}\rho\alpha$, $\tilde{q}\rho\alpha\iota$, $\ell\mu\ell\eta\nu\alpha$, &c. with the iota subscriptum, which is to be condemned for the same reasons as above with regard to the perf. 2. (see § 97, 4. the Note); 2. in editions, which on the whole may be considered as good, the accentuation often is still $\pi\epsilon\pi\acute{a}\nu\alpha\iota$, $\pi\eta\mu\acute{a}\nu\alpha\iota$, and the like; its incorrectness, however, is sufficiently obvious from what we have observed here and in § 11.

³ The case is the same with $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$, of which the *aor*. 2. *act*. is even questioned: it is only required that an ι or λ be omitted by the transcribers to produce it.

See in Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. the list of Verbs.

There is this peculiarity, that the diphthong ϵ_l , having arisen in these verbs not from the radical vowel ι , but from ϵ , as appears from the *fut.*, is not changed into o_l , in the *perf.* 2. but merely into $o(\S 97, 4. c)$; for instance,

κτείνω, (κτεν $\tilde{\omega}$,)—ἔκτονα, φθείρω, (φθερ $\tilde{\omega}$,)—ἔφθορα.

7. The perf. 1., the perf. pass., and the aor. 1. pass., also follow the general rules; they annex the terminations κa , $\mu a\iota$ &c., $\theta \eta \nu$, to the characteristic, and retain the changes of the fut.; for instance,

σφάλλω, (σφαλω,)—ἔσφαλκα, ἔσφαλμαι, φαίνω, (φανω,) —πέφαγκα, ἐφάνθην,

αἴρω, (ἀρῶ,) — ῆρκα, ῆρμαι, part. ἠρμένος, ἤρθην, part. ἀρθείς. The perf. pass. (§ 98, 2.) also rejects the σ of the terminations $\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, $\sigma\theta\epsilon$, &c.; for instance,

ἔσφαλμαι, 2 pl. ἔσφαλθε, φύρω, πέφυρμαι, inf. πεφύρθαι.

It must, however, be recollected that the verbs in $\mu\omega$ ($\tau\epsilon\mu\omega$) and $\mu\nu\omega$ ($\tau\epsilon\mu\nu\omega$, fut. $\tau\epsilon\mu\tilde{\omega}$,) do not form their tenses in the above manner. See Obs. 9.

8. When the fut has an ε , the dissyllables take α in its stead in most of those tenses which are derived from the root of the fut (with the exception of the aor. 1. act and med., and perf and plusq. 2.) viz. in the

aor. 2., perf. 1., perf. pass. and aor. 1. pass., and in those tenses which are derived from them, ex. gr. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, $(\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega}_{\tau})$ forms

aor. 2. act. ἔσταλον - ἐστάλην, σταλήσομαι, ἐσταλόμην,

perf. 1. ἔσταλκα, —ἐστάλκειν, perf. pass. ἔσταλμαι,—ἐστάλμην,

aor. 1. pass. ἐστάλθην —στάλθήσομαι.

The same in $\pi \epsilon i \rho \omega$, $(\pi \epsilon \rho \tilde{\omega})$

perf. 1. πέπαρκα, perf. pass. πέπαρμαι, aor. 2. pass. ἐπάρην, κτείνω, (κτενω,)—aor. 2. ἔκτανον. (See below, 9.)

But the polysyllables retain the ε unchanged in all these tenses, for instance of $\dot{a}\gamma\gamma\dot{\varepsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$, $(\dot{a}\gamma\gamma\varepsilon\lambda\tilde{\omega})$,

aor. 2. act. ἤγγελον, pass. ἢγγέλην, perf. 1. ἤγγελκα, perf. pass. ἤγγελμαι, aor. 1. pass. ἢγγέλθην.

Obs. 5. The ε is likewise retained by the dissyllables beginning with ε, ξ ερμαι, from the Anom. είλω, είρω.

9. The following verbs in (νω, είνω, ύνω,

κρίνω, κλίνω, τείνω, κτείνω, πλύνω,

reject the ν in the perf. 1., perf. and aor. 1. pass., and their derivatives, and take the short vowel of the fut., but those in $\epsilon \ell \nu \omega$ still change the ϵ into a according to the preceding rule,

κρίνω, (κρἴνῶ,) — κέκρἴκα, κέκρἴμαι, ἐκρἴθην, τείνω, (τενῶ,) — τέτἄκα, τέτἄμαι, ἐτἄθην⁴, πλύνω, (πλὕνῶ,) — πέπλὕκα, πέπλῦμαι, ἐπλῦθην.

Obs. 6. But the ν of the aor. 1. pass. is often retained in verses for the sake of position; ex. gr. κτανθείς, διακρινθείς, ἐκλίνθη, ἐπλύνθη. Later writers have it also in prose.

Obs. 7. The retaining of the ν is attended with some difficulty in the *perf. pass.*; yet it is retained unchanged

 a.) in the 2 sing., where it even remains before σ, for instance, φαίνω πέφανσαι,

 b.) in the terminations beginning with σθ, in which, however, (according to Text 7.) the σ gives way to the ν; ex. gr., inf. πεφάνθαι, τραχύνω, inf. τετραχύνθαι,

c.) in the 3 sing.; ex. gr. πέφανται, (he appeared,) παρώξυνται (he was exaspe-

rated).

Whether the 3 pl. was formed in this manner, (ex. gr. κέκρανται, Eurip. Hipp. 1255. of κραίνω, from which of course one ν has been dropped, compare the following Obs.) is questionable.

Obs. 8. Three things are to be attended to with regard to the ν before termina-

tions beginning with μ :

a.) the ν regularly becomes μ,—ex. gr.
 ^πσχυμμαι, Homer Il. σ. 180. from αἰσχύνω,
 ^{ξξ}ήραμμαι, Athen. 3. p. 80. d. from ξηραίνω,

b.) σ is most commonly substituted for the ν, ex. gr. φαίνω, μιαίνω, (φανῶ, μιανῶ,)—πέφασμαι, μεμίασμαι,

c.) when the ν is rejected altogether, which is very rare, the vowel remains long; ex. gr. τετραχυμένος, Aristot. H. A. 4, 9.

The two last ways may also be explained as owing to the terminations $\alpha i \nu \omega$ and $\dot{\nu} \nu \omega$ having been originally lengthened from $\dot{\alpha} \omega$ and $\dot{\nu} \omega^5$.

Obs. 9. The regular formation of the perf. pass. and perf. act. (in $\gamma \kappa a$) is very rare with verbs in $\nu \omega$; for these verbs are mostly lengthened forms of the present tense, and therefore form those tenses from other themes, and according to other rules. About the few verbs in $\mu \omega$, which, as well as $\mu \epsilon \nu \omega$, take, for euphony's sake, (§ 99, 2.) the forms of $\xi \omega$, ($\xi \nu \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$, $\mu \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \kappa a$,) see the Anom. § 110, 11.

§ 102.—Verbal Adjectives in \(\tai_{\exists_0}\) and \(\tai_{\exists_0}\).

1. After having stated the formation of the tenses, we pass to the formation of the two kinds of verbal adjectives in $\tau \acute{\epsilon} o c$

⁴ Compare also the Anom. ΦΕΝΩ, πέφαμαι. There is no occasion to recur to

the old themes $TA\Omega$, $KTA\Omega$, $\Phi A\Omega$.

⁵ Even the perf. I. act. fluctuates between the two formations, and as it was seldom wanted, (§ 88. a. Obs. 5.) the Greek writers probably consulted their ear for the most part of time. We meet, but not in the old writers, with πέφαγκα, μεμίαγκα, and ἐβεβραδύκει. See also the Anom. κερδαίνω.

and $\tau \delta \varsigma$, which in their signification and use approximate to the participles. (See Obs. 2.)

- 2. Both kinds constantly have the accent on the termination annexed immediately to the characteristic of the verb; this undergoes the changes prescribed by the general rules, and in several instances the vowel which precedes the termination is likewise changed. These changes agree in every respect with those of the aor. 1. pass., only that where the aor. has $\phi\theta$, $\chi\theta$, the verbals take $\pi\tau$, $\kappa\tau$. They therefore may always be compared with the 3 pers. sing. of the perf. pass., which also has a τ . But this 3 pers. deviates in several verbs from the aor. 1. and from these verbals, with regard-to the radical syllable.
 - 3. Verbals are formed thus:

πλέκω,	(πέπλεκται, ἐπλέχθην,)	πλεκτέος,
		πλεκτός,
λέγω,	(λέλεκται, ἐλέχθην,)	λεκτὸς,
γράφω,	(γέγραπται, ἐγράφθην,)	γραπτός,
στρέφω,	(ἔστραπται, ἐστρέφ θ ην,)	στρεπτὸς,
φωράω,	(πεφώραται, ἐφωράθην,)	φωρατέος,
φιλέω,	(πεφίληται, ἐφιλήθην,)	φιλητέος,
αίρέω,	(ἥρηται, ἡρέθην,)	αίρετὸς,
παύω,	(πέπαυται, ἐπαύσθην,)	παυστέος,
στέλλω,	(ἔσταλται, ἐστάλθην,)	σταλτέος,
τείνω,	(τέταται, ἐτάθην,)	τατέος,
χέω,	(κέχυται, ἐχύθην,)	χυτὸς,
πνέω,	(πέπνυται, ἐπνεύσθην,)	πνευστός.

Obs. 1. The σ is sometimes dropped before the termination of several verbals in $\delta \varsigma$ in the Ionic dialect, and by the old Attic writers, especially in compounds like $\mathring{a}\delta \mathring{a}\mu a\tau o\varsigma$, $\pi \mathring{a}\gamma \kappa \lambda a v\tau o\varsigma$, and poets even allowed themselves to say, $\theta a v\mu a \tau \mathring{o}\varsigma$ from $\theta a v \mu \mathring{a} \zeta \omega$.

Obs. 2. See in the Syntax, § 134, 8. the peculiarities in the use and import of these verbal adj.; ex. gr. $\sigma\tau\rho\epsilon\pi\tau\dot{\rho}c$, twisted, and which can be twisted, $\sigma\tau\rho\epsilon\pi\tau\dot{\rho}c$, which must be twisted; and the neut. $\sigma\tau\rho\epsilon\pi\tau\dot{\epsilon}o\nu$ corresponding to the Latin vertendum est.

§ 103.—Verbum Barytonon.

1. We are now going to give the conjugation of a barytone verb, $(\tau \acute{v}\pi\tau \omega)$, to which we subjoin various examples, to show the difference of the use in some verbs, and lastly the conjugation of one of the verbs in λ , μ , ν , ρ $(\mathring{a}\gamma\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega)$.

2. A barytone verb is (according to § 10, 2.) a verb in its natural form, in which the termination of the *pres.* always is unaccented; in contradistinction to those verbs which contract

VERBS. 161

the two last syllables, and therefore take the circumflex. The latter are called *verba contracta* or *perispomena*; they are particularly stated in their proper place.

Prefatory Remarks to the Paradigma Τύπτω.

1. The verb $\tau i \pi \tau \omega$, which we too select for a paradigm, is not so improper for it as some suppose. Since it is necessary to show first the whole foundation of the Greek conjugation in one verb, there is scarcely one more convenient than $\tau i \pi \tau \omega$ to be hit on; for it is only in a verb which has like this a perfect form in its pres. tense, that the exact nature of the aor. 2., as stated above, § 96, 2, can be fully explained.

2. The learner must, however, be reminded that $\tau i \pi \tau \omega$ is here a bare paradigm, that is to say, an example in which a complete view is given of all the tenses which may occur in verbs of this kind, though neither $\tau i \pi \tau \omega$, nor any single verb, has all the tenses, or employs all the tenses, which are set

down here. See § 104.1

3. But we have only stated in the *paradigm* those tenses which are warranted by the analogy of similar verbs, as *aor*. 2. *act*. and *perf*. 2. The old grammars had also

the fut. 2. act. and med.;

but as this belongs to the verbs in $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$, we omit it here, and conjugate this *future* completely in the *paradigm* of those verbs

 $(\dot{a}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega)$.

4. To give a view of the whole, we annex a Table containing the first pers. of the flexible moods, the second pers. of the imper, the infin., and the masc of the participle of all the tenses of the act., pass., and middle voices. And this Table is immediately followed by the paradigm of $\tau i \pi \tau \omega$ conjugated once more at length.

5. Observations on the accentuation of verbs, and peculiarities of the different dialects, are given after all the para-

digms.

¹ These tenses of τύπτω are those which are really in use. See below the list of Anomalous Verbs, to which τύπτω also belongs on account of the Attic formation of the fut. τυπτήσω.

VERBUM

Acti-

	Indic.	Conj.
Pres.	τύπτω,	τύπτω,
Imperf.	ἔτυπτον,	
Perf. 1.	τέτυφα,	τετύφω,
Plusq. 1.	έτετύφειν,	
Perf. 2.	τέτυπα,	τετύπω,
Plusg. 2.	ἐτετύπειν,	
Fut. 1.	τύψω,	<u> </u>
Aor. 1.	ἔτυψα,	τύψω,
Fut. 2.	(See in the 1	paradigm ἀγγέλλω,)
Aor. 2.	έτυπον,	τύπω,
	,	Passi-
Pres.	τύπτομαι,	τύπτωμαι,
Imperf.	έτυπτόμην,	To not as passes,
Perf.	τέτυμμαι,	*
Plusq.	έτετύμμην,	
Fut. 1.	τυφθήσομαι,	_
Aor. 1.	ἐτύφθην,	$ au v \phi heta ilde{\omega}$,
Fut. 2.	τυπήσομαι,	_
Aor. 2.	ἐτύπην,	$\tau v \pi \tilde{\omega}$,
Fut. 3.	τετύψομαι,	_
		Med-
For the Pres., Im	perf., Perf., and Plusq	,, see the Pass. Voice.
Fut. 1.	τύψομαι,	
Aor. 1.	έτυψάμην,	τύψωμαι,
Fut. 2.		paradigm ἀγγέλλω,)
Aor. 2.	ἐτυπόμην,	τύπωμαι,

^{*} This conj. and opt. can be formed only in very few verbs. See § 98. Obs. 9.—
the verb εἰμί: τετυμμένος, (η, ον,)

ΒΑΚΥΤΟΝΟΝ, τύπτω.

vum.

vum.					
Opt.	Imper.	Infin.	Part.		
τύπτοιμι,	τύπτε,	τύπτειν,	τύπτων,		
τετύφοιμι,	τέτυφε,	τετυφέναι,	τετυφώς,		
τετύποιμι,	τέτυπε,	τετυπέναι,	τετυπώς,		
τύψοιμι, τύψαιμι,	τύψου,	τύψειν, τύψαι,	τύψων, τύψας,		
τύποιμι,	τύπε,	τυπείν,	τυπών.		
vum.	vum.				
τυπτοίμην,	τύπτου,	τύπτεσθαι,	τυπτόμενος,		
*	τέτυψο,	τετύφθαι,	τετυμμένος,		
τυφθησοίμην, τυφθείην, τυπησοίμην, τυπείην, τετυψοίμην,		τυφθήσεσθαι, τυφθῆναι, τυπήσεσθαι, τυπῆναι, τετύψεσθαι,	τυφθησόμενος, τυφθείς, τυπησόμενος, τυπείς, τετυψόμενος.		
ium.					
τυψοίμην, τυψαίμην,	 τύψαι,	τύψεσθαι, τύψασθαι,	τυψόμενος, τυψάμενος,		
τυποίμην,	τυποῦ,	τυπέσθαι,	τυπόμενος.		

In most instances they are supplied by a circumlocution with the conj. and opt. of $\tilde{\omega}$, and $\tilde{\epsilon}\eta\nu$. See § 108. IV.

	Indic.	Conj.
Pres. Sing.	$ au \acute{v}\pi au \omega$, I strike,	τύπτω, (that) I may strike,
	τύπτεις, thou strikest,	τύπτης,
Dual,	τύπτει, he, she, it, strikes,	τύπτη,
	τύπτετον, you two strike,	τύπτητον,
Plur.	τύπτετον, they both strike, τύπτομεν, we strike,	τύπτητον,
I tur.	τύπτομεν, we strike, τύπτετε, you strike,	τύπτωμεν, τύπτητε,
	τύπτουσι(ν), they strike,	τύπτωσι(ν),
Imperf. Sing.		Plur. ἐτύπτομεν,
	ἔτυπτες, ἐτύπτετοι	
	έτυπτε(ν), έτυπτέτηι	
Perf. 1. Sing.		auετύφω, like the <i>pres</i> .
	τέτυφας, τέτυφε(ν),	
Dual,	— -	
	τετύφατον,	
Plur.	τετύφατον, τετύφαμεν,	
2 0001	τετύφατε,	
	au $ au$	
Plusq. Sing.	ἐτετύφειν, Dual, —	
	ετετύφεις, ετετύφεις ετετύφει, ετετυφείς	
	τέτυπα, through all the mode ετετύπειν, like the plusq. 1.	ods like the perf. 1.
Fut. 1. Sing.	τύψω, I shall or will strike, like the pres.	conj. is wanting.
Aor. 1. Sing.	έτυψα, I struck, or I have	τύψω,
	έτυψας, [struck, &c.	like the pres.
Dual,	$\xi \tau \upsilon \psi \varepsilon(\upsilon),$	
	ἐτύψατον,	
Plur.	ετυψάτην,	
I w.	ἐτύψαμεν, ἐτύψατε,	
	ἔτυψαν,	
Aor. 2.	έτυπου,	τύπω,
	like the imperf.	like the

* See below,

vum.

Opt.	Imper.	Infin.	Part.
τύπτοιμι, I might strike, were I to strike,			τύπτων, τύπτουσα,
τύπτοις,	τύπτε, strike,		
τύπτοι,	τυπτέτω, let hi		
			gen. τύπτοντος
τύπτοιτον,			th), [strike,
τυπτοίτην,	τυπτέτων, they	(both)	may or must
τύπτοιμεν,			
τύπτοιτε,	τύπτετε, strike	ye, [or must strike,
τύπτοιεν,	τυπτέτωσαν Οι	τυπτόν	των, they may

I did strike, thou didst strike, &c.

τετύφοιμι, like the <i>pres</i> .	τέτυφε, like the <i>pres</i> .	τετυφέναι, τετυφώς, τετυφυΐα, τετυφός, gen. τετυφότος.
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

οr εσαν, }

I had struck, &c.

τύψοιμι, like the <i>pres</i> .		τύψων, like the <i>pres</i> .
τύψαιμι,		τύψᾶς,
τύψαις or τύψειας *,	τύψον, strike,	τύψασα,
τύψαι or τύψειε(ν), *	τυψάτω,	τύψαν,
		gen. τύψαντος.
τύψαιτον,	τύψατον,	
τυψαίτην,	τυψάτων,	
τύψαιμεν,		
τύψαιτε,	τύψατε,	
τύψαιεν or τύψειαν,	τυψάτωσαν or τυψάντων	
τύποιμι,	τύπε, τυπείν,	τυπών, οῦσα,
pres.	like the pres.	ον, gen. όντος.
Obe II 4		

	7 7	
	Indic.	Conj.
Pres. Sing.	τύπτομαι,	τύπτωμαι,
	τύπτη, or ει, (see below, Obs.	τύπτη,
	τύπτεται, [ΙΙΙ. 3.)	τύπτηται,
Dual,	τυπτόμεθον,	τυπτώμεθον,
	τύπτεσθον,	τύπτησθον,
	τύπτεσθου,	τύπτησθον,
Plur.	τυπτόμεθα,	τυπτώμεθα,
	τύπτεσθε,	τύπτησθε,
	τύπτονται,	τύπτωνται,
Imperf. Sing &	υπτόμην, Dual, ἐτυπτόμεθον,	Plur. ἐτυπτόμεθα,
	ύπτου, ἐτύπτεσθου,	ἐτύπτεσθε ,
	τύπτετο, ἐτυπτέσθην,	
Perf. Sing.		See the Note to
J. J. Strey.	τέτυψαι,	2,000
	τέτυπται,	
Dual,	τετύμμεθον,	
,	τέτυφθον,	
	τέτυφθον,	
Plur.	τετύμμεθα,	
	τέτυφθε,	
	3 pers. wanting, in its stead	
	τετυμμένοι (αι) είσὶν,	
	τύμμην, Dual, ἐτετύμμεθον,	Plur. ἐτετύμμεθα,
έτέτ	τυψο, ἐτέτυφθον,	ἐτέτυφθε,
हेर्स ह	τυπτο, ἐτετύφθηυ,	3 pers. wanting.
Fut.	τυφθήσομαι,	conj. is wanting.
	$\tau v \phi \theta \eta \sigma \eta$, or ει,	9
	and so on like the pres.	
Aor. 1. Sing.	ἐτύφθην,	τυφθῶ,
	έτύφθης,	τυφθης,
	ἐτύφθη,	$ au v \phi \theta \tilde{\eta},$
Dual,		
	ετύφθητου,	$ au v\phi heta ilde{\eta} au o v$,
	έτυφθήτην,	τυφθητον,
Plur.	έτύφθημεν,	τυφθωμεν,
	ετύφθητε,	τυφθῆτε,
	έτύφθησαν,	$ au v \phi heta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota(v),$
	τήσομαι, through all the mood	
Aor. 2. ἐτύ	τήσομαι, through all the mood $\pi\eta\nu$, through all the mood $\dot{\nu}\psi$ ομαι, through all the mood	ls like the Aor. 1.

^{*} The abbreviated form is most generally employed in

Opt.	Imper.	Infin.	Part.
τυπτοίμην, τύπτοιο, τύπτοιτο, τυπτοίμεθον, τύπτοισθον, τυπτοίσθην, τυπτοίμεθα, τύπτοισθε, τύπτοιντο,	τύπτου, τυπτέσθω, τύπτεσθον, τυπτέσθων, τύπτεσθε, τυπτέσθων, οι τυπτέσθων,	τύπτεσθαι,	τυπτόμενος, η, ον.
the Table, p. 162.	τέτυψο, τετύφθω, τέτυφθον, τετύφθων, τέτυφθε, τετύφθωσαν οι τετύφθων,	τετύφθαι,	τετυμμένος, η, ον.

in its stead τετυμμένοι (aι) ήσαν.

πιι τις διεάα τετυμμενοι (αι) ησαν.		
τυφθησοίμην, τυφθήσοιο, and so on like the <i>pres</i> .	imper. is wanting.	τυφθήσεσθαι,	τυφθησόμενος η, ον.
τυφθείην, τυφθείης, τυφθείη,	τύφθητι, τυφθήτω, τύφθητον, τυφθήτων, τύφθητε, τυφθήτωσαν,	τυφθῆναι,	τυφθεὶς, τυφθεῖσα, τυφθὲν, gen. τυφθέντος.

Med-(To strike

	The pr	res. and imperf., perf. and
	Indic.	Conj.
Fut. 1.	τύψομαι, like the <i>pres</i> .	wanting.
Aor. 1. Sing.	ἐτυψάμην, ἐτύψω, ἐτύψατο,	τύψωμαι, τύψη, τύψηται <u>,</u>
Dual,	έτυψάμεθον, έτύψασθον, έτυψάσθην,	τυψώμεθου, τύψησθου, τύψησθου,
Plur.	ἐτυψάμεθα, ἐτύψασθε, ἐτύψαντο,	· τυψώμεθα, τύψητθε, τύψωνται,
Aor. 2. Sing.	ἐτυπόμην, like the <i>imperf. pass</i> .	τύπωμαι, these two moods as
Dual,		
Plur.		
		Verbal Adjectives, (§

					D	eclension
l	A	or. 1. act.		Ao	r. 2. act.	
ı	Sing.			Sing.		
N	ι. τύψας,	τύψασα,	τύψαν,	τυπὼν,	ποῦσα,	που,
	τύψαντος,	τυψάσης,	τύψαντος,	τυπόντος,	πούσης,	
	ο τύψαντι,	τυψάση,		τυπόντι,	πούση,	
No.	τύψαντα,	τύψασαν,	τύψαν,	τυπόντα,	ποῦσαν,	
	. τύψας, †	τύψασα,	τύψαν,	τυπών,†		$\pi \dot{o} \nu$,
	Dual,			Dual,		
	[. τύψαντε,			τυπόντε,		
G	ι. τυψάντοιν,	τυψάσαιν,	τυψάντοιν,	τυπόντοιν,	πούσαιν,	πόντοιν,
				Plur.		
	. τύψαντες,			τυπόντες,	ποῦσαι,	πόντα,
	ι. τυψάντων,			τυπόντων,	πουσῶν,	πόντων,
). τύψασι,			τυποῦσι,	πούσαις,	ποῦσι,
A	. τύψαντας,	τυψάσας,	τύψαντα,	τυπόντας,	πούσας,	πόντα,

* See Herod. 2. 40.

ium.
one's self.)*

plusq. are the same as in the pass.

Opt.	Imper.	Infin.	Part.
τυψοίμην, like the <i>pres. pass</i> .	wanting.	τύψεσθαι,	τυψόμενος, η, ον,
τυψαίμην, τύψαιο, τύψαιτο, τυψαίμεθον, τύψαισθον, τυψαίσθην, τυψαίμεθα, τύψαισθε, τύψαιντο,	τύψαι, τυψάσθω, τύψασθον, τυψάσθων, τύψασθε, τυψάσθωσαν or τυψάσθων,	τύψασθαι,	τυψάμενος, η, ον,
τυποίμην, the pres. pass.	τυποῦ, τυπέσθω, τύπεσθον, τυπέσθων, τύπεσθε, τυπέσθωσαν οι τυπέσθων,	τυπέσθαι,	τυπόμενος, η, ον,

102.) τυπτέος, τυπτός.

of	the	Participle	s.
\sim	0100	z ar eccepte	0 .

Pe	erf. 1. act	•	Aor	. 1. pass.	
Sing.			Sing.		
τετυφώς, τετυφότος, τετυφότι, τετυφότα,	φυία, φυίας, φυία, φυίαν,	φὸς, φότος, φότι, φὸς,	τυφθεὶς, τυφθέντος, τυφθέντι, τυφθέντα,	θείσα, θείσης, θείση, θείσαν,	θέν, θέντος, θέντι, θὲν,
τετυφώς,† Dual,	φυῖα,	φὸς,	$ \tau v \phi \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma, \dagger $ Dual,	θεῖσα,	θὲν,
τετυφότε, τετυφότοιν, Plur.	φυία, φυίαιν,	','	τυφθέντε, τυφθέντοιν, Plur.	θείσα, θείσαιν,	θέντε, θέντοιν,
τετυφότες, τετυφότων, τετυφόσι, τετυφότας,	φυΐαι, φυιὧν, φυίαις, φυίας,	φότα, φότων, φόσι, φότα,	τυφθέντες, τυφθέντων, τυφθεῖσι, τυφθέντας,	θείσαι, θεισων, θείσαις, θείσας,	θέντων, θεῖσι,

⁺ See § 45, 1.

EXAMPLES OF OTHER BARYTONE VERBS, AS THEY ARE IN USE.

For an alphabetical list of *verba barytona*, partly for practice and partly with the particular use of each, see *Appendix*.

παιδεύω (to educate). ΜΕD. (to cause to be educated.)

Activum.

Opt.

παιδεύοιμι,

παιδεύοις,

Imper.

παιδευέτω, &c.

παίδευε,

Conj.

παιδεύω

παιδεύης,

παιδεύει, &c.	παιδεύη, &c. Infin. παιδεύε	Par	ων, ουσα,
Imperf. ἐπαίδευον, ες,	$, \varepsilon(\nu), \&c.$		
Perf. Ind. $\pi ε \pi α i δ ε υ κ α$, $α ς$, $ε (ν)$, &c.	Conj. πεπαιδεύκω, Infi πεπαιδευ	πεπαιδεύκοιμι, n.	

Plusq.

Pres. Ind.

παιδεύω.

παιδεύεις,

έπεπαιδεύκειν, εις, ει, &c.

Fut. παιδεύσω,	Opt.	Infin.	Part.
	παιδεύσοιμι,	παιδεύσειν,	παιδεύσων,
Aor. ἐπαίδευσα, ας, ε(ν), &c.	Conj. παιδεύσω, ης, η, &c. Infin. παιδεῦσα	Ορτ. παιδεύσαιμι, παιδεύσαις ¹ , παιδεύσαι, &c. Part. ι, παιδεύσ παιδεύσ	&c. ac, aca,

¹ The three forms, $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \acute{v} \sigma \iota \iota \alpha \varsigma$, $\epsilon \iota \epsilon$, $\epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$, are understood here of course, as well as in the following paradigms.

Passivum.

		Passivum.		
Pres. Ind.	Conj.	Op	t. Imper.	
παιδεύομαι,	παιδεύωμο	ιι, παιδευο	ίμην, παιδεύου,	
παιδεύη or ει,	παιδεύη,			,
παιδεύεται,	παιδεύητα	ι, παιδεύο	ито, &с.	
&c.	&c.	&c.		
	I	nfin.	Part.	
	παιδ	δεύεσθαι,	παιδευόμενος, η, ον	٠.
Imperf.				
	έπαιδεύου,	έπαιδεύετο, &	c.	
Perf. Indic.		Dual,	Plur.	
πεπαίδευμαι,		πεπαιδεύμεθον,	, πεπαιδεύμεθα,	
πεπαίδευσαι,		πεπαίδευσθον,		
πεπαίδευται,		τεπαίδευσθον,	πεπαίδευνται,	
	Conj. an	d <i>Opt.</i> are wa	nting.	
Imper.		Infin.	Part.	
πεπαίδευσο,	7	τεπαιδεῦσθαι,	πεπαιδευμένος,	
πεπαιδεύσθω,	&c.			
Plusq.		Dual,	Plur.	
έπεπαιδει		επεπαιδεύμεθοι		
έπεπαίδει	υσο, έ	πεπαίδευσθον,	έπεπαίδευσθε,	
έ πεπαίδε	υτο, έ	πεπαιδεύσθην,	έπεπαίδευντο,	
Fut. Ind.	Opt.	Infi	n. Part.	
			σεσθαι, παιδευθησόμει	vog
"acceoonoonais				
	Conj.	Opt.	Imper.	
	Conj.	Ορτ. παιδευθε	Imper. ίην, παιδεύθητι,	
Aor. Ind.	Conj. παιδευθά	ο, παιδευθε	Imper. ίην, παιδεύθητι, Part.	
Aor. Ind.	Conj. παιδευθά	ς, παιδευθε Infin.	ίην, παιδεύθητι,	
Aor. Ind.	Conj. παιδευθά	ο, παιδευθε Infin. ιδευθῆναι,	ίην, παιδεύθητι, Part. παιδευθεὶς,	

Medium.

Fut. Indic. παιδεύσομαι,	Opt.	Infin.	Part.
	παιδευσοίμην,	παιδεύσεσθαι,	παιδευσόμενος,
Αοτ. Ind. ἐπαιδευσάμην, σω, σατο, &c.	Conj. παιδεύσωμαι, η, ηται, &c. Infin παιδεύσο		Imper. παίδευσαι, παιδευσάσθω, &c. Part. ιδευσάμενος.

$\sum \epsilon i \omega$ (to shake). Med. (to be agitated.)

Activum.

Pres. σείω, conj. σείω, opt. σείοιμι, σείοις, σείοι, &c.
imper. σείε, σειέτω, &c. infin. σείειν,
part. σείων, σείονσα, σείον,
imperf. έσειον, perf. σέσεικα, plusq. εσεσείκειν, fut. σείσω,
aor. έσεισα, conj. σείσω, opt. σείσαιμι, σείσαις, σείσαι, &c.
imper. σείσον, άτω, &c. infin. σείσαι,
part. σείσας, σείσασα, σείσαν.

Passivum.

Pres. σείομαι, imperf. ἐσειόμην, perf. σέσεισμαι, dual, σεσείσμεθον, plur. σεσείσμεθα, σέσεισαι, σέσεισθον, σέσεισθε. σέσεισται, σέσεισθον, 3 pers. wanting. conj. and opt. wanting, imper. σέσεισο, σεσείσθω, &c. infin. σεσείσθαι, part. σεσεισμένος, plusq. $\dot{\epsilon}$ of $\dot{\sigma}$ exercion $\dot{\epsilon}$ of \dot ἐσέσεισθον, έσέσεισο, έσέσεισθε. έσεσείσθην, 3 pers. wanting. έσέσειστο, fut. σεισθήσομαι, aor. ἐσείσθην, fut. 3. σεσείσομαι.

Medium.

Fut. σείσομαι, aor. ἐσεισάμην (imper. σεῖσαι). Verbal adjectives, σειστέος, σειστός.

$\Lambda \epsilon i\pi \omega$ (to leave). Med. poetical.

Activum.

Pres. λείπω, conj. λείπω, opt. λείποιμι, λείποις, λείποι, &c. imper. λείπε, infin. λείπειν, part. λείπων, imperf. ἔλειπον, perf. (2.) λέλοιπα, plusq. ἐλελοίπειν, fut. λείψω,

aor. (2.) ἔλιπον, conj. λίπω, opt. λίποιμι, imper. λίπε, infin. λιπεῖν, part. λιπών, οῦσα, όν.

Passivum.

Pres. λείπομαι, perf. λέλειμμαι, λέλειψαι, λέλειπται, &c.

imperf. ἐλειπόμην, conj. and opt. wanting, imper. λέλειψο, λελείφθω, &c. infin. λελείφθαι, part. λελειμμένος,

plusq. ἐλελείμμην, ψο, πτο, &c. aor. ἐλείφθην, fut. λειφθήσομαι, fut. 3. λελείψομαι.

Medium.

Fut. λείψομαι,
αοτ. (2.) ἐλιπόμην, conj. λίπωμαι, opt. λιποίμην,
imper. λιποῦ, &c. pl. λίπεσθε, &c.
infin. λιπέσθαι, part. λιπόμενος.
Verbal adjectives, λειπτέος, λειπτός.

 $\Gamma_{\varrho}\acute{a}\phi\omega$ (to write). Med. (to accuse.)

Activum.

Pres. γράφω, perf. γέγραφα,

fut. γράψω,

imperf. ἔγραφον, plusq. ἐγεγράφειν

plusq. ἐγεγράφειν,

aor. ἔγραψα, conj. γράψω, opt. γράψαιμι, γράψαις, γράψαι, &c. imper. γράψον, άτω, &c. infin. γράψαι, part. γράψας.

Passivum.

Pres. γράφομαι, imperf. ἐγραφόμην, perf. γέγραμμαι, γέγραψαι, γέγραπται, &c. plusq. ἐγεγράμμην, ψο, πτο, &c. fut. 1. and aor. 1. (ἐγράφθην,) seldom used, fut. 2. γραφήσομαι, aor. 2. ἐγράφην, fut. 3. γεγράψομαι.

Medium.

Fut. γράψομαι, aor. ἐγραψάμην, (imper.) γράψαι. Verbal adjectives, γραπτέος, γραπτός. "A $\rho\chi\omega$ (to take the lead, rule over). Med. (to begin.)

Activum.

Pres. ἄρχω, imperf. ῆρχον,
perf. (ῆρχα,) and plusq. hardly ever occur.
fut. ἄρξω,
aor. ῆρξα, conj. ἄρξω, opt. ἄρξαιμι, ἄρξαις, ἄρξαι, &c.
imper. ἄρξον, ἀρξάτω, &c.
infin. ἄρξαι, part. ἄρξας.

Passivum.

Pres. ἄρχομαι, imperf. ἠρχόμην, perf. ἥργμαι, dual, ἤργμεθον, pl. ἤργμεθα, ἦρχθον, ῆρξαι, ῆοχθε, ῆρχθον, 3 pers. wanting. ñοκται, conj. and opt. wanting, imper. $\tilde{\eta}_{\varrho}\xi_{\varrho}$, $\tilde{\eta}_{\varrho}\chi\theta_{\omega}$, &c. infin. ἦρχθαι, part. ἠργμένος, plusq. ἤργμην, dual, ἤργμεθον, pl. ἤργμεθα, ῆρχθον, ñoξo, ῆρχθε, ήρκτο, $\eta_{\rho\chi}\theta_{\eta\nu}$, 3 pers. wanting, fut. ἀρχθήσομαι, aor. ἤρχθην, conj. ἀρχθω, opt. ἀρχθείην, imper. ἄρχθητι, infin. ἀρχθηναι, part. ἀρχθεὶς,

fut. 3. wanting (see § 99. Obs. 2).

Medium.

Fut. ἄρξομαι,
αστ. ἦρξάμην, conj. ἄρξωμαι, opt. ἀρξαίμην, imper. ἄρξαι, άσθω, &c.
infin. ἄρξασθαι, part. ἀρξάμενος.
Verbal adjectives, (in the tense of the active and middle voice,) ἀρκτέος, ἀρκτός.

Σκευάζω (to equip).

Activum.

Pres. σκευάζω, imperf. ἐσκεύαζον, perf. ἐσκεύακα, conj. ἐσκευάκω, opt. ἐσκευάκοιμι, imper. not used,

plusq. ἐσκευάκειν,

infin. ἐσκευακέναι, part. ἐσκευακώς,

fut. σκευάσω,

aor. ἐσκεύασα, conj. σκευάσω, opt. σκευάσαιμι, αις, σκευάσαι, &c. imper. σκεύασον, infin. σκευάσαι, part. σκευάσας.

Passivum.

Pres. σκευάζομαι, imperf. ἐσκευαζόμην,

perf. ἐσκεύασμαι, dual, ἐσκευάσμεθον, pl. ἐσκευάσμεθα,

ἐσκεύασαι, έσκεύασθον, ἐσκεύασθε, ἐσκεύασται,

ἐσκεύασθον, 3 pers. wanting,

conj. and opt. wanting, imper. ἐσκεύασο, ἐσκευάσθω, &c. infin. ἐσκευάσθαι, part. ἐσκευασμένος,

plusq. ἐσκευάσμην, ασο, αστο, &c.

fut. σκευασθήσομαι,

aor. ἐσκευάσθην,

fut. 3. (ἐσκευάσομαι,) does not occur.

Medium.

Fut. σκευάσομαι,

aor. ἐσκευασάμην, conj. σκευάσωμαι, opt. σκευασαίμην, imper. σκεύασαι, σκευασάσθω, &c. infin. σκευάσασθαι, part. σκευασάμενος.

Verbal adjectives, σκευαστέος, σκευαστός.

Κομίζω (to bring). Med. (to receive).

Activum.

Pres. κομίζω, perf. κεκόμικα,

imperf. ἐκόμιζον, plusq. έκεκομίκειν,

fut. κομίσω,

κομιείς, κομιείτου, κομιείτε, κομιεί, κομιείτον, κομιοῦ $\sigma\iota(\nu)$,

opt. κομιοίμι, οίς, &c. infin. κομιείν, part. κομιών, οῦσα, οῦν, gen. οῦντος,

aor. ἐκόμισα, conj. κομίσω, opt. κομίσαιμι, κομίσαις, κομίσαι, &c. imper. κόμισον, infin. κομίσαι, part. κομίσας.

Passivum.

Pres. κομίζομαι, imperf. ἐκομιζόμην, perf. κεκόμισμαι, (compare ἐσκεύασμαι,)

conj. and opt. wanting, imper. κεκόμισο, ίσθω, &c.

infin. κεκομίσθαι, part. κεκομισμένος,

plusq. ἐκεκομίσμην, fut. κομισθήσομαι,

aor. ἐκομίσθην,

fut. 3. (κεκομίσομαι,) does not occur.

Medium.

Fut. κομίσομαι,

fut. Att. κομιούμαι, dual, κομιούμεθον, pl. κομιούμεθα,

κομιε \tilde{i}^1 , κομιε $\tilde{i}\sigma\theta$ ον, κομιε $\tilde{i}\sigma\theta$ ε, κομιε $\tilde{i}\tau$ αι, κομιε $\tilde{i}\sigma\theta$ ον, κομιο \tilde{i} νται,

opt. κομιοίμην, κομιοΐο, &c.

infin. κομιείσθαι, part. κομιούμενος,

aor. ἐκομισάμην, conj. κομίσωμαι, opt. κομισαίμην, imper. κόμισαι, infin. κομίσασθαι, part. κομισάμενος.

Verbal adjectives, κομιστέος, κομιστός.

Φυλάσσω (to guard). Med. (to be on one's guard.)

Act.

Pres. φυλάσσω, φυλάττω, } perf. πεφύλαχα, fut. φυλάξω, imperf. ἐφύλασσον, ὶ ἐφύλαττον, ἡ plusq. ἐπεφυλάχειν, αον. ἐφύλαξα.

Pass.

Pres. φυλάσσομαι, ιmperf. ἐφυλασσόμην, ξ

perf. πεφύλαγμαι, dual, πεφυλάγμεθον, pl. πεφυλάγμεθα, πεφύλαξαι, πεφύλαχθον, πεφύλαχθε, πεφύλακται, πεφύλαχθον, 3 pers. wanting, conj. and opt. wanting; imper. πεφύλαξο, πεφυλάχθω, &c. infin. πεφυλάχθαι, part. πεφυλαγμένος,

¹ See below, the Note to Obs. III. 3.

plusq. ἐπεφυλάγμην, dual, ἐπεφυλάγμεθον, pl. ἐπεφυλάγμεθα, ἐπεφύλαξο, ἐπεφύλαχθον, ἐπεφύλαχθε, ἐπεφύλακτο, ἐπεφυλάχθην, 3 pers. wanting.

fut. φυλαχθήσομαι, aor. ἐφυλάχθην, fut. 3. πεφυλάξομαι.

Med.

Fut. φυλάξομαι, aor. ἐφυλαξάμην. Verbal adjectives, φυλακτέος, φυλακτός.

'Ορύσσω (to dig).

Act.

Pres. ὀρύσσω, ὀρύττω, imperf. ὤρυσσον, ὤρυττον, perf. ὀρώρυχα, conj. ὀρωρύχω, opt. ὀρωρύχοιμι, imper. not in use, infin. ὀρωρυχέναι, part. ὀρωρυχώς,

plusq. ωρωρύχειν, fut. δρύξω,

aor. ώρυξα, conj. ὀρύξω, opt. ὀρύξαιμι, ὀρύξαις, ὀρύξαι, &c.
imper. ὄρυξον, infin. ὀρύξαι, part. ὀρύξας.

Passivum.

perf. ὀρώρυρμαι, conj. and opt. wanting, imper. ὀρώρυξο, ὀρωρύχθω, &c. infin. ὀρωρύχθαι, part. ὀρωρυγμένος,

plusq. ωρωρύγμην,

fut. 1. ὀρυχθήσομαι, fut. 2. ὀρυγήσομαι,

aor. 1. ωρύχθην, aor. 2. ωρύγην, όρυχθηναι, &c. όρυγηναι, &c.

fut. 3. wanting. (see § 88. c. Obs. 2.)

Med.

Fut. ὀρύξομαι,

aor. ὦρυξάμην, conj. ὀρύξωμαι, opt. ὀρυξαίμην, imper. ὄρυξαι, infin. ὀρύξασθαι, part. ὀρυξάμενος.

Verbal adjectives, ὀρυκτέος, ὀρυκτός.

EXAMPLES OF THE VERBS IN $\lambda \mu \nu \rho$.

'Αγγέλλω (to announce).

Act.

Pres. indic. $\dot{\alpha}_{\gamma\gamma}$ έλλω,	conj. a	γγέλλω, opt. άς ıfin. ἀγγέλλειν,	γγέλλοιμ pàrt. ἀ	ι, imper. ἄγγελλε, γγέλλων.
imperf. ἤγγελλον.				
perf. indic. ἤγγελκα,		γγέλκω, opt. ήγ nfin. ήγγελκέναι		n, imper. not in use, γγελκώς,
plusq. ἠγγέλκειν,				
fut. 2. indic.				
ἀγγελῶ,	(dual, —		
ἀγγελεῖς	,	άγγελεῖτοι	ν,	άγγελεῖτε,
άγ:γελεῖ,		άγγελεῖτοι		
opt.				
sing. ἀγγελ	\οῖμι,	dual, —	pl.	άγγελοῖμεν,
ἀγγελ	\οῖς, .	dual, — ἀγγελοῖτο	ν,	άγγελοῖτε,
		ἀγγελοίτη or,		
		οίη,—οίητον, ο . to τύπτω;	οιήτην, ο	ίημεν, οίητε, οίησαν,
infin. ἀγγελεῖ part. ἀγγελῶ		ιοῦσα, ἀγγελοῦ:	v, gen. å	γγελοῦντος.
aor. 1. indic. ἤγγειλα,		ιγγείλω, opt. infin. ἀγγεῖλαι,	ἀγγείλαι ἀγγείλαι	μι, imper. ἄγγειλον, ς, , &c. art. ἀγγείλας.
aor. 2. indic. ἤγγελον¹,	conj. d		γγέλοιμι,	imper. ἄγγελε,

¹ See about the use of this tense in this verb the Note to § 101. Obs. 4.

άγγελοῦνται,

Pass.

(to be announced.)

conj. ἀγγέλλωμαι, opt. ἀγγελλοίμην, imper. ἀγγέλλου, Pres. ind. infin. ἀγγέλλεσθαι, part. ἀγγελλόμενος, αγγέλλομαι, imperf. ήγγελλόμην, perf. ind. dual, ηγγέλμεθον, pl. ηγγέλμεθα, ήγγελμαι, ήγγελσαι, ήγγελθον, ήγγελθε, ήγγελθου, 3 pers. wanting, ήγγελται, conj. and opt. wanting, imper. ἤγγελσο, ἠγγέλθω, &c. infin. ἤγγελθαι, part. ἠγγελμένος, Plusq. ηγγέλμην, dual, ηγγέλμεθον, pl. ηγγέλμεθα, ήγγελθου, ἤγγελθε, ήγγελσο, ηγγέλθην, 3 pers. wanting, ἤγγελτο, fut. 1. άγγελθήσομαι, &c. aor. 1. ind. | conj. ἀγγελθω, opt. ἀγγελθείην, imper. ἀγγέλθητι, infin. ἀγγελθηναι, part. ἀγγελθεὶς, ηγγέλθην. fut. 2. άγγελήσομαι, &c. aor. 2. ind. | conj. $\dot{a}_{\gamma\gamma} \in \lambda \tilde{\omega}$, opt. $\dot{a}_{\gamma\gamma} \in \lambda \in (\eta \nu, imper. \dot{a}_{\gamma\gamma} \in \lambda \eta \theta_i)$ ήγγέλην, infin. ἀγγεληναι, part. ἀγγελεὶς, fut. 3. wanting. (see § 99. Obs. 2.) Med. (to announce one's self.) Fut. indic. άγγελουμαι, dual, ἀγγελούμεθον, pl. ἀγγελούμεθα, $\dot{a}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\tilde{\eta}$ or $\epsilon\tilde{\imath}$, άγγελεῖσθον, άγγελεῖσθε,

> άγγελεῖσθου, N 2

αγγελείται,

Opt.

Sing. ἀγγελοίμην, dual, ἀγγελοίμεθον, pl. ἀγγελοίμεθα, ἀγγελοῖο, ἀγγελοῖσθον, ἀγγελοῖσθε, ἀγγελοῖτο, ἀγγελοίσθην, ἀγγελοῖντο, infin. ἀγγελεῖσθαι, part. ἀγγελούμενος, η, ον,

αοτ. 1. ind. | conj. ἀγγείλωμαι, opt. ἀγγειλαίμην, ἀγγείλαιο, &c. ἀγγειλάμην, ἀγγείλαιο, &c. infin. ἀγγείλασθαι, part. ἀγγειλάμενος,

αοτ. 2. ind. conj. ἀγγέλωμαι, opt. ἀγγελοίμην, έλοιο, &c. ἠγγελόμην, imper. ἀγγελοῦ, infin. ἀγγελέσθαι, part. ἀγγελόμενος.

Verbal Adjectives, ἀγγελτέος, ἀγγελτός.

See in the Appendix an alphabetical list of Barytone Verbs, partly for practice, and partly stating the particular use of each.

OBSERVATIONS TO ALL THE PARADIGMS.

I. Accent.

1. The basis of the theory of accents in verbs is, that the accent stands as far back as possible; consequently in dissyllables always on the first syllable,

τύπτω, τύπτε, λείπω, λεῖπε,

and in trisyllables and polysyllables, whenever the nature of the final syllable allows it, on the antepenultima,

τύπτομεν, τύπτουσι, τετύφασι, τύπτομαι ἔτυπτε, ἔτυψα, ἐπαίδευον, ἐφύλαξα,

and the imper.

φύλαττε, φύλαξον, φύλαξαι,

(but $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \omega$, $\phi v \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota v$, &c. because of the long final syllable.) Hence dissyllable compounds throw the accent on the preposition whenever the end-syllable allows it, for instance—

φέρε, λεῖπε,-πρόσφερε, ἀπόλειπε.

- 2. When an accented augment is dropped, the accent in simple verbs always falls on the next syllable, ex. gr. $\xi\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon$, $\xi\phi\epsilon\nu\gamma\epsilon$,— $\beta\acute{\alpha}\lambda\epsilon$, $\phi\epsilon\~{\nu}\gamma\epsilon$: but in compounds, on the prep., ex. gr. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\acute{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon$, $\pi\rho\circ\sigma\acute{\epsilon}\beta\eta$,— $\ddot{\epsilon}\mu\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon$, $\pi\rho\circ\sigma\beta\eta$. And in the former instance, monosyllables, the vowel of which is long, always take the circumflex, ex. gr. $\ddot{\epsilon}\beta\eta$ — $\beta\~{\eta}$.
- 3. There are seeming exceptions to this fundamental rule in instances grounded on a contraction; hence independently of the *verba contracta* in the following tenses of the usual conjugation:
 - 1.) The fut. 2. and fut. Att. of all kinds, (§ 95, 7-11.)
 - 2.) The conj. of the aor. pass. $\tau v \phi \theta \tilde{\omega}$, $\tau v \pi \tilde{\omega}^{-1}$, &c.

¹ These conjunctives, as well as those of the conjugation in μ , are actual contractions, since the η of the indic. first became $i\omega$, $i\eta c$, &c. and this again $\tilde{\omega}$, $\tilde{\eta}c$. See below, Obs. V. 14, compared with § 107. Obs. III. 2.

The temporal augment in trisyllabic compounds, (εκ. gr. ἀνάπτω, ἀνῆπτον,) see § 84. Obs. 4.

But the following are real exceptions :-

- The aor. 2. (to distinguish it more clearly from the pres. tense, see § 96.
 the Note to Obs. 3.) throws the accent in the following instances on the termination:
 - a. In the infin. and part. act. and infin. med. always $\tau \upsilon \pi \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\iota} v^2$, $\tau \upsilon \pi \tilde{\omega} \nu$, $\tau \upsilon \pi \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.
 - b. In the sing, of the imper. aor. 2. med. usually, ex. gr. γενοῦ, λαθοῦ, but in the pl. γένεσθε, λάθεσθε.
 - c. In the sing, of the imper. aor. 2. act. merely in the following verbs: είπὲ, ἐλθὲ, εὐρὲ, and according to the correct Attic pronunciation also λαβὲ, ἰδέ.

The compound imper follow again the general rule, $i\pi\iota\lambda\dot{a}\theta ov$, $\check{a}\pi\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon$, $\check{\epsilon}\iota\sigma\iota\delta\epsilon$.

 The infin. and part. of the perf. pass. are distinct from the rest of the pass. conjugation even by their accent, which they always have on the penultima, τετύφθαι, πεποιῆσθαι,

τετυμμένος, πεποιημένος.

- All infin. in ναι (except the dialectic form μεναι, θbs. V. 9.) have the accent on the penultima, τετνφέναι, τυφθῆναι, τυπῆναι. See also the infin. of the conjugation in μι.
- The infin. aer. 1. act. in aι and the 3 pers. of the opt. act. in oι and aι always keep the accent on the penultima, even when they are polysyllables, ex. gr.

infin. φυλάξαι, παιδεῦσαι,

3 pers. opt. φυλάττοι, φυλάξαι, παιδεύσαι 3.

- All participles in ως and εις have the acute accent on the last syllable, τετυφώς, τυφθείς, τυπείς, and in the conjugation in μι those in εις, ας,
- 6.) Wherever the mass. of a part. has the accent, the other genders have it also, without any regard but to the nature of the syllables, φυλάττων, φυλάττουσα, φυλάττουν, τιμήσων, τιμήσουσα, τιμῆσον, τετυφώς, τετυφυῖα, τετυφός.
- 7.) In compounds the accent never can go further back than the augment. The few instances in which there is only a short syllable after the augment, keep the accent on the augment, when compounded, ἀνέσχον, ἀνέσταν. But if the augment be dropped, the rule is as stated in Obs. 1 (πρόσβη).

II .- Some particular Ionisms and Atticisms.

1. The Ionians make of the imperf. and of the two aor.

iteratives in -σκον, pass. form -σκόμην,

³ It is by this and the *third pers*. (§ 12. Obs. 3.) of the opt. never taking the circumflex on the penultima, that the three similar forms of the aor. 1. are distinguished, ex. gr.— infin. act. 3 opt. act. imper. med.

παιδεύσαι, παιδεύσαι, παίδευσαι. But as the number of syllables, or the nature of the penultima, seldom allows this accentuation, we generally can distinguish only two of these forms; and in such verbs as $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$ we cannot even distinguish any of the three. See, for instance, below in $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} i \omega$, $\kappa \rho \dot{\iota} \dot{\zeta} \omega$, $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega$.

² The *infin. aor.* 2. *act.* might be classed among the seeming exceptions (2.) because the Ionians form it like the *jut.* 2. in $\hat{\epsilon}\epsilon\nu$, ϵv , νr , $\lambda a\beta \hat{\epsilon}\epsilon\nu$ for $\lambda a\beta \hat{\epsilon}i\nu$. But the case unquestionably is here the reverse. The accent was thrown on $\hat{\epsilon}i\nu$ from the same motive as on $\hat{\omega}\nu$ and $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\theta at$, and the Ionians, who were fond of vowels, let this accentuation dwindle into a lengthened termination.

for the purpose of denoting the repetition of an action. They are used only in the *indic.*, and commonly do not take the *augment*. In the verb $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$ they would look thus,

τύπτεσκον, τυπτεσκόμην, from ἔτυπτον, όμην, τύψασκον, τυψασκόμην, from ἔτυψα, άμην, τύπεσκον, τυπεσκόμην, from ἔτυπον, όμην.

See also the Obs. to the contracted verbs, and to those in μ. There are some remarkable Epic forms of this kind, which combine the vowel α of the aor. 1. with the characteristic of the pres. and imperf., ρίπτασκον, κρύπτασκε, ροίζασκεν, ἀνασσείασκε (Hymn. Apoll. 403). See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. § 94, 4.

2. The plusq. (1. and 2.) act. in ειν with the Ionians made the first pers. εα and the third εε or εεν (ἐτετύφεα, ἐτετύφεε or -εεν). Hence the Attic contracted form of the first pers. in η (from εα), ex. qr.

ἐπεπόνθη for ἐπεπόνθειν,

which form appears to have been the usual one among the old Attics. But the second person $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$, (from $\epsilon a_{\mathcal{C}}$,) and the third $\epsilon \iota \nu$, (from $\epsilon \epsilon \nu$,) before a vowel, for instance $\pi \epsilon \pi o i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \pi o i \theta \epsilon \iota$, Aristoph. Nub. 1347. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, II. ψ . 691. (compare below the third p. imperf. $\ddot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, § 105. Obs. 3.) were perhaps less common with the Attics: whatever has been stated about these forms, and about a third person η (for $\epsilon \iota$,)—beside the plusq. $\ddot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$, (see below $o i \delta a$, § 109. III.) rests solely on a few isolated passages, and vague remarks of the ancient grammarians. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. § 17. Obs. 14.

3. There was instead of the opt. act. in own a collateral form in oinv, oing, oing pl. oinner, oinver, called the Attic form; but it chiefly occurs only in contracted verbs, (§ 105.) and consequently also in the fut. circumflex, ex. gr. $\xi \rho oin$ for $\xi \rho o i$, of the fut. $\xi \rho o i$, Cyrop. 3, 1, 11. $\phi a \nu oinv$, Soph. Aj. 313. In barytone verbs it occurs merely in the perf.; ex. gr. $\pi \varepsilon \phi \varepsilon \nu \gamma oinv$, $\xi \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta oinv$.

4. Instead of the opt. aor. 1. act. in αιμι, the Æolians had a form in εια, (τύψεια, ειας, ειεν, &c.) of which we have given in the paradigm three terminations,

sing. second pers. $\tau \dot{\nu} \psi \epsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma$, third $\tau \dot{\nu} \psi \epsilon \iota \epsilon (\nu)$, for $-\alpha \iota \varsigma$, $-\alpha \iota$,

pl. third pers. τύψειαν, for -αιεν,

which are far more usual than the regular ones.

5. The form of the third pers. pl. of the imper. in $-\nu\tau\omega\nu$, pass. $-\sigma\theta\omega\nu$, is called the Attic, because most usual with the Attics, though it occurs in other dialects. In the act. form it always is like the gen. pl. of the part. of the same tense, excepting the perf. $(\pi\epsilon\pi\circ\iota\theta\epsilon\tau\omega\sigma\sigma\nu)$ or $\pi\epsilon\pi\circ\iota\theta\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu$,—part. $\pi\epsilon\pi\circ\iota\theta\delta\tau\omega\nu$).

III.—Second Pers. Sing. Pass.

1. The primitive termination of the second pers. of the pass form σa and σo (see § 87. the Table,) has maintained itself in the usual conjugation only in the perf. and plusq., and in the verbs in $\mu \iota$ (§ 106. Obs. 2). It is merely in the less polished dialects that we find the second persons $\tau \acute{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma a_{\iota}$, $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \acute{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma o$, imper. $\tau \acute{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma o$, aor. 1. med. $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \acute{\nu} \psi a \sigma o$,—conj. $\tau \acute{\nu} \pi \tau \eta \sigma a_{\iota}$, &c. ⁵

2. The Ionians rejected the σ of this old form, and thus made it $\epsilon \alpha \iota$, $\eta \alpha \iota$, ϵo , αo , which the common language again contracted into η , ov, ω , for instance,

⁴ Except these instances, it is only the anomalous aor. $\xi\sigma\chi o\nu$ from $\xi\chi\omega$, which always has $\sigma\chi oi\eta\nu$ in the opt.

⁵ The second pers. pres. pass. of the contracted verbs appears to have been of frequent occurrence in the popular language; we find, for instance, in the New Testament, καυχάσαι for καυχάεσαι, commonly καυχ \tilde{q} , the contraction of καυχάg (see below $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$).

Ion., common, Ion., common, 2 pres. ind. τύπτεαι, τύπτ η , imper. τύπτεο, τύπτου, — conj. τύπτηαι, τύπτ η , 2 imperf. ἐτύπτεο, ἐτύπτου, 2. aor. 1. med. Ion. ἐτύψαο, common ἐτύψω.

Thus the opt. oiso was made oio, which continued to be the common form, not being susceptible of contraction.—But the σ is never dropped in the perf. and plusq. ex-

cepting ἔσσνο. See the Anom. σεύω.

3. The Attics had also this peculiarity, that they contracted the η which came from $\epsilon a\iota$ into $\epsilon \iota$. This form, which has on that account been added every where in the paradigm, was unquestionably the usual one in genuine Attic writers, excepting the tragic poets, as well as in the popular language, and in the verbs $\beta o \acute{\nu} \lambda o \mu a \iota$, $o \acute{\nu} o \mu a \iota$, (see the Anom. $\acute{\nu} o \acute{\mu} a \iota$), the second pers.

βούλει, οίει, ὄψει,

thus remained alone in common use, so that $\beta o \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta$ and $o \ddot{\iota} \eta$ can only be conjunctives.

4. The Doric and Ionic dialects have εv instead of εo or ov, $(\xi \tau \dot{v}\pi \tau \varepsilon v, imper. \tau \dot{v}\pi \tau \varepsilon v)$, see § 28. Obs. 5.—and the Epic poets may lengthen the ε of the imper. and make it $\varepsilon \iota$, but it occurs seldom, ex. gr. $\xi \rho \varepsilon \iota o$ for $\xi \rho \varepsilon o$, from $\xi \rho o \mu a \iota$ (Il. λ . 611).

IV.—Ionic form of the third pers. pl. pass. in αται, ατο.

1. In the third pers. pl. of both the indic and opt. pass., but never in the conj., the Ionians changed the ν into α , and consequently had, for instance,

ορτ. τυπτοίατο for τύπτοιντο,

perf. πεπαιδεύαται for πεπαίδευνται,

— κεκλίαται for κέκλινται,

which form was sometimes imitated by the Attic poets, for the sake of the metre. See also below the verbs in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ and $\dot{\alpha}\omega$.

2. The Ionians sometimes do the same with the termination $o\nu\tau o$, changing the o into ε , ex. gr.

έβουλέατο for έβούλοντο.

But ονται, (τύπτονται, τύψονται, &c.) and the termination of the conj. ωνται, always remain unchanged.

3. The third pers. pl. perf. and plusq. pass. may by means of this Ionism be thus formed, (and even Attic prose-writers, as Thuc. and Plato, do it sometimes,) when the characteristic of the verb is a consonant, (see § 98, 2. with Obs. 2.) for instance,

τετύφαται, for — φνται, ἐτετάχατο, — χντο, ἐστάλαται, — λνται,

from τύπτω, τάττω, στέλλω, and the like.—In ἀπίκαται, (Ion. for ἀφίκαται, see the Αποπ. ἱκνέομαι,) the characteristic of the verb is retained unchanged instead of χ.

4. The lingual letters appear again in lieu of the σ , which is dropped before $\nu\tau\alpha\iota$, $\nu\tau\sigma$, for instance of $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\omega$, $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$,

3 pers. pl. πεπείθαται for πέπεινται,

and of έρείδω, έρήρεισμαι,

έρηρέδαται, (Hom.) for ἐρήρεινται,

(where the diphthong is made short on account of the Attic redupl. § 85, 2.) and with the δ , which is concealed in the ζ , being restored (§ 92, 8).

ἐσκευάδαται, κεχωρίδαται, from σκευάζω, χωρίζω.

5. We find in editions of Homer verbs formed in this manner, which have neither δ nor ζ in the pres. Ἐρράδαται (from ῥαίνω, ἔρῥασμαι, § 101. Obs. 8. b.) may, how-

ever, be derived from a collateral form PAZQ, from which $\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\alpha\tau\varepsilon$ occurs in Homer. The others cannot be safely relied on in grammar 6.

V.—Miscellaneous Remarks.

1. Some unpolished dialects, and especially the Alexandrian, (§ 1. Obs. 10.) gave to the third pers. pl., in the historical tenses, and the opt., the termination σαν: hence we frequently meet, particularly in the Greek translation of the Old Testament, with forms like ἐφαίνοσαν, ἐφύγοσαν, λείποισαν, (for ἔφαινον, ἔφυγον, λείποιεν,) &c.

2. The Dorians and the poets, on the contrary, have in some cases a bare ν , with a short vowel instead of $\sigma a \nu$, the termination of the third pers. pl. This occurs

especially in the aor.

third pers. pl. ἔτυφθεν, ἔτυπεν, for -ησαν,

(but never $\eta \nu$, for with respect to Homer's $\mu \iota \acute{a} \nu \theta \eta \nu$ see $\mu \iota \acute{a} \iota \nu \omega$ in the list of Anom.

Verbs.) The other instances belong to the conjugation in $\mu\iota$.

3. The same unpolished dialects (1.) by a still stronger anomaly gave to the third pers. pl. of the perf. $\bar{\alpha}\sigma\iota$ the (historical) termination $\alpha\nu$: hence in the New Testament $\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega\kappa\alpha\nu$ and $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\rho\eta\kappa\alpha\nu$, in the Batrachom. 178. $\tilde{\epsilon}o\rho\gamma\alpha\nu$. See about the interchange with the third pers. of the dual in a narrative, ex. gr. Il. κ . 364. $\delta\iota\dot{\omega}\kappa\epsilon\tau o\nu$, instead of $-\eta\nu$, § 87. Obs. 2.

4. The third pl. of the principal tenses has commonly $\nu\tau\iota$ instead of $\sigma\iota\nu$ or $\sigma\iota$ in the Doric dialect, as we remarked § 37. Obs. 3. explaining by it the long vowel

before the σ in the usual form: thus,

τύπτοντι, τετύφαντι, for τύπτουσι, τετύφασιν, conj. τύπτωντι, for τύπτωσιν, fut. 2. μενέοντι, μενεύντι, for (μενέουσι) μενούσιν.

This form does not take the ν $\xi\phi\epsilon\lambda\kappa\nu\sigma\tau\iota\kappa\acute{o}\nu$.—Another Dorism is $\tau\acute{v}\pi\tau\iota\iota\sigma\iota$ for $\tau\acute{v}\pi\tau\iota\iota\nu\sigma\iota$.

5. Even in the terminations $ov\sigma a$ and $\bar{a}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\bar{a}\sigma a$, of the participle, the long vowel comes from the omission of ν or $\nu\tau$, which appears from the gen. mass. The Dorians always have ov and av in its stead:

τύπτοισα, for τύπτουσα, aor. 2. λαβοῖσα⁷, for λαβοῦσα, τύψαις, τύψαισα, for τύψας, ασα.

6. The Epic poets allow themselves to make the accented o long in the oblique cases of the part. perf.: for instance, τετριγῶτας for -ότας. See about the Doric part. perf. in ων. ονσα, § 111.

7. The Dorians also introduced their \bar{a} into the terminations $\ell\tau\nu\pi\tau\delta\mu\alpha\nu$, $\ell\tau\epsilon\tau\dot{\nu}\mu-\mu\alpha\nu$, $\tau\nu\pi\tau\delta\dot{\mu}\alpha\nu$, &c. for $-\mu\eta\nu$, and (though less frequently, and only in the later Dorisms,) into the termination of the agr. pass., ex. gr. $\ell\tau\dot{\nu}\pi\alpha\nu$ (Theoer. 4, 53).

8. The first pers pl. act. in μεν is in the Doric dialect μες, (τύπτομες, ἐτύψαμες,) and the first pers. dual and pl. pass. μεθα, μεθον, is with the Dorians and poets μεσθα, μεσθον, with an σ inserted:

τυπτόμεσθα, τυπτόμεσθου.

9. The *infin*, in $\epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\nu a \iota$ had, in the old language and the dialects, the form of $\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$ and $\mu \epsilon \nu$, thus,

τυπτέμεναι, τυπτέμεν, for τύπτειν, τετυφέμεναι, τετυφέμεν, for τετυφέναι, τυπήμεναι, τυπήμεν, for τυπήναι,

⁶ H. ρ. 637. ἀκηχέδαται from ἀκήχεμαι, (see Anom. ἀκαχίζω,) and Od. η. 86. λληλάδατο from λλάω, λλήλαμαι, both with doubtful various readings. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. \S 98. Obs. 13. \r Not λαβε \r σα, because there is here no contraction. See \S 13. Obs. 13. Note.

VERBS. 185

and sometimes they were syncopated, ἔδμεναι.—(See also below the Contracted

Verbs and the Verbs in µ1.)

10. But the Dorians in particular make the infin., instead of ειν—εν or ην, without either removing the acute accent backwards, or changing it; for instance, μερίσδεν, εὕδεν, ἀείδεν, for μερίζειν, εὕδειν, ἀείδειν, αοτ. 2. ἀγαγὲν for ἀγαγεῖν—χαίρην for χαίρειν (not χαίρην).

11. We also sometimes find in Doric writers the second pers. pres. act. ες instead of εις, likewise without any change in the accent; ex. gr. ἀμέλγες for ἀμέλγεις,

Theocr.

12. The old language had in the second pers. act. instead of c,

the final syllable $\sigma\theta\alpha$,

which in Homer and other poets is frequently annexed to the conjunctive, and less frequently to the opt.; $ex. gr. \&\theta\&\lambda\eta\sigma\theta\alpha$ for $\&\theta\&\lambda\eta\varepsilon$, $\kappa\lambda\alpha ioi\sigma\theta\alpha$ for $\kappa\lambda\alpha ioi\varepsilon$, but which has maintained itself in the common language only in a few anomalous verbs

(see below, § 108, 109. $\epsilon i \mu i$, $\epsilon \bar{i} \mu \iota$, $\phi \eta \mu i$, and $o \bar{i} \delta \alpha$).

13. The three terminations which are considered as peculiarities of the conjugation in $\mu\iota$: 1 sing. $\mu\iota$, 3 sing. $\sigma\iota$, imper. $\theta\iota$, probably belonged to the verb itself in the most ancient language. Hence the imper. $\theta\iota$, not only in the aor. pass. but also in the syncopated perf. (§ 110.) The first pers. $\mu\iota$ has maintained itself in the usual conjugation only in the opt., but the oldest Epic poets had it also in the conjunctive, $\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\iota$

ϊκωμι, άγάγωμι, for ϊκω, άγάγω.

Lastly, the third pers. sing. σ_i or $\sigma_{i\nu}$ is also very usual in Epic poetry in the conj., ex. gr.

τύπτησιν, ἔχησι, for τύπτη, ἔχη.

(See about the indic. $\eta \sigma \iota$ instead of the usual form, § 106. Obs. 10.)

14. The circumflexed forms are either resolved or lengthened by the Ionians, viz. the infin. aor. 2. act. $\epsilon i\nu$ is $\epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$, as $\phi \nu \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$ for $\phi \nu \gamma \epsilon i\nu$ from $\epsilon \phi \nu \gamma \sigma \nu$, (see the Note to Obs. 1, 4.) and the conj. of the two aor. pass. $\tilde{\omega}$ is $\epsilon \omega$, Epic $\epsilon \iota \omega$, (see the Note to Obs. I. 3.) thus:

conj. aor. 1. pass. εύρεθέω, for εύρεθῶ, (from εὐρέθην,) conj. aor. 2. pass. τυπέω, Ερίς τυπείω, for τυπῶ.

In the persons of this conj., which end in ν , the Epics sometimes lengthen the preceding ε in this manner, and sometimes double it, $(\eta\eta,)$ for instance, $(i\delta\alpha\mu\eta\nu, \delta\alpha\mu\tilde{\omega})$, $\delta\alpha\mu\epsilon(\eta\varsigma, \delta\alpha\mu\epsilon(\eta\varsigma, \delta\alpha\xi, \delta\alpha\mu\epsilon(\eta\varsigma, \delta\alpha\xi, \delta\alpha\xi))))))))$

15. The conj. in Epic poetry sometimes loses its long vowel, and takes o and ϵ for ω and η , ex. gr. tomer, let us go, (see below $\epsilon \bar{\iota} \mu \iota$, conj. $\bar{\iota} \omega$,) exercise for $-\omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, (II. β . 440.) existing for conj. aor. 1. existing $\epsilon \iota$ (a. 141.) imagesta for $-\eta \tau \alpha \iota$, (Od. a. 41.) rautilleta (d. 672). See also eldomer under olda (§ 109. III. 6). It occurs most frequently in the conj. aor. pass., for instance, dameiomer, dameiere 9 .

8 There is a third reading in the quoted passages, (Π. γ. 436. χ. 246.) and others, where it clearly is the conj., viz. δαμείης, δαμείη, without the iota subscriptum.

See about it the Note to § 107. Obs. IV. 9.

 $^{^{9}}$ This has been attempted to be considered a mere deviation from syntax, as if it were the *indic*, instead of the *conj*. But as this interchange occurs only where the metre does not allow the *conj*, it is obvious that, whilst the language was not settled, pronunciation came to the assistance of the metre. And this view of the matter is placed beyond all contradiction by instances where there is no *indic*, similar to the abbreviated *conj*. This is the case with είδομεν, θείομεν, (for θέωμεν,) ἴομεν, and all conjunctives of the *aor*. pass. We cannot presuppose in Homer an *indic*. είδω, I know, θείω, I put, ἴω, I go, for else these forms would as readily occur as real *indic*., and be met with also in the other persons, (εἴδω, εἴδουσι, &c., with the signification to know,) which, however, is not the fact.

§ 104.

1. The rules which we have just given show merely the manner in which the different tenses are formed. But no language affords fixed rules to know which formation each particular verb follows, when there is a diversity of formations possible. A great part of this is matter of memory, and just as we are obliged in Latin to remember the perf. and supinum of every verb, especially of the third conjugation, we must in Greek remember in each verb every one of the tenses, of which we have been hitherto treating in particular Sections.

2. It is of the most material importance to know whether the aor. 2. act., the perf. 2., and the aor. 2. pass. of a given verb are in use. These tenses are certainly related to each other in form; but it does not follow (as has frequently been observed) that a verb which has one of these tenses has also the others. On the contrary, we have seen that the aor. 2. act. and pass. seldom occur together in one verb. The Lexicon, or the lists in the Appendix, must be diligently consulted. Actual instances must have been met with of each of these tenses, which is a very uncommon occurrence, or it may safely be assumed that the verb barely has the other tenses, viz. the aor. 1. act., perf. 1., and aor. 1. pass. 1

3. It may be considered as an invariable rule that all trisyllabic and polysyllabic derivative verbs which end in

άζω, ίζω, αίνω, ύνω, εύω, όω, άω, έω,

as

σκευάζω from νομίζω from vóuoc. σκευή, σημαίνω from σημα, εὐθύνω from $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \theta \dot{\nu} c$, παιδεύω from παίς, δουλόω from τιμάω from $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$, φιλέω from φίλος, have only

the aor. 1. act., perf. 1. (in κa ,) and aor. 1. pass.

Obs. 1. Some of these terminations, however, are not derivative in some verbs, that is to say, not derived from a noun subst. or adj., but mere lengthened forms of a simple radical pres. tense, and thereby rendered anomalous. (See § 92.) These

¹ Exactly as in English the greatest number of verbs by far have ed, in the imperf. and part. past, (I love, loved, have loved,) and the smallest number have the monosyllabic imperf. and a part. pass. differing from it (I see, saw, have seen). And in German most verbs have the imperf. te and the part. pass. t, ('ich sage, ich sage, ich habe gesagt,') and comparatively few a monosyllabic imperf. and the part. n, ('ich trage, ich trug, ich habe getragen'); the first form alone is used in German for derivative verbs, which in Greek have also merely the aor. 1, &c.

VERBS. 187

verbs may make a second aor. from this simple form, ex. gr. ἀλιταίνω from ΑΛΙΤΩ $\hat{\eta}$ λιτον, $\delta a\mu \acute{a}\omega$ from ΔΕΜΩ $\grave{\epsilon} \delta \acute{a}\mu \eta \nu$: they are all stated in the list of Anomalous Verbs.

Obs. 2. Hither belong also those derivative verbs which, according to § 119, 4. end in $\sigma\sigma\omega$, $\pi\tau\omega$, $\lambda\lambda\omega$, &c., and are derived from nominatives. The verb $d\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\omega$ is the only one of these verbs which has an aor. 2. pass. ($\dot{\eta}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}\gamma\eta\nu$).

§ 105.—Conjugation of Verbs contracted.

1. The Greek verbs in $\epsilon \omega$, $\delta \omega$, and $\delta \omega$, conform in the main to the rules stated and examples given, and in the Section on the formation of the tenses we have attended to their peculiarities. But in the pres. tense and imperf., act. and pass., when the vowels a, ϵ , and o happen to stand immediately before the vowels of the termination, (and partly remain unchanged in the Ionic dialect, see below Obs. 1.) they undergo in both the Attic and the common language a contraction.

2. This contraction follows the general rules of § 28, excepting some terminations in the verbs in $\delta\omega$. Instead of contracting $o\omega$ into $o\nu$, and $o\eta$ into ω , according to the general rule, the ι of the second and third person predominates, and the final syllables $o\omega$ and $o\eta$ are contracted into $o\iota$, and $o\omega$ and $o\eta$

into oc. Thus-

Second pers. indic. act. μ ισθόεις, ρ ς, ρ ς, ρ ς, ρ ς, ρ ς contr. ρ ισθοῖς, ρ ς, ρ ς conj. ρ ες contr. ρ ες contr. ρ ες ρ

and in the same way

Second pers. indic. and

conj. pass. μισθόη, contr. μισθοῖ,

and as ooi is likewise contracted into oi, three moods, viz. the indic., conj., and opt. of the act. voice become perfectly like in these two persons. The infin. $\delta \varepsilon \iota \nu$ is correctly contracted: $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \delta \varepsilon \iota \nu$, contr. $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \circ \tilde{\nu} \nu$.

3. The whole *indic*. and *conj. act*. and *pass*. of the verbs in $\dot{a}\omega$ are also perfectly similar, being contracted according to the general rule, viz. $a\varepsilon$ and $a\eta$ into a, $a\varepsilon\iota$ and $a\eta$ into a, and $a\sigma$ as well as $a\sigma\nu$ and $a\omega$ into ω .

Activum. Præsens.

	Sing.	Dual,	π π π σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ	# #	Infin. π	Part. π
	ποιέω, ποιέεις,	ποιέει,	ποιέετου, ποιέετου, ποιέομευ,	π οιεετε, π οιεουσι (v) , \tilde{v}	ποιέειν,	ποιέων, έουσα, έου, gen. ποιέο ποιῶν, οῦσα, οῦυ, gen. ποιοῦ
(to do, make,)	ποιώ, ποιείς,	ποιεί,	ποιείτου, ποιείτου, ποιούμευ,	$\pi^{0lelte}, \\ \pi^{0lov}\sigma_l(v),$	ποιείν,	ουσα, έου, gen. ποιέουτος, όσα, ούυ, gen. ποιούυτος,
(to he	τιμάω,	τιμάει,	τιμάετου, τιμάετου, τιμάομευ,	τ ιμαετε, τ ιμαουσι (v) ,	τιμάειν,	τιμάων, άουσα, άον, gen. τιμάοντος, τιμῶν, ῶσα, ῶν, gen. τιμῶντος,
(to honour,)	τιμώ,	τιμά, -	τιμάτον, τιμάτον, τιμώμεν,	τ ιματε, τ ιμῶ σ ι (ν) ,	τιμᾶν,	ουσα, άον, gen. τιμάοντος, ra, ών, gen. τιμώντος,
(to let out for hire,)	μισθόω,	μισθόει,	μισθόετον, μισθόετον, μισθόομεν,	μ ισθόετε, μ ισθόουσι (v) ,	μσθόειν,	μισθόων, θόουσα, θόου, gen. μισθόοντος, μισθών, θοῦσα, θοῦν, gen. μισθοῦντος.
for hire,)	μισθώ,	μισθού,	μισθούτου, μισθούτου, μισθούμευ,	μισθοῦτε, $μισθοῦσι(ν)$.	μισθούν.	θόουσα, θόου, gen. μισθόουτος, δοῦσα, θοῦν, gen. μισθοῦντος.

μ $\alpha\theta\tilde{\omega}$, μ $\alpha\theta\delta\tilde{\omega}$, μ $\alpha\theta\delta\tilde{\omega}$,	$\mu \alpha \theta \tilde{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu$, $\mu \alpha \theta \tilde{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu$, $\mu \alpha \theta \tilde{\omega} \mu \nu$, $\mu \alpha \theta \tilde{\omega} \tau \varepsilon$, $\mu \alpha \theta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota (\nu)$,	μισθοΐμι, μισθοῖς, μισθοῖ, —	μισθοίτην, μισθοίμεν, μισθοίτε, μισθοίτε,	ttic, ς, οίη, οιήτην, , (οίησαν.)
μισθόω, μισθόης, μισθόη,	μισθόητον, μισθόητον, μισθόητεν, μισθόητε, μισθόωσι(ν),	μισθόοιμι, μισθόοις, μισθόοι,	μασθοσίτην, μισθοσίτην, μισθόσιτε, μισθόσιτε,	οτ Attic, μισθοίην, οίης, οίη, -οίητον, οιήτην, -οίημεν, οίητε, (οίησαν.) ³ See p. 187. 2.
τιμῶ, τιμᾶς, τιμᾶ;²	τιμάτου, τιμάτου, τιμώμευ, τιμάτε, τιμώσι(ν),	τιμφμι, τιμφς, τιμφ,	τιμότον, τιμότην, τιμόμεν, τιμότε, τιμόεν,	or Attic, τιμώην, ψης, ψη, -ψητου, ψήτην, -ψημεν, ψητε, (ψησαν.) , 0bs. 4. about this Attic form.
τιμάω, τιμάης, τιμάη,	τμάητου, τμάητου, τιμάφεν, τιμάητε, τιμασσι(ν),	τιμάοιμι, τιμάοις, τιμάοι,	τιμαοιτον, τιμαοίτην, τιμάοιμεν, τιμάοιτε,	οι Attic, τιμώην, ψης, ψη, -ψητον, ψήτην, -ψημεν, ψητε, (ψησαν.) See below, Obs. 4. about this Attic form
ποιῶ, ποιῆς, πουῆ,	$\overline{}$ ποιήτον, ποιήτεν, ποιήτε, ποιήτε, ποιώσε(ν),	ποιοίμι, ποιοίς, ποιοί,	ποιοιτον, ποιοίτην, ποιοίμεν, ποιοίτε, ποιοίεν,	v , $\sigma \alpha v$).
ποιέω, ποιέης, ποιέη,	ποιέητου, ποιέητου, ποιέητε, ποιέητε, ποιέωσι(ν),	ποιέοιμι, ποιέοις, ποιέοι,	ποιέοιτου, ποιεοίτηυ, ποιέοιμευ, ποιέοιτε,	or Attic, ποιοίην, οίης, οίη, -οίητον, οίητε, -οίημεν, οίητε, (οίη 2 See p. 187. 3.
j. Sing.	Dual, Plur.	Sing. Dual,	Plur.	

Imper.						
Sing.	ποίεε,	ποίει,	τίμαε,	τίμα,	μίσθοε,	μίσθου,
	ποιεέτω,	ποιείτω,	τιμαέτω,	τιμάτω,	μισθοέτω,	μισθούτω,
Dual,	ποιέετου,	ποιείτου,	τιμάετον,	τιμάτον,	μισθόετον,	μισθούτου,
	ποιεέτων,	ποιείτων,	τιμαέτων,	τιμάτων,	μισθοέτων,	μισθούτων,
Plur.	ποιέετε,	ποιείτε,	τιμάετε,	τιμάτε,	μισθόετε,	μισθούτε,
	ποιεέτωσαν, οτ	ποιείτωσαν, οτ	τιμαέτωσαν, οτ	τιμάτωσαν, οτ	μισθοέτωσαν, οτ μισθούτωσαν, οτ	μισθούτωσαν, οτ
	ποιεόντων,	ποιούντων,	τιμαόντων,	τιμώντων,	μισθοόντων.	μισθούντων.
Imperf.						
Sing.	έποίεου,	έποίουν,	έτίμαον,	ἐτίμων,	ξμίσθοον,	ξμίσθουν,
	έποίεες,	ἐποίεις,	ἐ τίμαες,	ἐτίμας,	έμίσθοες,	ξμίσθους,
	έποίεε,	έποίει,	ἐτίμαε,	ἐτίμα,	έμίσθοε,	żuiobov.
Dual,	***************************************			- 1	- 1	
	έποιέετου,	έποιείτου,	ἐτιμάετον,	έτιμᾶτον,	έμισθόετου.	έμισθούτον.
	έποιεέτην,	έποιείτην,	έτιμαέτην,	έτιμάτην,	έμισθοέτην,	έμισθούτην,
Plur.	έποιέομεν,	έποιοῦμεν,	έτιμάομεν,	έτιμώμεν,	έμισθόομεν,	έμισθούμεν,
	έποιέετε,	ἐποιεῖτε,	έτιμάετε,	ετιμάτε,	έμισθόετε,	έμισθούτε,
	έποίεου,	έποίουν,	ἐτίμαον,	ετίμων,	έμίσθοον,	εμίσθουν.

The following four tenses are conjugated like the same tenses in $\tau \sqrt{\pi \tau \omega}$ or $\pi \alpha \omega \partial_z \partial_\omega$

דטחדש טו המוסבטש.	μεμίσθωκα, Infin. Part.	ἐμεμισθώκειν, μισθώσω, ἐμίσθωσα.
and the same that courses are confugated line affects that the of fallocular	τετίμηκα. Infm. τετιμηκέναι, Part κώς, &c.	ἐτετιμήκειν, τιμήσω, ὲτίμησα,
THE TOTAL PART PORTE ATT	πεποίηκα, Infin. πεποιηκέναι, $Part.$ —— κώς, &c.	έπεπουήκειν, ποιήσω, ὲποίησα,
	Perf.	Flusg. Fut. 1. Aor. 1.

ι μεμισθωκέναι,

6

Indic.			Præsens.			
Sing.	ποιέομαι,	ποιούμαι,	rindouai,	rıµõµaı,	μισθόομαι,	μισθούμαι,
	TOIETAL	TOLETTAL	Tudita	ruūra.	μισθόται.	μισθούται.
Dual,	ποιεόμεθον,	ποιούμεθου,	τιμαόμεθον,	τιμώμεθον,	μισθοόμεθον,	μισθούμεθον,
	ποιέεσθον,	ποιείσθον,	τιμάεσθου,	τιμᾶσθον,	μισθόεσθον,	μισθούσθον,
	ποιέεσθον,	ποιείσθον,	τιμάεσθου,	τιμάσθον,	μισθόεσθου,	μισθούσθου,
Plur.	ποιεόμεθα,	ποιούμεθα,	τιμαόμεθα,	τιμώμεθα,	μισθοόμεθα,	μισθούμεθα,
	ποιέεσθε,	ποιείσθε,	τιμάεσθε,	$\tau \iota \mu \tilde{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon$,	μισθόεσθε,	μισθούσθε,
	ποιέονται,	ποιούνται,	τιμάονται,	τιμώνται,	μισθόονται,	μισθούνται,
Infin.	ποιέεσθαι,	ποιείσθαι,	τιμάεσθαι,	τιμᾶσθαι,	μισθόεσθαι,	μισθούσθαι,
Part.	ποιεόμενος,	ποιούμενος,	τιμαόμενος,	τιμώμενος,	μισθοόμενος,	μισθούμενος,
Conj.						
Sing.	ποιέωμαι,	ποιώμαι,	τιμάωμαι,	τιμώμαι 5,	μισθόωμαι,	μισθώμαι,
	ποιέη,	$\pi o \tilde{\eta},$	$\tau \mu \alpha p$,	$\tau \iota \mu ilde{a},$	$\mu \omega 0 \delta p$	μισθοί *,
	ποιέηται,	ποιῆται,	τιμάηται,	τιμάται,	μισθόηται,	μισθώται,
Dual,	ποιεώμεθον,	ποιώμεθου,	τιμαώμεθον,	τιμώμεθον,	μισθοώμεθον,	μισθώμεθον,
	ποιέησθον,	ποιήσθον,	τιμάησθον,	τιμᾶσθον,	μισθόησθον,	μισθώσθου,
	ποιέησθον,	ποιήσθον,	τιμάησθον,	τιμᾶσθον,	μισθόησθον,	μισθώσθον,
Plur.	ποιεώμεθα,	ποιώμεθα,	τιμαώμεθα,	τιμώμεθα,	μισθοώμεθα,	μισθώμεθα,
	ποιέησθε,	π o $\tilde{\eta}$ σ θ ε ,	τιμάησθε,	$\tau \iota \mu \tilde{u} \sigma \theta_{\epsilon}$,	μισθόησθε,	μισθώσθε,
	ποιέωνται,	ποιώνται,	τιμάωνται,	τιμώνται,	μισθόωνται,	μισθώνται.

see p. 187.

μισθοίμην, μισθοίο, μισθοίμεθου, μισθοίσθου, μισθοίμεθα, μισθοίσθε, μισθοίσθε,	μισθού, μισθούσθω, μισθούσθων, μισθούσθων, οτ μισθούσθωσων, μισθούσθωσων,	έμισθούμην, ξμισθού, ξμισθούμεθου, ξμισθούμεθου, ξμισθούσθην, ξμισθούμεθα, ξμισθούμεθα, ξμισθούσθε, ξμισθούντο.
μισθοοίμην, μισθόοιο, μισθόοιτο, μισθοοίμεθον, μισθοοίσθην, μισθοοίμεθα, μισθόοισθε,	μισθόου, μισθόεσθω, μισθόεσθων, μισθόεσθων, μισθόεσθε, μισθοέσθωσαν 01	ξμισθόςμην, ξμισθότου, ξμισθότου, ξμισθόςμεθον, ξμισθόςσθον, ξμισθοςσθην, ξμισθόςσθε, ξμισθόςσθε,
τιμφίμην, τιμφο, τιμφοτο, τιμφοτορο, τιμφοτορο, τιμφοτορο, τιμφοτορο, τιμφοτορο,	τιμώ, τιμάσθω, τιμάσθων, τιμάσθε, τιμάσθωσαν, τιμάσθωσαν,	ετιμώμην, ετιμάτο, ετιμάτο, ετιμάσθον, ετιμάσθην, ετιμάσθης, ετιμάσθε, ετιμάσθε,
τιμαοίμην, τιμάοιο, τιμαόμεθον, τιμαοίμεθην, τιμαοίμεθα, τιμαοίτεθα,	τιμάου, τιμαέσθω, τιμαέσθων, τιμαέσθε, τιμαέσθωο οτ τιμαέσθων,	ετιμαόμην, ετιμαου, ετιμασίετο, ετιμασίετον, ετιμασίατην, ετιμασίατην, ετιμασίατης, ετιμασίατης, ετιμάσους, ετιμάσους,
ποιοίμην, ποιοίτο, ποιοίτεθον, ποιοίσθην, ποιοίσθης, ποιοίσθε,	ποιού, ποιείσθω, ποιείσθων, ποιείσθως, ποιείσθωσαν,	ἐποιούμην, ἐποιοῦ, ἐποιοῖτο, ἐποιεῖτο, ἐποιείσθον, ἐποιείσθην, ἐποιούμεθα, ἐποιούμεθα, ἐποιούμεθα, ἐποιούμεσος,
ποιεοίμην, ποιέοιο, ποιεοίμεθον, ποιεοίσθην, ποιεοίμεθα, ποιεοίσθε,	ποιέου, ποιεεσθω, ποιεεσθων, ποιέεσθε, ποιεέσθωνοις ποιεέσθωνουν οτ	εποιεόμην, εποιέου, εποιείου, εποιείσου, εποιείσθην, εποιείσθην, εποιείσθα, εποιείσθε, εποιείσθε,
Opr. Sing. Dual, Plur.	Sing. Dual, Plur.	Sing. Dual, Plur.

The rest of the tenses suffer no contraction. We give, however, the complete conjugation of the *perf.* and *plusq. pass.*, to render the analogy with the same tenses of $\pi au \delta \epsilon \acute{\nu} \omega$ more evident.

Porf

Perf.				
Indic. Sing	ι. πεποίημαι,	τετίμημαι,	μεμίσθωμαι,	
	πεποίησαι,	τετίμησαι,	μεμίσθωσαι,	
	πεποίηται,	τετίμηται,	μεμίσθωται,	
Duai		τετιμήμεθον,	μεμισθώμεθον,	
	πεποίησθου,	τετίμησθον,	μεμίσθωσθον,	
	πεποίησθου,	τετίμησθον,	μεμίσθωσθον,	
Plur	. πεποιήμεθα,	τετιμήμεθα,	μεμισθώμεθα,	
	πεποίησθε,	τετίμησθε,	μεμίσθωσθε,	
	πεποίηνται,	τετίμηνται,	μεμίσθωνται,	
	, wenderfording	, receptificates	, prepried a war any	
Infin	. πεποιῆσθαι,	τετιμῆσθαι.	μεμισθῶσθαι,	
Part	. πεποιημένος,	τετιμημένος.	μεμισθῶσθαι, μεμισθωμένος.	
		See the few very	s which can form	
them, § 88	, 6. Obs. 2.			
Imper. sing	. πεποίησο,	τετίμησο,	μεμίσθωσο,	
2 0	πεποιήσθω, &c.	. τετιμήσθω, &c.	μεμισθώσθω, &c.	
	,,,,,		hockers and and and	
		Plusq.		
Sing.	έπεποιήμην,	έτετιμήμην,	ἐμεμισθώμην,	
	έπεποίησο,	ετετίμησο,	έμεμίσθωσο,	
	έπεποίητο,	έτετίμητο,	έμεμίσθωτο,	
Dual,	έπεποιήμεθον,	έτετιμήμεθον,	έμεμισθώμεθον,	
	έπεποίησθου,	έτετίμησθον,	έμεμίσθωσθον,	
	έπεποιήσθην,	ετετιμήσθην,	έμεμισθώσθην,	
Plur.	έπεποιήμεθα,	έτετιμήμεθα,	έμεμισθώμεθα,	
	έπεποίησθε,	έτετίμησθε,	έμεμίσθωσθε,	
	έπεποίηντο,	έτετίμηντο,	έμεμίσθωντο,	
77 . 7				
Fut. 1.	ποιηθήσομαι,	τιμηθήσομαι,	μισθωθήσομαι,	
Aor. 1.	έποιήθην,	έτιμήθην,	έμισθώθην,	
Fut. 3.	πεποιήσομαι,	τετιμήσομαι,	μεμισθώσομαι.	
$Medium^{6}.$				
Fut. 1	ποιήσομαι,	τιμήσομαι,	μισθώσομαι,	
Aor. 1.	έποιησάμην,	ετιμησάμην,	έμισθωσάμην.	
2207. 1.		ετιμησαμην,		
	Verbo	al Adjectives.		
	ποιητέος,	τιμητέος,	μισθωτέος,	
	ποιητός,	τιμητὸς,	μισθωτός.	

ποιεῖσθαι, to make for one's self; τιμᾶσθαι, to honor, (like the act.) μισθοῦσθαι, to hire, take to hire.

Obs. 1. It was laid down in ancient Grammars without any limitation, that the resolved form of contracted verbs was Ionic. This conjugation may more correctly be styled the old or fundamental form, but can only with respect to the verbs in έω be strictly called Ionic, that is to say, that form of conjugation which was employed by all Ionic writers, and by the later Ionic prose-writers exclusively. Epic poets, on the contrary, often made use of the contracted form, and sometimes only preferred the lengthened είω to έω, εx. gr. ἀκνείω, πλείειν, νεικίεσκε, &c.—The resolved form of the verbs in άω can only so far be called Ionic, as Epic poets made use of it on but few occasions, ex. gr. ἀοιδιάει, πεινάοντα, ναιετάουσιν, &c.—The verbs in όω are found resolved only in the first person; they else generally occur contracted or lengthened in a way peculiar to the Epic poets (see below). The verbs in άω and όω never are used in Ionic prose, but either contracted, as in Herod. νικῆν, ἐννίων, νικῆεν, εἰρώτα, βιῶ for βιάον, δηλοῖ, ἐμισθοῦντο, ἐτεροιοῦτο, &c., or with the peculiar deviations in point of formation and contraction, stated below, Obs. 7. etc.

Obs. 2. In the Attic and common language none of the contractions of this conjugation were ever neglected, not even in Attic poetry (that is to say, in the dramatic scenery). Little words in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, of which the pres. act. is dissyllabic in the resolved form like $\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, are alone excepted. They only admit the contraction $\dot{\epsilon}\iota$, ex. gr. $\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}\iota$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}\iota$, $\pi\nu\dot{\epsilon}\iota\nu$: they are resolved in all their other forms, ex. gr. $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\chi\dot{\epsilon}o\mu\alpha\iota$, $\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}o\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\pi\nu\dot{\epsilon}o\nu\sigma\iota$, $\pi\nu\dot{\epsilon}\eta$, &c., excepting however, $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, (to tie,) ex. gr. $\tau\dot{\delta}$ δοῦν, $\tau\ddot{\phi}$ δοῦντι, Plato Crat. (ὁ) ἀναδῶν, Aristoph. Plut. 589, διαδοῦμαι, &c. But δεῖν (to want) makes $\tau\dot{\delta}$ δέον, δέομαι, &c. ⁷

Obs. 3. The third pers. sing. imperf. takes the moveable ν in the resolved form, (Homer, ἔρόεεν, ἤτεεν,) but not in the contracted one. Yet Homer once has ἤσκειν

of ἀσκέω. (Compare the plusq. Obs. II. 2. to § 103.)

Obs. 4. The form known by the name of the Attic opt., peculiar to contracted verbs, (see Obs. II. 3. to § 103.) has been given at length in the paradigm, p. 181. that its analogy may be thoroughly understood. It must, however, not be forgotten that the Attic language, guided merely by euphony and clearness, employed parts of both forms; especially

the pl. of the Attic opt. was little used, (particularly of the verbs in έω and όω,) because of its length. The third pers. pl. in οίησαν, ψησαν, was much

less used. The Attic always said ποιοῖεν, τιμῷεν, μισθοῖεν.

2.) But the opt. in $ointum{i}{\eta} v$ of the verbs in $i\omega$ and $ointum{i}{\omega}$ was much more in use in the sing, than the other.

3.) In the verbs in $\dot{\alpha}\omega$ the Attic opt. ($\tau\iota\mu\dot{\omega}\eta\nu$, &c.) is used in the sing, almost exclusively, and also much more employed in the pl. (the third persexcepted,) than the other two.

Obs. 5. Some verbs in $\acute{a}\omega$ take after their contraction η for a, as in the Doric dialect (see below Obs. 15). This is particularly known of the following four verbs,

 $ζ\tilde{\eta}\nu$, (to live,) $χ_0\tilde{\eta}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, (to use,) $\pi ειν\tilde{\eta}\nu$, (to be hungry,) $\delta\iota\psi\tilde{\eta}\nu$, (to be thirsty,)

from ζάω, χοάω, (see both among the Anom.) πεινάω, διψάω (ζῷς, ζῷ, ἔζη, χοῆται, &c.). But the following three verbs, which approximate much in their signification, κνάω, (to rub.) σμάω, (to wipe,) ψάω, (to cleanse,)

were contracted in the same way, at least by correct Attic writers.

Obs. 6. The verb $\dot{\rho}\iota\gamma\dot{\rho}\omega$ (to be cold) deviates in its contraction; it has ω and φ instead of ov and $o\iota$, ex. gr. infin. $\dot{\rho}\iota\gamma\ddot{\omega}\nu$, opt. $\dot{\rho}\iota\gamma\dot{\omega}\eta\nu$, but it is not constantly observed, at least not in our editions. The Ionians have the same deviation in a verb of the

 $^{^7}$ See, however, the Anom. δέω.—The third pers. sing. aor. 1. ἔχεα, of the verb χέω, (see the Anom.) must not be confounded with the third pers. sing. imperf.: the latter is contracted, (ἔχεε, ἔχει,) the former not, ex. gr. Aristoph. Nub. 75. κατέχεεν.

opposite meaning: ἰδρόω (to perspire) gives ἰδρῶσα, Il. δ. 27. ἰδρψή, Hippoer. de Aer. Aq. Loc. 17.

Dialects.

Obs. 8. The Ionians, as we have seen, do not commonly resolve the verbs in $\dot{\alpha}\omega$ into their proper vowels, yet frequently change the α into ϵ , ϵx . qr.

δρέω, δρέομεν, for δράω, δράομεν, φοιτέοντες, for φοιτάοντες, χρέεται, μηχανέεσθαι, for ᾶται, ᾶσθαι,

and the like. Sometimes they change αο into εω, (§ 27. Obs. 1, 10.) ex. gr. μηχα-

νέωνται, χρέωμαι, &c.

Obs. 9. In the third pers. pl., where the Ionians (according to Obs. 4. to § 103.) change the ν into α , (έατο for οντο,) they sometimes use this termination for έοντο, (eliding one ϵ ,) but probably only of verbs in $\dot{\alpha}\omega$, as $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\eta\chi\alpha\nu\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau$ ο for $-\dot{\alpha}οντ$ ο, έοντο usually $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\eta\chi\alpha\nu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau$ ο. In the perf. and plusq. they not only change $\eta\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ and $\omega\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ into $\dot{\eta}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$, $\dot{\omega}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$, (ex. gr. $\pi\epsilon\pi$ οτ $\dot{\eta}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$, $\kappa\epsilon\chi$ ολ $\dot{\omega}\alpha\tau$ ο, Hom.) but commonly use ϵ instead of η , ex. gr.

οίκεαται, ετετιμέατο, for ῷκηνται, ετετίμηντο.

Obs. 10. The old Ionian Epic poets employ the contraction at times, and at others not. The verbs in $\dot{\alpha}\omega$, however, were seldom resolved, (Obs. 1.) but the Poets were at liberty to lengthen again the contracted vowels by placing a similar short or long vowel before; thus they made of α in

(δράειν,) δρᾶν, $- δρά<math>qν^8,$ $(ἀσχαλάει,) ἀσχαλ<math>\tilde{q},$ - ἀσχαλάq, $2 pers. pass. <math>(μνάη,) μν\tilde{q},$ - μνάq,

άγορᾶσθε, μνᾶσθαι, — άγοράασθε, μνάασθαι,

and of o or ω in

Such lengthened syllables seldom occur in Ion. prose, Herod. 6, 11. ήγορόωντο,

4, 191, κομόωσι.—Sometimes the o is put last, ex. gr.

 $\dot{\eta}$ βώοντες, $\dot{\eta}$ βώοιμι, for $\dot{\eta}$ βῶντες, $\dot{\eta}$ βῷμι (from -άοντες, άοιμι⁹).

Thus Poets could use instead of $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ either $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \acute{\omega} \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ or $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \acute{\omega} \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ according to the exigency of the metre.—Homer's part. fem. $\nu \alpha \iota \epsilon \tau \acute{\alpha} \omega \sigma \alpha$ for — $\acute{\alpha} \omega \sigma \alpha$ or $\acute{\omega} \omega \sigma \alpha$ is a peculiar anomaly.

Obs. 11. All the forms lengthened with ω are also common to the verbs in $\delta\omega$,

⁸ See about the iota subscriptum the Note to Obs. 15.

 $^{^9}$ This lengthening by means of the ω produced in some verbs a peculiar formation — $\dot{\omega}\omega$, $\dot{\omega}$ εις, $\dot{\omega}$ ει. See the Anom. ζά ω , μ ά ω , and μ νά ω (in μ ι μ νήσκ ω).

though they cannot originate in them either by resolution or lengthening; for instance,

(ἀρόουσι,) ἀροῦσι, Ερία ἀρόωσι,

(δηϊόοντο, δηϊόοιεν,) δηϊοῦντο, δηϊοῖεν, Ερίο δηϊόωντο, δηϊόφεν).

Obs. 12. The Ionians make a less frequent use in these verbs of the imperfiterative in σκον, (Obs. II. 1. to § 103.) ex. gr. φιλέεσκον in Herod. βουκολέεσκες in Homer. This form is never contracted, but sometimes syncopated by the old Poets, (by dropping the ϵ ,) ex. gr. $\mathring{\eta}\chi$ εσκε (for $\mathring{\eta}\chi$ $\mathring{\xi}$ εσκε) from $\mathring{\eta}\chi$ $\mathring{\xi}$ ω, $\mathring{\xi}$ ασκε from $\mathring{\xi}$ άω, and lengthened ναιετάασκον from ναιετάω.

Obs. 13. That the Dorians, instead of contracting ϵo into ov, commonly make it ϵv , and that this contraction is also used by the Ionians, has already been noticed above, § 28. Obs. 5. Thus they make of $\pi o i \hbar \omega$

ποιευμεν, ποιευμαι, ποιευντες, ἐποίευν.

But also of the verbs in $\delta\omega$ we frequently find in Herod. and others, instead of oo contracted into δv , the contraction δv , which is contrary to analogy; for instance,

έδικαίευν, έδικαίευ, πληρεύντες, from δικαιόω, πληρόω,

and by the interchange of α and ϵ , (Obs. 8.) the same contraction occurs also in the verbs in $\acute{a}\omega$,

εἰρώτευν, ἀγαπεῦντες, from εἰρωτάω, ἀγαπάω.

Lastly ϵv is not only used for ϵov , and consequently for $\acute{a}ov$, but also for oov, ϵx . gr. $\pi o \iota \epsilon \check{v} \sigma \iota$, $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \check{v} \sigma a^{10}$, for $\pi o \iota \acute{e}ov \sigma \iota$, $\phi \iota \lambda \acute{e}ov \sigma \alpha$, $o \check{v} \sigma \alpha$,

γελεῦσα, for γελάουσα, ῶσα,

δικαιεῦσι, for δικαιόουσι, οῦσι.

Attentive reading will show which of these different forms occurs most frequently in either of these two dialects. But it follows of course that the third pers. pl. ποιεῦσι, γελεῦσι, can only be Ionic, since the Dorians have ποιεῦντι, γελεῦντι (compare Obs. V. 4. to § 103).

Obs. 14. There is another more Æolic than Doric contraction, which causes the o to be absorbed by a preceding a, which thus becomes long, ex. gr. φυσᾶντες for φυσάοντες, third pers. pl. πεινῶντι οι πεινᾶντι.

Obs. 15. If the Ionians change the contracted α or α into η and η , ex. gr. δρ $\tilde{\eta}\nu$, ϕ οιτ $\tilde{\eta}\nu$, $i\tilde{\eta}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, and the like, it agrees with the nature of their dialect, but it is done only by some of their writers, for instance, Hippocr. : Herod. has $\dot{\delta}\rho\tilde{\alpha}\nu$, $\nu\iota\kappa\tilde{\alpha}\nu$, and even $\chi\rho\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, $\chi\rho\tilde{\alpha}$, &c. The Dorians, on the contrary, who generally use $\tilde{\alpha}$ instead of η , prefer the η in these contractions, omitting, however, the iota subscriptum in the contraction of $\alpha\epsilon\iota^{11}$, (compare Obs. V. 10. to § 103.) for instance, $\dot{\delta}\rho\tilde{\eta}\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\tilde{\eta}$ for $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\tilde{\chi}$, $\tau\delta\mu\tilde{\eta}\tau\epsilon$ for $\tau\delta\lambda\mu\tilde{\alpha}\tau\epsilon$, and the like, whilst in conjugating they nevertheless say $\tau\delta\lambda\mu\tilde{\alpha}\alpha\iota$, and so on. They have the same contraction in the infin. of the verbs in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, ex. $\alpha\tau$. $\kappa\sigma\sigma\mu\tilde{\eta}\nu$ for $\kappa\sigma\eta\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\nu$.

Obs. 16. The Epic Poets have likewise the η as a contraction, but only in some forms of both $\dot{\alpha}\omega$ and $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, and chiefly in the dual $\tau\eta\nu$, ex. gr. $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\alpha\nu\delta\dot{\eta}\tau\eta\nu$, $\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\rho\tau\dot{\eta}\tau\eta\nu$, (from $\alpha\dot{\nu}\delta\dot{\alpha}\omega$, $\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\rho\tau\dot{\epsilon}\omega$,) and in the lengthened infin. in $\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$, $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota$, instead of $\epsilon\bar{\iota}\nu$ and $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$, ex. gr. $\phi\rho\rho\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$, (from $\phi\rho\rho\dot{\epsilon}\omega$,) $\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota$, $\gamma\sigma\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota$ (for $\gamma\sigma\dot{q}\nu^{-12}$).

10 The Doric έοισα becomes through contraction εὖσα, not οἴσα, which occurs only in the part. aor. 2. (λαβοῖσα,) where there is no contraction; see Obs. V. 5. to § 103.

11 The omission of the iota subscriptum was formerly general in the infin. of verbs

12 Consequently ὁρῆαι mentioned in a Note to the last Obs. in § 106. and θῆσθαι, (see the Anom. θάω,) belong hither. Compare also ἐθηῆτο in the Anom. θάομαι.

¹¹ The omission of the iota subscriptum was formerly general in the infin. of verbs in $\delta\omega_s \, cx. \, gr. \, \tau\iota\mu \, \tilde{\alpha}\nu_s$, $\beta\delta\tilde{\alpha}\nu_s$, $\zeta\tilde{\gamma}\nu_s$. It is but lately that the correct orthography has again been generally adopted. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. § 105. Obs. 17.—Some grammarians always omitted this iota subscriptum in lengthened syllables; $\delta\rho\delta\tilde{\alpha}\alpha_s$, $\delta\rho\delta\tilde{\alpha}\alpha_s$.

VERBS. 197

Obs. 17. The Epic ἀρόμμεναι for ἀροῦν is the only instance of this in verbs in όω. See a list of Contracted Verbs in the Appendix.

IRREGULAR CONJUGATION.

§ 106.—Verbs in μι.

- 1. We begin the anomaly of the Greek verbs with what, from the termination of the *first pers. pres. indic.*, is called the conjugation in $\mu\iota$. This does not include a great many verbs, like the two preceding conjugations, but only a small number of verbs, and parts of verbs, which in some essential points deviate from the numerous other verbs, though connected by a common analogy.
- Obs. 1. The verbs which are conjugated in Grammars as examples of this conjugation, are pretty nearly the only ones which adopt this formation in all the parts where it is applicable; whatever else belongs hither, consists merely in isolated parts of some anomalous or defective verbs, or of Epic forms. But even the verbs in μ , which are in use, do not all agree among themselves in all their parts; each verb must, on account of its peculiarities, be stated completely as an anomalous verb.
- 2. All verbs in μ have a root, which, according to the usual formation, would end in ω purum, (§ 28, 1.) and chiefly in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$. Hence it is usual in grammar to refer the former more uncommon formation to the latter more usual one, and to say, for instance, that the verb $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \mu \iota$ comes from a simpler form $\Theta \to \Omega$.
- 3. The peculiarity of the conjugation in $\mu \iota$ is confined to these three tenses,

the pres., imperf., and aor. 2.

and consists chiefly in this, that the terminations of the inflection, $ex. gr. \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\tau \epsilon$, ν , $\mu \alpha \iota$, are not appended by means of the connecting vowel, $(o\mu \epsilon \nu, \epsilon \tau \epsilon, o\nu, o\mu \alpha \iota)$ but immediately to the radical vowel of the verb itself, for instance,

τίθε-μεν, ἵστα-μαι, δίδο-τε, ἐδείκνυ-τε, ἔθη-ν.

See Obs. 6, 7, 8. and § 110.

Both kinds of contraction, (that into η , and that into $\varepsilon\iota$ and \tilde{a} ,) which, in the course of the improvement of the language, became peculiar to some dialects, were unquestionably, like many other peculiarities, in general but fluctuating use in the oldest language. The form η even continued in common use in some instances (as $\zeta \tilde{\eta} \nu$, &c.). Need we then wonder at meeting in Epic poetry with many other forms, which were retained for the sake of euphony?

4. To this peculiarity must be added some peculiar final syllables—

 $\mu\iota$ —, first pers. sing. pres.

σι or σιν,-third pers. sing. of the same tense,

 θ_{ι} —, second pers. sing. imper.

But in the *imper.* of the aor. 2. act. some verbs have, instead of θ_l , a simple ς , $\theta \wr \varsigma$, $\delta \wr \varsigma$, $\delta \wr \varsigma$, $\xi \varsigma$ (see $\tau (\theta \eta \mu \iota)$, $\delta (\delta \omega \mu \iota), \eta \mu \iota$, and compare $\sigma \chi \wr \varsigma$ and $\phi \varrho \wr \varsigma$ in the Anom. $\iota \iota \chi \omega$ and $\phi \varrho \iota \omega$). Moreover the *infin.* of those tenses always ends in $\nu a \iota$, and the nomin. masc. of the part., not in ν , but always in ς , dropping the ν , which lengthens the radical vowel in the usual way, $\bar{a} \varsigma$, $\epsilon \iota \varsigma$, which is the acute.

5. The *conj*. and *opt*. make a contraction of the radical vowel of the verb with their own termination, which contraction always has the accent. It is in the *conjunctive*, when the radical vowel is ε or a, ω and η ,

 $\tilde{\omega}$, $\tilde{\eta}_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\tilde{\eta}$, $\tilde{\omega}\mu\varepsilon\nu$, $\tilde{\eta}\tau\varepsilon$, $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\iota(\nu)$:

when the radical vowel is o, it constantly is ω ,

 $\tilde{\omega}$, $\tilde{\omega}\varsigma$, $\tilde{\omega}$, $\tilde{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\tilde{\omega}\tau\epsilon$, $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\iota(\nu)$.

In the opt. it is a diphthong with ι , to which $\eta \nu$ is always joined in the act.

τιθ-είην, ίστ-αίην, διδ-οίην.

See § 107. Obs. 3. 2. etc.—The verbs in $v\mu\iota$ most commonly form these two moods according to the usual conjugation in $-i\omega$.

6. Several short radical forms combine a *redupl*. with them, repeating the first consonant with an additional ι before the radical verb, $ex.\ gr.$

ΔΟΩ δίδωμι, ΘΕΩ τίθημι.

But when the radical verb begins with $\sigma\tau$, $\pi\tau$, or an aspirated vowel, the ι alone is prefixed with the *spir*. asper:

ΣΤΑΩ Ιστημι, ΠΤΑΩ Ιπταμαι, ΈΩ Ίημι.

It is this which renders the *aor*. 2. possible in this conjugation, as it distinguishes itself from the *imperf*. and from the *pres*. tenses of the other moods chiefly by omitting this *redupl*. (See § 96. Obs. 2.) ex. gr. $\tau(\theta\eta\mu\iota, imperf. \ \epsilon\tau(\theta\eta\nu, aor. \ \epsilon\theta\eta\nu)$.

7. The radical vowel in its combination with the terminations of this conjugation always becomes long in the sing. indic. act. of the three tenses; a and ε always become η , (indic. pres. $\eta \mu$,) o becomes ω , (indic. pres. $\omega \mu \iota$,) and v becomes \bar{v} (indic. pr. $\bar{v}\mu$). In the rest of the terminations it most usually occurs in its

verbs. 199

original short form, ε, α, ο, ν, ex. gr. τίθημι, -τίθεμεν, ἔθεσαν, τιθέναι, τίθετι, τίθεμαι. Yet exceptions to these are the following persons and moods, which retain the long vowel, viz.

Dual and plur., with the infinit. and imperat., of aor. 2.
 of ιστήμι (ex. gr. ἔστημεν, &c.); the syncop. aorists

follow the same inflection. See § 110.

 The infinitives of the aor. 2. of δίδωμι and τίθημι (ἵημι), which change ε into ει, ο into ου: θεῖναι, δοῦναι.

For other exceptions, ex. gr. $\kappa\iota\chi\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$, $\delta\iota\zeta\eta\mu\alpha\iota$, see the list of the Anom.

- 8. The verbs in $\nu\nu\mu$ are a distinct class of the verbs in $\mu\iota$; for the syllable $\nu\nu$ does not belong to the pure root of the verb, but is in fact a lengthened form of it. Certain verbs annex to the root the terminations $\nu\nu\mu\iota$ or $\nu\iota\omega$, the latter of which is used as a collateral form in the present and imperf. of verbs ending in $\nu\nu\mu\iota$. The termination $\nu\nu\mu\iota$ is annexed when the root terminates with a consonant, $ex.\ gr.\ \delta\epsilon\iota\kappa\nu\nu\mu\iota$, $\delta\iota\gamma\nu\nu\mu\iota$; but $\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$ is annexed when it ends in a vowel, $ex.\ gr.\ \kappao\rho\epsilon$ - $\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$, before which termination the letter o is changed into ω , $ex.\ gr.\ \sigma\tau\rho\omega\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$. (For examples, see § 112, 14.)
- Obs. 2. The termination of the second pers. pass. in the common conjugation, (y, ov) being derived from $\varepsilon \sigma a\iota$, $\varepsilon \sigma o$, (see Obs. III. 1, 2, to § 103.) and the connecting vowel being omitted in the conjugation in $\mu\iota$, it is simply $\sigma a\iota$, σo , in the verbs in $\mu\iota$, (exactly like the perf. and plusq. pass. of the common conjugation,) $\tau i\theta \varepsilon \sigma a\iota$, $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau i\theta \varepsilon \sigma a\iota$, $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau i\theta \varepsilon \sigma a\iota$, &c. But here, too, the contraction with the radical vowel is more or less used in some verbs,

 $\tau i\theta \eta$, $\dot{\epsilon}\tau i\theta ov$, (" $\sigma\tau a$,) " $i\sigma\tau \omega$, for " $i\sigma\tau a\sigma a\iota$, " $i\sigma\tau a\sigma o$. See the Note to p. 204. and as the Ionians, after rejecting the σ , change the radical vowel a into ϵ , (see below, § 107. Obs. 4.) they make of " $i\sigma\tau a\sigma a\iota$, (" $i\sigma\tau\epsilon a\iota$,) " $i\sigma\tau\eta$. The contracted form ($\xi\theta ov$, $\xi\delta ov$, &c.) is probably the only one in use in the acr. 2.

- 9. All the other tenses are formed according to the common conjugation from the radical verb without any redupl.: ex. gr. $\tau i\theta \eta \mu i$, $(\Theta \to \Omega, fut. \theta \eta \sigma \omega)$. Some anomalous verbs of this kind have, however, peculiarities in these tenses, which must be kept distinct from the conjugation in μi . We shall first review the peculiarities common to several verbs.
- 10. The two verbs $i\sigma\eta\mu$ and $\delta i\delta\omega\mu$ shorten the vowel also in those tenses of the *pass*, voice which belong to the common conjugation:

Αct. στήσω, perf. έστηκα, pass. perf. έσταμαι, αοτ. έσταθην, , δώσω, ,, δέδωκα, ,, ,, δέδομαι, ,, έδόθην.

Both $\tau(\theta\eta\mu)$ and $\eta\mu$ (§ 108. I.) do the same merely in the *aor.* pass. and in the fut., which is dependent on it:

 $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \theta \eta \nu$, (for $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \theta \eta \nu$, from $\Theta E \Omega$,) $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon_{C}$, part. aor. 1. pass. (from $\epsilon E \Omega$.)

They both change the radical vowel into $\varepsilon\iota$ in the perf. act. and pass.

τέθεικα, τέθειμαι, είκα, είμαι.

11. The three verbs $\tau(\theta\eta\mu\iota, \eta\mu\iota, \delta(\delta\omega\mu\iota)$, have a peculiar form for the *aor*. 1. in κa ,

ἔθηκα, ἦκα, ἔδωκα,

which must be carefully distinguished from the perf.

12. The verbs in νυμι or ννυμι form all these tenses quite regular from the simple but disused present in ω. Hence δείκνυμι, from ΔΕΙΚΩ, forms δείξω, ἐδείχθην; κορέννυμι from ΚΟΡΕΩ forms κορέσω, κεκόρεσμαι, &c.

Obs. 3. There are no verbs in $\eta\mu\iota$ and $\omega\mu\iota$ of three or more syllables without the redupl. in any of the principal dialects, excepting perhaps $\check{a}\eta\mu\iota$, and some deponents in $\eta\mu\alpha\iota$, (for $\epsilon\mu\alpha\iota$,) $a\mu\alpha\iota$, and $o\mu\alpha\iota$, (from $\acute{o}\omega$,) which must be looked for

among the Anomalous Verbs, ex. gr. δίζημαι, δύναμαι, ὄνομαι.

Obs. 4. The verbs in $\nu\mu\iota$ are also anomalous as far as they belong to a class of verbs derived from different themes. The termination $\nu\mu\iota$ or $\nu\nu\iota\mu$, &c. is only a strengthening syllable of the pres. and imperf. The other tenses are formed of the simpler radical verb, in which this ν or $\nu\nu$ is also wanting, ex. gr. δείκνυμι of $\Delta \text{EIK}\Omega$, $\sigma\beta \acute{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu\iota\iota\iota$ of $\Delta \text{EB}\Omega$, and are therefore stated here as defective verbs. See § 112, 14. There are but a few parts of a few anomalous verbs, which conform, beside these, to the conjugation in $\nu\mu\iota$. To know instantly when the ν is long or short, we need only to compare " $\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$: δείκνυμι is long like " $\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$, δείκνυμεν short like " $\sigma\tau\alpha\mu\iota\nu$, acr. 2. (see the Λnom . δύω,) ἔδυμεν is long like ἔστημεν, &c.

Obs. 5. All verbs in μ increase their anomaly by having in the pres. and imperf. persons and moods which desert the conjugation in μ , and follow, as contracted, the conjugation of $i\omega$, $i\omega$, $i\omega$, $i\omega$, retaining, however, the redupl. (as if the verb, for instance, were TIOE Ω); and those in $i\omega$, that of $i\omega$. In the mean time they must be conjugated entirely in $i\omega$, to have a distinct idea of their analogy, and the Notes will point out where the simple form predominates in the common language. Wherever this is not done, it may be assumed that the formation from TIOE Ω occurs little or not at all, as is the case with the first pers. sing. pres. $i\omega$. The con-

jugation in $\mu\iota$ belongs, on the whole, to the strictest Atticism.

Obs. 6. We subjoin a few general remarks. There are in many languages two terminations in the conjugation of verbs, one with a connecting vowel, the other without it: for instance, in German du lebest, du lebst, in English thou drinkest, drinkst. There is no absolutely general principle by which it can be ascertained which of the two ways is the older; but it is more natural, if there be no preponderating analogy for the contrary, to consider the longer form grammatically as the basis, and the short one as a syncopated form of the long one. In this sense the conjugation in μ , by virtue of the peculiarity stated above, (3.) is unquestionably a syncope of the common conjugation, and we have no right to assume that the fuller form had anciently prevailed and been abbreviated.

VERBS. 201

Obs. 7. The syncopated form is the most natural when else two vowels would meet in pronunciation. Whilst the fuller form was preferred in Greek in the most considerable number of verbs, and became gradually contracted, $(\phi\iota\lambda \hat{\epsilon}o - \mu\epsilon\nu) \phi\iota\lambda o\tilde{\iota}\mu\epsilon\nu$, the syncopated form maintained itself in some others $(\theta\hat{\epsilon}-\mu\epsilon\nu)$. This syncope, however, could not have taken place in those terminations of the common conjugation which consist of only one vowel, $(\theta\hat{\epsilon}-\omega,\theta\hat{\epsilon}-\epsilon,)$ and it is exactly in these instances that another form of terminations $\mu\iota$, $\sigma\iota$, $\theta\iota$, maintained itself, by which a consonant was now immediately appended to the radical vowel. To this was added the lengthening of this vowel, and thus arose, for instance, out of the root $\theta\epsilon$ —the forms $\theta\eta-\mu\iota$, $\hat{\epsilon}\theta\eta-\nu$, $\theta\epsilon-\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\theta\epsilon-\theta\iota$, &c. The redupl. probably served only to strengthen such short verbs in the pres.; and thus originated (according to § 96. Obs. 2. and 4.) a simpler form $(\tilde{\epsilon}\theta\eta\nu)$ for the aor., and a longer one for the pres. and imperf. $(\tau(\theta\eta\mu\iota,\hat{\epsilon}\tau(\theta\eta\nu))$. See an anomalous redupl. in the Anom. $\delta\nu(\nu\eta\mu\iota$.

Obs. 8. Hence it appears that the formation of the essential particulars of the verbs in $\mu\iota$ might just as well have taken place in parts of any other verb, and it is therefore quite superfluous to assume a peculiar first pers. pres. in $\mu\iota$ for every tense or form in which that kind of inflection is found. We shall see below, § 110, 10, perfects, of which the plural is formed in that manner, and (ibid. 6.) aor. 2. of this kind in verbs which have either the usual form in the pres. ($\beta\iota\delta\omega$, $\delta\iota\omega$,—aor. 2. $\xi\delta\iota\omega\nu$, $\delta\iota\omega$,—aor. 2. $\xi\delta\iota\omega\nu$, or a very deviating one ($\beta\alpha\iota\nu\omega$, $\gamma\iota\gamma\nu\omega\sigma\kappa\omega$,—aor. 2. $\xi\beta\eta\nu$, $\xi\gamma\nu\omega\nu$). The following paradigms of the few complete verbs in $\mu\iota$ serve at the same time for most of the anomalous forms of this kind, to which we shall occasionally refer.

Obs. 9. The deviating moods and participles of this conjugation will yet be found on examination to correspond to the analogous ones of the common conjugation, with the requisite modifications. Hence there is no occasion, when similar moods occur, to presuppose old pres. tenses in $\mu\iota$, as about the aor. pass. $(\epsilon\tau\dot{\nu}\phi\theta\eta\nu$, $\tau\nu\phi\theta\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\eta}\nu$, $\tau\nu\phi\theta\ddot{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$, &c. see above § 100. Obs. 10.) and isolated Epic forms, like $\phi\rho\eta\dot{\nu}\alpha\iota$, $\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota$ (§ 105. Obs. 16).

Obs. 10. Some Doric dialects, however, really had the first pers. pres. of many verbs in μι instead of ω, ex. gr. ὅρημι, φίλημι, for ὁράω, φιλέω, and the third pers. sing. σι, ex. gr. κρίνησι for κρίνει. Hesiod has αἴνημι, and grammarians also rank in this class some Homeric forms, especially the third pers. ησι, ex. gr. Il. ε. 6. παμφαίνησι, ι. 323. προφέρησι 1, and the second pers. pass. ὅρηαι, (Od. ξ. 343.) as of ὅρημαι, (for -ομαι,) of ὁράω, ημι 2.

§ 107.—Paradigms of the Conjugation in μι.

Act.-Pres.

To put, | to place 1 , | to give, | to show, | (of ΘΕΩ,) | (of ΣΤΑΩ,) | (of ΔΟΩ,) | (of δεικνύω,)

¹ But this form occurs in Homer only after a pronoun relative ($\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon$, $\delta\epsilon$, &c.): hence we ought to write $\eta\sigma\iota$, (as is now done in most of the passages,) and consider it as a free use of the *conjunctive*.

² Some only of the old grammarians accent the word in this way, $"o\eta a\iota$: others write $\delta\rho \tilde{\eta}a\iota$, and then it is nothing but $\delta\rho \tilde{\alpha}\epsilon a\iota$ contracted according to § 105. Obs. 15. into η for a, which is preferred here for the sake of euphony, Homer having every where else the regular $\delta\rho \tilde{\alpha}\tau a\iota$, $\delta\rho \tilde{\alpha}\tau o$, &c.

¹ See about the anomalous signification of this verb the Obs. II.

Indic.				
Sing.	τίθημι,	ίστημι,	δίδωμι,	δείκνυμι,
	τίθης,	ίστης,	δίδως,	δείκνυς,
	$\tau i\theta \eta \sigma \iota(\nu)$,	$l\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota(\nu),$	δίδωσι(ν),	δείκνῦσι(ν),
Dual,	_			
	τίθετον,	ἵστατον,	δίδοτου,	δείκνυτον,
	τίθετον,	ἵστατον,	δίδοτον,	δείκνυτον,
Plur.	τίθεμεν,	ΐσταμεν,	δίδομεν,	δείκνυμεν,
	τίθετε,	ἵστατε,	δίδοτε,	δείκνυτε,
	$ au\iota heta\dot{\epsilon}\ddot{a}\sigma\iota(u)$	$i\sigma \tau \tilde{a}\sigma \iota(\nu),$	διδόασι(ν)	δεικνύασι(ν)
	or τιθεῖσι,		or διδούσι,	or δεικνῦσι.

Observation I.

- 1. The third pers. pl. in $-a\sigma\iota(\nu)$ is the only one employed by the best Attic writers; in old Grammars it is called Ionic, merely because it was erroneously considered as a resolved form: far from being Ionic, we find the circumflexed form alone in Herod. $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\tilde{\imath}\sigma\iota$, $\delta\iota\tilde{\iota}\delta\sigma\tilde{\imath}\sigma\iota$, $\delta\epsilon\kappa\nu\tilde{\nu}\sigma\iota$, and this crept into the common language, but in later times.
- 2. The contracted form $\tau\iota\theta\iota\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$, $\iota\sigma\tau\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$, &c. (§ 106. Obs. 5.) is the least used in the pres. by the Attics. Herod. has the third pers. $\delta\iota\delta\circ\tilde{\iota}$, from $\delta\iota\delta\omega\mu\iota$.

pres. by	the Attics. Hero	a, has the <i>thira pers</i>	ε. οισοι, from οισωμ	•
Infin.				
	τιθέναι,	ίστάναι,	διδόναι,	δεικνύναι,
Part.				
	τιθείς, (έντος,)	ίστὰς, (άντος,)	διδούς, (όντος)	δεικνὺς, (ύντος)
	τιθεῖσα,	ίστᾶσα,	διδοῦσα,	δεικνῦσα,
	τιθεῖσα, τιθὲν,	ίστὰν,	διδου,	δεικνύν.
Conj.				
Sing.	$ \tau\iota\theta\tilde{\omega},$	ίστῶ,	διδῶ,	
	$\tau \iota \theta \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$,	ίστης,	διδώς,	
	$\begin{bmatrix} au_{\iota} heta ilde{\omega}, & & & \\ au_{\iota} heta ilde{\eta} ilde{c}, & & & \\ au_{\iota} heta ilde{\eta}, & & & & \end{bmatrix}$	$i\sigma au ilde{\eta},$	διδώ,	of δεικνύω.
Dual,	$-\tilde{\eta}\tau o\nu, \tilde{\eta}\tau o\nu,$	ῆτον, ῆτον,	$-\tilde{\omega\tau}$ ον, $\tilde{\omega\tau}$ ον,	
Plur.	ωμεν, ητε, ωσι,	ωμεν, ητε, ωσι,	ωμεν, ωτε, ωσι,	
See b	elow, Obs. III.	about these co	ni_{\bullet}	
	.,			
Opt.				
			m m	

Opt.				
Sing.	τιθείην,	ίσταίην,	διδοίην,	
	τιθείης,	ίσταίης,	διδοίης,	
	τιθείη,	ίσταίη,	διδοίη,	
Dual,		,—		
	τιθείητον,	ίσταίητον,	διδοίητον,	of δεικνύω.
	τιθειήτην,	ίσταιήτην,	διδοιήτην,	
Plur.	τιθείημεν,	ίσταίημεν,	διδοίημεν,	
	τιθείητε,	ίσταίητε,	διδοίητε,	
	(τιθείησαν,)	(ἱσταίησαν,)	(διδοίησαν,)	

3. We also meet with $\delta\iota\delta\dot{\psi}\dot{\eta}\nu$, but this is an incorrect spelling of the later writers, as well as in the *aor*. 2. $\delta\dot{\psi}\dot{\eta}\nu$.

4. This is the peculiar opt. of the verbs in $\mu\iota$, to which the aor. pass. of the common conjugation corresponds, and this, too, has a very usual abbreviated form for the dual and pl., which is used almost exclusively for the third pers. only.

Dual,	τιθεῖτον,	ίσταῖτον,	διδοίτου,
	τιθείτην,	ίσταίτην,	διδοίτην,
Plur.	τιθείμεν,	ίσταϊμεν,	διδοίμεν,
	τιθεῖτε,	ίσταῖτε,	διδοῖτε,
	τιθεῖεν,	ίσταῖεν,	διδοΐεν.

Imper.

	τίθετι,	ϊστἄθι,	δίδοθι,	δείκνῦθι, comm.
	έτω, &c.	ăτω, &c.	ότω, &c.	δείκνυ, ύτω, &c.
3 pl.	τιθέτωσαν or	ίστάτωσαν or	διδότωσαν or	δεικνύτωσαν or
*	τιθέντων,	ίστάντων,	διδόντων,	δεικνύντων.

5. See about $\tau i\theta \epsilon \tau \iota$ instead of - $\theta \iota$, § 17, 6, 4. The second pers. sing. in $\theta \iota$ is not much used; the abbreviated form with lengthened vowel is preferred,

ϊστη | δίδου |

Imperf.	,	,	1	
Sing.	έτίθην,	ίστην,	ἐδίδων,	έδείκνῦν,
Sing.	ετίθης,	ίστης,	έδίδως,	έδείκνου,
	$\tilde{\epsilon}\tau i\theta \eta$,	ίστη,	έδίδω,	έδείκνῦ,
Dual,	-		- '	-
	ἐτίθετον,	ἵστατον,	έδίδοτον,	έδείκνυτον,
	ἐτιθέτην ,	ίστάτην,	έδιδότην,	έδεικνύτην,
Plur.	έτίθεμεν,	ἵσταμεν,	εδίδομεν,	έδείκνυμεν,
	<i>ἐτίθετε</i> ,	ϊστατε,	έδίδοτε,	έδείκνυτε,
]	ἐτίθεσαν ,	ϊστασαν,	έδίδοσαν,	έδείκνυσαν.

6. Excepting " $i\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$, the sing. of this tense is most usually after the contracted conjugation and the form $-v\omega$:

 $\dot{\epsilon}\tau i\theta ov\nu,\; \epsilon\iota\varsigma,\; \epsilon\iota\;\;|\;\;\dot{\epsilon}\delta i\delta ov\nu,\; ov\varsigma,\; ov\;\;|\;\;\dot{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon i\kappa\nu vo\nu,\; \epsilon\varsigma,\; \epsilon(\nu).$

	τέθεικα, ἐτεθείκειν,	ἕστηκα, ἑστήκειν ΟΓ εἱστήκειν,	δέδωκα, έδεδώκειν,	of $\Delta EIK\Omega$.
--	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

7. With respect to lornui we must notice in this perf. and plusq.

The augment; since, contrary to the other verbs, (see § 76, 5.) the ε,
which is here instead of the redupl., has the spiritus asper, and the plusq.
frequently has its augment increased by the temporal augment ει.

 The more usual abbreviated forms ἔσταμεν, &c., instead of the regular conjugation. (See below, Obs. II.)

3.) The deviating signification. (ibid.)

Fut. θήσω,	στήσω,	δώσω,	of $\Delta EIK\Omega$.
Aor. 1. ἔθηκα,	ἔστησα,	Έδωκα,	

8. This irregular aor, in κa is chiefly used by good writers in the sing: the Attics generally preferred the aor. 2. for the first and second pers. pl. There are neither moods nor participles made of the form in κa , except the part of the middle voice, which with its indic is, however, confined to the dialects. See below the Medium.

Indic.		Aor. 2.		
Sing.	ike the $imperf$.	ἔστην, ἔστης, ἔστη,	ike the imperf.	wanting.
Dual,	1 0	έστητον, ἐστήτην,		
Plur.		ἔστημεν, ἔστητε, ἔστησαν,		

9. The aor. 2. $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta\nu$ deviates from the analogy of the *imperf.* and of the verbs in $\mu\iota$ in general, (§ 106, 7.) by its long vowel in the dual and pl. The 3 pers. pl. $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta\sigma a\nu$ is in sound exactly like the 3 pers. pl. aor. 1., so that the sense must be determined from the context. (See below, Obs. II.)

10. The sing, indic, act. acr. 2. $\ell\theta\eta\nu$ and $\ell\delta\omega\nu$ has not continued in use. But in the other parts of the verb it is used either exclusively or preferably. (Obs. I. 8.)

11. Compare the aor. 2. of some anomalous verbs below, § 110, 6.

Inf.	θ εῖναι,	στῆναι,	δοῦναι,
Part.	θεὶς, θεῖσα, θὲν,	στὰς, στᾶσα, στὰν,	δούς, δοῦσα, δὸν,
Conj.	$\theta \tilde{\omega}, \theta \tilde{\eta} \varsigma, \&c.$	στῶ, στῆς, &c.	$\delta \tilde{\omega}$, $\delta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$, $\delta \tilde{\omega}$, &c.
Opt.	θείην,	σταίην,	δοίην.

The conj. and opt. are conjugated like the pres.

Imper.	$(\theta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota,) \theta \dot{\epsilon}_{\varsigma},$	$\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \theta_{l}$,	$(\delta \delta \theta \iota, \delta \delta \varsigma,$
	θέτω,	στήτω,	δότω,
	θέτον, ων,	στῆτον, των,	δότον, των,
	θέτε, τωσαν or	στῆτε, τωσαν or	δότε, τωσαν or
	θέντων,	στάντων,	δόντων.

12. The case is the same with the *conj*, and *opt*, as what we remarked of these moods in the *pres*,

13. The monosyllabic imper. $\theta \dot{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\delta \dot{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{C}}$, (106, 4.) does not throw the accent further back in composition than on the penultima; ex. gr. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \theta \dot{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \delta o_{\mathcal{C}}$.

14. The imper. $\sigma\tau\tilde{\eta}\theta\iota$ is sometimes abbreviated in compounds in this manner: $\pi\alpha\rho\acute{a}\sigma\tau\tilde{a}$. The same is done with $\beta\tilde{\eta}\theta\iota$. (See the Anom. $\beta a\iota\nu\omega$.)

Indic.		Pass.—Pres.		
Sing.	τίθεμαι, $τίθεσαι,$ poet. $τίθη²,$	ϊσταμαι, ϊστασαι, or ϊστα,	δίδομαι, δίδοσαι,	δείκνυμαι, δείκνυι,
	τίθεται,	ίσταται,	δίδοται,	δείκνυται,

² The abbreviations of the forms in -εσαι, -ασαι, into -η, -q, are partly questionable, partly poetical. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. § 107, 8.

Dual,	τιθέμεθον, τίθεσθον,	ίστάμεθον, Ίστασθον,	διδόμεθον,	δεικνύμεθον, δείκνυσθον,
7.1	τίθεσθον,	ϊστασθον,	δίδοσθον,	δείκνυσθον,
Plur.	τιθέμεθα,	ίστάμεθα,	διδόμεθα,	δεικνύμεθα,
	τίθεσθε,	ϊστασθε,	δίδοσθε,	δείκνυσθε,
	τίθενται,	ίστανται,	δίδονται,	δείκνυνται,
T 0			1 242 0	10.
		Ίστασθαι,	δίδοσθαι,	δείκνυσθαι,
Partic.	τιθέμενος,	ίστάμενος,	διδόμενος,	δεικνύμενος,
Conj.				
Sing.	τιθωμαι,	ίστῶμαι,	διδωμαι,	of
	$\tau\iota\theta\tilde{\eta},$	ίστῆ,	διδώμαι, διδώ,	δεικνύω.
	τιθηται,	ίστῆται,	διδώται,	
Dual,	τιθώμεθον,	ίστώμεθον,	διδώμεθον,	
	τιθησθον,	ίστῆσθον,	διδωσθον,	
	τιθησθον,	ίστῆσθον,	διδωσθον,	
Plur.	τιθώμεθα,	ίστώμεθα,	διδώμεθα,	
	τιθῆσθε,	ίστῆσθε,	διδώσθε,	
	τιθωνται,		διδωνται,	
~		TTT 1	1	

See below the Obs. III. about the deviating accentuation of this conj.

Sing.	τιθείμην,	ίσταίμην,	διδοίμην,	of
	τιθεῖο,	ίσταῖο,	διδοῖο,	δεικνύω,
	τιθείτο,	ίσταῖτο,	διδοῖτο,	
Dual,	τιθείμεθον,	ίσταίμεθον,	διδοίμεθον,	
	τιθεῖσθον,	ίσταῖσθον,	διδοῖσθον,	
	τιθείσθην,	ίσταίσθην,	διδοίσθην,	
Plur.	τιθείμεθα,	ίσταίμεθα,	διδοίμεθα,	
	τιθεῖσθε,	ίσταῖσθε,	διδοῖσθε,	
	τιθείντο,	ίσταϊντο,	διδοΐντο,	

See below Obs. III. about the Attic opt. τίθοιτο, ἵσταιτο, δί-δοιτο, &c.

Imper.	τίθεσο, or	ἵστασο, or	δίδοσο, or	δείκνυσο,
	τίθου,	ϊστω,	δίδου,	ĺ
	τιθέσθω, &c.	ίστάσθω, &c.	διδόσθω, &c.	δεικνύσθω, &c.

Imperf. Sing. ξτιθέμην, ίστάμην, έδιδόμην, ι έδεικνύμην, ίστασο, or ίστω, εδίδοσο, or $\epsilon \tau i\theta \epsilon \sigma o$, or έδείκνυσο, ἐτίθου, έδίδου, ἐτίθετο, ἵστατο, έδίδοτο, έδείκνυτο, Dual, έτιθέμεθου, ίστάμεθον, έδιδόμεθον, έδεικνύμεθον, ϊστασθον, ἐτίθεσθον, εδίδοσθον, εδείκνυσθον, έτιθέσθην, ίστάσθην, έδιδόσθην, έδεικνύσθην,

Plur.	ἐτιθέμεθα, ἐτίθεσθε, ἐτίθεντο,	ίστάμεθα, Ίστασθε, Ίσταντο,	εδιδόμεθα, εδίδοσθε, εδίδοντο,	έδεικνύμεθα, έδείκνυσθε, έδείκνυντο,
PERF.	τέθειμαι,		δέδομαι,	CARINO
PLUSQ.	τέθεισαι, &c. ἐτεθείμην,	ἕστασαι,&c. ἑστάμην,	δέδοσαι, &c.	οι ΔΕΙΚΩ.

15. The following moods of the perf. are easily formed, viz. infin. τεθεϊσθαι, δεδόσθαι, part. τεθειμένος, imper. ἕστασο, &c. but the conj. and opt. are never met with.

FUT. 1. $\tau \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, $\delta \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, $\delta \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, $\delta \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, $\delta \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \nu$, $\delta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \theta \dot{\gamma} \nu$, of $\Delta EIK\Omega$.

16. The syllable $\tau \varepsilon$ in $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \nu$, $\tau \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu a \iota$, must not be mistaken for a reduplicative augment; it is the radical syllable $\theta \varepsilon$ which is changed, because of the θ in the termination, according to § 18. These words stand for $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \nu$, $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu a \iota$.

Fut. 2. and 3. and Aor. 2. are wanting 3.

Medium.

Fut. 1. | θήσομαι, | στήσομαι, | δώσομαι, | Αοτ. 1. | έθηκάμην, | έστησάμην, | έδωκάμην, | οf ΔΕΙΚΩ.

17. The aor. $\xi\theta\eta\kappa\acute{a}\mu\eta\nu$, $\xi\delta\omega\kappa\acute{a}\mu\eta\nu$, and their part. are known only to the Ionic and Doric dialects; the other moods do not occur. Attic prose employs merely the aor. 2. of the middle voice of these verbs. Compare the Obs. to the aor. act. But the aor. 1. $\xi\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\acute{a}\mu\eta\nu$ is much in use. See below Obs. II.

Indic. Aor. 2

 $\stackrel{?}{\epsilon}\theta \stackrel{?}{\epsilon}\mu \eta \nu,$ $\stackrel{?}{\epsilon}\theta \stackrel{?}{\epsilon}\sigma \sigma,$ $\stackrel{?}{\epsilon}\theta \stackrel{?}{\epsilon}\sigma$

is conjugated after the imperf. pass.

INF.	$\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$,	*στάσθαι,	δόσθαι,
PART.	θέμενος,	*στάμενος,	δόμενος,
CONJ.	θωμαι,	*στωμαι,	δῶμαι,
OPT.	θείμην,	* σταίμην,	δοίμην,
Imper.	$(\theta \acute{\epsilon} \sigma o, \theta o \widetilde{v}.$	* στάσο, στῶ.	(δόσο,) δοῦ.

18. All these are conjugated after the pres. pass. See below, Obs. III. about the Attic forms of the opt. and conj. ($\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta o\iota\tau o$, $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta\omega\mu\alpha\iota$, &c.).

19. The infin. keeps the accent even in composition, $d\pi o\theta \delta \sigma \theta a\iota$, $d\pi o\delta \delta \sigma \theta a\iota$. The imper. retains the accent in the sing. only when the preposition is but of one syllable; ex. gr. $\pi \rho o\sigma \theta o\tilde{\nu}$, $\pi \rho o\sigma \delta o\tilde{\nu}$, $d\phi o\tilde{\nu}$ (of $\tilde{\nu} \eta \mu \iota$): when the prep. has two syllables, the accent is removed on it, ex. gr. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta o v$, $d\pi \delta \delta o v$. In the pl. the accent always is on the prep. $\ell \pi i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, $\pi \rho \delta \delta o \sigma \theta \epsilon$, $\ell \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.

20. The aor. 2. med. of " $\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$ does not occur: it is stated here for the sake of analogy for other verbs, ex. gr. $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tau\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$ of " $\pi\tau\alpha\mu\alpha\iota$ (Anom. $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\tau\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$).

³ The aor, 2, and fut, 2, pass, are not possible in this formation, (except that some verbs in $\nu\nu\mu\iota$ can form them of the radical verb, Anom, ζεύγνυμι,) and there is no fut, 3. of these verbs occurring; yet the anomalous fut, ἐστήξομαι (Obs, II. 4.) may be considered as such.

Verbal Adjectives.

θετέος, στατέος, δοτέος, οf ΔΕΙΚΩ.

II.—Observations to ιστημι.

1. The verb "στημι is divided between the transitive signif. to place, and the intrans. to stand (§ 113, 2). In the act. voice, the tenses which denote to place are,

the pres. and imperf. ιστημι, ιστην, fut. στήσω, aor. 1. έστησα:

those which denote to stand, are,

the perf. and plusq. έστηκα, έστήκειν, aor. ἔστην.

The pass, throughout means to be placed; but the pres. and imperf. " $\sigma\tau a\mu a\iota$, - $\mu\eta\nu$, as middle voice, together with the fut. med. $\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$, signify sometimes to place one's self, and sometimes to put up (to erect, for instance, a monument). The aor. 1. med. always has the latter signif.

2. The perf. act. from its signif. is here not a perf., but a pres. tense, and the

plusq. of course an imperf. (§ 113. Obs. 11.)

"εστηκα, I stand;

έστήκειν, I stood ; έστηκώς, standing, &c. 4

3. In the *dual* and pl., and in the collateral *moods*, there is generally an abbreviated form of the *perf*. and plusq., which becomes the *pres*, tense in $\mu\iota$: this form being also peculiar to other verbs, is explained § 110, and is stated here merely to complete the verb $log \tau n\mu\iota$.

Perf. plur. ἕστάμεν, ἕστάτε, ἐστάσι(ν), dual, ἕστάτον, plusq. plur. ἕσταμεν, ἕστάτε, ἕστάσαν, dual, ἕστάτον, ἐστάτην,

conj. $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\tilde{\omega}$, $\tilde{\eta}\varsigma$, $\tilde{\eta}$, &c. opt. $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\alpha(\eta\nu$,

imper. έσταθι, έστάτω, &c.

infin. ἐστάναι,

part. ($\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\alpha\dot{\omega}_c$,) $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\omega}_c$, $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\omega}\sigma\alpha$, $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\omega}_c$, gen. $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\omega}\tau o_c$, Ion. $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\omega c$, $\tilde{\omega}\tau o_c$, so that this perf. and plusq. have assumed in most of their forms the formation and signif. of both the pres. and the imperf.

4. It is on account of this signif. of the pres. (and because the fut. στήσω means I shall place, στήσομαι, shall place myself,) that ἕστηκα, I stand, has produced a

peculiar anomalous

fut. ἐστήξω or ἐστήξομαι, I shall stand,

with which you may compare a similar fut. in the Anom. θνήσκω.

5. But there is also for the transitive signif. a

perf. έστακα, I have placed,

which belongs, however, to a later period. The old Attics use, in both significations, instead of the perf. the two aor. or a circumlocution. (See § 97. Obs. 6.)

6. There is in some editions of Homer the syncopated form of the plusq. 3 pers. pl. εστασαν in both the transitive and the intrans. signif.; but the correct reading seems to be εστασαν as usual in the sense of the imperf. they were standing, and

⁵ See about the irregular shortening of the neuter ἐστὸς, in Buttm. Complete

Gr. Gr., the verb ιστημι in the List of Verbs.

⁴ But in some compounds, of which the middle voice has the intrans. signif., the perf. act. may be conceived as a real perf. in English, ex. qr. ἀνίστημι, I raise up, ἀνίσταμαι, I rise, stand up, ἀνέστηκα, I have risen, stand. From this perf. denoting the pres. time the corrupt Greek has formed a peculiar pres. tense; hence 1 Corinth. 16, 13, στήκετε, stand.

ἔστασαν abbreviated for ἔστησαν, (of the αor. 1. ἔστησα,) as αor. they placed, (Od. σ. 307.) which, like other αor., might be used in the sense of the plusq. they had placed ($\ell\ell$, μ , 56). Compare a similar abbreviation ἔπρεσε in the Anom. πίμπρημι.

7. There is also an Homeric abbreviation, $\xi \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$, you stand, (Il. δ . 243. 246.) for

έστήκατε or έστατε.

III .- Obs. on the Conj. and Opt.

- 1. The conj. and opt. of the verbs in $\mu\iota$ have always in their regular formation the accent on the termination, whilst these moods in the common conjugation constantly throw the accent, whenever the termination allows it, on the preceding syllable $(\tau \iota \pi \tau \eta \varsigma, \tau \iota \pi \tau \omega \mu \epsilon \nu, \tau \iota \pi \tau \omega \mu \epsilon \nu, \tau \iota \pi \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota, &c.)$. Thus $\tau \iota \theta \tilde{\omega}$, $\delta \iota \delta \tilde{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \epsilon \nu$, $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \epsilon \nu$, $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu \tau \sigma$, &c.
- 2. This accent arises from the circumstance that the syncope, which is essential in the verbs in μ , cannot well take place in these moods; for their characteristic is not in the terminations $\mu \varepsilon \nu$, $\tau \varepsilon$, $\mu \alpha \iota$, &c., which they have in common with the *indic.*, but precisely in the vowel which precedes these terminations. This they cannot reject, but combine it with the vowel of the radical verb, and thus make it a long vowel, which, in conformity to the rule, takes the accentuation of the contraction (§ 28. Obs. 9).
- 3. This combination differs, however, from the usual contraction of the same moods in the verbs in $\dot{\alpha}\omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$. The difference of the opt. in the two conjugations is obvious. In the conjunctive of contracted verbs the vowels $\epsilon\eta$, $\alpha\eta$, $\epsilon\eta$, $\epsilon\eta$, coalesce in various ways; the conjunctive of the conjugation in $\mu\iota$ is more simple. Verbs having η in the indic. ($\tau i\theta\eta\mu\iota$, " $\epsilon\tau\eta\mu\iota$,) retain also throughout this η and the η of the common conjunctive; but those in $\omega\mu\iota$ have ω and ω instead of η and η (see the paradigms). The conjunctive $i\epsilon\tau\tilde{\alpha}\varepsilon$, $i\epsilon\tau\tilde{\alpha}\varepsilon$, which is also stated, belongs to the form $i\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}\omega$, and is neither so good, nor so frequent, § 106. Obs. 5. See Obs. IV. about the Ionic resolution or lengthening of these forms.
- 4. The accentuation of the conjugation in $\mu\iota$ does not differ from that of the usual barytone verbs; but the wish to make these moods conformable to analogy with regard to the accent, occasioned deviations in the pass voice, which were more or less used in some verbs, and this induced us to state the regular form for uniformity's sake, and to render the deviations more sensible. In the two verbs $\tau\iota\eta\iota$ and $\iota\eta\iota\iota$, (§ 108.) the Attics drop the radical vowel, and take the terminations of these two moods from the common conjugation, throwing the accent back wherever it can be done, so that these forms look exactly as if they were made of the indic. in $\iota\iota\iota$ and $\iota\iota\iota$. The difference in the conjunctive is simply in the accent:

τίθωμαι for τιθῶμαι,

aor. 2. med. πρόσθηται, πρόηται, &c.

(Compare below κάθημαι in ημαι, and μέμνημαι in μιμνήσκω.)

5. It is only the opt. of $"i\sigma\tau a\mu a\iota$, which, preserving the regular diphthongs, takes this accentuation in all Greek writers,

ϊσταιο, ϊσταιτο, ϊσταισθε, ϊσταιντο,

but the conj always is $i\sigma\tau\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$, $\sigma\nu\nu\iota\sigma\tau\tilde{\eta}\tau\alpha\iota$, &c. These two moods in $\delta i\delta\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$ are, however, sometimes met with accented in the following way, which passes for an Atticism,

conj. δίδωται, opt. ἀπόδοιντο 6.

⁶ Fischer on Weller, 2. 469, 470. 472. 484, 485. has collected instances even of Ionic writers, but they are far from being sufficient, and this Atticism is in general so fluctuating, that there is yet much room for further inquiries.

In all the other verbs which are conjugated like ισταμαι and δίδομαι, these two moods always are proparoxytona, ex. gr. δύνωμαι, δύναιτο, ὄναιτο, ἐπίστηται, from δύναμαι, ὀνίναμαι, ἐπίσταμαι (see the Anom.); ὄνοιτο, from the anomalous ὄνομαι (with the radical o). We also find in the verbs in $\alpha\mu\alpha\iota$, as in $\tau i\theta\epsilon\mu\alpha\iota$, instances of their going over to the form οίμην: see the Anom. μάρναμαι and κρέμαμαι.

6. The verbs in υμι usually form these two moods from ύω (δεικνύης, δεικνύοιμι): yet there are instances showing that they also followed the analogy of the others by taking merely \bar{v} instead of the double vowel : opt. $\partial \alpha i \nu \bar{v} \tau o$, $Il. \omega. 665$. $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \bar{v} \tau o$, Plato Phædr. extr.; conj. 3 pers. sing. σκεδαννῦσι, (according to the old form τύπ-

τησι, τιθησι,) ibid. p. 77. d.7

IV.—Dialects.

1. Much of what has been stated respecting the different dialects in the commor conjugation is also applicable here; ex. gr. the iteratives in $\sigma \kappa o \nu$, which always have the radical vowel short before this termination, ex. gr.

imperf. τίθεσκον, δίδοσκον, δείκνυσκον,

aor. 2. στάσκον, δόσκον,

and the infin. τιθέμεν, ἱστάμεν, ἱστάμεναι, (for τιθέναι, ἱστάναι,) θέμεν, θέμεναι, δόμεναι, (for θ εῖναι, δοῦναι,) στήμεναι for στῆναι, &c.; and further the Ionic termination of the 3 pers. pl. in αται, ατο, ex. gr. τιθέαται for τίθενται, ἐδιδόατο, &c. That the Dorians have \bar{a} instead of η in verbs whose radical is α , is a matter of course, ἵστᾶμι, στᾶναι.

2. Epic poets for the sake of the metre use the infin. τιθήμεναι, part. pass. τιθήμενος, and διδοῦναι for διδόναι, or retain the redupl. where it is not usual,

ex. ar. διδώσω.

3. In the verbs in $\eta\mu\iota$ of $\dot{a}\omega$ the Ionians are fond of changing the a before vowels into ε, ex. gr. ἱστέασι (for ἱστάασι, commonly ἱστᾶσι). Compare above § 105. Obs. 8. Hence they say in the 3 pers. pl. pass. ἱστέαται, (instead of ιστάαται,) for ιστανται, Obs. 1.

4. The Ionic omission of the σ in the terminations $\sigma \alpha \iota$ and σo (Obs. III. to § 103.) occurs somewhat less frequently here: Herod. ἐπίστεαι, (for -ααι,) from έπίσταμαι, ἐπίστασαι, Hom. θέο for θέσο, μάρναο for μάρνασο, δαίνυο for ἐδαίνυσο.

5. The Dorians instead of σ_{ℓ} have τ_{ℓ} , in the sing. $\tau \ell \theta \eta \tau_{\ell}$ for $\tau \ell \theta \eta \sigma_{\ell}$, and in the $p\ell$. restoring the ν, (compare Obs. V. 4. to § 103.) τιθέντι, ἱστάντι, διδόντι, for -εῖσι, ᾶσι, οῦσι.

6. The Dorians and Epic poets cut off a syllable of the 3 pers. pl. of the imperf. and aor. 2. act. in $\sigma a \nu$, and use merely the ν with a short or shortened radical vowel before it; consequently instead of εσαν-εν, ex. gr.

ἔτιθεν for ἐτίθεσαν,

instead of agav-av, ex. gr.

ἔφἄν for ἔφασαν, (see φημί,) ἔσταν, βάν, for ἔστησαν, ἔβησαν,

instead of οσαν, υσαν, —ον, ὔν, ex. gr.

έδον, έδυν, for έδοσαν, έδυσαν.

7. The Ionians have instead of $i\tau i\theta \eta \nu - i\tau i\theta \epsilon \alpha$ for the 1 pers. sing. of the imperf.

8. As the conjunctive of this conjugation (according to Obs. III. 2, 3.) is formed by a kind of contraction, it is resolved in the Ionic dialect into the accented radical vowel prefixed to the usual termination of the conjunctive in the following manner:

⁷ See below § 110, 6. $(\phi i \eta \nu)$, and compare *ibid.* 7. $\phi \theta i \mu \eta \nu$. The accentuation, which we have adopted above for the pass. form $\delta \alpha i \nu \bar{\nu} \tau o$, $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \bar{\nu} \tau o$, is grounded on the analogy of the instances stated in the preceding Obs. Compare above $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \ddot{v} \tau_0$, § 98. Obs. 9.

 a.) Verbs with the radical vowel ε and α use alike the ε as radical vowel, (Obs. 3.) thus,

for $\tau\iota\theta\tilde{\omega}$, $\eta_{\mathcal{G}}$, &c. $\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$, &c.— $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}\eta\varsigma$, $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}\eta\tau\epsilon$, $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega\sigma\iota$, $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega\mu\alpha\iota$, &c., and for $\theta\tilde{\omega}$, $\theta\tilde{\gamma}\varsigma$, &c.— $\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\theta\dot{\epsilon}\eta\varsigma$, $\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega\mu\alpha\iota$,

for ίστῶ, στῶ, στῆς, &c.—ἰστέω, ἰστέης, στέω, στέης, στέωμεν, &c.

b.) Verbs with the radical vowel o make it ω throughout; thus for διδῶ, δῶ, δῷς, &c.—διδώω, δώω, δώως, &c.

9. The Epic poets also resolve like the Ionians, but can do it in two different

ways according to the exigency of the metre:

- a.) They lengthen the ε. This, according to the general principles, should be done only by ει, and thus they have θείω, θείης, θείωμεν, &c. for θῶ, &c., and στείω for στῶ. But where the vowel is η, they may either lengthen or double it, (§ 28. Obs. 3.) so that there is again an η instead of ε. This is commonly done in verbs with the radical vowel α: hence they have for στῶ, στῆς, στῆ, &c. στείω, στήης, στήη, but with respect to the radical vowel ε the usage is fluctuating, and we meet with both θείης, θείη, θείητε, and θήης, θήη ε.
- b.) They shorten the characteristic vowel of the *conjunctive*, (according to § 103. Obs. V. 15.) but in general only when they lengthen the radical vowel: thus.

θείομαι, στείομεν, for θέωμαι, στέωμεν, στήετον, for (στῆτον,) στήητον, δώομεν, for δώωμεν.

10. As the Epic poets make the 3 pers. sing. of all conjunctives in $\sigma\iota$, (see above, § 103. Obs. V. 13.) there arise here forms which must carefully be distinguished partly from the indic. and partly from the 3 pers. pl., ex. gr. $i\sigma\tau\tilde{\eta}\sigma\iota$ for $i\sigma\tau\tilde{\eta}$, $\delta\tilde{\psi}\sigma\iota$ for $\delta\tilde{\psi}$.

11. The opt. is not resolved, except that the Ionians say $\theta \epsilon o i \mu \eta \nu$ for $\theta \epsilon i \mu \eta \nu$, as if

of $\Theta E \Omega$.

§ 108.—Verbs in $\mu\iota$ from 'EO, 'EO, 'IO.

There are among the rest of the Anomalous verbs in $\mu\iota$ some little verbs, of which the root is partly 'E Ω , partly 'E Ω , and 'I Ω , and which consequently may easily be mistaken one for the other, especially in compounds, when the spiritus is lost in part; ex. gr. $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\epsilon\bar{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota$ may come from $\epsilon\bar{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota$ and $\epsilon\bar{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota$, whilst in $\epsilon\bar{\iota}\rho\epsilon\bar{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota$ and $\epsilon\bar{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota$ the spiritus may be recognised, but not in Ionic writers, who do not aspirate the consonant in these cases. The radical form 'E Ω has three principal significations: 1.) to send, 2.) place, and 3.) clothe, put on. 'E Ω signifies to be; and 'I Ω to go.

I. "In $\mu\iota$, 'to send, throw,' from 'E Ω .

1. This verb may throughout be compared with $\tau i\theta \eta \mu \iota$, from

§ The old grammarians do not agree on this point; hence we meet with both readings in the best editions, and also with a third one, which omits the iota subscriptum in the 2 and 3 pers. $(\theta \epsilon i \eta_{\mathcal{C}}, \theta \epsilon i \eta_{\mathcal{I}})$ and thus completely assimilates them to the opt. But this reading does not appear well founded, and seems to rest only on the opinion that the ι had passed over to the preceding vowel $(\theta \epsilon \eta_{\mathcal{I}}, \theta \epsilon i \eta_{\mathcal{I}})$. See Obs. V. 14. to § 103, and connect with the contents of the Obs. 8, 9. what has been there stated respecting the conj. aor. pass.

which it differs but little. The ι (according to § 106, 6.) supplies the redupl.; in the Attic language it is long. Whenever the short radical vowel & is the initial, it may take the augment, being changed into & (§ 84, 2).

Remark.—The comparison with τίθημι being presupposed, we barely state what tenses occur of this verb; it is rather uncommon as a simple primitive, and most of the forms mentioned here appear only in its compounds,

Act. Pres. $\eta_{\mu l}$, η_{ζ} , &c. 3 pers. pl. $\tilde{l}\tilde{a}\sigma l(\nu)$ or $\tilde{l}\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{l}\sigma l(\nu)$, the former a contr. of ίέασι, comp. τιθέασι.

Inf. ίέναι, part. ίεις, conj. ίω, opt. ίείην, imper. (ἵεθι,) commonly let of TEO, as $\tau i\theta \epsilon \iota$ of TIOEO.

Imperf. "ην, and (of 'IEΩ,) "ιουν, Compound ἀφίουν or ήφίουν, (see § 86, Obs. 2.) 3 pers. pl. ήφίεσαν.

Perf. είκα 1, plusq. είκειν.

Fut. $\eta \sigma \omega$, aor. 1. $\eta \kappa \alpha$, (§ 106, 10.) Ion. $\xi \eta \kappa \alpha$.

Aor. 2. $\tilde{\eta}_{\nu}$, &c. (not used in the sing., it is supplied by the aor. 1.) pl. ἕμεν, ἕτε, ἕσαν, commonly with the augment, είμεν, είτε, είσαν (καθείμεν, ανείτε, αφείσαν).

Inf. είναι, part. είς, conj. ω.

Opt. είην, pl. είμεν, είτε, είεν, for είημεν, &c.

Imper. Eg.

Conjugate in the same way particularly the compounds; ex. gr. ἀφείναι, ἀφω, ἄφες, &c. opt. pl. ανείμεν for ανείημεν, &c.

Pass. and Medium, compare $\tau i\theta \eta \mu \iota$, for instance,

Pres. Γεμαι, perf. είμαι, μεθείμαι, μεθείσθαι, μεθείσθω, &c.

Aor. 1. Pass. $\xi\theta\eta\nu$, commonly with the augment $\xi \theta\eta\nu$, ex. gr. $\dot{a}\phi \epsilon i\theta \eta \nu$, part. $\dot{a}\phi \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \varsigma$, &c.

Aor. 1. Med. ἡκάμην (more in use than the same aor. of $\tau i\theta \eta \mu \iota$, yet only in the *indic*.).

Aor. 2. Med. \(\xi_{\mu \eta \nu}\), commonly with the augment \(\xi_{\mu \eta \nu}\), ex. gr. $\dot{a}\phi\epsilon\tilde{i}\tau o$, $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\epsilon\tilde{i}\nu\tau o^2$,

from which εσθαι, εμενος, (προέσθαι, ἀφέμενος,) conj.

Imper. ov ($\dot{a}\phi \circ \tilde{v}$, $\pi \rho \circ \circ \tilde{v}$, $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \circ \theta \epsilon$, &c.). See p. 186.

Verbal Adjectives, έτέος, έτὸς (ἄφετος, &c.).

2. Compare the Obs. III. and IV. to the preceding & about the Attic conj. and opt., ex. gr. πρόωμαι, πρόηται, ίοιτο, ἀφίοιντο,

¹ Like τέθεικα. There is a more uncommon form, ἕωκα, with the intercalated ω, according to § 97. Obs. 2. of which the pass. ἀφέωνται occurs in the New Testament. See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. p. 296.

² The accent is not drawn back, because of the augment; see § 84. Obs. 4.

πρόοισθε, and about the dialects, ex. gr. aφέω, aφείω, for the conj.

ἀφῶ, ἦσι for the 3 pers. sing. conj. ἦ.

3. There is, however, a peculiar Ionic-Attic form of the imperf. in $-\varepsilon\iota\nu$ instead of $-\eta\nu$ in the compounds: $\pi\rho\sigma''\varepsilon\iota\nu$, Od. κ . 100. $\mathring{\eta}\phi'(\varepsilon\iota\nu)$, Plato Euthyd. 51. See Buttm. Complete Greek Grammar.

4. We must also notice the Homeric fut. and aor. $\dot{a}v\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\epsilon\iota$, $\dot{a}v\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\alpha\iota\mu\iota$, &c. according to another more regular formation, but which occur only in the compound with $\dot{a}v\dot{a}$, and, as it appears,

merely when this prep. signifies back, again.

5. There is a primitive verb 'IΩ assumed as theme, especially the compounds ANIΩ, MEΘΙΩ. But the forms which belong hither, and are chiefly Ionic and poetical, rest mostly on the accent 3. We may, however, rank more safely among these forms Homer's ξύνιον, and the Ionic μεμετιμένος of ΜΕΤΙΩ, (imperf. μετίετο or ἐμετίετο,) Ion. for ΜΕΘΙΩ, commonly μεθίημι, μεθίετο, μεθειμένος.

II. είσα, I placed, and ημαι, I sit.

1. A defective verb, of which the following forms occur in its transitive signification, but only in particular fixed meanings, to erect a building, lay an ambush,

Aor. 1. είσα, med. είσάμην,

where the diphthong properly is the augment; whence the part. ἔσας, (Od. ξ. 280.) and for the sake of the metre infin. ἔσσαι, (ἐφέσσαι,) ἔσσατο, and with the syllabic augment ἐέσσατο, (Od. ξ. 295.) which forms are liable to be mistaken for the similar ones of ἕνννμι:—but the diphthong ει served also to strengthen the other forms, imper. είσον, part. είσας, είσάμενος. The fut. med. είσομαι occurs but rarely. Whatever is wanting is supplied by ἱδούω.

2. But the perf. pass., which mostly has the power of an

intransitive pres. tense, is

ημαι, I sit.

Pres. ημαι, ησαι, ησται, &c. 3 pers. pl. ηνται (Ion. εαται, Epic είαται).

Imperf. ημην, ησο, ηστο, &c. 3 pers. pl. ηντο (Ion. ξατο, Epic είατο).

Infin. ησθαι, part. ημενος, imper. ησο, ησθω, &c.

³ If we write, for instance, the 2 pers. sing. pres. μ εθιεῖς, it belongs to 'IΕΩ, but μ εθίεις to 'IΩ.

3. The compound $\kappa \acute{a}\theta \eta \mu a\iota$ is, however, more in use. This does not take the σ in the 3 pers. except when it has not the syllabic augment in the imperf.; thus

κάθημαι, 3 pers. κάθηται,

έκαθήμην or καθήμην, 3 pers. ἐκάθητο or καθῆστο, Infin. καθῆσθαι⁴, part. καθήμενος, imper. κάθησο,

Conj. κάθωμαι, η, ηται, &c. opt. καθοίμην, 3 pers. κάθοιτο (compare § 107. Obs. III. 4).

Later writers employ also for the 2 pers. the form $\kappa \acute{a}\theta \eta$, and in the imper. $\kappa \acute{a}\theta \sigma \upsilon$, for $\kappa \acute{a}\theta \sigma \sigma \upsilon$, $\kappa \acute{a}\theta \sigma \sigma \upsilon$. The Ionians have in their usual way a $\tau - \kappa \acute{a}\tau \eta \mu \omega$, 3 pers. pl. $\kappa \sigma \tau \acute{e}\sigma \tau \omega$, &c.

4. Whatever is wanting, is supplied by $\xi \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ or $\xi \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, and

its compound with κατά.

III. "Εννυμι, (Ion. εἴνυμι,) I clothe, put on, follows the conjugation of $\delta είκνυμι$, and has its deficiencies supplied by the theme ΈΩ. See § 112, 14.

When not compounded, this verb is merely poetical, and we

find the

Fut. ἔσω, ἔσσω, aor. ἔσσα, infin. ἔσαι, ἔσσαι, med. ἑσσάμην, perf. pass. εἴμαι, εἴσαι, εἴται, &c. whence the 3 pers. pl. plusq. εἴατο (Il. σ. 596.)—and of the form ἕσμαι, plusq. 2 pers. ἕσσο, 3 pers. ἕστο,

and with the syllabic augment, aor. έέσσατο, plusq. ἕεστο.

The compound $\partial \mu \phi \iota \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \nu \mu \iota$ is used in prose:

Fut. ἀμφιέσω, Attic ἀμφιω, aor. 1. ἠμφίεσα, ἀμφιέσαι,

Perf. pass. ημφίεσμαι, ημφίεσαι, ημφίεσται, &c. infin. ημφίεσθαι. The compound with $\hat{\epsilon}\pi$, commonly without eliding the ι , is likewise used; ex. gr., aor. 1. med. $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\iota\acute{\epsilon}\sigma\alpha\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$.

IV. $\epsilon i\mu i$, I am, of $^{\circ}E\Omega$.

1. The usual conjugation of this verb is the following:

Pres. Sing. $\epsilon l \mu l$, $\epsilon l c$, commonly ϵl , $\epsilon \sigma \tau l \nu$, $\epsilon \sigma \tau l$, Dual, - $\epsilon \sigma \tau l \nu$, $\epsilon \sigma \tau l \nu$, $\epsilon \sigma \tau l \nu$, $\epsilon r l \nu$

Infin. εἶναι, part. ὧν, (gen. ὄντος,) οὖσα, ὂν, Conj. ὧ, ῆς, ῆ, ῆτον, ῆτον, ὧμεν, ῆτε, ὧσι(ν),

Opt. είην, είης, είη, είητον, είητην, είημεν, seldom είμεν, είητε, seldom είτε, είησαν, commonly είεν 5 .

⁴ Be careful to observe in the compounds the difference in the accentuation of $\kappa \dot{a}\theta \eta \mu a \iota$ and $\kappa a \theta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$, just as in $\kappa \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \mu a \iota$. (§ 109. II.)

⁵ The particle εἶεν, well! te it so! appears to have arisen from the 3 pers. sing. εἴη, for it requires the sing. whether you supply τοῦτο or ταῦτα.

Imper. ἴσθι⁶, ἔστω⁷, Du. ἔστον, ἔστων, pl. ἔστε, ἔστωσαν or ἔστων, Imperf. Sing. $\tilde{\eta}_{V}$, $\tilde{\eta}_{S}$, commonly $\tilde{\eta}_{\sigma}\theta a^{8}$, $\tilde{\eta}_{V}$,

Dual, — $\tilde{\eta}$ τον, or $\tilde{\eta}$ στον, $\tilde{\eta}$ την, or $\tilde{\eta}$ στην, Pl. $\tilde{\eta}$ μεν, $\tilde{\eta}$ τε, or $\tilde{\eta}$ στε, $\tilde{\eta}$ σαν.

The fut. is formed as med.:

έσομαι, 2 pers. έση or έσει, 3 pers. έσεται, commonly έσται, infin. έσεσθαι, &c.

Verbal adj. (neuter) ἐστέον (συνεστέον, &c.).

2. There is, moreover, of the med.

1 pers. sing. imperf. ήμην,

the same with the act., but of less frequent occurrence in the old writers. See about a pretended 3 pers. pl. εἴατο, for ηντο, Od. v. 106. Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. The 2 pers. sing. of the

imper. ἔσο, ἔσσο, is found in Doric and Epic writings.

- 3. The present $i\mu$, &c. is enclitical, but is only used as such when it merely is the logical copula (connecting the predicate with the subject); whenever it denotes a being, an existence, or has a particular emphasis, it retains its accent. The 3 pers. sing. in particular then has it on the first syllable; ex. gr. $\theta \epsilon \delta c$ $\epsilon \delta \tau \iota \nu$, $\epsilon \delta \tau \iota \nu$ after the unaccented particles $\delta c c$, $\delta \iota c$, $\epsilon \delta c$, and after $\tau \delta \iota \tau \epsilon \delta c$ and $\delta \iota c$ when these words have the apostrophus, $\delta \iota c \epsilon \delta c$, $\delta \iota c$, $\delta \iota c$ and $\delta \iota c$ be the accent is on the final syllable; ex. gr. $\delta \iota c \delta c \delta c$ is on the final syllable; ex. gr. $\delta \iota c \delta c \delta c \delta c$. The 2 pers. $\epsilon \iota c \delta c \delta c \delta c$ never is enclitic, but the dialectic form $\epsilon \iota c \delta c \delta c \delta c$.
- 4. There is no verb in which the dialects differ more than in this. We simply mention those particulars which do not follow of course from what was stated in this respect in the Obs. to § 103.

Pres. Doric sing. ἐμμὶ, ἐσσὶ, ἐντὶ, which also serves for the 3 pers. pl. εἰσί:—Ionic 2 pers. sing. also ἐσσὶ, 1 pl. εἰμὲν, 3 pl. ἔασι(ν):—there is likewise a poetical form ἐμὲν for ἐσμέν.

IMPERF. Ionic sometimes $\tilde{\eta}a$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}a$, 2 pers. $\tilde{\epsilon}ac$, 3 pers. $\tilde{\eta}\epsilon$ or $\tilde{\eta}\epsilon\nu$, 2 pers. pl. $\tilde{\epsilon}a\tau\epsilon$, &c. and sometimes $\tilde{\epsilon}o\nu$, (the 1 pers. in Homer,) of the primitive form $\tilde{\epsilon}\Omega$, and $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa\sigma\nu$. The Attic form of

the 1 pers. $\tilde{\eta}$ for $\tilde{\eta}\nu$,

⁶ This must not be confounded with "τσθι, know! See in the following § οίδα.

⁷ Plato, Rep. 2. p. 361. has a singular form $\mathring{\eta}_{\tau}\omega$.
8 With later writers $\mathring{\eta}_{\mathcal{L}}$. Compare § 103. Obs. V. 12.

comes from the form ξa . Instead of the 3 pers. sing. $\tilde{\eta} \nu$, Epic poets have likewise the lengthened $\tilde{\eta} \eta \nu$ and $\tilde{\epsilon} \eta \nu^3$. By a singular anomaly the Dorians have $\tilde{\eta} \epsilon$ for the same 3 pers. The 3 pers. pl. is Ion. $\tilde{\eta} \sigma a \nu$, and Doric $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma a \nu^{10}$.

Infin. old and Ionic ἔμεν, ἔμεναι, ἔμμεν, ἔμμεναι, Doric ῆμεν and ῆμες 11.

Lastly, the Ionians make of the primitive form 'EΩ part. εων, (with the accent on the final syllable,) conj. εω, opt. εοιμι

5. In the compounds of this verb the prep. takes the accent, whenever it is not contrary to the general rules (see Obs. I. to § 103); for instance, $\pi \acute{a} \varrho \iota \iota \iota \iota$, 2 pers. $\pi \acute{a} \varrho \iota \iota$, but $\pi a \varrho \~{n} \nu$, (because of the augment,) $\pi a \varrho \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \iota$, (because of the syncope,) $\pi a \varrho \iota \iota \iota \iota$, (ibid. Obs. I. 4, 3.) conj. $\pi a \varrho \iota \iota$, $\~{n} \iota$, &c., and the opt. 3 pl. $\pi a \varrho \iota \iota \iota$, because of the formation in $\iota \iota \iota$. The part. too keeps the accent; ex. gr. $\pi a \varrho \iota \iota \iota \iota$. (See below § 117. about $\pi \acute{a} \varrho \iota \iota$, &c. for $\pi \acute{a} \varrho \iota \iota \iota$, &c.)

V. $\epsilon i\mu \iota$, I go, of 'I Ω .

1. The radical vowel of this verb is ι , which lengthened becomes $\epsilon\iota$, and is attended with many an anomaly in form and signification. The conjugation of what is in use, is as follows:—

Pres. Sing. $\tilde{\epsilon}l\mu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}l\varsigma$, commonly $\tilde{\epsilon}l$, $\tilde{\epsilon}l\sigma\iota(\nu)$, Dual, — $i\tau o \nu$, $i\tau o \nu$, $i\tau o \nu$, $iu \sigma \iota(\nu)$,

Infin. lévai,

Part. $i \hat{\omega} \nu$, always with the accent on the final syllable, like the part. aor. in other verbs.

Conj. ίω, opt. ίσιμι or ισίην,

Imper. $i\theta_i$, (in compounds $\tilde{\epsilon_i}$, as $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon_i$, &c.) $i \tau \omega$, &c.

3 pers. pl. ἴτωσαν, or ἰόντων,

IMPERF. Sing. ἤειν, commonly ἤϊα, or ἦα, ἤεις, or ἤεισθα, ἦει, or ἦειν 12, (Ion. ἤϊε or ἤϊεν,)

 9 II. $\lambda.$ 762. has $\tilde{\epsilon}\eta\nu$ for the 1 pers., which is doubtful. See Buttmann's Complete Gr. Gr. p. 551. Note.

11 Both Doric forms are also the 1 pers. pl. imperf.

12 Chiefly only before vowels.

¹⁰ That $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ is also quoted for $\mathring{\eta}\sigma a\nu$, rests on a few passages of poets, where $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ is construed with a *plural*, but preceding it, as $\tau \mathring{\eta} \xi \ \mathring{\delta}' \ \mathring{\eta}\nu \ \tau \varrho \tilde{\kappa} \xi \ \kappa \epsilon \varphi a \lambda a l$, Hesiod, Θ. 321. in which case it is a peculiarity of syntax. See below, § 129. Obs. 2.

Plur. ἤειμεν, or ἦμεν, ἤειτε, or ἦτε, ἤεσαν (Ion. ἤϊσαν, Homer ἦσαν).

The dual, according to the analogy of the 2 pers. pl.

MED. (with the signif. to hasten, hasten on or away,) is used only in the pres. and imperf.

ἴεμαι, ἰέμην,

and is conjugated like ἵεμαι (of ἵημι). Verb. adj. ἰτέος, ἰτὸς, or ἰτητέος, ἰτητός.

- 2. The compounds take the accent in the same way as those of $\epsilon i \mu i$, $ex. gr. \pi \acute{a}\rho \epsilon \iota \mu \iota$, $\pi \acute{a}\rho \epsilon \iota \mu$, and thus agree with the same persons in that verb, as does the 3 sing. $\pi \acute{a}\rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$ with the 3 pl. in $\epsilon i \mu \acute{\iota}$.
- 3. This verb is the only instance of a verb in $\mu\iota$ having ι for its radical vowel ¹³. As the verbs with the radical vowel ε keep this vowel in the pl., but change it into η in the sing., so does $\varepsilon i\mu\iota$ lengthen the i into $\varepsilon\iota$ ($\varepsilon i\mu\iota$, $\varepsilon i\sigma\iota$, $i'\mu\varepsilon\nu$, $i'\tau\varepsilon$, like $\tau(\theta\eta\mu\iota$, $\eta\sigma\iota$, $\varepsilon\mu\varepsilon\nu$, $\varepsilon\tau\varepsilon$). In the infin. $i'\varepsilon\nu a\iota$, and in the med. $i'\varepsilon\mu a\iota$, &c. the ε is a mere intercalation; it should properly be $i'\nu a\iota$, $i'\mu a\iota$, (as $\tau i'\theta\eta\mu\iota$, $-\dot{\varepsilon}\nu a\iota$, $-\varepsilon\mu a\iota$,) and the old and Epic infin. $i'\mu\varepsilon\nu$, $i'\mu\varepsilon\nu a\iota$, (like $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\varepsilon}\mu\varepsilon\nu$ or $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\varepsilon}\nu a\iota$,) agree with this analogy. The Homeric opt. $\varepsilon i'\eta\nu$, (Il. ω . 139. Od. ξ . 496.) is perfectly analogous with $\varepsilon\iota$ instead of $\bar{\iota}$, according to the analogy of $\phi\bar{\nu}\eta\nu$: see § 107. Obs. III. 6. with the Note. Tradition has also admitted one instance of the opt. $i\varepsilon i\eta\nu$, like $\tau\iota\theta\varepsilon i\eta\nu$ in Il. τ . 209, according to the literal analogy of $i'\varepsilon\nu a\iota$ ($\tau\iota\theta\acute{\varepsilon}\nu a\iota$). Homer has $\varepsilon i'\sigma\theta$ instead of $\varepsilon i'\varepsilon$ or εi .
- 4. No tenses but those stated above are in use in the common language; this verb is really a defective one. The active forms which we have mentioned belong to the mixed anomalous verb $\ddot{\epsilon}\rho\chi o\mu a\iota$, $\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta o\nu$, (see the *Anomalous Verbs*,) and supply some of its less usual forms.
- 5. There is a singular anomaly in this verb in point of signification; the pres. $\tilde{\epsilon}l\mu\iota$ conveys the meaning of the fut. I shall or will go, without any genuine exception but in Epic poets and later writers. This $\tilde{\epsilon}l\mu\iota$ thus supplies the fut. $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\acute{\nu}\sigma\rho\mu\alpha\iota$, (see the Anom. $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\chi o\mu\alpha\iota$,) which, owing to its heaviness, particularly in compounds, is less used 14.

¹³ The pl. of δέδια, and the aor. 2. med. ἐφθίμην, (see the Anom. δεῖσαι, φθίω,) are isolated syncopated forms, which follow the same analogy (compare § 106. Obs. 8).

¹⁴ This is by no means confined to the Attics; see, for instance, Herod. 3, 72. $(\pi \acute{a} \wp \iota \mu \epsilon \nu_{,})$ Hom. Il. κ. 450. Only that in Homer there are also instances of its being the pres. (ex. gr. Od. κ. 191.) but there are no genuine instances of this in the

6. The other moods of $\tilde{\iota}i\mu\iota$, whose nature allows it, may also convey the meaning of the fut., though this does not immediately appear in accessary or dependent sentences, in which they generally are employed 15. It is most distinctly seen in the part., ex. gr. παρεσκευάζετο ως ἀπιων, he equipped himself as one who will go away 16. But in the generality of instances all the other moods and the part. have the signif. of the pres., and are thus used for the same moods of έρχομαι, to which they are commonly preferred, as being less heavy.

7. The anomalous accent of lwv must not mislead the learner to mistake this participle for an aorist. The same anomaly takes place in the Ionic ἐων of εἰμὶ and in κιών. See the Anom.

κίω ¹⁷.

8. Instead of the *imperf*, which we have given above, ancient grammarians have another, είν, είς, εί, ἴμεν, ἴτε, ἴσαν, and along with it an aor. 2. "lov, "lec, &c., to which they erroneously annex the part. lwv, merely for the sake of its accent. Whatever occurs of these forms is barely Epic, and fluctuates like other old præterites between the signif. of the imperf. and of the aor.; but we never meet with any except the 3 pers.

ίε or ίεν, ίτην, ίσαν 18.

Grammarians alone supplied thus the two other forms according to analogy 19.

9. Out of these two simple præterites arose in common use two more complete forms, viz. from "ov, with the Epics "nov or $\tilde{\eta}_{0\nu}$, and from $\tilde{\epsilon i}_{\nu}$, in common language, the $\tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon i\nu}$ mentioned above. This last form at the same time became \(\tilde{\eta}ia\) or \(\tilde{\eta}a\), which is the Ion., just as in $\tau(\theta_{\eta\mu\nu}, \epsilon \tau(\theta_{\eta\nu}))$ gave the Ion. $\epsilon \tau(\theta_{\epsilon\alpha}, \epsilon \tau(\theta_{\epsilon\alpha}))$

Attics, at least in prose; for those are not genuine which may be expressed in English by a pres., though the sense unquestionably points to the fut.; for instance, I am now going home, instead of I will, shall go home, am on the point of going home.

15 Ex. gr. Thuc. 5, 7. Ενόμιζεν, ἀπιέναι, ὁπόταν βούληται, he thought he should be able to go away when he liked, where we also could say in English, he hoped to go ου αιτός της αιτός της της της της είναις, κηθείε να αιδό count say in Inginia, πορών ο το σο αναχ. Again, after ὅμνυμι, εκ. σρ. ὅμοσεν, ἀπιέναι, juravit se abiturum, he swore to go αναχ. See also Plato Phæd. 103.

16 It is plainly the fut. in Xenoph. Κ. Α. 2, 3. extr. "Ηξω συσκευασάμενος, ὡς ἀπάξων ὑμᾶς εἰς τὴν Ἑλλάδα, καὶ αὐτὸς ἀπιὼν ἐπὶ τὴν ἐμαυτοῦ ἀρχήν.

17 The part lων may be considered as a preterite, in connexions like ex. gr. Il. α. 179. οἴκαδ΄ ἰων—Μυρμιδόνεσσιν ἄνασσε. But we shall show in § 145. Obs. 7. that evident pres. tenses are thus employed. There is no true part. aor. but ἐλθων (see Αποπ. ἔρχομαι).

18 If ἀπιμεν, πρόσισαν, and the like, be found as imperf. in the editions of prose-writers, they are either false readings (ex. gr. ἴμεν for τημεν) or the incorrect

language of later writers.

¹⁹ $\epsilon l \nu$, namely, bears the same relation to $\epsilon l \mu \iota$ and $l \sigma \alpha \nu$, as $\epsilon \tau i \theta \eta \nu$ to $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$ and ἐτίθεσαν.

and in $\hat{\epsilon}l\mu$, (I am,) $\tilde{\eta}\nu$ became the Ion. $\tilde{\eta}a$, with this difference, that this $\tilde{\eta}ia$, $\tilde{\eta}a$, continued also in use in the Attic language, along with $\tilde{\eta}\epsilon\nu$. Very incorrectly the old grammarians stated this $\tilde{\eta}ia$, $\tilde{\eta}a$, as a perf., and $\tilde{\eta}\epsilon\nu$ as a plusq. derived from it, though the signification militated against it, and there are no other characteristic forms of a perf. $\tilde{\eta}ia$, (in — $a\sigma\iota$, — $\dot{\epsilon}\nu a\iota$, part. — $\tilde{\omega}c$,) occurring any where 20 . This imperf. $\tilde{\eta}a$, $\tilde{\eta}\epsilon\iota c$, &c. has chiefly continued in use to supply the place of the imperf. $\tilde{\eta}\rho\chi\delta\mu\eta\nu$ of $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\chi\rho\mu a\iota$, which, because of its equivocal meaning, $\tilde{u}\rho\chi\sigma\mu a\iota$ having the same imperf., was seldom employed 21 .

10. We must further notice in the Epic language another medial form: but without including the accessary idea of haste:

Fut. and aor. 1. εἴσομαι, εἰσάμην,

which are both liable to be mistaken for the similar forms of

²⁰ Compare with this $\mathring{\eta}$ ειν and $\mathring{\eta}$ σν as a lengthening of ε $\mathring{\iota}$ ν and $\mathring{\iota}$ ον, the form $\mathring{\eta}$ είδειν for $\mathring{\eta}$ δειν or ε $\mathring{\iota}$ δειν in the following Section, under $\mathring{\iota}$ δα. For the reading $\mathring{\eta}$ ειν with the iota subscriptum has unquestionably been introduced by grammarians merely for the sake of this derivation from $\mathring{\eta}$ ια, and the lengthening itself is owing to the wish of applying the augment without preventing the syllable ει being recognised. But the forms $\mathring{\eta}$ ειμεν, $\mathring{\eta}$ ειτε, $\mathring{\eta}$ εσαν, which really occur, have undoubtedly been introduced solely by the seeming analogy of the plusq., since in the beginning $\mathring{\eta}$ ημεν, $\mathring{\eta}$ ητε, $\mathring{\eta}$ τσαν, were alone used; hence the latter form $(\mathring{\eta}$ ησαν) has really continued in the Ionic dialect.

21 It will be proper to give some examples of \$\tilde{\eta}a\$ as imperf.: Plato Rep. 5. init., where Socrates relates a conversation, and after having stated the question of the interlocutor, 'what kinds of malice he meant,' continues, Καὶ ἐγὼ μὲν ηλα τὰς ἐφεξῆς ἐρῶν —, ὁ δὲ Πολέμαρχος ἐκτείνας — προσηγάγετο — καὶ ἔλεγεν ἄττα —. Here any language which makes a distinction between aor, and imperf. absolutely requires the imperf.: in eo eram ut discrem,—'j'allois dire' in French, and in English, I was going to say.—Xenoph. K. H. 5, 4, 10. 11. (5, 6.) where the conversation of two persons meeting together is related: 'O $K\tilde{\nu}\rho\rho\varsigma$ — $\epsilon l\pi\epsilon\nu$, 'E $\gamma\omega$ $\delta \epsilon \pi\rho\delta\varsigma$ $\sigma \epsilon$, ξφη, ἐπισκεψόμενος, ὅπως ἔχεις, ἐπορευόμην (a various reading has ήϊα instead of ἔφη without ἐπορευόμην). Έχω δέ γ΄, ἔφη ὁ Γαδάτας, ναὶ μὰ τοὺς θεοὺς, σὲ ἐπαναθεασόμενος ήϊα.—Plato Charm. init. Ἡκον μὲν τῷ προτεραί α —ἀπὸ τοῦ στρατοπέδου οίον δε διά χρόνου άφιγμένος άσμένως η α έπι τάς συνήθεις διατριβάς, και δη καὶ είς τὴν Ταυρέου παλαίστραν — είσῆλθον, καὶ αὐτόθι κατέλαβον, κ. τ. λ. The first lines here, as in the introduction of others of Plato's Dialogues, describe the existing circumstances at the time of the occurrence; $\eta^{\dagger}a \, \dot{\epsilon}\pi i \, \tau \dot{\alpha}\varsigma \, \delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\alpha}\varsigma \, denotes,$ as the pl. shows, a duration, and is consequently an imperf.; but immediately with the action, $\epsilon i_{\mathcal{C}} \tau \eta \nu$ Tavoéov $\pi a \lambda a (\sigma \tau \rho a \nu)$ $\epsilon i_{\mathcal{C}} \eta \delta \partial \nu$, begins the fact related in the aor.—Demosth. c. Steph. I. p. 1106, is also clearly an imperf.; for the preceding $\xi \gamma \nu \omega \sigma a \nu$ is a time past, and the following, $\xi \gamma \omega \delta \delta \epsilon$, $\epsilon \ell \tau \iota c \eta \delta \delta \epsilon \epsilon \iota \iota$ $\epsilon \iota c \delta \epsilon \iota$ is the well-known hypothetical statement, where imperf. denote the present time consequently $\delta c \iota c \delta c \iota$ are a recovery inverted and $\epsilon \iota c \delta c \delta c \delta c \iota$. consequently ηa as a necessary *imperf*. cannot be changed. But this passage being rather involved, let us take Herod. 2, 42. where the conditional or contingent, "Εγωγε $\partial \nu$ οὐκ ηια — πολλαχη τε $\partial \nu$ ισχον εμεωυτόν, stands without any premises, and, as the context shows, cannot possibly be time past. These and other distinct passages, in which the natural order of thoughts, and the known practice of the language, suggest the imperf., must serve as a foundation to judge of passages in which the aor. may appear more proper, and the same with other passages of the ancients, where real imperf. stand in a connexion which leads us to expect an aor., since it frequently happens that the action, which our mind conceives as rapidly performed and past, may be considered by the speaker in its duration, or in its co-existence with another action related by him, when it must, of course, be expressed in the imperf.

εἴδω, especially since Homer also has ἐείσατο without eliding the vowel of the prep. καταείσατο, went down.

§ 109.

The following verbs must likewise be stated here:

I. $\Phi \eta \mu i$, I say, of $\Phi A \Omega$.

Pres. Sing. $\phi \eta \mu \hat{i}$, $\phi \hat{\eta} c$, $\phi \eta \sigma \hat{i}(v)$, Dual, — $\phi \alpha \tau \hat{o} v$, $\phi \alpha \tau \hat{o} v$, $\rho a \tau \hat{o} v$, $\rho a \sigma \hat{o}(v)$,

ΙΝΕΙΝ. φάναι, ΡΑΚΤ. φάς,

Conj. φω, Ορτ. φαίην, Imper. φάθι,

Imperf. Sing. $\xi\phi\eta\nu$, $\xi\phi\eta\varsigma$, commonly $\xi\phi\eta\sigma\theta a$, $\xi\phi\eta$,

Dual, — ἔφατον, ἐφάτην,

Pl. ἔφαμεν, ἔφατε, ἔφασαν, (poetically ἔφαν,)

Fut. φήσω, αοτ. 1. έφησα.

The med. φάσθαι, ἐφάμην, (imper. φάο for φάσο in Homer,) is used as well as a few perfects of the pass. voice, like πεφάσθω, (be it said,) πεφασμένος.

Verbal adjectives, φατέος, φατός.

1. The pres. indic., with the exception of $\phi \eta_S$, is enclitic according to § 14. The compounds are accented $\sigma \delta \mu \phi \eta \mu$, $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \dot{\eta}_S$,

αντίφημι, αντιφής.

- 2. With respect to the signif. of this verb, we must distinguish 1.) the general one, to say, 2.) the more particular ones, to affirm, assert, pretend, concede, and the like. The pres. $\phi\eta\mu$ i includes both; but in the first signification it is chiefly the pres. and imperf. act. with all their moods, which are in common use, the rest is supplied by the Anom. $il\pi il\nu$, &c. which see. The fut. and aor. $\phi illow{l}\sigma \omega$, $illow{l}\phi \eta \sigma a$, have preferably the more particular significations, which in the imperf., infin., and part. pres., to avoid ambiguity, are more usually expressed either by $\phi \acute{a}\sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, which else is not used in prose, or by the middle voice 1.
- 3. We have arranged and denominated the forms of this verb as their formation requires; but with respect to its use, we notice further that the *imperf*. $\xi \phi \eta \nu$ commonly is likewise *aor*. and may be interchanged with $\epsilon l \pi \sigma \nu$ as perfectly synonymous. To this $\xi \phi \eta \nu$ must be joined the *infin*. $\phi a \nu a \nu$, which in a narrative

¹ For instance, ἔφη σπουδάζειν, he said that he was in haste, ἔφασκε σπουδάζειν, he pretended to be in haste, φάσκων, pretending, asserting; οὐ φάμενος, denying (for οὔ φημι, is exactly the contrary of φημι, I affirm, assert, see § 148. Obs. 2).

is always used in the sense of the præterite. If in the affirmative we say, for instance, ἔφη ὁ Περικλῆς, Pericles said, we say in sermone obliquo, φάναι τὸν Περικλέα, Pericles (is reported) to have said. Whenever the infin. pres. is required, λέγειν or φάσκειν, which we mentioned before, must be employed.

4. In the language of daily intercourse an aphæresis (subtracting from the beginning of a word) has given rise to the

following forms,

ημὶ, say I, ('inquam,') the French dis-je,

on repeating one's self in a lively speech; and the same in the imperf. $\tilde{\eta}\nu$, $\tilde{\eta}$, for $\tilde{\epsilon}\phi\eta\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\phi\eta\nu$, $(\phi\tilde{\eta}\nu,\phi\tilde{\eta},)$ merely in such locutions, as

 $\tilde{\eta}_{\nu}$ δ' $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\gamma}\tilde{\omega}$, said I, $\tilde{\eta}$ δ' δ_{ς} , said he,

in relating a conversation; and to this must be added the Epic \tilde{n} , (he or she said.)

after having related a speech by way of a transition to the continuance of a narrative.

ΙΙ. κείμαι, I lie, of ΚΕΙΩ, ΚΕΩ.

Pres. κείσαι, κείται, &c. 3 pers. pl. κείνται,
Infin. κείσθαι, part. κείμενος, imper. κείσο, &c.
Conj. κέωμαι, κέη, &c. opt. κεοίμην,

Conj. κεωμαι, κεη, &c. opt. κεοίμην, Imperf. ἐκείμην, ἔκεισο, ἔκειτο, &c.

Fut. κείσομαι,

Compounds κατάκειμαι, κατάκεισο, &c. but the infin. κατακεῖσθαι.

- 1. The Ionians also have of the radical verb KEΩ, κέεται, κέονται, κέεσθαι, besides other forms, as κείαται, κέαται, for κεῖνται, and the *iterative* κέσκετο.
- 2. The act. form $\kappa \epsilon i \omega$, $\kappa \epsilon \omega$, in Homer, conveys the meaning of the fut., I will lie down. See below the Note to $\delta \hat{\eta} \omega$ in the Anom. ΔA .
- 3. This verb, according to some grammarians, had no conjunctive mood; hence we find here and there κεῖμαι, κεῖται, in the sense of the conjunctive, ex. gr. διάκειμαι, Plato Phæd. 84. and κεῖται in Homer, where other critics read κῆται.
- 4. Along with its simple meaning, $\kappa \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \mu a \iota$ is also considered as a perf. pass. of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$: hence all its compounds correspond in their signification to the compounds of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$, ex. gr. ἀνατίθημι, I consecrate, ἀνάκειμαι, I am consecrated².

² The difference between this and the real perf. pass. of $\tau i\theta \eta \mu \iota$ is simply that it denotes the continued passive state, and hence has not generally as the other the

III. Oida, I know, of είδω.

- 1. The old verb $\epsilon i \delta \omega$ properly signifies to see; a few of its tenses only signify to know. None of the forms of one signification occur in the other; and as the forms which mean to know have many other anomalies, it is of great practical use to learn them here separately. Look for it in the sense of to see in the list of Anomalous Verbs under $\epsilon i \delta \omega$ and $\delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
- 2. Of δa is properly the perf. 2. of $\delta l \delta \omega$, like $\delta olka$, Ionic of κa , of $\delta l k \omega$, (I have seen, perceived,) but has the power of a prestense in the signification of to know, and the plusq. has, of course, that of the imperf. (see below, § 113. Obs. 10.) The 2 pers. of $\delta a c$ and the whole pl. of $\delta a \mu \epsilon \nu$, of $\delta a \tau \epsilon$, of $\delta a \sigma l$, are of very rare occurrence in the Attics as the regular conjugation of of δa , which is supplied by syncopated forms; as,

Pres. Sing. oĩ δα, οἴσθα ³, οῖδε(ν), Dual, — ἴστον, ἴστον, Pl. ἴσμεν, ἴστε, ἴσ \bar{a} σι(ν), Infin. εἰδέναι, part. εἰδως, νῖα, ὸς, Conj. εἰδως, opt. εἰδείην,

Imper. $l\sigma\theta\iota^4$, $l\sigma\tau\omega$, &c.

Imperf. sing. $\mathring{\eta}\delta \epsilon \iota \nu$, Att. $\mathring{\eta}\delta \eta$, I did know,

(Comp. § 113. $\mathring{\eta}\delta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, commonly $\mathring{\eta}\delta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha$, $Att. \mathring{\eta}\delta \eta \sigma \theta \alpha$,

Obs. II. 2.) ήδει, Att. ήδειν, and ήδη,

Pl. ήδειμεν, or ήσμεν, ήδειτε, or ήστε, ήδεσαν, or ήσαν,

Fut. εἴσομαι, more rarely εἰδήσω, I shall know or learn.

Verbal adj. (neuter,) ἰστέον.

The aor. and the true perf. are supplied from γιγνώσκω. See the list of Anomalous Verbs.

3. The Ionians and Dorians have ἴδμεν for ἴσμεν: the Epics ἴδμεναι and ἴδμεν for εἰδέναι, and instead of the plusq. ἤδειν they also have a lengthened form, for instance, 2 pers. ἠείδεις, ἠείδης, 3 pers. ἠείδει, ἠείδη, (Il. χ. 280. Od. ι. 206. Apoll. Rh. 2, 822.) and Herod. (1, 45.) has with a shortened termination ἤειδε, see

subject of the act. with $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\rho}$ or $\pi\rho\dot{\rho}\varsigma$ connected with it, for instance, $\sigma\nu\nu\tau\dot{\iota}\theta\eta\mu$, I put together, compose, $\sigma\nu\nu\tau\dot{\iota}\theta\epsilon$ it as been put together, composed by him, $\sigma\dot{\nu}\gamma\kappa\epsilon\iota\tau\alpha\iota$, it is composed, consists of.

 3 A syncopated form instead of οιδασθα, οιδ-σθα. See Obs. V. 12. to § 103.— There is an evidently incorrect, yet old and Attic form οισθας, see Pierson ad

Mær. 283.

^{*} Which must not be confounded with ἴσθι from εἰμί.

the *Note* to page 201.—Homer has instead of $\tilde{\eta}\sigma\alpha\nu$ —" $\sigma\alpha\nu$ with the first syllable shortened (*Od.* δ . 772).

4. Formerly grammarians used to mention here a particular verb,

ζσημι,

to which they gave all the above forms beginning with ι , and these were considered as syncopated; the forms oida, iideval, were merely stated in the list of Anomalous Verbs under iideval. The Doric dialect has indeed a verb iideval, iideval, iideval, but supposing even that all those forms are derived from it, usage has most certainly intermixed them, and the popular language of both old and later times has constantly employed in the sing. oideval, and in the pl. iideval. The above mixed conjugation is, therefore, best calculated for a Grammar which is to teach the practice of the language.

5. But on looking a little more narrowly into the anomalies of the Greek language, we soon perceive that those forms actually belong to oida or eidow. It is obvious that the Ionic "duev did not come from "σμεν: the latter, conformably to general analogy, (see § 23.) rather came from the former, and ίδμεν as well as the infin. ίδμεναι evidently belong to είδω, and not to "σημι. Add to this the striking analogy, not only of the language in general, which so readily transfers the forms of the perf. by means of a syncope to the conjugation in μ , (see δ 110, 9.) but also the analogy of this verb itself, as no one can deny that the forms of the plusq. ησμεν, ηστε, differ from ήδειμεν, ήδειτε, barely by this syncope. Now "σμεν, "στε, stand exactly in the same relation to o'ldauev, o'ldate, for the difference of the very changeable vowel in this and similar verbs is of no weight whatever. The imper. $i\sigma\theta\iota$ was submitted, exactly like κέκραχ θ_{ℓ} , ἄνωχ θ_{ℓ} , to similar abbreviations, (§ 110, 9.) as well as the 3 pers. pl. "σασι", from which the collateral form "σημι appears to be derived.

passed from the first verb into the Ionic dialect, and the second into the common language. But the complete form $\ell o \iota \kappa \alpha$, $\ell o \iota \delta \alpha$, by changing $o \iota$ into ι , and by contraction, (as it were of $\ell \ell \kappa \alpha$, $\ell \ell \delta \alpha$,) produced

the part. εἰκὼς, εἰδὼς, and the moods εἰδῶ, εἰδείην, stated above, 6. The written language itself furnishes the

⁵ The point is completely decided by some striking analogies, especially $\ell\pi^{\epsilon}$ $\pi\iota\theta\mu\epsilon\nu$ and $\ell^{\epsilon}\iota\kappa\tau\eta\nu$, which are compared below, § 110, 9. But it will not be amiss to review here the analogy of all the forms proceeding from $\epsilon_{0}\iota\kappa\alpha$ and $\delta^{\dagger}\delta\alpha$. Just as $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\omega$ makes $\pi^{\epsilon}\pi\iota\theta\alpha$, so do $\epsilon^{\dagger}\iota\kappa\omega$ and $\epsilon^{\dagger}\ell\delta\omega$ make $\epsilon^{\epsilon}\iota\kappa\alpha$, and properly also $\epsilon^{\epsilon}\iota\delta\alpha$, the ϵ supplying the place of the redupl. (§ 84. Obs. 6.) A shortened form $\delta^{\dagger}\iota\kappa\alpha$, $\delta^{\dagger}\ell\alpha$,

223 VERBS.

6. The syllable ει instead of οι in the other moods of οίδα has in its favour the analogy of ἐοικα, (Ion. οἶκα,) εἰκως (Anom. εἰκω). See the Note below.—Here too we plainly see the transition to the conjugation in μι: for, whilst the part. είδως continues in the usual analogy, the conj. and opt. take the terminations of that conjugation, είδω, (circumflexed,) είδείην. But the Epic poets may shorten the long vowel of this conjunctive, and neglect its accent as readily as in other conjunctives: "iva eiloμεν for εἰδωμεν.—The radical vowel ι was also shortened, conj. ίδέω, part. ίδυῖα (Homer 6).

A GENERAL VIEW OF THE ANOMALY OF VERBS.

§ 110.—Syncope and Metathesis.

1. It is true that whatever deviates in language from the larger mass of what is regular, still follows some analogy even in this deviation; but this, especially in a dead language, is not always apparent, a variety of instances of the usage in common life, and of the different dialects, not having been assimilated to the language of books. Such isolated instances, which can only be learned and remembered singly, constitute anomaly in

proof that practice retains sometimes several forms at once, and sometimes a single one; the part. of ἔοικα occurs in the three forms, ἐοικὼς, είκὼς, οἰκὼς, but that of one; the park of toka occurs in the three forms, tokag, trkag, trkag, orkag, that of old a occurs only in one, $ii\delta\omega_{\mathcal{L}}$. The plusq. required a new augment: io_{ioka} commonly took it according to the analogy of $io_{io}\tau\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$ $i\omega_{io}\tau\dot{\alpha}\zeta_{io}\nu$, $i\omega_{io}\kappa even$: but there was likewise a regular plusq. with the syllable of shortened. This appears from the pass, form, (of the perf. $ii\gamma\mu\alpha\iota$, plusq. $ii\gamma\mu\eta\nu$,)

3 pers. plusq. $ii\kappa\tau_0$, without the augment $ii\kappa\tau_0$.

In the same way arose from $iiol\delta\alpha$,

plusq. (ἠίδειν.) ἦδειν.
To this was added the syncope, which, as we shall see below, produced out of ἔοικα, (altering the vowel,)

the I pers. pl. of the perf. "coiquev, 3 dual of the plusq.

and out of oloao, (with the same alteration,) the forms $(oi\delta - \sigma\theta a) oi\sigma\theta a$, ιδμεν and ισμεν, ιστε,

but in the plusq. out of your

ήσμεν, ήστε, ήσαν. Homer's $i\sigma a\nu$ (instead of $i\delta - \sigma a\nu$) differs from this $\eta \sigma a\nu$, (instead of $\eta \delta - \sigma a\nu$,) merely in the omission of the augment.—The accent, moreover, shows that $i\sigma a\sigma\iota$ did not come from $i\sigma\eta\mu\iota$, since the 3 pers. pl. of $i\sigma\eta\mu\iota$ must necessarily be $i\sigma\tilde{\alpha}\sigma\iota$, (see $i\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$) and this is confirmed by the additional analogy of the verb $i\sigma\iota\kappa\alpha$,

ἔοικα, — (οι into ι, ἐίκ-σασιν,) εἶξασιν, οἶδα, — (οι into ι, ἴδ-σασιν,) ἴσασιν.

Both are Attic forms instead of the regular $io(\kappa a\sigma t)$, $o(ioa\sigma t)$, which establishes the common anomaly of the termination $\sigma a\sigma t$, instead of the generally prevailing final syllable aou of the perf.

⁶ To facilitate the use of most Dictionaries and Indexes, we observe that all the above statements must be looked for in the pres. tenses of εἴδω, εἰδέω, and ἴσημι, and in their compounds.

its strictest meaning; but deviations which occur in several instances are consequently smaller analogies, which ought properly to be added in particular rules and conjugations to the more general ones. This, however, would be rather inconvenient; those smaller anomalies and isolated instances are, therefore, investigated separately, and considered as the anomaly of the language, which in the Greek verb in particular is very considerable.

2. Part of this anomaly has already been stated as exceptions; the rest is arranged in an Alphabetical List. But to promote individual observation, and guard against a mere mechanismus, not only the smaller analogies to which these deviations conform are mentioned under the head of each verb in the List, but we previously review some classes which comprise a greater variety of instances.

3. A main class of deviations is produced by *syncope*. The conjugation in $\mu \iota$ being, as we have seen above, a kind of syncopated form, those parts of a common verb which agree with that conjugation must be ranked in this class of anomaly.

4. Some verbs drop the vowel out of their root, ex. gr. πέλω, 3 pl. imperf. ἔπελε or ἔπλε, πελάω, aor. 1. pass. ἐπλάθην, πέτομαι, fut. πτήσομαι. There are two things principally to be attended to here:—

a.) In some verbs the aor. 2. is formed merely in this way, πέτομαι, (imperf. ἐπετόμην,) aor. 2. ἐπτόμην, ἐγείρω ἐγείρομαι, aor. 2. ἠγρόμην, (I woke,) ἀγείρω, part. aor. 2. med. ἀγρόμενος (assembled; but part. pass. ἀγειρόμενοι, who are assembling). Further ἔσχον and ἕσπον, (see ἔχω and ἕπω,) and ἤλυθον, ῆλθον (see ἔρχομαι).

b.) This syncope is most natural after a redupl.: hence πιπράσκω from περάω, πίπτω from ΠΕΤΩ, μίμνω from ΜΕΝΩ, and in the perf., as δέμω, perf. (δεδέμηκα,) δέδμηκα, (see, however, other similar forms at 11. under Metathesis,) πέπταμαι from ΠΕΤΑΩ, see πετάννυμι. See also μέμβλεται in μέλω. Hither belong also the aor. ἐκεκλόμην and ἔπεφνον from κέλομαι and ΦΕΝΩ with a double augment according to § 83. Obs. 7.

5. The most usual syncope is that of the connecting vowel²,

Many instances, which seemingly belong to this syncope, are more properly ranked under a metathesis. See below, 11, 2.
 That we call this a syncopated formation, merely because the usual connecting

VERBS. 225

of which the principal instances will be investigated, viz. under A the pres. and imperf., under B the aor., and under C the perf.

- A) In the pres. and imperf. (when this continues to be the imperf.) the syncope takes place in οἶμαι, ῷμην, for οἴομαι, ῷόμην, and in the Epic ῥῦσθαι, ἔρυσθαι, ἔρῦτο, for ῥύεσθαι, ἐρύεσθαι, ἐρύετο, (see ἐρύω,) compare also below in the List σεύω, and ἔδμεναι from ἔδω. Hither belongs likewise the Epic στεῦται, στεῦτο, (to strive, threaten,) and (according to § 106. Obs. 6.) all the verbs in μι.
- 6. Several verbs have (B) an aor. with this syncope, which must be compared with the aor. 2. or considered as such. In the 1 pers. act. nothing remains as termination but the ν , and as this can take place only with a vowel preceding, it produces a form which mostly agrees with the aor. 2. of the conjugation in $\mu\iota$ in all its moods and part. And the vowel of these aor., whether long or short, whether a or η , conforms generally to the perf. 1. of the same verb, and remains unchanged in all its persons and moods, except that η and ω are commonly changed in the opt. into $\varepsilon\iota$, al, ol, and in the part. into $\varepsilon\iota$, \bar{a} , ov. Thus,

σβέννυμι, ΣΒΕΩ, ἔσβηκα,—ἔσβην, ἔσβημεν, σβῆναι, σβείην. βαίνω, ΒΑΩ, βέβηκα,—ἔβην, ἔβημεν, βῆναι, βαίην, βῆθι. διδράσκω, δέδρᾶκα,—ἔδρᾶν, ἔδραμεν, δρᾶναι, δραίην, δράς εκτείνω, ἔκτᾶκα,—ἔκτᾶν, ἔκτᾶμεν, κτάναι, κταίην, κτάς. γιγνώσκω, ἔγνωκα,—ἔγνων, ἔγνωμεν, γνῶναι, γνοίην, γνούς. βιόω, βεβίωκα,—ἐβίων, ἐβίωμεν, βιῶναι, βιῷην, βιούς. φύω, πέφῦκα,—ἔφῦν, ἔφῦμεν, φῦναι, φῦην for φυίην, φύς. ee the more complete αστος this kind in ἀλίσκομαι, βιβοώσκα

See the more complete aor: of this kind in άλίσκομαι, βιβρώσκω, δύω, πέτομαι, σκέλλω, τλῆναι, φθάνω, and isolated and uncommon forms in βάλλω, γηράσκω, κλάω, οὐτάω, πλέω, πτήσσω.

Obs. 1. We observe further:

1.) The inflection of those *aorists* follows $\xi \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ with regard to the long vowel (§ 106, 7, 1).

in ἔδρᾶν, (δέδοᾶκα,) a long a takes the place of η, on account of the preceding ρ: compare γηρᾶναι from γηράσκω; see the Anom.

vowel does not appear, without intending to assert that it had been there primitively and dropped, follows already from what we stated, § 106. Obs. 6, 7.

³ The length of the α appears from instances like the close of an anapæstic verse of Aristoph. (ap. Herodian. Piersoni, p. 465.) δεῦ | ρο δ΄ ἀν οὐκ | ἀπέδρα- | μεν, and from the Ionismus ἔδρην. Compare with it particularly γηρᾶναι, in the Anom. γηράσκω. We see that the ρ , which every where preferably has $\bar{\alpha}$ after it, also preparalls have

⁴ Theorr. 15, 94. where formerly the reading was incorrectly φυη. Compare

above, § 107. Obs. III. 6.

 the aor. ἔφθην (φθάνω) is the only one which does not take the vowel of the perf. ἔφθᾶκα, (aor. 1. ἔφθᾶκα,) but that of the fut. φθήσομαι.

4.) the aor. ἔπλων, on account of its coming from πλώω, (not πλόω,) is the only one which retains the ω in the participle πλὼς, (ἐπιπλὼς, Il. ζ. 291.) and which undoubtedly had ῶντος (for οὐς, όντος). The same is ἐβίων, the only one in common language which has φ instead of οι, in contradistinction from βιοίην, (opt. pres.) and in more ancient poets occur also ἀλψην, γνψην.

5.) the shortened 3 pers. plur. in ν , instead of $\sigma \alpha \nu$, has, like $\xi \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, the vowel

before ν always short, ex. gr. βάν, ἔδρἄν. See § 107. IV. b.

Obs. 2. As we have seen above, § 106, 107, that the termination $\theta\iota$ of the imperbelongs likewise to the syncopated formation, since it has an immediate connexion with the root, the imper of the above forms, as far as it occurs, is formed in the same way; ex. gr. $\beta\tilde{\eta}\theta\iota$, $\delta\rho\tilde{a}\theta\iota$, $\gamma\nu\tilde{a}\theta\iota$, $\delta\tilde{\nu}\theta\iota$ (pl. $\beta\tilde{\eta}\tau\epsilon$, $\delta\tilde{v}\tau\epsilon$, &c.). Thus the following four imper in $\theta\iota$ and its abbreviation \mathfrak{c} (§ 106, 4.) must be ranked among the aor. mentioned here, viz.

πῖθι, κλῦθι, σχὲς, φρές.

See in the List, πίνω, κλύω, ἔχω, φρέω.

7. To these aor. act. must be added a corresponding pass. aor. in $\mu\eta\nu$, σ 0, τ 0, &c., which thus corresponds to the aor. 2. med. of the regular conjugations. But it must be remembered, 1.) that most instances have not the medial, but the perf. pass. signif.; 2.) that with regard to the vowel they conform to the perf. pass.; and, 3.) that they are exclusively confined to the most ancient poets. Some of them actually belong to one of the mentioned aor. act. as pass., viz.

έβλήμην, opt. βλείμην,—of ἔβλην, (ξυμβλήτην,) see βάλλω, ἐκτάμην, κτάσθαι, κτάμενος,—from ἔκταν, see κτείνω.

See also in the List in the proper place the forms

συγγνοῖτο, οὐτάμενος, and in reference to the mentioned

κλῦθι, the old part. κλύμενος.

Whence it follows that those which follow the same analogy without any act. form occurring, must be considered in the same light; ex. gr.

πνέω, πέπνυμαι, — (ἐπνύμην,) ἄμπνυτο, λ ύω, λέλυμαι, — (ἐλυμην,) λ ύτο,

φθίω, ἔφθτμαι, — ἐφθτμην, φθίμενος, opt. φθίμην.

See the List. See also ἐπλήμην in πίμπλημι, ἔνασθε in ναίω, ἐσσύμην in σεύω, ἐχύμην in χέω, and the part. κτίμενος, πτάμενος, (in πετάννυμι,) θύμενος, ἁρπάμενος.

8. Neither must the syncopated aor. pass., having a consonant before their termination, ($\xi\lambda_{\xi\kappa\tau o}$, $\delta\xi\chi\theta a\iota$, &c.) be separated from them. They are derived from the simple theme of the verb, and when this simple theme is at the same time the usual one, they distinguish themselves from the *imperf*.

and the *moods* of the *pres*. tense barely by this syncope, on account of which they also agree exactly, like the preceding, with their *perf*. and *plusq*. *pass*. without a *redupl*., with which tenses they may be compared, but with which they must not be confounded. In their *act*. and *pass*. and *medial* signif. they all follow the *pres*. in $\mu a \iota$, and they too belong exclusively to the most ancient poetry,

δέχομαι, ἐδεδέγμην, ἐδέδεξο, &c. δεδέχθαι,—aor. syncope, (ἐδέγμην,) ἔδεξο, ἔδεκτο, infin. δέχθαι, imper. δέξο.

μίγνυμι, (ΜΙΓΩ,)-(ἐμίγμην,) μίκτο.

λέξασθαι,—(ἐλέγμην,) λέξο, λέκτο, λέχθαι.

 $\pi \acute{a} \lambda \lambda \omega$,— $(\mathring{\epsilon} \pi \acute{a} \lambda \mu \eta \nu$,) $\pi \acute{a} \lambda \tau o$, &c.

ὄρνυμι, (ΟΡΩ,)—imper. ὄρσο, ὤρμην, ὧρτο, infin. ὄρθαι, part. ὄρμενος, and some others like ἔγεντο for ἐγένετο, εῦκτο, (see εὕχομαι,) ἄλτο, (see ἄλλομαι,) ἐλέλικτο, (see ἐλελίζω,) ἵκμενος, ἄρμενος.

Obs. 3. That the σ is dropped in terminations beginning with $\sigma\theta$, is understood of course here as in the perf. pass. Hence $\delta \epsilon \chi \theta a \iota$, $\delta \rho \theta a \iota$. Hither belong also the dual $\mu \iota \dot{a} \nu \theta \eta \nu$, (see below, $\mu \iota \dot{a} \iota \nu \omega$,) and dropping two consonants, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \theta a \iota$ (see below, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \theta \omega$ ⁵).

Obs. 4. When the indic. of these pass aor, retains its augment in any verb, of which the redupl. is the simple augment, it cannot be distinguished from the plusq. in point of form: ἄρμην, ἐκτάμην, ἐφθίμην, ἐσσύμην.

9. Lastly (C) in the *perf*. and *plusq*. lengthened forms are sometimes shortened by this syncope, and when such *perf*. have, as we shall see in § 113, the signif. of the *pres*., they have a 2 *pers*. *imper*. with the termination θ_{ι} (§ 106, 4. with the *Obs*. 8). This is the case with

κέκραγα, — κέκραγμεν, ἐκέκραγμεν, imper. κέκραχθι, (see κράζω,)

"aνωγα, (see the List,)—"aνωγμεν, imper. "aνωχθι.

εἰλήλουθα,—εἰλήλουθμεν, Epic forms for ἐλήλυθα (see ἔρχομαι).

The syllable ot from at generally becomes through this syncope,

πέποιθα, from πείθω,— ἐπέπιθμεν, (Homer,) ἔοικα, from εἴκω,—ἔοιγμεν, 3 pers. dual,

⁵ These considerations will enable us to appreciate the merit of the usual statement, that not only $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \tau o$, $\delta \acute{\epsilon} \chi \theta a\iota$, &c. but also $\beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta a\iota$, $\kappa \tau \acute{\iota} \mu \epsilon \nu o c$, &c. are instances of perf. and plusq. rejecting their redupl. It is obvious that $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \tau o$, $\delta \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu o c$, are in the same predicament with $\lambda \acute{\nu} \tau o$, $\kappa \tau \acute{\iota} \mu \epsilon \nu o c$, and these again with $\beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta a\iota$, $\kappa \tau \acute{a} \mu \epsilon \nu o c$. But to separate the latter from the act. $\beta \lambda \acute{\eta} \tau \eta \nu$, $\check{\epsilon} \kappa \tau a\nu$, would be contrary to all grammatical criticism. All the above forms must, therefore, be placed in one category; they are acr. like $\check{\epsilon} \kappa \tau a\nu$, $\check{\epsilon} \beta \eta \nu$, and explained by their syncopated formation, which in one part of their forms contains the formation in $\mu \iota$.

Perf. ἔἰκτον, plusq. ἐἰκτην, all poetical forms, and this proves the correctness of our afore-stated derivation from ἴσμεν, &c. viz.

οίδα, (from είδω,)—ἴδμεν or ἴσμεν, ἴστε, 3 pers. plusq. Epic

ἴσαν, imper. ἴσθι,

Infin. Epic ὶδμέναι for εἰδέμεναι, (commonly εἰδέναι,) with the Att. plusq. ἦσμεν, ἦστε, ἦσαν, for ἤδειμεν, ἤδειτε, ἤδεσαν. See about the forms from ἔοικα and οἶδα, the Note to § 109. III. 5.

Obs. 5. When through this syncope the consonant of the radical form comes before a τ in the termination, this τ , because of the similarity of sound with the terminations of the pass. $(\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \nu \phi \theta \epsilon, \, \dot{\epsilon} \phi \theta a \rho \theta \epsilon, \, \text{and} \, \text{the like,})$ sometimes becomes a θ . Thus the rest of the persons of the imper. $\ddot{a}\nu \omega \chi \theta \iota$ become instead of

ἀνώγετε, ἀνωγέτω,— ἄνωχθε, ἀνώχθω, and of the perf. ἐγρήγορα, ἐγρηγόρατε,— ἐγρήγορθε,

(see $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\epsilon}(\rho\omega)$) and this accounts most naturally for the Epic $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\sigma\sigma\theta\dot{\epsilon}$, (see below, $\pi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\chi\omega$.)

 π έπονθα, π επόνθατε,— π έποσθε, that is to say, as soon as the θ came before the τ , it was changed into σ , (as ἴδμεν, ἴστε,) and the ν was dropped, (π έποστε,) which was erroneously made a pass. π έποσθε.

10. This syncope is more natural whenever the characteristic of the verb is a vowel. But, as we have seen in \S 97, 7. it is but in a very few verbs that this vowel is pure before the termination a of the *perf.*, ex. gr. in

δέδια, (see δείσαι in the List,) whence perf. pl. δέδιμεν,

δέδιτε, for δεδίαμεν, τε,

Plusq. εδέδιμεν, εδέδιτε, εδέδισαν, for εδεδίειμεν, τε, εδεδίεσαν,

Imper. δέδιθι,

and as some of the perf. in $\eta \kappa a$, when shortened by the Epic poets, have their radical vowel (a) before the termination, $\beta \epsilon - \beta \eta \kappa a$, ($\beta \epsilon \beta a a$,) $\beta \epsilon \beta \hat{a} a \sigma \iota$, $\beta \epsilon \beta a \hat{\omega} c$, this ancient form and this syncope jointly serve to account for such perf. in the Attic and common language in the dual and pl. of the indic. and in the infin., ex. gr. of $\tau \epsilon \tau \lambda \eta \kappa a$, (see the Anom. $\tau \lambda \tilde{\eta} \nu a \iota$,) TETAAA— $\tau \epsilon \tau \lambda \tilde{u} \mu \epsilon \nu$, &c. infin. $\tau \epsilon \tau \lambda \hat{a} \nu a \iota$ (for $\tau \epsilon \tau \lambda a - \hat{\epsilon} \nu a \iota$). This agrees perfectly with the pres. of the conjugation in $\mu \iota$, $\ell \sigma \tau a \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\ell \sigma \tau \hat{a} \nu a \iota$; hence most of the other parts of the conjugation in $\mu \iota$ adopt this form of the perf. thus,

Perf. τέτλἄμεν, τέτλἄτε, τετλᾶσι(ν), dual, τέτλἄτον, Plusq. pl. ἐτέτλἄμεν, ἐτέτλἄτε, ἐτέτλἄσαν, dual, ἐτέτλἄτον, ἐτετλάτην, Infin. τετλάναι, (short a,) Imper. τέτλαθι, τετλάτω, &c. Opt. τετλαίην.

The conjunctive of this verb is not used in this form; we give that of βέβηκα, βέβαμεν, &c.

VERBS.

Conj. $\beta \varepsilon \beta \tilde{\omega}$, $\tilde{\eta}_{\varsigma}$, $\tilde{\eta}$, &c.

The part. alone does not follow the conjugation in $\mu \iota$, but is contracted of $a\dot{\omega}_{\varsigma} - \dot{\omega}_{\varsigma}$, so that the masc. and neut. became homonymous, $(a\hat{\omega}_{\varsigma})$ and $a\hat{\delta}_{\varsigma}$, gen. $a\hat{\delta}_{\tau}\hat{\delta}_{\varsigma}$, contracted $\hat{\omega}_{\varsigma}$, $\tilde{\omega}_{\tau}\hat{\delta}_{\varsigma}$, and this contracted form has a peculiar fem. in woa, ex. gr. of βέβηκα, part. βεβηκώς, νία, ός,

> βεβώς, βεβώσα, βεβώς, gen. βεβῶτος.

Of these perf. there is none in common use but the sing. indic. of the perf. and plusq. ($\tau \in \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha, \alpha \varsigma, \varepsilon, -\varepsilon \tau \in \lambda \eta \kappa \varepsilon \iota \nu, \varepsilon \iota \varsigma, \varepsilon \iota$): all the other parts have the above collateral forms, which mostly are more in use than the regular ones. See in the List, besides τληναι and βαίνω, θνήσκω, τέθνηκα, and above in ίστημι, (§ 107. Obs. II. 2, 3.) the perf. ξστηκα.

Obs. 6. We observe further,

a. that except the 3 pers. pl. of the perf. (τετλᾶσι, τεθνᾶσιν, ἐστᾶσιν, &c.) the a is short in all the other forms, the short vowel of the termination having been removed by syncope, not contracted with the radical vowel; and that consequently we ought not to write τετλαναι, τεθναναι, έσταναι 6.

b. that it is only the contracted part, which has the fem. σα: the Epics employed it regularly in vĩa in the resolved form, ex. gr. βεβαως, βεβάνῖα,—

βεβώς, βεβῶσα.

- c. that the termination aως, neuter αὸς, of the part. (§ 27, 10.) becomes εως in the Ionic dialect, (see "ιστημι, § 107. Obs. II. 3.) which is the usual Attic form in $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \dot{\omega} \varsigma$, of $\theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega$ (see the List, and compare further $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \dot{\omega} \varsigma$, $\pi \epsilon$ - $\pi \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \varsigma$, in the Anom. $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$, and $\beta \epsilon \beta \rho \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ in $\beta \iota \beta \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$).
- 11. Sometimes a metathesis of the vowel with a liquid, (§ 19. Obs. 2.) changes the radical verb, chiefly, in two cases:
 - 1.) In the aor. 2. see § 96. Obs. 7. and compare also the Anom. άμαρτάνω, and τέρπω.
 - 2.) In several verbs, of which the simple theme has a liquid for its characteristic, ex. gr. in the root Θ AN, aor. ἔθανον, fut. θανούμαι, a transposition of the vowel ONA takes place to facilitate the conjugation; hence

⁶ The quantity in comedy, ex. gr. τεθνάναι, Aristoph. Ran. 1012. shows that the short α was in common use. But this did not prevent poets, particularly an old one like Eschylus, from employing in the infin. for the sake of the metre, the contracted form $\tau\epsilon\theta\nu\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha\iota$ (Agam. 550). The Epic infin. $\tau\epsilon\theta\nu\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota$, $\tau\epsilon\theta\nu\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\nu$, are explained on comparing them with § 107. Obs. IV. 1.

 τ έθνηκα, τ έθναμεν, &c. and this transposition in some verbs produces the new usual pres., in this instance θνήσκω. The same is done with the root MOA. But owing to the difficult pronunciation of uh, a B is inserted between these letters in the middle of the word. according to § 19. Obs. 1. μέμβλωκα for μέμλωκα, and the μ itself is changed in the beginning of the word into a β, βλώσκω⁷. This supposition renders the analogy of the three following verbs evident and complete,

θνήσκω, θανούμαι, έθανον, τέθνηκα, $(\Theta AN, \Theta NA,)$ θρώσκω, θοροῦμαι, ἔθορον, $(\Theta OP, \Theta PO,)$

βλώσκω, μολούμαι, έμολον, μέμβλωκα, (ΜΟΛ, ΜΛΟ,) which see in the List; and the defective forms έπορου, πέπρωται, (see below πορείν,) belong together in the same

Under this metathesis can be ranked with perfect certainty only those verbs in which the transposed vowel is recognised in some forms, as the a in τεθνάναι, τεθναίην, and the o in μέμβλωκα. But where there is merely an η, it may appear doubtful whether we are to call it a metathesis, or simply a syncope; ex. gr. whether it be δέμω, (Δ EM, Δ ME,) δέδμηκα, or (like νέμω, νενέμηκα,) δέμω, (δεδέμηκα,) δέδμηκα. Hither belong with a differently formed pres.

> τέμνω, fut. τεμώ, aor. έτεμον, perf. τέτμηκα, κάμνω, fut. καμούμαι, aor. έκαμον, perf. κέκμηκα.

Yet a more distinct analogy appears to class these verbs in the category of a metathesis, (TEM, TME, KAM, KMA,) and this is still more certain with respect to the verb καλέω, though the succession καλέω, καλέσω, κέκληκα, seems to point to a bare syncope. The fut. $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \sigma \omega$, or with the best Attic writers $\kappa \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega}$, is unquestionably the fut. of the primitive KAAQ, (compare \S 95. Obs. 12.) but the usual pres. καλέω comes only from the fut. as the Ionic pres. μαχέομαι does from the fut. μαχέσομαι, --ουμαι (see § 95. the second Note to Obs. 16). The radical form ΚΑΛΩ gave κέκληκα by the same transposition, (ΚΑΛ, ΚΛΑ,)

verbal subst. Bopá.

⁷ Exactly in the same relation are $\beta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\xi$ to $\mu\alpha\lambda\alpha\kappa\dot{\alpha}\varsigma$, $\beta\lambda\dot{\imath}\tau\tau\omega$, (I squeeze out,) to μέλι: see Buttm. Lexilogus, II. 108. And a still stronger analogy for μολεΐν, μέμβοντος, άμαρτεῖν, ἀμβροτεῖν, ἀβροτάζειν.

8 The corresponding radical form of βιβρώσκω has been preserved only in the

VERBS. 231

as the above *perf.*: hence the poets have also, corresponding to the form $\theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ from $\Theta A N \Omega$, a *pres.* $\kappa \iota \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$. Thus

καλέω, κικλήσκω, fut. καλῶ, perf. κέκληκα, (ΚΑΛ, ΚΛΑ.) See in the List βάλλω βέβληκα, σκέλλω ἔσκληκα.

Obs. 7. When by transposition two vowels meet together, a contraction ensues; for instance, κεράω has in fut. and aor. short a, (κεράσω, κεράσωι,) but by transposition Ion. κρῆσαι, Att. (after the ρ) κέκρᾶκα, &c. See also πελάω, περάω. The same contraction takes place in the root of the verb $\tau a \rho a \tau \tau \omega$, (short a,) which being transposed $\theta \rho a \tau \tau \omega$, (long a,) and $\sigma \tau o \rho \epsilon \nu \nu \nu \mu \iota - \sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu \nu \nu \mu$. See both these verbs in the list of the A nom.

§ III.—New Themes derived from the Tenses.

1. There is another, but not very common species of anomaly, when any other than the *pres*. becomes a new theme, partly because it could be taken in the sense of the *pres*., and partly because it was more familiar to the ear than the *pres*. This occurs only with the *perf*. and the *aor*. 2. *act*. and *pass*.; for we have assigned their proper place in § 96. Obs. 9. to those forms which, by an irregular assumption of the characteristic c, used to be derived from the *fut*.

2. A. The *perf*. having frequently the signif. of the *pres*. (as we shall see in \S 113.) it sometimes actually forms a new *pres*., but chiefly only in the Doric dialect, or with Epic poets.

Thus we read in Theocr. (15, 58.) δεδοίκω for δέδοικα, I fear, (Anom. δεῖσαι,) and in Homer κεκλήγοντες (Anom. κλάζω). Hence the imperf. in oν derived from perf.; ex. gr. Hesiod, ἐπέφνκον, (as if from ΠΕΦΥΚΩ,) from πέφνκα, to which also belong the 3 pers. like γέγωνε, ἀνήνοθε, ἄνωγε, which in Homer are not only perf. (that is to say, pres. tenses,) but frequently also imperf. (or aor.) Hither belong likewise the fut. ἑστήξω (see § 107. Obs. II. 4.) and τ εθνήξω (Anom. θνήσκω).

Obs. 1. The statement of this anomaly is rendered rather difficult by the circumstance, that we have unquestionable vestiges of part of the Dorians having given to several persons of the actual perf. in general, terminations similar to those of the pres.: as the infin. γεγάκειν, Pind. (Αποπ. γίγνομαι,) δεδύκην, (for -ειν,) Theocr. instead of δεδυκέναι, πεπόνθης, πεφύκη, (for -εις, ει,) instead of $\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}$, ε. Theocr.; and the part. in ων, ουσα, instead of ως, νῖα, ex. gr. πεφρίκοντας, Pind. μεμενάκουσα, (from μεμένηκα,) Archimed. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. § 83. Obs. 11. and 14. § 111. Obs. 2.—Reduplicated aor. like πέπιθον, ἄραρον, &c. (§ 83. Obs. 7. § 85. Obs. 2.) are erroneously considered as belonging hither.

Obs. 2. The accent of some Epic part. and infin. points likewise to a perf. pass. having assumed the form of a pres; ex. gr. ἀκηχέμενος and ἀκαχήμενος, ἀκάχησθαι, under ἀκαχίζω, ἀλάλημαι — ἀλάλησθαι, ἀλαλήμενος, ἐληλάμενος, ἀρηρέμενος,

έσσύμενος, see under έλαύνω, άραρίσκω, σεύω.

3. B. The *aor*. 2. produces in some verbs a new formation as if from $\xi \omega$, and the *aor*. 2. *act*. in particular, because of the *infin*. in $\xi \tilde{\iota} \nu$.

It cannot be presupposed that there have been verbs εὐρέω, τυχέω, &c. but the aor. εὖρον, εὑρεῖν, ἔτυχον, τυχεῖν, gave birth to the formation εὑρήσω, εὕρηκα, τετύχηκα, &c. which produced, but later, a pres., see εὑρίσκω, τυγχάνω, and similar forms in μαυθάνω, βλαστάνω, γίγνομαι, &c.

In some other verbs, of which the aor. 2 pass., as deponens, has an act. signif., there was a perf. in $\eta \kappa a$ formed of $\eta \nu$ in the

same way:

ἐρρύηκα, from ἐρρύην, (I flowed,) see ρέω, κεχάρηκα and κεχάρημαι, from ἐχάρην, (I rejoiced,) see χαίρω, δεδάηκα and δεδάημαι, from ἐδάην, (I learned,) see ΔΑ.

§ 112.—Anomalous Mutability of the Root.

- 1. By far the greatest part of the anomalies of the Greek verbs consists in the intermixture of forms derived from different themes, so that several derivative tenses conjugated regularly presuppose another *pres.* than the usual one. Some instances of this kind have been treated of above, § 92. separately for practical purposes, and as belonging to the usual conjugation. We shall now review those which either deviate too much, or offer little uniformity in their class of verbs.
- 2. The different way in which the root of a verb is conjugated constitutes the diversity of themes; and these different radical forms very frequently co-exist together through the whole verb, or some of its parts, especially in the pres. The relationship of some letter, love of euphony, anxiety of the old poets to have a variety of forms of words for their verses, and lastly also some less important motives, which to us have the appearance of mere chance, occasioned the formation and combination of such collateral forms. The language of daily intercourse generally adopted either one or the other of such forms. But it was also very natural that, considering the great number of parts of a verb, the differences arising from the different radical forms were necessarily intermixed. This is the anomaly which actually is so frequent in the Greek verb.
- 3. We must remember here what is evident from § 92. that the regular process of the verb is by no means the primitive

VERBS. 233

and natural one, and in particular that it did not proceed from the pres., but that in the most essential verbs expressive of natural wants, the most ancient and true root lies in other tenses, and most readily in the aor. 2. when there is one; and that the pres. is merely a derivative form, in which the root is enlarged, and made fuller and more sonorous; ex. gr. from $\Lambda HB\Omega$ or $\Lambda AB\Omega$,— $\lambda a\mu \beta \acute{a}\nu \omega$. But this radical form generally does not extend beyond the pres. and imperf., so that this alone already renders such a verb anomalous, when the whole is considered as conformable to method; ex. gr. $\lambda a\mu \beta \acute{a}\nu \omega$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda \acute{a}\mu \beta a\nu o\nu$,— $\lambda \acute{\eta}\psi o\mu au$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda a\beta o\nu$, &c.

Obs. 1. Such modifications of the radical form were frequently attended with differences in the signif. Instances of this kind belong to Dictionaries, or to the Section on the Formation of Words, But here, too, it is impossible to draw a strict line of demarcation. The idea of duration, which in those fuller forms was to be rendered more sensible as a stronger contrast to the idea of the aor., gave rise to the modifications of repetition, (iterative,) of frequency, (frequentative,) and of habit (habitual, usual). Thus it was very natural that of two co-existing forms of the pres. one adopted such a modification preferably to the other. For instance, the verb φορέω made of φέρω, which is formed perfectly analogous to the abovementioned $\pi o \rho \theta \hat{\epsilon} \omega$, and to several other correct collateral forms, has, however, generally some more restricted peculiar means, as 'to wear a coat,' 'put it on usually.' But there is no fixed rule to be established on that head, and no writer, no poet in particular, considered himself bound by it. Without attending to any particular shade of meaning, the fuller form was frequently preferred whenever euphony, the convenience of the metre or stress to be laid on the thought, required it; and φορέω, for instance, was often employed for φέρω. But all this can only be hinted at here.

Obs. 2. Whenever there was, along with the usual regular form of the verb, another rather deviating one in the pres., this also produced, it is true, an anomaly in the language; for the same writer being obliged to render the thought, for which he used, for instance, $\lambda\iota\mu\pi\acute{a}\nu\omega$ in the pres., by $\lambda\epsilon\acute{\iota}\psi\omega$ in the fut., it may be

said that the fut. of $\lambda\iota\mu\pi\acute{a}\nu\omega$ is $\lambda\epsilon\acute{\iota}\psi\omega$. Yet as in this case it is not two defective verbs which constitute an anomalous whole as in the former, but there merely is along with a regular perfect verb $(\lambda\epsilon\acute{\iota}\pi\omega,\lambda\epsilon\acute{\iota}\psi\omega,\&c.)$ a defective one, $(\lambda\iota\mu\pi\acute{a}\nu\omega,)$ which poets and orators use for their own purposes, all such defective collateral forms must be left to dictionaries. The grammarian can only direct the attention of the learner to the analogy according to which the lengthened forms resemble each other in many instances, as will be seen in the following §§.

- 5. But it does not follow that all the themes, which are or appear to be the basis on which some tenses were formed, have, therefore, existed. It is, indeed, possible that the simple radical form which is in the other tenses may have existed formerly in a pres. (compare § 83. Obs. 6.); but on the whole it is not probable: and it often would happen that the habit of seeing various formations combined in one verb, led to the preference of an easier conjugation, or introduction of some change, neither of which circumstances necessitates the supposition of a corresponding pres. of a similar theme. Of this nature is, beside the instances mentioned in the two preceding §§, the transition of the verbs in ω to the formation ($\hat{\epsilon}\omega$), $\hat{\eta}\sigma\omega$, &c. (see 8.)
- 6. There are frequently several of these circumstances concurring, so that the conjugation of a verb is mixed up with that of three or more forms. Thus we have of the primitive form $\Pi H \Theta \Omega$ or $\Pi A \Theta \Omega$, barely the aor. $\xi \pi \alpha \theta o \nu$: another form, strengthened with ν by position, $\Pi E N \Theta \Omega$, maintained itself in the perf. $\pi \xi \pi o \nu \theta a$, &c., but both were forced to give way in the pres. and imperf. to the form $\pi \alpha \chi \omega$, which now gives its name to the whole verb. From $\Pi E T A \Omega$ comes $\pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \omega$, &c. in the perf. pass. through the syncope $\pi \xi \pi \tau a \mu a \iota$, whilst the lengthened form $\pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu \nu \nu \nu \mu \iota$ is alone in use in the pres. and imperf., &c.
- 7. Some of the derivative forms of verbs are of such a nature, that there are scarcely any instances remaining in the language of a similar change of the radical verb; $ex.\ gr.$ in $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\iota\iota\iota\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ from $\ddot{\alpha}\gamma\omega$, $\pi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\chi\omega$ from $\Pi A\Theta\Omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\dot{\iota}\omega$ from $\ddot{\epsilon}\delta\omega$, $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\alpha}\dot{\iota}\iota\iota\omega$ from $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\alpha}\omega$, &c. Most of them, however, conform with others to the same obvious analogy, which must be comprised under one point of view, the better to commit to memory the anomalies of their conjugation, and the numerous collateral forms of the whole verb, or its pres. tense, which occur especially in the Greek poets.

Remember that, when in the following examples two forms are combined by AND, they are both in use;—where FROM is

235 VERBS.

mentioned, the latter verb either is quite obsolete, and to be recognised only in the tenses derived from it, or is found merely in the old poets; -and when FOR is mentioned, the first form is peculiar to the poets. Verbs belonging to the first and third category are not stated again in the list of Anomalous Verbs, unless there be some particular reason for it.

8. One of the readiest changes was

 ω —into $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, more rarely $\dot{\alpha}\omega$, contr. $\tilde{\omega}$:

ρίπτω and ριπτέω, κτυπέω from ΚΤΥΠΩ, (whence ἔκτυπον,) γαμέω from ΓΑΜΩ, (whence ἔγημα,) μυκάομαι from MYKΩ, (whence $\mathring{\epsilon}\mu\nu\kappa\rho\nu$,) $\delta a\mu\acute{a}\omega$ from Δ EMΩ, (whence $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$,) κύω and κυέω, $\dot{\omega}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ from $\Omega\Theta\Omega$ (whence $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\omega$).

Whenever the regular inflection of a verb was attended with any difficulty, or might be productive of cacophony and indistinctness, it was conjugated as if the pres. ended in ¿w. (See above, 5.)

Obs. 3. To this class belong the verbs, of which the characteristic already is \xi or ψ in the pres., $\xi\psi\omega$, fut. $\xi\psi\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$, $-\alpha \tilde{\nu}\xi\omega$, $\alpha \tilde{\nu}\xi\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$. It is the same with the perf. of verbs in μω, see above, § 101. Obs. 9. Further, μένω μεμένηκα, τύπτω, τύψω and τυπτήσω, μέλλω μελλήσω, ἔρρω ἐρρήσω, καθεύδω καθευδήσω, &c. When the fut. and other forms of such verbs have an ε, as ἄχθομαι ἀχθέσομαι, (see also μάχομαι, εδω, and αω, 2.), it may be viewed in the same light, yet it is more correct to recognise here the old formation mentioned above, § 95. Obs. 15, which maintained itself from the same causes which in other instances induced the formation of the fut. in ήσω.

Obs. 4. Though both kinds of future do not presuppose, or at least do not necessarily presuppose, a pres. tense in $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, yet such a pres. tense often was formed, sooner or later, in consequence of these fut. Thus undoubtedly originated, already in the oldest language, καλέω, from καλέσω, (see the List,) and probably also $\dot{\rho}\iota\pi\tau\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ $\dot{\rho}\iota\pi\tau\ddot{\omega}$, which was much in use, from $\dot{\rho}\iota\pi\tau\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$. But the proof of this is rather difficult; we are, therefore, perfectly warranted in deriving every fut. in έσω and ήσω from a pres. tense in έω, if there be such a one in use.

Obs. 5. We must, however, remark, that the Ionians in particular are fond of forming single parts of the pres. or imperf., as if of $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, without the whole pres. tense occurring; ex. gr. ωφλεε, έψεε, συμβαλλεόμενος, πιεζεύμενος, Herod. πιέζευν, for ἐπίεζον, Homer, &c.

9. Several dissyllabic barytone verbs, of which the first syllable has an &, give collateral forms by changing this & into o, and taking the termination $\&\omega$,

φέρω and φορέω, τρέμω and τρομέω, δέμω and δομέω, πέρθω and πορθέω. Hither belong therefore the forms δεδοκημένος for δεδεγμένος, εκτόνηκα, μεμόρηται, εόλητο, (see δέχομαι, κτείνω, μείρομαι, εἴλω,)

or the radical syllable has ω with the termination $\dot{a}\omega$,

τρωχάω, δωμάω, and also βοωμάω, νωμάω, τρωπάω, στρωφάω, for βρέμω, νέμω, τρέπω, στρέφω.

The verb $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \tau o \mu a \iota$ alone has, beside the collateral forms $\pi o \tau \acute{\epsilon} o \mu a \iota$ and $\pi \omega \tau \acute{a} o \mu a \iota$, another $\pi o \tau \acute{a} o \mu a \iota$.

10. Verbs in ω purum sometimes take the termination $-\sigma\kappa\omega$, retaining their radical vowel,

γηράω and γηράσκω, ελάομαι and ελάσκομαι, φάσκω from ΦΑΩ, (whence φήσω, see § 109. I. 2.) ρύομαι and ρύσκομαι, and lengthening the radical vowel γιγνώσκω from ΓΝΟΩ.

But those in έω most commonly become -ίσκω, κυέω-κυΐσκω, στερέω-στερίσκω,

and as the *infin. aor.* 2. terminates in $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$, this sometimes occasioned a new formation in $\epsilon \omega$ (see § 111, 3.) out of it, and a pres. tense in $\ell \sigma \kappa \omega$,

from ΈΥΡΩ, aor. εὖρον, εὑρεῖν,—εὑρίσκω,—fut. εὑρήσω: see also ἀμπλακίσκω, ἀπαφίσκω, ἐπαυρίσκομαι.

Obs. 6. This form may be compared with the Latin verbs inchoative, since several of these verbs denote a beginning, increase, growing; but they seldom distinguish themselves by this signification from the simple form, as the Latin rubescere, for instance, does from rubere. We have, however, an instance of this kind in $\dot{\eta}\beta\dot{\alpha}\omega$, I am arrived at puberty, $\dot{\eta}\beta\dot{\alpha}\sigma\kappa\omega$, I am growing up to puberty. Commonly the simple form had the same signif, or was quite obsolete. But verbs in $\sigma\kappa\omega$ sometimes have a causative or transitive sense, (see § 113, 2. to cause or get another to be in the state, or to perform the action, of the simple verb,) ex. gr. $\mu\epsilon\theta\dot{\nu}\omega$, I am drunk, $\mu\epsilon\theta\dot{\nu}\sigma\kappa\omega$, I intoxicate (see the List); $\pi\iota\pi\dot{\tau}\sigma\kappa\omega$, I give to drink, from $\pi\dot{\nu}\nu\omega$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\nu\nu$. See below $\beta\dot{\iota}\omega\omega$, about $\beta\dot{\iota}\omega\sigma\kappa\omega\rho\mu\alpha\iota$. The learner has been cautioned already (in the Obs. to § 103. II. 1. Note) carefully to distinguish the Ionic imperf. and aor. in $\sigma\kappa\nu\nu$ from these verbs.

Obs. 7. The κ in the termination $\sigma\kappa\omega$ is, however, sometimes radical, and the σ a mere strengthening addition; ex. gr. $\lambda\acute{a}\sigma\kappa\omega$ from $\Lambda\Lambda K\Omega$, έτσκω (to liken, compare,) from εἴκω, (to be like, resemble,) τιτύσκω for τεύχω. The case is different with $\grave{a}\lambda\acute{\nu}\sigma\kappa\omega$, διδάσκω.

11. Some verbs have Attic and Poetical collateral forms in θ_{ω} , with different vowels preceding,

φλεγέθω for φλέγω, νεμέθω for νέμω, φθινύθω for φθίνω: ἢγερέθονται, ἢερέθονται are Epic forms for ἀγείρονται, ἀείρονται; θαλέθω and φαέθω are verbs derived from the aor. 2.

Hither belongs also the lengthening by means of the letters $a\theta$ before the termination, which occurs even in Attic prose, but is found only as a præterite, imperf., or aor. in $-a\theta o\nu$, and in the dependent moods. Those which occur of this kind are,

διωκάθειν, εδιώκαθον, from διώκω, εἰκάθειν, εἰκάθοιμι, from εἴκω, ἀμυνάθειν, ἀμυναθοίμην, from ἀμύνω, εἰργάθειν from εἴργω, and the Epic μετεκίαθον from κίω.

Compare with them the forms introduced at a later period

instead of $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, (*I spin*,) $\dot{a}\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, $\kappa \nu \dot{a} \omega$, $\nu \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$, $\dot{a}\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$, $\kappa \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$: see also $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$ and $\pi \rho \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$, in $\pi \dot{\iota} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \iota$ and $\pi \dot{\iota} \mu \pi \rho \eta \mu \iota$.

12. Sometimes a ν is inserted before the termination,

δάκνω from Δ AK Ω , (whence ἔδακον,) see also below, τέμνω, κάμνω.

Hence ίω and ύω become—ίνω and ύνω,

πίνω from ΠΙΩ, τίω and τίνω, θύω and θύνω, ἐντύω and ἐντύνω, see also φθίω, δύω, ίδούω,

and aw becomes -aivw, seldom avw,

βαίνω from BAΩ, φθάνω from ΦΘΑΩ.

13. The trisyllabic and polysyllabic verbs in $\acute{a}\nu\omega$, and some in $\acute{a}(\nu\omega)$, have, however, a theme in ω for their radical form, which (coming from the *aor*. 2., see § 111, 3.) produces some tenses, as if of $\acute{\epsilon}\omega$:

αὔξω and αὐξάνω, βλαστάνω from ΒΛΑΣΤΩ, aor. 2. ἔβλαστον, fut. βλαστήσω, ἀπέχθομαι and ἀπεχθάνομαι, see also below ἁμαρτάνω, αἰσθάνομαι, &c.—ὀλισθάνω and ὀλισθαίνω, aor. 2. ἄλισθον, fut. ὀλισθήσω.

The verbs in $\dot{a}\nu\omega$ usually insert a nasal sound in the radical syllable of the verb, and shorten the radical vowel, when it is long, in this manner,

λείπω and λιμπάνω, φεύγω and φυγγάνω, ἐρεύγω and ἐρυγγάνω, λήθω and λανθάνω. See also below, ἀνδάνω, θιγγάνω, λαμβάνω, λαγχάνω, μανθάνω, πυνθάνομαι, τυγχάνω.

Obs. 8. With respect to the quantity of the doubtful vowels before the final syllable $\nu\omega$, it may be remarked in general that $i\nu\omega$ and $i\nu\omega$ are long, ex. gr. κρίνω, ὀρίνω, βραδύνω, but άνω short. However, if we follow the Epics,

φθάνω, ικάνω, κιχάνω,

are long: but the Attics are so far deviating, that they not only make

τίνω, φθίνω, short, but also include again

 $\phi\theta$ άνω, κιχάνω ¹, in the analogy of the other verbs in άνω, and make them also short.

14. A very frequent change is that of

ω into νυμι or ννυμι (see § 106. Obs. 8).

a.) in νυμι: οἴγω and οἴγνυμι, δείκνυμι from ΔΕΙΚΩ, (whence δείξω, &c.) see below, ἄγνυμι, ὄρνυμι, ὀμόργνυμι, ζεύγνυμι, &c., and also πταίρω and πτάρνυμαι (primitive form ΠΤΑΡΩ, compare above § 92, 9).

¹ In this word the Attics made the syllable $\kappa\iota$ long, which else as a $\mathit{redupl}.$ is short, and actually is so in $\kappa\iota\chi\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$, &c. See in the List the quantity of the other forms belonging to $\phi\theta\iota\nu\omega$ and $\phi\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\omega$.

b.) in ννῦμι: κοεμάω and κρεμάννυμι, see below, κεράννυμι, πετάννυμι, σκεδάννυμι, ζέω and ζέννυμι, see below, κορέννυμι, σβέννυμι, στορέννυμι, τίω and τίννυμι, χόω and χώννυμι. See below ζώννυμι, ρώννυμι, στρώννυμι, χρώννυμι.

15. A change, which is rather confined to poets, is the in-

sertion of a ν before the termination $\acute{a}\omega$, thus,

άω into νάω, νημι,

δαμάω and δαμνάω, δάμνημι, περνάω, πέρνημι, from περάω, and changing ε into ι, κιρνάω, κίρνημι, from κεράω, κεράννυμι,) and also πίλνημι, πίτνημι, σκίδνημι, from πελάω, ΠΕΤΑΩ, ΣΚΕΔΑΩ.

16. Several verbs, not only those in μι, but many others,

the redupl. in the pres.

γιγνώσκω from ΓΝΟΩ, whence γνώσομαι, μιμνήσκω, πιπράσκω, &c., and μένω and μίμνω, πίμπτω from ΠΕΤΩ, γίγνομαι from ΓΕΝΩ.

17. Lastly, some verbs form single tenses from very different radical verbs, exactly as in Latin fero, tuli, latum. And the

very same verb is an instance of it in Greek,

φέρω, fut. οἴσω, aor. ήνεγκον.

The other most decisive examples may be seen in the List, under $\alpha i \rho i \omega$, $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \nu$, $\epsilon \rho \chi \omega \mu \alpha \iota$, $\epsilon \sigma \theta i \omega$, $\delta \rho \alpha \omega$, $\tau \rho \epsilon \chi \omega$. Compare also the additional remarks to $\delta \lambda i \sigma \kappa \omega \mu \alpha \iota$, $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, $\zeta \alpha \omega$, $\theta \epsilon \omega$, $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, $\tau \lambda \eta \nu \alpha \iota$, $\delta \nu \epsilon \omega \mu \alpha \iota$.

§ 113.—Anomaly of Signification.

- 1. Whatever relates to the signif. of verbal forms is properly the province of Syntax, since it cannot be separated from the theory of the construction of the language. The most general points, without which the subject of conjugation could not have been understood, have already been stated; and the deviations of meaning, as far as they are more or less firmly established in some verbs, cannot be kept distinct from the anomaly of the formation of verbs, exactly as in Latin, ex. gr. odi, hortor, audeo, ausus sum; but the instances in Greek are at once more various and frequent.
- 2. We must, however, previously elucidate a subject, (which on the whole, concerns only the Dictionary,) because it enters

² The ι in $\pi \iota \pi r \omega$ is long according to the Etym.~M., and the first syllable of $\kappa \iota \chi \acute{a} \nu \omega$, $"ι μ \iota$, is acknowledged to be long. The inferences to be drawn from this with regard to redupl. in general, are stated in Buttm. Complete Gr.~Gr.

in various ways into the anomaly of the Greek verb, viz. the IMMEDIATIVE and CAUSATIVE signif. of verbs. The former denotes the action or situation as belonging directly or immediately to the subject; the latter denotes the action or situation as being caused or effected in another. There is in the regular way a particular verb for each of these signif., though the CAUSATIVE verb may be derived from the IMMEDIATIVE. Thus in English fall, lie, awake, are IMMEDIATIVE, and from them are derived the CAUSATIVES to fell, lay, awaken, which cause the falling, lying, awaking of another object. But it is an anomaly when, as is the case in all languages, one and the same verb combines both signif. in Greek; for instance, ἐλαύνειν, IMMED. to ride in a coach, move hastily towards a place; CAUS. to drive: καθίζειν, to sit and to set; and in poetical language, βριάρω, IMMED. to be full of something, to swell; CAUS. to make swell; πονέω, IMMED. to feel pain, CAUS. to cause pain.

Obs. 1. It is a misleading practice of treating this subject as an intermixture of a trans. and intrans. signification; for though the causatives are by their nature transitives, yet the immediatives may be as well transitives as intransitives; for instance, the immediatives, to learn (caus. to teach), to drink, are transitives. A second reason against that practice is, that a verb may combine both the trans. and intrans. signification without belonging exactly to the class of verbs of which we are now treating; for instance: intrans. $\phi \in \psi_{\Sigma U}$, to flee, trans. $\phi \in \psi_{\Sigma U}$ $\tau_U v \dot{\alpha}$, to avoid one; $\sigma \in \psi_{\Sigma U}$, to hasten, $\sigma \in \psi_{\Sigma U}$, to hasten something, but never $\sigma \in \psi_{\Sigma U}$ $\tau_U v \dot{\alpha}$, to cause one to hasten.

Obs. 2. Another case is, when both significations are combined in one verb, yet so that the caus. signif. belongs to the act., the immediat. to the pass. or middle voice: for instance.

διδάσκω, I teach, immediat. διδάσκομαι, (I am taught, or I suffer myself to be taught,) I learn. See 130.

3. The particular cases where a verb combines both signif. are stated in Dictionaries. We attend here only to those instances where different tenses of one and the same verb have different significations, as it has already occurred in the verb $l\sigma\eta\mu\nu$. We notice in particular that in several primitive verbs the

fut. and aor. 1. act. express the caus. signif.,

and the aor. 2. and perf. act., principally the perf. 2., assume the immed., and in particular the intrans. signification. In verbs such as these, the intrans. tenses of the act. usually

¹ Ex. gr. in English to burn, immed. to be on fire, caus. to set fire to; the Latin suppediture, immed. to be at hand, caus. to cause something to be at hand, to supply; the French sortir, immed. to go out, caus. to take out; to drop; immed. to fall, caus. to let fall.

join in the same signification with those of the *medium* or of the *pass.*; sometimes it occurs that in the *present tense* another and a distinct form is chosen for the one or the other meaning, $ex. gr. \pi i \nu \omega$, $\pi \iota \pi i \sigma \kappa \omega$.

Obs. 3. I. Aorist. The instances in which this difference of meaning of the two aorists appears the most striking are the following:

ξφυσα, I brought forth (pres. <math>φύω)—ξφυν, I became, (pres. <math>φύομαι,)

ἔσβεσα, I extinguished (pres. σβέννυμι)—ἔσβην, I became extinguished, (pres. σβέννυμαι,)

ἔδυσα, I wrapped up (pres. δύω)—ἔδυν, I went in, (pres. δύνω,) ἔπισα, I give to drink (pres. πιπίσκω)—ἔπιον, I drank, (pres. πίνω,)

έβην, I went—έβησα, I brought (pres. βαίνω, I go).

The same $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\alpha$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta\nu$ from $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$. See the list of the Anom. for the following verbs: $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega\nu$ and $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega\sigma\alpha$, $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\dot{\epsilon}\omega\sigma\alpha$, $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$ and $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\nu\nu$, $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\mu\alpha$ and $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\nu\nu$, $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\mu\alpha$ and $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\nu\nu$, $\ddot{\tau}\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$ and $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\nu\nu$, $\ddot{\tau}\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$ and $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\nu\nu$, $\ddot{\tau}\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$, $\ddot{\tau}\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$, $\ddot{\tau}\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$, $\ddot{\tau}\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$, $\ddot{\tau}\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$, $\ddot{\tau}\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$, $\ddot{\tau}\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\alpha$

II. Perfect. In all those forms where the active forms separate into the immed. and caus. meaning, the perf. 1. and 2. have always the immed., and side therefore with the acr. 2.; ex. gr.

φύω, φύσω, ἔφυσα, to bring forth—ἔφυν, πέφυκα, (to become,) the same ἔστην and ἔστηκα, ἔδυν and δέδυκα, ἔσβην and ἔσβηκα, ἔσκλην and ἔσκληκα, ἤριπον and ἐρήριπα, &c. As the intrans. meaning predominates in the

Perfectum 2.

(see § 97. Obs. 5), this same tense expresses the immed. meaning in a great number of trans. verbs, and the intrans. signification in most instances, whilst the remaining tenses are supplied by the pass. and middle voice. Yet the perf. 2. does not, on account of this peculiarity, belong to the pass. or middle voice, as little as the perf. 1. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \phi \nu \kappa a$, $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a$, which is exactly in the same predicament. Examples:

ἄγνυμι,—ἄγνυμαι, I break, intrans.; perf. ἔαγα, I am broken:

δαίω, -- δαίομαι, I burn, intrans.:

έγείρω,—έγείρομαι, I awake, έγρήρορα, I watch:

 $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\pi\omega$, (I cause to hope,) $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\pi$ ομαι and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ολ $\pi\alpha$, I hope:

κήδω, (I afflict,) κήδομαι and κέκηδα, I am anxious about any thing:

μαίνω, (ἐκμαίνω, I drive mad.) μαίνομαι and μέμηνα, I am mad :

οἵγω, ἀνοίγω, ἀνέψχα,—ἀνοίγομαι, I get open, ἀνέψγα, I stand open : ὅλλυμι, ὀλώλεκα,—ὅλλυμαι, I go to ruin, ὅλωλα, I am undone :

 π είθω, π έπεικα, $-\pi$ είθομαι, I believe, π έποιθα, I trust:

πήγνυμι, πήγνυμαι, I become fixed, πέπηγα, I am fixed:

ρήγνυμι, ρήγνυμαι, I rend, intrans., ἔρρωγα, I am rent:

σήπω, (I make putrid,) σήπομαι, I become putrid, σέσηπα, I am putrid:

τήκω, (I melt, trans.,) τήκομαι, I melt, intrans. perf. τέτηκα:

φαίνω, (I show,)—φαίνομαι, I shine, perf. πέφηνα.

See about $\phi\theta\epsilon$ ίρω the following Obs. The perf. of some deponents, like γίγνομαι, γέγονα, are explained in the same way: $\pi\rho\acute{a}\tau\tau\omega$ is one of those where the pres. act. has the two meanings, and its two perf. actually have the two different significations: $\pi\rho\acute{a}\tau\tau\omega$, I do, make, perf. $\pi\acute{\epsilon}\pi\rho\ddot{a}\chi\alpha$,— $\pi\rho\acute{a}\tau\tau\omega$, I find myself, (ex. gr. $\kappa a\lambda\tilde{\omega}\varsigma$,) perf. $\pi\acute{\epsilon}\pi\rho\ddot{a}\gamma a$.

Obs. 4. The pass. import which some of the immediatives assume is frequently of that kind, that it may actually be considered as a passive. Such are the Latin neutro-passiva, which in our language are rendered by a passive, ex. gr. vapulo, I am or get beaten, caus. ferio. It is thus that the few instances in Greek, where particular tenses of a verb, though of active form, have yet a pass. signif., are accounted for; especially some verbs of the preceding Obs., like $\xi\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}\omega\gamma\alpha$, $\xi\alpha\gamma\alpha$, I am broken, forced asunder. The Homeric $\tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\nu\chi\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$, (see the Anom. $\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\chi\omega$,) and the perf. $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\lambda\omega\kappa\alpha$, (see $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\dot{\omega}\kappa\omega\mu\alpha$,) are still more exact instances. Fluctuating ones, between the trans. and this neutro-pass. signif., are of $\phi\theta\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$, I spoil, (trans.)

διέφθορα, I have spoiled, and am spoiled,

πέπληγα, I have struck, with some writers, I have been struck,

and τέτροφα, see the Anom. τρέφω.

4. That the act. voice should have a pass. signif. is of most uncommon occurrence: see the preceding Obs. But it is frequently the case with the deponens, a verb which combines an act. signif. with a pass. or med. form. This anomaly is uncommonly frequent in the Greek language. Whenever the act. form is quite wanting in a pass. verb, which has an act. signif., it constitutes a real or defective deponens, which, according as the aor. is taken from the pass. or med., is (§ 89, 3.) a deponens pass. or deponens medium.

Obs. 5. The number of deponentia media is by far larger than that of deponentia passiva. Some deponent. pass. are to be found in the list of the Anom., as ἄχθομαι, βούλομαι, δέομαι, δέοκομαι, δύναμαι, ἐπιμέλομαι, ἐπίσταμαι, κρέμαμαι, μαίνομαι, οἴομαι. It is well to impress on the memory the following verbs:—

ἀλάομαι, I roam (wander), ἀσάομαι, I feel disgusted, βρυχάομαι, I roar, ἐναντιόομαι, I oppose, ἐννοέομαι, I consider, and διανοέομαι, ἀπονοέομαι. ένθυμέομαι, I take to heart, and εὐθυμέομαι, προθυμέομαι, εὐλαβέομαι, I am on my guard, I take care, λιάζομαι, (poet.) I aroid, σέβομαι, I revere, adore.

Here must be also mentioned those deponentia media, in which the active form is likewise used, though with a different signif.; they are therefore real media, from which voice they mostly form their future.

αἰσχύνομαι, I am ashamed, ἀνιάομαι, I am grieved, αὐξάνομαι, I increase, ἀσκέομαι, I practise, ἀπαλλάττομαι, I withdraw, φοβέομαι, I fear, κοιμάομαι, I sleep, κατακλίνομαι, I lie down, περαίομαι, I cross over, πείθομαι, I obey, πορεύομαι, I travel, εὐωχέομαι, I feast, ήδομαι, I delight,

and the Anom. διαλέγομαι, σεύομαι, τέρπομαι, φαίνομαι. The following verbs take the aor. sometimes from the medium, sometimes from the passive:—

aiδέομαι, I am afraid, ἀρνέομαι, I deny, αὐλίζομαι, I pass the time in an αὐλή, θοινάομαι, I am feasting, ἰμείρομαι, I desire,

μέμφομαι, \vec{I} chide, νεμεσάομαι, \vec{I} am indignant, δρέγομαι, \vec{I} desire, covet, πειράομαι, \vec{I} try, undertake, φιλοτιμόομαι, \vec{I} am ambitious,

and the Anom. ἄγαμαι, ἔραμαι, ὄνομαι, ναίσμαι.

Lastly, there are verbs which in Greek must be considered as real passives, though in our language they can only be rendered in an intransitive way:—

βρέχομαι, I am wet, ἐπείγομαι, I hasten, πνίγομαι, I am suffocating, σήπομαι, I rot, τήκομαι, I am melting, φθείρομαι, I go to ruin.

Obs. 6. But the Greeks often allow themselves to form tenses with the pass. signif. from a deponent. This is done 1.) in the perf., where the construction immediately shows whether it is to be understood in the pass. sense; ex. gr. Plato de LL. 710. Πάντα ἀπείργασται τῷ θεῷ, (from ἀπεργάζομαι, I make, perform,) where the dat. must be rendered by the English by, All has been made, completed by the Divinity. 2.) In the aor. pass., when the deponens as such has an aor. med., ex. gr. β ιάζομαι, I force, ἐβιασάμην, I forced, ἐβιάσθην, I was forced; δεξάμενος, who has taken, δεχθεὶς, accepted.

5. It frequently happens that the *fut. act.* of *act.* verbs is little or not at all used, and the

Fut. Medii

has the trans. or intrans. signif. connected with the act., when the rest of the med. with its peculiar meaning does not occur of such a verb. This is the case with a number of the most familiar verbs, ex. gr. $\grave{a}\kappa o\acute{\nu}\omega$, I hear, $\grave{a}\kappa o\acute{\nu}\sigma \omega \mu a\iota$, (never $\grave{a}\kappa o\acute{\nu}\sigma \omega$,) I shall or will hear.

- Obs. 7. We subjoin some fut. of this kind: ἀγνοήσομαι, ἄσομαι, (from ἄδω,) ἀπαντήσομαι, ἀπολαύσομαι, βαδιοῦμαι, βοήσομαι, γελάσομαι, γηράσομαι, ἐγκωμιάσομαι, ἐπαινέσομαι, ἐπιορκήσομαι, θανμάσομαι, θηράσομαι, από θηρεύσομαι, κλέψομαι, κολάσομαι, οἰμώξομαι, πηδήσομαι, πνίξομαι, σιγήσομαι, από σιωπήσομαι, σκώψομαι, σπονδάσομαι, συρίξομαι, τωθάσομαι, χωρήσομαι: to which must be added the verbs εἰμὶ and οἴδα. See also in the list of Anom. Verbs especially ἀμαρτάνω, βαίνω, βιόω, βλώσκω, γιγνώσκω, δάκνω, δαρθάνω, δεῖσαι, διδράσκω, θέω, θιγγάνω, θνήσκω, θρώσκω, κάμνω, κλαίω, λαγχάνω, λαμβάνω, μανθάνω, νέω, (νεύσομαι,) ὅμννμι, ὁράω, παίζω, πάσχω, πίπτω, πλέω, πνέω, ἡέω, τίκτω, τρέχω, τρώγω, φεύγω, χέζω. It must, however, be observed that practice was as little fixed and constant in this respect as in others: many fut. of the act. may be met with where other writers used the fut. med. 3; but we must carefully examine 1.) whether such passages may not be corrupted, and 2.) whether the writer does not belong to the late writers, or what are called κοινοί, who often forsook again the Attic usage in this respect 4.
- 6. But the fut. med. was also employed as pass.: yet this usage was not so steady in particular verbs as the preceding; it depended chiefly on the exigencies of euphony, and consequently, with poets, of the metre. In polysyllabic verbs the still longer fut. pass. was thus avoided; ex. gr. ωφελήσουται for ωφεληθήσουται, περιέψεσθαι (Herod. 7, 149.) for περιεφθήσεσθαι, and the same in ἀμφισβητεῖν, ὁμολογεῖν, ἀπαλλάττειν, φυλάττειν,

4 The learner must be careful not to mistake the conj. aor. 1. for the fut., ex. gr.

in Νῦν ἀκούσω αὖθις, § 139. Obs. 1.

³ Nothing, for instance, is more easy or common than to mistake the Attic form of the 2 pers. med. in $\epsilon\iota$, (for η ,) for that of the act. in $\epsilon\iota\varsigma$. Hence in several passages, where we have $\phi\epsilon\iota\check{\iota}\xi\iota\iota\varsigma$, for instance, we ought to read $\phi\epsilon\iota\check{\iota}\xi\iota\iota$, which form of the 2 pers. was not so familiar to transcribers.

VERBS. 243

γυμνάζειν, ἀδικεῖν, ζημιοῦν. There are, however, instances also of shorter verbs, as $\beta\lambda$ άψεται, θ ρέψεται, οἴσεται.

Obs. 8. It may easily be supposed that this was rather uncommon in verbs, of which the med. voice had a signif. which corresponded too closely with the transitive act. one; but it occurred still less, and perhaps not at all, in those verbs of which the fut. med., according to Text 4, steadily had the signif. of the act.

Obs. 9. There are very few instances, and these few chiefly in Epic poetry, where the aor, med. too occurs as pass. Yet some compounds of $\sigma \chi^i \sigma \theta a\iota$ are also used passively by the Attics, as $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi^i \sigma \theta a\iota$, $\delta \mu \epsilon \nu \rho \rho \rho$, Eurip. Hipp. 27. Plato Phædr. 49.

συσχόμενος, Theæt. 58.

7. We rank among the instances of an anomalous signif. of the tenses those in which the perf. has the signif. of the pres. tense. We shall easily conceive this transition from the former tense to the latter, when we consider that in using the perf. we generally think less of the action which is past than of the state which resulted from it. Thus $\tau i\theta \nu \eta \kappa a$ properly signifies I have died, but on thinking of the state which resulted from it, as still continuing, it means I am dead: and in this sense it is a pres. tense. In other verbs the original perf. was still more lost sight of, ex. gr. $\kappa \tau i o \mu a i$, I acquire, consequently $\kappa i \kappa \tau i \mu a i$, I have acquired. But the consequence of acquisition is possession; hence $\kappa i \kappa \tau i \mu a i$ was taken to denote I possess, without thinking any longer of any previous acquisition. In all these cases it follows of course that the plusq. becomes the imperf.

Obs. 10. Thus it is not exact to assign to the verb $\tilde{\epsilon i}\delta\omega$ in the pres. tense both significations I see and know; $\tilde{\epsilon i}\delta\omega$ meant I see, perceive, and the perf. $\tilde{\epsilon i}\delta\alpha$, I have perceived, and consequently know.

- Obs. 11. But when ideas were nearly related, the pres. tense itself might easily take the meaning of the new derivative pres. expressed by the perf. or vice versá. Thus it happened, especially in poetry, that the pres. and perf. frequently had the same signif.; ex. gr. μέλει, properly, it goes to the heart, it concerns, μέμηλε, it went to the heart; hence both signify it grieves; δέρκω, I perceive, δέδορκα, I have perceived; hence both mean I see.
- Obs. 12. The application of these principles to occurring instances, of which the most familiar are noticed in the list of Anomalous Verbs, must be left to individual notice, as the same case may often be viewed in different lights. But we must particularly notice some instances, where in Epic poetry the plusq. supplies the place of the aor. or of the imperf., though the perf. of that verb does not occur as a pres. tense. See in the list $\beta \alpha i \nu \omega$, $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

Obs. 13. The case of the perf. becoming the pres. tense, is particularly frequent in verbs denoting a sounding and raising of the voice; κέκραγα, I cry out; and further, λέλακα, γέγωνα, ἄνωγα, βέβρυχα, μέμυκα, μέμηκα, κέκλαγγα, τέτριγα.

§ 114.—List of Anomalous or Irregular Verbs.

PREFATORY REMARKS.

The following List comprises the anomalous verbs which occur in common prose, in the most familiar dialects, and in poetry. Whenever such a verb is not of frequent occurrence, or when it is poetical, it is printed in small type; the same is the case with verbs in use, stated merely on account of some anomaly, with which they are attended in poets. Whatever refers in all other verbs to their use in prose is printed in a larger type.

2. Forms which are completely obsolete, and merely presupposed for the purpose of explanation, are printed in the *List* and in the whole work in capital or initial letters, that the eye may not accustom itself by the usual print to a number of useless imaginary verbs, and thus become less able to detect barbarisms. But every theme which really occurs, were it but once, in the ancient poets, is printed in the usual type; yet it does not follow that the 1 pers. of the pres. tense must occur on that account. Any form of the pres., and even imperf., may in most instances serve as a proof or evidence of the whole pres.

3. The imaginary obsolete themes (which, however, have not been stated in every place, as the more advanced scholar may supply them of himself) are grammatically formed after the analogy of other existing verbs, but their existence is by no means historically proved; whence it happens that the same form is often derived from different themes in different Grammars, according as one grammarian judges of the origin of a form differently from the other.

4. In addition to the anomalous part of each verb stated in the List, its use, as far as it is not understood of itself, is fully explained; but always (according to § 104, 2.) under the supposition that the usual fut., the aor. 1. and perf. 1. are the tenses in use of any verb of which the fut., aor. and perf. are not expressly mentioned. Whenever there is an aor. 2. or perf. 2., or when the fut. med. supplies the fut. act., these forms are fully stated. The numbers 1 and 2 are seldom annexed to these tenses, because they are known of themselves. If, for instance, å $\mu a \rho \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu \omega$ is solely attended with aor. $\mathring{\eta} \mu a \rho \tau \sigma \nu$, it shows that this verb barely has this aor. 2. and no aor. 1.—When

MED. is found alone, it denotes that the med. or middle voice occurs.

- 5. The verbal forms to be met with in Greek writers are generally indicated simply by the 1 pers. indic. of the tense employed, but sometimes single forms are stated, as a pers. of the pl., a conjunctive, &c. This is done for safety, because in some more uncommon Epic and other forms we cannot always conclude that the 1 pers. indic. belonging to them must have been in use.
- 6. The same signif., be it act., pass., med., or intrans., which the pres. has, is likewise that of every tense to which there is no particular signif. subjoined. Thus, for instance, if with $\beta o \hat{\nu} \lambda o \mu a \iota$ the fut. med. $\beta o \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma o \mu a \iota$, and the uor. pass. $\hat{\epsilon} \beta o \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ are noticed, it shows that only these two forms occur in the sense of the pres. tense $\beta o \hat{\nu} \lambda o \mu a \iota$, and that consequently there is neither an aor. $\hat{\epsilon} \beta o \nu \lambda \eta \sigma \hat{a} \mu \eta \nu$, nor a fut. $\beta o \nu \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma o \mu a \iota$ occurring.
- 7. To enable the student to consult the List more readily, obsolete and imaginary forms have been inserted in alphabetical order wherever it was thought necessary, and not only those of which the supposition is calculated for elucidation, but also many specious ones, when a change not grounded in the regular conjugation (by syncope, for instance) is referred to a fictitious theme. Supposing, therefore, that he knows, on reading the inflections which occur, how to form a regular theme according to the general rules, he will find the radical form in its proper place along with the needful information of what is actually in use; thus, for instance, for $\frac{\partial \pi \rho d\theta}{\partial \mu}$, he will find $\frac{\partial \pi \rho}{\partial \mu}$.
- 8. The List, moreover, is so complete, that nothing occurs in known prose-writers and poets which does not find its explanation here. Whatever is met with in authors of less note, or in less familiar dialects, has been noticed here, and in the whole course of this Grammar, only as far as it explains the connexion between the grammatical forms and the dialects, or tends to elucidate one particular dialect.
- 9. With regard to Epic poetry, the student must bear in mind that the later Epics of the Alexandrian and subsequent periods, like *Callimachus*, *Apollonius*, were mere learned poets, who did not by any means derive all the forms which they employed, from the actual stock of the language, but copied or imitated them from Homer and other ancient poets. Nothing

but what is found in Homer and Hesiod, and in some fragments of those old times, can safely be looked on as belonging to the general analogy of the language. The peculiarities of later writers may also belong to it, since they had older authors, lost to us, before their eyes; but historical certainty is wanting. Whenever we have been able fully to trace the formation and use of a verb in the old writers, we have had no regard to the peculiarities of the later ones, or it is only in very important instances that we have referred to them by name.—It also follows from § 1, 11. that whatever is noted as Homeric, (expecially with the mention of Homer,) does not exactly occur exclusively in Homer's Poems, but is likewise to be met with in the subsequent Epic Poets, to whom Homer served as a model.

10. And lastly we observe that we have generally omitted those collateral forms, which, though perfectly synonymous with a form more in use, do not create any difficulty, being either a pres. tense, or easily reducible to a pres. tense, and consequently readily found in the Dictionary. All which Grammar can do with respect to the formation of such collateral forms is to direct the attention of the learner to some general analogies; and this has been done in the preceding Sections.

A

'Aáω (I hurt). Homer has, of this theme, the 3 pres. pass. à ãται, aor. 1. act. ἄασα, contr. ãσα, (Od, λ . 61.) pass. and med. à άσθην, à ασάμην'. Both a are sometimes long, sometimes short; the case is the same with the verbal adj. à ατὸς, whence with the a priv. à άατος ($\smile-\smile$), invincible, which cannot be hurt, inviolable, Hom. From this old form came the subst. $\mathring{a}τη$ (\bar{a}), and thence shortening the a the new verbal form $\mathring{a}τάω$ used by the Attic Poets.

Compare also $\H{a}\omega$, I satiate.

ἄγαμαι, (I admire,) pres. and imperf. like Ἱσταμαι, fut. ἀγάσομαὶ, aor. ἠγάσθην, Epic ἠγασάμην.

The Epic pres. tenses $\dot{a}\gamma\dot{a}o\mu a\iota$, $\dot{a}\gamma aio\mu a\iota$, occur with the collateral meanings of to envy, to be angry.

¹ AΩ might also be assumed as the radical theme, from which the other forms were derived by lengthening. But the lengthening of a long vowel which did not originate in contraction, ($\check{a}\omega$, $\check{a}\sigma\omega$, $\check{a}\sigma\alpha$,) would be contrary to analogy: $\check{a}\check{a}\tau\alpha\iota$, on the contrary, really belongs to $\check{a}\omega$, I satiate. The Homeric verbal adjectives $\grave{a}\acute{a}\alpha\tau\sigma\varsigma$ and $\check{a}\tau\sigma\varsigma$ are, moreover, best explained in this way. See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 56.

άγείρω, (I assemble,) perf. pass. ἀγήγερμαι, aor. 2. med. infin. Epic ἀγερέσθαι, part. ἀγρόμενος. See § 110, 4. For ἠγερέθονται see § 112, 11.

ἄγννμι, (I break,) fut. ἄξω (§ 106, 8). The præterites have the syllabic augment, (§ 84. Obs. 5.) aor. ἔαξα, (Hom. ηξα,) aor. pass. ἐάγην (ā). The perf. 2. ἔαγα (Ion. ἔηγα) has the pass. signif. I am broken, forced asunder (§ 113. Obs. 3).

The aor. 2. pass. ἐάγην was also shortened in the Epic metre. Compare

ἐπλήγην and κατεπλάγην.

This augment is found even in forms which from their nature ought not to have any; ex. gr., particip. compos. $\kappa a \tau \epsilon a \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, Lysias p. 158. Reiske 2.— The $\kappa a \nu a \xi a \iota \varsigma$ of Hesiod stands for $\kappa a \tau a \xi a \iota \varsigma$ (opt. aor. 3).

άγορεύω, see είπεῖν. | άγρόμενος, see άγείρω.

ἄγω, (I lead, bring,) has in aor. 2. a redupl., ἤγαγον, ἀγαγεῖν, &c. (§ 85. Obs. 2.) perf. ἦχα and ἀγήοχα, (§ 97. Obs. 2.) perf. pass. ἦγμαι.—ΜΕD.

The aor. 1. ήξα, ἄξαι, ἄξασθαι, occurs also, but seldom in the Attics 4. See § 96. Obs. 9. about the Homeric imper. ἄξετε.

'AΔ—The forms ἄσω, ᾶσαι, (to satiate,) which are classed under this root, may be seen below in ἄω, but the following belong hither, viz. ἀδῆσαι, ἀδηκέναι, (to be satiated, weary of a thing,) as if from AΔΕΩ, which in Homer are commonly written ἀδδῆσαι, &c.⁵

άδεῖν, &c. see άνδάνω.

ἀείρω, see αἴρω.

'AE Ω , see $\tilde{a}\eta\mu\iota$ and $\tilde{a}\omega$, 2.

ἄημι, (I blow, breathe, see ἄω,) keeps its η everywhere, infin. ἀῆναι, pass. ἄημαι, commonly with the act. signif., but also to be blown, Od. ζ. 131.

αίνέω, see § 95. Obs. 4.

αἰρέω, (I take,) αἰρήσω,—ἡρέθην, (§ 95. Obs. 4.) aor. act. εῖλον, έλεῖν, &c. from ΈΛΩ.—ΜΕD.

² See Heind, ad Plat. Gorg. 56. and Phæd. 79. The endeavour to distinguish this verb from $\kappa a \tau \dot{a} \gamma \omega$ has probably produced this striking anomaly, which was favoured by the circumstance that this augment is irregular even in its proper place.

This singular form is satisfactorily explained by the digamma. The verb $\mathring{\alpha}\gamma\omega$, $\mathring{\alpha}\gamma\nu\nu\mu$, is one of the words in which we trace in Homer the vestiges of the digamma mentioned in § 6. Obs. 3. This verb was originally FAFQ, and this F was a consonant (V). On being compounded with $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$, it became of course KAFFAFQ, just as $\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omega$ became $\kappa\alpha\beta\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omega$, &c. (§ 117. Obs. 2.) No wonder that this double spiritus, chained, as it were, by the metre, was retained here, whilst the digamma vanished everywhere else. Considering the intimate relation, or rather what may be termed in some respects the identity of the sounds Υ and Υ , U and V, (see the $\lambda\nu$ to to p. 8.) it was very natural that it became a ν . Compare below $\kappa\nu\alpha\dot{c}o\nu$ in $\dot{c}\nu\dot{c}\dot{c}\nu\omega$.

⁴ We must guard against mistaking for this aor, that of the Attic verb ἄττω for

atoσω, which sometimes approximates to it in signification.

⁵ This spelling has been introduced by grammarians, because some kindred words (as ἀδος, satiety) are short, and yet Homer employs the first syllable of the verb always as long.—See Buttm. Lexilogus, II. 86.

It has a fut. which very seldom occurs, viz. $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\tilde{\omega}$, ex. gr. Aristoph. Eq. 290. Non-Attic writers formed the aor. 2. med. in $-\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$ ($\dot{a}\phi\epsilon\dot{\iota}\lambda\alpha\tau_0$, instead of - $\epsilon\tau_0$. See § 96. Obs. 8). The Ionians had a particular redupt. in the perf. $\dot{a}\rho\alpha\dot{\iota}\rho\eta\kappa\alpha$, $\dot{a}\rho\alpha\dot{\iota}\rho\eta\mu\alpha\iota$, with the spiritus lenis. In the sense of to take, catch, lay hold of, $\dot{a}\lambda\dot{\iota}\sigma\kappa\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ may be considered as its real pass.; see below.

- αἴρω, contr. of ἀείρω, (I lift up,) is regular. Observe only, 1.) that the Attic Poets use the unaugmented moods of the aor. 2. med. (ex. gr. ἀροίμην, Soph. El. 34.) when they want a short syllable, instead of using the usual aor. 1., of which the a is long according to § 101. Obs. 2.;—2.) that Homer in the same case also uses the indic. aor. 2. med. without the augment, (ἀρόμην,) but else generally in the indic. the aor. 1. and in the other moods only the aor. 2. (ἡράμην,—ἀρόσθαι, ἀροίμην, &c.);—3.) that the Epics use as imperf. (floated, was hoisted, hung,) the plusq. ἄωρτο instead of ἡρτο or ἡερτο, see § 97. Obs. 2.—4.) that the Attic Poets also make the a of the fut. ἀρῶ long, as if it were a contraction of ἀερῶ. See Buttm. Compl. Gr. Gr. For ἡερέθονται see § 112, 11. See also ἄρννμαι.
- alσθάνομαι, (I perceive, am sensible of,) fut. alσθήσομαι, &c.—aor. ησθόμην, (§ 112, 13.) from the more uncommon pres. aἴσθομαι.
- άκαχίζω, (I grieve,) derives from the theme AXΩ, which takes an ε in the conjugation, the following forms, aor. ἤκαχον, ἀκαχεῖν, fut. ἀκαχήσω, aor. 1. ἤκάχησα (§ 112, 16).—ΜΕΟ. ἄχομαι or ἄχνυμαι, (I afflict myself,) aor. ἠκαχόμην, perf. (I am grieved,) ἀκήχεμαι, and without any augment ἀκάχημαι. See about ἀκηχέδαται, the Note to Obs. IV. 5. to § 103, and about the accentuation of ἀκηχέμενος, (Il. σ. 29.) ἀκαχήμενος, ἀκάχησθαι, § 111. Obs. 2. The part. pres. act. ἀχέων, -ονσα, (afflicted, grieving, sighing,) has the same intransitive signif.
- ἀκαχμένος, (sharp-pointed,) part. perf. pass. of a verb AKΩ, (from which are derived the subst. ἀκὴ and ἀκωκὴ, a point, edge,) with the Attic redupl., but no temporal augment, and retaining χ before μ . (compare § 98, 2. with § 23. Obs.)
- ἀλάομαι, (I stray,) has according to § 111. Obs. 2. a perf. ἀλάλημαι, ἀλάλησθαι, ἀλαλήμενος, which becomes the pres. tense,
 and is synonymous with it.
- άλέξω, (I ward off.) fut. ἀλεξήσω, (§ 112, 8.) and (from AΛΕΚΩ) aor. med. ἀλέξασθαι, see Complete Gr. Gr. § 96. Obs. 10.

This root ΑΛΕΚΩ, ΑΛΚΩ, gives also the *Poetical aor*. ἥλαλκον, (ἄλαλκον,) ἀλαλκοῦν, ἀλαλκοῦν, &c., with the *redupl*. according to § 85. Obs. 2.

- άλξομαι, (I aroid.) aor. 1. ήλευάμην, (§ 96. Obs. 1.) inf. αλεύασθαι and αλξασθαι, conj. αλεύεται for -ηται, (Homer.) opt. αλξαιτο, part. αλευάμενος.
- άλέω, (I grind or pound into powder, Lat. molo,) fut. ἀλέσω, (ἀλῶ,) perf. pass. ἀλήλεσμαι: ἀλήθω (§ 112, 11.) was another form of the pres.
- άληναι or άλημεναι, indic. ἐάλην, see εἴλω.
- άλίσκομαι, (I am taken,) forms its tenses from 'AΛΟΩ, hence fut. άλώσομαι, and (with the act. form, but pass. signif.)

the syncopated aor. (§ 110, 6, and Obs. 1, 1.) ηλων, better έάλων, pl. έάλωμεν, with long a, but the unaugmented forms with short α , infin. $\hat{\alpha}\lambda\tilde{\omega}\nu\alpha\iota$, conj. $\hat{\alpha}\lambda\tilde{\omega}$, $\tilde{\omega}\varsigma$, &c. opt. $\hat{\alpha}\lambda\circ(\eta\nu)$, (Ion. $\dot{a}\lambda\dot{\omega}\eta\nu$,) part. $\dot{a}\lambda\dot{o}\dot{\nu}c$, perf. (likewise with pass. signif.) ἥλωκα and ἑάλωκα with ă.

Homer also has ἀλόντε with long α, Il. ε. 487: αἰρεῖν was used for the act. of this verb; ἀλίσκομαι is its pass., but only in its strict sense. See

αλιταίνω, (I transgress, sin,) fut. αλιτήσω, aor. ήλιτον (§ 112, 13). The act. and med. have the same signif. The adj. part. άλιτήμενος, (sinner,) Od. S. 807, may be explained by the perf. according to § 111. Obs. 2.

AΛΚ,— $\dot{a}λαλκεῖν$, see $\dot{a}λέξω$.

αλλομαι, (I leap,) is regular, άλουμαι, &c.

Practice is wavering in the aor. between aor. 1. ἡλάμην, ἄλασθαι, $(\tilde{a}, \S 101. \ Obs. \ 2.)$ and aor. 2. ἡλόμην, ἀλέσθαι (\check{a}) . Homer merely has the syncopated aor. (§ 110, 8.) which takes the spiritus lenis, and of which there is the 2 and 3 pers. $\tilde{a}\lambda\sigma\sigma$, $\tilde{a}\lambda\tau\sigma$, part. $\tilde{a}\lambda\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\varsigma$, $\epsilon\pi\hat{a}\lambda\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\varsigma^6$. The conj. is also commonly, but erroneously, found with the lenis, αληται, and shortened αλεται (Obs. V. 15. to § 103).

ΑΛΟ,—see άλίσκομαι and ἀναλίσκω.

άλύσκω, (I shun, escape,) fut. άλύξω, &c. It is different from ἀλύω or ἀλύσσω, Hom. (I am beside myself.)

άλφαίνω or άλφάνω, (I acquire,) aor. 2. ήλφον, άλφοιμι.

άμαρτάνω, (I err.) fut. άμαρτήσομαι, perf. ήμάρτηκα, aor. ήμαρτον (§ 112, 13).

Instead of ημαρτον Homer has ημβροτον with the lenis, (compare άλλομαι,) with a transposition, (§ 96. Obs. 7.) and with the insertion of β according to § 18. Obs. 1, and compare § 110, 11, 2. Note.

ἀμβλίσκω, (I render abortive, miscarry,) fut. ἀμβλώσω, &c. from $\partial_{\mu}\beta\lambda\delta\omega$, of which the pres. occurs only as a compound, έξαμβλοῦν, &c.

άμπέχω and άμπισχνοῦμαι, see ἔχω.

ἀμπλακίσκω, (I commit a fault, err,) fut. ἀμπλακήσω, aor. ήμπλακον, ἀμπλακεῖν, (§ 112, 10.) also ἀμβλακίσκω, and with a short initial syllable ἀπλακεῖν, ἀμφιέννυμι, ΑΜΦΙΕΩ, see above ἕννυμι, § 108. III.

ἀναίνομαι, (I refuse, deny,) aor. ἢνηνάμην, ἀνήνασθαι.

⁶ See about the *lenis*, § 6. Obs. 2, and compare $\dot{a}\mu a \rho \tau \dot{a}\nu \omega$. The length of the a denoted by the circumflex is an anomalous augment; whence $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \ddot{a}\lambda \tau o$, not $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi a \lambda \tau o$.

⁷ This verb evidently is derived from $\dot{a}\lambda \dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}o\mu a\iota$: the σ is not intercalated in the

pres. (after the analogy of § 112. Obs. 7.) but omitted in the fut. Compare

διδάσκω.

This verb is not a compound, (see Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 63, 10.) The aor. is perfectly regular, like $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\nu\mu\eta\nu\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$, and the like. But there is no other tense to be met with.

ἀνāλίσκω, (I consume, expend,) forms its tenses from ἀνāλόω, imperf. ἀνάλουν. Both ἀνήλωσα and ἀνάλωσα were used, and as a double compound κατηνάλωσα, and the same in the perf.

This *verb* differs from ἀλίσκομαι in the quantity of the a, and the regularity of the signif. There is no aor. 2.

άνδάνω, (I please,) imperf. ηνδανον, έάνδανον, έήνδανον, fut. άδήσω, αστ. ξάδον, αδον, perf. ξάδα, (Dor. ξάδα,) see § 112, 13.

This Ionic and Poetical verb must be considered as perfectly identical with the regular $\eta\delta\omega$, (I delight,) $\eta\delta\omega\mu\alpha$, (I am delighted,) which only differs in construction. Compare $\lambda\alpha\nu\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\omega$ and $\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\omega$, and the like.—Homer has also $\varepsilon\dot{\nu}\alpha\delta\sigma\nu$ 8 for $\ddot{u}\delta\sigma\nu$ in the $\alpha\sigma\tau$.

άνέσει, άνέσαιμι, see § 108. I. 4.

άνήνοθα, a perf. with the signif. of the pres., I pierce, penetrate through, from a theme ANΘΩ or ANEΘΩ, whence comes ἄνθος, flower, and ἀνθέω, I bloom, see § 97. Obs. 2, and compare below ἐνήνοθα. See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 63.

ἀνοίγω or ἀνοίγνυμι, see οἰγω.

ἄνωγα, (I order, command,) is an old perf. 1 pers. pl. ἄνωγμεν, imper. ἄνωχθι, ἀνωγέτω, ἀνώγετε, or irregular ἀνώχθω, ἄνωχθε, (§ 110. Obs. 5.) plusq. as imperf. (ἢνώγειν,) Ion. ἢνώγεα.

This perf. having the signif. of the pres. originated the corresponding form, (Herod. 7, 104.) 3 pers. pres. ἀνώγει, imperf. ἤνωγον, fut. ἀνώξω, aor. ἤνωξα.—The perf. ἄνωγα itself never has the augment.

ἀπαυράω, see AYP.

άπαφίσκω, (I deceive,) aor. ἤπαφον, ἀπαφὼν, &c. is an aor. redupl. (§ 85. Obs. 2.) of 'ΑΦΩ, (whence ἀφὴ and ἄπτομαι,) properly I touch, feel, stroke, Lat. palpo, from which the new pres. has been formed, according to § 112, 10; fut. ἀπαφήσω.—The middle voice has the same signif. as the act.

 $\dot{\alpha}$ πολαύω, augment, see § 86, Obs. 2. | $\dot{\alpha}$ πούρας, see AYP.

'ἄράομαι, Att. 'ἄράομαι, depon. med. (I supplicate, imprecate.) We find only once (Od. χ. 322.) the infin. act. ἀρήμεναι, perhaps aor. 2. pass. of APOMAI, see Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.—The Homeric part. 'ἄρημένος has a different signif.; it means injured, worn down.

ἀραρίσκω (I fit, adapt). The radical theme APΩ gives the fut. ἄρσω, aor. 1. ἤρσα, ἄρσαι, &c. (§ 101. Obs. 3.) aor. 2. ἤραρον, (see § 85. Obs. 2.) from which came the new pres. (according to § 112, 10.) imperf. ἀράρισκε, Od. ξ. 23.—But the theme APΩ combines with the causative signif. to fit, the imme-

 $^{^8}$ This form too (like $\kappa av \acute{a} \xi a\iota c$, above, under $\Tilde{a} \gamma \nu \nu \mu \iota)$ is explained by the Epic digamma, for the verb $\Tilde{a} \nu \acute{a} \acute{a} \iota \omega$ is one of the words alluded to in § 6. Obs. 3. From FAAO comes the syllabic augment in $\Tilde{a} a\wr a$, and this $\Tilde{\epsilon} u \acute{a} \ell v \acute{a} \ell v$, which is derived from the double F after the augment (EFFAAEN, like $\Tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \nu$): the digamma here made a position, and could therefore not be dropped entirely, as almost everywhere else. The seeming importance of this $\Tilde{\epsilon} v$ (as if it were meant for the adverb well) may have favoured the retention of this form.

diative or intrans. one, to be adapted, quadrate with (see § 113, 2). The perf. 2. ἄραρα, Ion. ἄρηρα⁹, as a pres. tense has only this latter intrans. meaning (part. fem. Epic ἀρἄρνῖα): the aor. ἤραρον, though less frequent, is likewise intrans. The perf. pass. ἀρήρεμαι 10, formed after the analogy of the fut. $\dot{a}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\omega$, agrees in meaning with $\ddot{a}\rho\eta\rho\alpha$. This fut. itself, with other forms derived from it, (see ἀρέσκω,) has obtained the particular signif. to adapt one's self, to conciliate, which some of the above forms also have sometimes (Il. a. 136. Soph. El. 147).— Αρμενος (fitting) is the syncopated aor. (§ 110, 8.)

ἀρέσκω, (I satisfy, please, conciliate,) fut. ἀρέσω, perf. pass. ήρεσμαι.--ΜΕD.

It is derived from APQ, of which $\dot{\alpha}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\omega$ (according to § 95. Obs. 15.) is the old fut., which exclusively assumed this particular signif., and produced the rest of the tenses along with the new pres. tense.

ἄρνυμαι, (belonging to αἴρω, as $\pi \tau \acute{a} \rho \nu \nu \mu a \iota$ does to $\pi \tau a \acute{\iota} \rho \omega$,) is used instead of alponar in a particular sense (to get, obtain, as the reward of exertion, or as booty.) The rest of the tenses, except the pres. and imperf., are derived from the radical form, fut. $\mathring{a}\rho \circ \tilde{v}\mu a\iota$, $a \circ r$. $\mathring{\eta}\rho \circ \mu \eta \nu$ ($\mathring{a}\rho \circ \nu \tau \circ$, $\mathring{a}\rho \circ (\mu \eta \nu^{11})$.

άρπάζω, (I plunder,) has with the Attics άρπάσω, (άρπάσομαι,) ήρπακα, ήρπάσ- $\theta\eta\nu$, &c., with the later writers, (κοινοί,) $\dot{\alpha}\rho\pi\dot{\alpha}\xi\omega$, $\dot{\eta}\rho\pi\dot{\alpha}\gamma\eta\nu$, &c. Homer

has both formations (see § 92. Obs. 1).

APΩ, see ἀραρίσκω.

αύξω and αύξάνω, (I increase,) fut. αύξήσω (§ 112, 13).—The pass. with the fut. of the middle voice, I grow.

AYP, to this root, with its principal meaning to take, belong the following two compounds 12:

1.) $\dot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\nu\rho\dot{\alpha}\omega$ (I take away): of this verb we find in Poets only the imperf. (in the sense of the aor.) $\dot{a}\pi\eta\dot{\nu}\rho\omega\nu$, and aor. 1. med. $\dot{a}\pi\eta\nu\rho\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$ (from AYPΩ). To these tenses must be added the part. aor. 1. act. ἀπούρας, and med. (with pass. signif.) ἀπουράμενος, with a particular change of vowel. These forms are strictly related to the former in point of meaning.

2.) ἐπαυρίσκομαι, (I reap the fruits of, enjoy,) fut. ἐπαυρήσομαι, aor. ἐπηυρόμην, ἐπαυρέσθαι, and in un-Attic writers, ἐπαύρασθαι.—(See § 96, 8.)

The old Poets have also the act., and chiefly the aor. ἐπαῦρον, Pind. Pyth. 3, 65. conj. ἐπαύρω, infin. ἐπαυρεῖν or ἐπαυρέμεν. The pres. ἐπαυρέω, which is made from it, is in Hesiod.

άφύσσω, (I draw from, as water from a river,) fut. ἀφύξω, aor. ηφύσα, ἀφύσαι, (ἀφύσσαι,) § 92. Obs. 2.

 $\mathbf{A}\Phi$ —see $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\phi\theta\eta$, and $\dot{a}\pi a\phi i\sigma\kappa\omega$.

 $\ddot{a}_{\chi}\theta$ ομαι, (I am angry,) $\dot{a}_{\chi}\theta$ έσομαι, $\dot{\eta}_{\chi}\theta$ έσθην (§ 112, 3).

AXΩ, see ἀκαχίζω.

 $\tilde{a}\omega$, this theme occurs with four different signif.

1.) to blow, imperf. ασν, (Apoll.) commonly αημι (see above).

The Compare $\dot{I}l$. $\dot{\zeta}$. 446, with σ . 121, and χ . 160, with ι . 124.

¹² See about both, Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 22.

 ⁹ "Αρηρε, transitive, Od. ε. 248, is incorrect instead of ἄρασσεν.
 ¹⁰ In Apollonius, where the reading ἀρηράμενος is incorrect. Compare άκήχεμαι and δρώρεται.

2.) to sleep, aor. ãσα, and (§ 112. Obs. 3.) ἄεσα, Hom.

3.) to satiate, fut. ἄσω, aor. ἄσα, whence in the pres. pass. ἄται, and lengthened ἄἄται, (Hesiod. A. 101, as a fut. according to § 95. 0bs. 12.) infin. act. ἄμεναι, (Hom.) contr. from ἀέμεναι. Verbal adjective ἀτός: hence with the priv. a, ἄατος, (Hesiod,) ἄτος, (Hom.) insatiable. Compare above 'ΑΔ—and the Note to ἀάω.

4.) to hurt, in which sense it is stated above as a contr. of $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}\omega$.

ἄωρτο, see αἴρω.

В.

βαίνω, (I go.) fut. βήσομαι, perf. βέβηκα, aor. 2. ἔβην, after ἔστην: thus, ἔβημεν, βῆναι, βῆθι 13, βήτω, βαίην, βῶ.— Some of its compounds have also a pass. voice; for instance, $\pi a \rho a β a i v \omega$, (I go beyond, transgress,) perf. pass. $\pi a \rho a β έβ αμαι$, aor. pass. $\pi a \rho ε β άθην$. Verbal adj. βατός.

All these forms are derived from $BA\Omega$, and are conjugated like " $i\sigma\eta\mu\mu$, excepting the pres. tense; but Homer has even this with the redupl. part. $\beta\iota\beta\dot{\alpha}\varsigma$, and $\beta\iota\beta\dot{\omega}\nu$.—The plusq. $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\epsilon\dot{\beta}\dot{\eta}\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu$ has in Epic poetry the signif, of the imperf. or aor., ex. gr. Il. ζ . 495, 513. Compare $\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omega$ $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\epsilon\dot{\beta}\dot{\lambda}\dot{\eta}\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu$. See about $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\iota\omega$, $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\iota\omega$, $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\iota\omega$, the similar conj. of $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\eta\eta\nu$, § 107. Obs. IV. The shortened forms of the perf. (ex. gr. $\beta\epsilon\dot{\beta}\ddot{\alpha}\sigma\iota$, $\beta\epsilon\dot{\beta}\dot{\omega}\varsigma$, § 110, 10.) occur seldom except in the Poets and Dialects.—Homer has the aor. 2. shortened $\beta\dot{\alpha}\tau\eta\nu$ for $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\dot{\eta}\tau\eta\nu$, $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\dot{\delta}\alpha\sigma\alpha\nu$ for $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}\beta\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$.—The Epics too have an aor. med. (as act.) but wavering in the form, $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\dot{\eta}\sigma\alpha\tau\sigma$, or, according to § 96. Obs. 9, $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\tau\sigma$. Imper. $\beta\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\sigma$.—See below $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$.

This verb has likewise the causative signif. (§ 113, 2.) to cause to go, but only in the Ionic dialect and in Poets. The fut. act. $\beta\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$, and the acr. 1.

 $\tilde{\epsilon}\beta\eta\sigma\alpha$, have only this signif.

βάλλω, (I throw,) fut. βαλῶ, sometimes also βαλλήσω, (§ 112, 8.) aor. ἔβαλον, perf. βέβληκα, pass. βέβλημαι, (conj., see § 98. Obs. 9.) aor. 1. pass. ἐβλήθην.—ΜΕD.

There are a few Epic forms derived from a syncopated aor. (ξβλην, see § 110, 6, 7.) aor. ξυμβλήτην, (3 dual,) pass. ξβλητο, βλῆσθαι, opt. βλείμην, βλεῖο, &c. conj. βλήεται, (for βλήηται,) and from this again a fut. συμβλήσομαι.—The Epics also make the perf. pass. βεβόλημαι ¹⁴ (as if from BOΛΕΩ).—The plusq. ἐβεβλήκειν has with Epic Poets the signif. of the aor. (hit,) ex. gr. Il. ε. 66, 73. compare βαίνω ἐβεβήκειν.

βαρύνω, (I load,) perf. pass. (from βαρέω) βεβάρημαι, Plat.

Hom. has part. perf. act. $\beta \epsilon \beta a \rho \eta \acute{o} \tau \alpha$, $\acute{o} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, with intrans. signif. (§ 97. Obs. 1.)

βαστάζω, (I carry,) fut. βαστάσω, &c. takes the other formation in the pass., ex. gr. $\xi \beta$ αστάχθην (§ 92. Obs. 1).

BA-βίβημι, see βαίνω.

βέομαι or βείομαι, a Homeric fut. I shall live, which may be considered either as a

¹³ It may be shortened, when contracted; for instance, $\kappa \alpha \tau \acute{a} \beta \bar{a}$ as in $\emph{"} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$.

14 The old radical theme of this verb had an ϵ (compare $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \mu \nu \omega$ $\tau \acute{a} \mu \nu \omega$, $\tau \rho \acute{\epsilon} \pi \omega$ $\tau \rho \acute{a} \pi \omega$, and below $\sigma \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$). This is evident from the derivative $\beta \acute{\epsilon} \lambda o_{\mathcal{G}}$, and especially from the verbal $\beta \epsilon \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta_{\mathcal{G}}$ in $\acute{\epsilon} \kappa a \tau \eta \beta \epsilon \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta_{\mathcal{G}}$, whence BOAEΩ, (see § 112, 9.) and likewise through the metathesis BEA, BAE, the forms $\beta \acute{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \eta \kappa a$, $\beta \lambda \epsilon \acute{\iota} \mu \eta \nu$, &c. (§ 110, 11.)

real irregular fut. (like $\pi io\mu a\iota$, or like $\kappa i\omega$, $\kappa \epsilon i\omega$,) or as a conj. used like a fut. instead of $\beta i\omega\mu a\iota$ (see § 139. Obs. 1. I. 4). Neither do we attempt to decide whether it belongs to an old verb BEI Ω , (whence, perhaps, βioc , $\beta \iota io\omega$,) or whether the pass. form of the verb $\beta ai\nu\omega$ took the collateral meaning of $wandering\ through\ life$, that is to say, living, in which case $\beta \epsilon io\mu a\iota$ would correspond to the act. from $\beta \epsilon i\omega$, conj. for $\beta \tilde{\omega}$.

βιβρώσκω, (I eat,) from ΒΡΟΩ, fut. (βρώσομαι,) perf. βέβρωκα, &c.

The part. perf. β ε β ρωκ $\dot{\omega}$ ς sometimes undergoes a contraction like that of § 110, 10, whence Soph. Antig. 1010. β ε β ρ $\tilde{\omega}$ τες. See about the Epic α or. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ β ρων, § 110, 6. The Homeric β ε β ρώθοις belongs to a particular verb, the intensive β ε β ρώθω, I devour.

βιόω, (I live,) fut. βιώσομαι, aor. ἐβίωσα, and (aor. 2.) ἐβίων, βιῶναι, part. βιοὺς, conj. βιῶ, ῷς, &c. opt. βιῷην (§ 110, 6).

The forms βιώσκομαι and ἀναβιώσκομαι have both the intrans. signif. (to start into life, revive, Plato Phæd. 72.) and the transitive one (to restore to life, Crit. 9). It is merely in the latter sense that it has the aor. 1. ἐβιωσάμην (Od. θ. 468. Plato Phæd. 89); in the former the act. ἀναβιῶναι is used.

BΛ, see βάλλω.

βλαστάνω, (I sprout out, bud.) βλαστήσω, ἔβλαστον (§ 112, 13).

βλώσκω, (I go,) has, as if from ΜΟΛΩ, aor. ἔμολον, μολεῖν, μολων, fut. μολοῦμαι, perf. μέμβλωκα, (according to § 19. Obs. 1. for μέμλωκα,) as if it were ΜΛΟΩ, whence came the pres. tense βλώσκω. See § 110, 11. The pres. μολέω is suspicious.

 $\beta o \dot{\alpha} \omega$, (I cry or call out,) always contracts on into ω^{15} in the Ionic dialect, fut. $\beta \dot{\omega} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$, it then draws the accent back, aor. $\xi \beta \omega \sigma \alpha$, and takes σ in the aor. pass. $\xi \beta \dot{\omega} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$.

BOΛ, see βάλλω and βούλομαι.

βόσκω, (I lead to pasture,) fut. βοσκήσω, &c. (§ 112, 8.)—ΜΕD. βούλομαι, (I will,) fut. βουλήσομαι, perf. βεβούλημαι, aor. ἐβουλήθην, ήβουλήθην. (See about the augment, § 83. Obs. 5.)

Homer has also a perf. 2. $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \beta o \nu \lambda \alpha$ (προβέβουλα, I prefer). The first syllable was likewise short in Homer, and the old language in general, in which case it is written with an o, $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon}$ (§ 5. Obs. 3).

BO, see βοάω. | ΒΡΟ, see βιβρώσκω.

βραχεῖν, ἔβραχον, an Epic aor., to make a noise; different from βρέχειν, to wet, βρέχεσθαι, βρεχθῆναι, and βραχῆναι, to be wet.

βρυχάομαι, I roar, bellow, dep. pass. The perf. act. has with Poets the signif. of the pres. Compare μηκάομαι and μυκάομαι.—See about the perf. βέβουχα, Buttm. Lexilogus, 11. 35.

Γ.

γαμέω, (I marry,) from ΓΑΜΩ, fut. again γαμέω, γαμώ, αοτ.

¹⁵ This becomes evident on comparing the verb $\beta\omega\theta\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\iota}\nu$ for $\beta\circ\eta\theta\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\iota}\nu$, to aid. Compare below $\nu\circ\tilde{\epsilon}\omega$.

ἔγημα, γῆμαι, &c. perf. γεγάμηκα, &c.—MED. I marry, take a husband. The form ἐγαμήθην (whence Theocr. has γαμεθεῖσα) is a pure pass.

 ΓA , see $\Gamma EN\Omega$.

- γέγωνα, a perf. with the signif. of the pres., I call aloud, proclaim. But most of the other forms are made as of a pres. in ω or έω derived from this perf.; infin. γεγωνεῖν, imperf. ἐγεγώνευν, (for -εον.) 3 pers. ἐγεγώνει, but also (ἐγέγωνε) γέγωνε, which form consequently occurs as pres., imperf., and aor. See § 111, 2.
- ΓΕΝ. This root, which corresponds to the Latin verb gigno, genui, has two principal signif.; the causative, to beget, and the immediative or intrans, to be born. The forms are anomalously intermixed. Of the act. one there is none in use but the perf. (γέγονα): all the rest in both signif. belongs to the medio-passive form. The whole may, according to custom, be assigned to two different prestenses:
 - γείνομαι has merely the meaning of being born; in the pres. it is poetical, to be begotten, and to beget; in the aor. ἐγεινάμην merely transitive, to beget, in both prose and poetry. Else the regular verb γεννάω is used in this last signif.
 - 2.) γίγνομαι, (old and Attic, later γίνομαι,) fut. γενήσομαι, αστ. ἐγενόμην, perf. γεγένημαι, or (in act. form) γέγονα: un-Attic forms, ἐγενήθην, γενηθήσομαι. All these forms are absolutely intransitive not only in the proper signif, of being born, but also and even more frequently in the sense of becoming, growing, the Lat. fieri. To this must be added the signif. to be, since ἐγενόμην and γέγονα serve at the same time as præterites of the verb εΐναι. But whenever γέγονα can be translated by the pres. tense, I am, it always conveys this particular meaning, I am by birth, or have become.

There is for γέγονα a poetical form, (γέγἄα,) pl. γέγαμεν, γεγάασιν, infin. γεγάμεν, (for -άναι,) part. γεγαὼς, Attic γεγὼς (see § 110, 10). It appears to come from ΓΑΩ, from which is also derived the older form γεγάκειν, (for γεγηκέναι, see § 111, 2.) in Pind. ¹⁶—ἔγεντο, γέντο, (Hesiod, Pind.) is the syncopated aor. for ἐγένετο: see also the following article.

γέντο, (he took, seized,) an old verb in Homer, of which no other tense occurs. In other poets it also stands for ἐγένετο, ἔγεντο, from the preceding verb.

 $\gamma \eta \theta \epsilon \omega$, (I am glad,) $\gamma \eta \theta \eta \sigma \omega$, &c.; perf. $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \eta \theta a$ is the same with the pres. and more used.

γηράω ον γηράσκω, (I grow old,) fut. γηράσομαι, is regular after the first form, yet the Attics prefer the form γηρᾶναι to the infin. aor. γηρᾶσαι.

This γηρᾶναι is the infin. of the more ancient aor. ἐγήρᾶν, (see Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.) to which belongs also the poetical part. γηράς, (Il. ρ. 197. γηράντεσσιν, Hesiod. Ε. 183.) to which the aor. ἔδρᾶν, from διδράσκω, exactly corresponds. See § 110, 6.

γίγνομαι, γίνομαι, see ΓΕΝ.

γιγνώσκω, (old and Attic, commonly γινώσκω, I know, Lat. nosco,) from ΓΝΟΩ, fut. γνώσομαι, aor. ἔγνων, pl. ἔγνωμεν,

The anomalous form $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$, (Batrach. 143. Hom, Epigr. ult.) may be explained from the perf. $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a \alpha$, (-άατε for $\tilde{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$,) having become the pres. tense, (see, however, Buttm. Lexilogus, I. Note to Art. 2, 1.) whence also ἐκγεγάονται, Hymn. Ven. 198; the latter through a new anomaly as a fut.

&c. infin. γνώναι, imper. γνώθι, γνώτω, &c. opt. γνοίην, part. γνούς, (§ 110, 6.) perf. έγνωκα, pass. έγνωσμαι.

In the causative signif. (§ 113, 2.) to persuade, which the compound avaγιγνώσκω has, particularly with the Ionians, it forms the aor. 1. ἀνέγνωσα.

γοάω, (I bewail,) aor. 2. ἔγοον, Il. ζ. 500. (§ 96. Obs. 5.)

γρηγορέω, see έγείρω.

ΓΩΝ, see γέγωνα.

Δ .

ΔA, -δαίω. The forms belonging to these themes have four principal signif.: to divide, give to eat, burn, teach.

1. $\delta \alpha i \omega$, (I divide, particularly with a sharp instrument, I distribute,) in this form and signif. has merely the pres. and imperf., and is only poetical; but the fut. δάσομαι, aor. ἐδασάμην, as depon. med., have the same meaning, and are also employed in prose; the perf. δέδασμαι has the pass. tense, (I am divided, distributed,) and its third pers. pl. δεδαίαται adheres again to the root δαίω for the sake of euphony. Compare μαίομαι έμασάμην, ναίω ένασάμην. The pres. δατέομαι (which see below) bears the same relation to these forms as πατέσμαι to πάσασθαι.

2. δαίνυμι, (I give to eat, treat, feast,) med. δαίνυμαι, (I consume, revel,) 2 pers. δαίννο, (see § 107. Obs. 4, 4.) according to the analogy of verbs in $\nu\nu\mu\iota$, makes its tenses from $\delta\alpha i\omega$, which, however, never has this signif.

in the pres. tense, δαίσω, δαίσομαι, &c.

3. δαίω in the pres. tense signifies also to burn, kindle 17. In the perf. δέδηα, (§ 97, 4.) it has the intrans. sense of the med. δαίομαι, (I burn, am on

fire,) aor. 2. (ἐδαόμην,) 3 conj. δάηται.

4. $\triangle A\Omega$ combines the causative sense to teach with the immediative one to learn. In the first we have only the aor. 2. ἔδαον οτ δέδαον, (§ 83. Obs. 7.) to which belongs also the Homeric $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \delta \alpha \epsilon$, but in the sense of learning, perf. (δέδαα,) δεδάασι, δεδαώς, aor. pass. ἐδάην, (properly was taught, i.e. learned,) whence the new perf. δεδάηκα, (§ 111, 3.) or δεδάημαι, fut. δαήσομαι: δέδαα as a pres. tense gives (δεδᾶσθαι) δεδά- $\alpha\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, to get to know, to investigate, experience, Homer. This verb is merely poetical, and has no pres. tense in either sense, but the usual διδάσκω (below) comes from it.

The Epic $\delta \dot{\eta} \omega$, $\delta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, &c. an anomalous fut. with the steady meaning I

shall find, also belongs to this root 18.

δάκνω, (I bite,) from $\Delta H K \Omega$, fut. δήξομαι, perf. δέδηχα, aor. έδακον, § 112, 12.

δαμάω, see δέμω.

δαρθάνω, (I sleep,) fut. δαρθήσομαι, perf. δεδάρθηκα, aor. έδαρθον, § 112, 13.

Poets use ἔδραθον for ἔδαρθον, (§ 96. Obs. 7.) and the compound with κατά sometimes takes in the aor. the form of the aor. pass. κατεδάρθην,

17 It is from misunderstanding the passage Il. ε. 4, 7, that the intrans. signif. is

given to this form. Compare R. σ . 206, 227.

18 Compare $\kappa \epsilon i \omega$ in $\kappa \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \mu \alpha \iota$. Both are old fut. from $\Delta A \Omega$, $K E \Omega$, in the form of the fut. 2. instead of $\delta \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, $\kappa \iota \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, with the contr. of the first two vowels, as in the gen. $\kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \tilde{\iota} o \varsigma$, (from $\kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon o \varsigma$,) for $\kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} o \upsilon \varsigma$. See § 53. Obs. 5.

καταδαοθείς, (fallen asleep,) which form may be considered as aor. 1. for έδάρσθην, (compare κεκάρθαι for -σθαι, and πέρθαι in πέρθω,) or as a solitary instance of an aor. 2. pass. with θ . (see § 100. Obs. 9.)

δατέομαι, (see δαίω 1.) αστ. 1. infin. δατέασθαι, Hesiod. E. 795. See § 96. Obs. 1. ΔAΩ, see δαίω.

δέαται, see δόαται.

| δεῖ, see δέω.

δείδω, see δείσαι.

δείκνυμι, (I show,) fut. δείξω (§ 107, and 112, 14).—MED.

The Ionians make the fut. δέξω, ἔδεξα, δέδεγμαι (ἀποδεδέχθαι, see § 27. Obs. 3).

The med. δείκνυμαι has in the Epics (Il. ι. 196. Hymn. Apoll. 11.) the sense of greeting, welcoming, pledging to drink, and therefore the perf. also belongs hither with a similar signif, to that of the pres. δείδεγμαι, (for δέδειγμαι,) 3 pl. δειδέχαται, 3 sing. plusq. as imperf. δείδεκτο: δεικανάομαι, δειδίσκομαι, and δεδίσκομαι 19, are collateral forms, which all have the same signif.

δεῖσαι, to fear, ἔδεισα, fut. δείσομαι. The perf. δέδοικα, (§ 97. Obs. 1.) and δέδια (ĭ), have the power of the pres. (I fear); their alternate use was regulated by euphony. Syncopated forms are made from δέδια: δέδιμεν, δέδιτε, 3 pl. plusq. έδέδισαν, and an imper. δέδιθι (§ 110, 10).

The Epics also used δείδοικα, δείδια, (compare the preceding δείδεκτο.) and δείδιμεν, &c., and still more shortened (in Apoll.) part. δειδυῖα. Hence came a new pres. δείδω, which occurs only in these poets, but from which all the above tenses were formerly derived.

We find the aor. always written ἔδδεισα in Homer; this is the only instance of a muta 20 doubled in the augment.

The Epic δίω includes also the idea of flying from, escaping, (Il. χ. 251.) of which the causative is to frighten (§ 113, 2). But it is very singular that Homer renders this exclusively by the pass, voice ($\delta i \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, conj. $\delta i \omega \mu \alpha \iota$, &c.). The act. of another form $\delta i \eta \mu \iota$, on the contrary, signifies to hunt, chase, (ἐνδίεσαν, Il. σ. 584.) and the pass. is to fly from, run (δίενται, Il. ψ. 475). The infin. δίεσθαι may belong to both forms, and has both meanings (Il. μ. 276, 304).

ΔΕΚ, see δείκνυμι and δέχομαι.

δέμω, (I build,) aor. ἔδειμα, perf. δέδμηκα, &c. (§ 110, 4 and 11.) The form δείμομεν in Homer is the shortened conj. aor. See Obs. V. 15, § 103.—MED.

The same theme gives likewise the tenses to $\delta a\mu \dot{a}\omega$, (I tame, subdue,) perf. δέδμηκα, aor. pass. ἐδάμην, ἐδμήθην,—δαμᾶ and δαμάα are both pres. and fut. In prose we find in this sense $\delta \alpha \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, which is regular.

δέρκομαι οτ δέδορκα, (I see, behold,) αστ. ἔδρακον, (see § 96. Obs. 7.) also ἐδράκην and $\delta\delta\delta\rho\chi\theta\eta\nu$, all act.

δέχομαι, (I take,) is in Ionic δέκομαι, and hither belongs also,

here and in ὑποδδείσασα, ἀδδεὲς, is to be sought for in a hitherto undiscovered

digamma after the δ , (dw.) has been shown by Dawes, Misc. 168.

¹⁹ Several grammarians place the form δείδεκτο under δέχομαι, because they fancy that the signif. to receive, welcome, is more readily derived from it. But the fundamental meaning unquestionably is to reach the hand, and δείκω probably signified in the origin simply I stretch out the hand, from which δέκομαι, δέχομαι, is very naturally derived. Compare δείδοικα, δείδια, which also have the redupl. δει, because the radical syllable is likewise δει.

20 That the true cause of the lengthened syllable, which occasions this reading

according to § 112, 9. the Epic δεδοκημένος (waiting, being on the watch, Il. o. 730): δεδεγμένος is used in the same sense, (Il. δ. 107. &c.) also 3 pl. δέχαται and plusq. as imperf. εδέγμην. Yet likewise εδεκτο, δέχθαι, as syncopated aor. in the sense of taking.—See δείδεγμαι in δείκνυμι.

- δέω, (I tie, bind.) see § 105. Obs. 2. and § 95. Obs. 4.—The fut. 3. δεδήσομαι, (§ 99. Obs. 1.) supplies the un-Attic fut. 1. δεθήσομαι.—MED.
- δέω, (I want, lack,) fut. δεήσω, &c. is commonly impersonal: δεῖ, (it behoves, is necessary, French il faut,) conj. δέη, opt. δέοι, infin. δεῖν, part. δέον, fut. δεήσει, &c. The pass. δέομαι, δέη or δέει, δεῖται, &c. is always personal, (I want, need,) δεήσομαι, ἐδεήθην.

The contr. $\varepsilon\iota$ was sometimes resolved in this verb, even by the Attics, to distinguish it from the preceding verb; as Isocr. Busir. 2. $\tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \delta \tau \sigma v$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \varepsilon \iota \dot{\epsilon}_{\sigma}$, and in Xenophon frequently $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \varepsilon \tau \sigma \iota$, $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \varepsilon \sigma \theta \sigma \iota$. But Homer has the aor. $\delta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \nu$, (II. σ . 100.) and a peculiar form $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\nu} \circ \mu \sigma \iota$, $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\nu} \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \mu \sigma \iota$.

δέαται, see δόαται. | Δ HK, see δάκνω. | δήω, see Δ A.

διδάσκω, (I teach,) loses the σ in the formation: διδάξω, δεδίδαχα, &c.; with Poets also διδασκήσω.—MED.

Comes from ΔAΩ. Compare the Note to ἀλύσκω.

διδράσκω, (Irun away,) occurs only in compounds, (ἀποδιδράσκω, διαδιδράσκω,) from ΔΡΑΩ, fut. δράσομαι, perf. δέδρακα—aor. ἔδραν, ᾱς, ᾱ, ᾱμεν, ἔδρατε, 3 pl. ἔδρασαν and ἔδραν, (§ 107. Obs. IV. 6.) conj. δρω, ᾱς, ᾱ, &c. opt. δραίην, imper. δραθι, infin. δραναι, part. δράς. See § 110, 6. with the Note.

The Ionians have everywhere η , $\delta\iota\delta\rho\eta\sigma\kappa\omega$, $\delta\rho\eta\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$, $\xi\delta\rho\eta\nu$, &c. This verb must not be confounded with the regular $\delta\rho\delta\omega$, $(I\ do_2)$ which has fut.

act. δράσω, and aor. 1. ἔδρασα: δέδρακα is common to both.

δίζημαι, (I seek, inquire,) is a form of μι, which retains the η in the pass., § 106. Obs. 3. fut. διζήσομαι Hom.

δικείν, (to throw,) έδικον, is a defective aor.

 $\delta\iota\psi\tilde{y}\nu$, see § 105. Obs. 5. | $\delta\iota\omega$, see $\delta\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\sigma\alpha\iota$. | ΔΜΕ, see $\delta\epsilon\mu\omega$.

δόαται οτ δέαται, (it appears,) αοτ. δοάσσατο, conj. δοάσσεται (-ηται), Homer.

δοκέω, (I seem, think,) from $\Delta O K \Omega$, fut. δόξω, &c. The perf. from the pass. δέδογμαι (I have seemed). The regular formation $\delta o \kappa \acute{\eta} \sigma \omega$, &c. is poetical.

See the Epic δεδοκημένος in δέχομαι.

δουπέω, (to gire a hollow sound, make a noise in falling,) perf. δέδουπα, (§ 97. Obs. 4.) αστ. ἐδούπησα and ἐγδούπησα from a form ΓΔΟΥΠ, which bears the same relation to δουπέω, as κτυπέω to τύπτω.

δραμείν, δέδρομα, see τρέχω.

ΔPA, see διδράσκω.

δύναμαι, (I can, am able, have power,) pres. and imperf. like Ίσταμαι, 2 pers. pres. δύνασαι, Poetically δύνη. See about the conj. and opt. § 107. Obs. III. 5. and § 83. Obs. 5. about the augment. Fut. δυνήσομαι, aor. ήδυνήθην, (also έδυνάσθην,) perf. δεδύνημαι.

Verbal adjective, Suvatoc, possible.

In Homer this verb is a depon. med. δυνήσατο for έδυνήθη.

δύω. This verb originally combined the immediative signif. to go in, enter, with the causative one, to wrap up in, inclose (§ 113, 2).

The pres. act. $\delta \dot{\nu} \omega$ has only the latter, (to wrap in, sink,) and retains it in the fut. and aor. 1. act. $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \omega$, $\xi \delta v \sigma \alpha$, pass. $\dot{\xi} \delta v \theta \eta \nu$, (§ 95. Obs. 4.) The MED. δύομαι, (I wrap myself up in,) δύσομαι, έδυσάμην, took the intrans. (immediative) signif. (to go in, dive, &c.), which again assumes a transitive signif., to enter (a garment), put on (a coat, i. e. to dress). These signif. of the immediative sense are retained in the act., in the perf. $\delta \epsilon \delta \bar{\nu} \kappa a$, and the acr. 2. (see § 110. 6.) $\xi\delta\bar{\nu}\nu$, $\delta\tilde{\nu}\nu\alpha\iota$, $\delta\dot{\nu}\varsigma$, imper. $\delta\tilde{\nu}\theta\iota$, $\delta\tilde{\nu}\tau\epsilon$, conj. $\delta\dot{\nu}\omega$, (Il. ϱ . 186. Plato Crat. 413.) opt. δύην.21 To this must be added a new act. form in the pres. tense δύνω, which along with the aor. $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta v\nu$ is preferred in certain connexions and in the compounds, to the form δύομαι, έδυσάμην.

This is the basis of the general practice; the modifications arising from the different shades of meaning, especially in the compounds, must be left to dictionaries and individual study. The aor. med. ἐδυσάμην has with Epics a collateral form, ἐδύσετο, ἐδύσεο, imper. δύσεο, see § 96. Obs. 9. belongs also the part. δυσόμενος with the signif. of the pres. in Od. a. 24.

Hesiod, E. 382. Herod. makes of δύνω, δυνέουσι (§ 112. Obs. 5).

E.

 $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\phi\theta\eta$, a Homeric form, either from $\ddot{a}\pi\tau\epsilon\iota\nu$, (to bind,) compare $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\gamma\eta\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\lambda\omega\nu$, or from ξ πομαι, (see below,) for ξ ισθη, commonly ξ σπετο. See Buttin. Lexilogus, II. 87.

έγείρω, (I awaken, or rouse from sleep,) is regular in the act. perf. ἐγήγερκα, ἐγήγερμαι.

The med. has the signif. of awaking from sleep, being wakeful, watching, and its aor. is $\dot{\eta}\gamma\rho\delta\mu\eta\nu$, (§ 110, 4.22) The perf. 2. $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\rho\dot{\eta}\gamma\rho\rho\alpha$ (of which the anomalous redupt. was probably caused by ήγρόμην) has also the immediate signif. like other perf. 2. (see § 113. Obs. 3.) but acquires a new pres. signif., i. e. becomes a new pres. tense; properly, I have been awakened, i. e. am awake, watch; the plusq. with the force of an imperf. έγρηγόρειν. Pres. tenses derived from ἐγρήγορα in the same sense are, ἐγρηγορόω in Homer; έγρηγορέω in the later prose; and γρηγορέω in the New Testament. From

22 See about the infin. ἔγρεσθαι, (instead of ἐγρέσθαι,) Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.

²¹ Compare § 107. Obs. III. 6. Hence ἐκδύμεν for ἐκδύημεν (like θεῖμεν for θείημεν). See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 17. 10.

ἐγρηγόρατε arises the Homeric form ἐγρήγορθε, (§ 110. Obs. 5.) and from this again with new anomalies the 3 pers. pl. ἐγρηγόρθασι.

 $\xi \delta \omega$, see $\xi \delta \theta i \omega$. | $\xi \delta \delta \tilde{v} \mu \alpha i$, see $\xi \zeta \delta \tilde{v} \mu \alpha i$.

έζομαι, καθέζομαι, (I sit down,) fut. καθεδούμαι, (§ 95. Obs. 16.) imperf. ἐκαθεζόμην, used as aor.

ἐθέλω and θέλω, (I will, wish,) fut. ἐθελήσω, θελήσω, &c. (§ 112, 8.) perf. ἠθέληκα.

 $\xi\theta\omega$, (I am wont,) perf. $\xi'\omega\theta\alpha$, (§ 97. Obs. 2.) Ion. $\xi'\omega\theta\alpha$, is alone used instead of this pres. tense.

There is nothing left of the pres. but the Homeric part. $\xi\theta\omega\nu$, accustomed.

- εἴδω, (I see,) an old verb, of which there remains nothing in this tense but εἶδον, ἰδέσθαι, &c. as aor. of the verb ὁράω (which see). But in the Epics there is also εἴδω in the same sense, (which as pres. of the indic. occurs only in later Poets,) the pass. form εἴδομαι, εἰσάμην, (ἐεισάμην, ἐεισάμενος,) for to be seen, and to seem, Lat. videri. See about the signif. of this verb, § 113. Obs. 10. and about the special forms used in the sense of knowing, (σίδα, ἥδειν, εἴσομαι, &c.) § 109. III.
- εἴκω, perf. ἔοικα, (I resemble, appear,) used as a pres. part. ἐοικὰς,
 Attic εἰκὰς, especially in the neuter εἰκὸς, see § 109. III.
 5. Note (Ion. οἶκα, οἰκὰς, οἰκὸς,) plusq. ἐψκειν, (§ 84. Obs. 9.)
 fut. εἴξω. (The verb I give way is altogether regular.)
 Like εἰκὰς, εἶκα and εἰκέναι occur now and then in Attic
 Poets for the sake of the metre.

The pres. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ik ω occurs nowhere, and the imperf. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ik ϵ (for $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ψ k $\epsilon \iota$) merely Il. σ . 520. The Epic forms $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ik $\tau \sigma \nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}$ tk $\tau \eta \nu$, and $\tilde{\eta}$ ik $\tau \sigma$, $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ik $\tau \sigma$, have been more properly ranked (in the Note just mentioned, and § 110, 9.) along with the Attic $\tilde{\epsilon}$ oi $\gamma \mu \epsilon \nu$, (Soph. Eurip.) among the syncopated forms of the perf. and plusq. See the same Note about the remarkable form $\tilde{\epsilon}$ i $\xi \alpha \sigma \iota$ instead of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ oik $\alpha \sigma \iota$, which occurs in the Attic prose writers.

εἴλω, (I crowd, press together,) more commonly εἰλέω or είλέω, fut. ήσω, &c. aor. 1. infin. ἔλσαι, ἐέλσαι, part. ἔλσας, perf. pass. ἔελμαι, aor. pass. ἐάλην, infin. ἀλῆναι or ἀλήμεναι, part. ἀλείς (which forms are also fluctuating in our editions between the spiritus asper and the spiritus lenis).

Compare $\ell\sigma\tau\acute{a}\lambda\eta\nu$, $\sigma\tau a\lambda \widetilde{\eta}\nu a\iota$, from $\sigma\tau \acute{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$: from the same root $E\Lambda\Lambda\Omega$, in the sense of pushing, pressing, comes also $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda a\acute{\nu}\nu\omega$, (see below,) in the particular signif, of which to beat, strike, the aor. $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\sigma a\iota$ (ex. gr. Od. ϵ . 132.) occurs in Homer. Hither belongs also, according to § 112, 9. the plusq. $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\tau\sigma$, was crowded, pressed, Apoll. 3, 471. See Buttm. Lexilogus, II. 88. and 76, 7.

εἴμαρται, see MEIPOMAI. | εἰμὶ and εῖμι, see § 108. IV. V.

εἰπεῖν, (to say,) an aor. 2. indic. εἶπον, (Epic ἔειπον,) imper. εἰπὲ, (comp. πρόειπε, see Obs. I. 4. 1. to § 103.) more usual than the rather Ionic aor. 1. εἶπα, (§ 96. Obs. 1.) imper. ῗπον, (erroneously εἰπὸν, see Exc. I. ad Plat. Menon). The Attics, however, use εἶπας as well as εἶπες, and preferably εἴιπατε, εἰπάτω, &c.²³

With this aor. are intimately connected the fut. $\xi\rho\tilde{\omega}$, $(Ion. \xi\rho\epsilon\omega)$, from $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\rho\omega$, which Poets use as the pres. tense—and of PEO the perf. $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\rho\eta\kappa\alpha$, (§ 83. Obs. 3.) perf. pass. $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\rho\eta\mu\alpha\iota$, aor. pass. $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\rho\tilde{\eta}\theta\eta\nu$ and $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\rho\tilde{\iota}\rho\theta\eta\nu$, $(un-Attic \epsilon\tilde{\iota}\rho\tilde{\eta}\theta\eta\nu, i\rho\epsilon\theta\eta\nu)$, $\rho\eta\theta\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$, fut. 3. as usual fut. pass. $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\rho\tilde{\eta}\sigma o\mu\alpha\iota^{24}$. Verbal adjective, $\rho\eta\tau\tilde{\iota}\rho\sigma$, $\rho\tau\tilde{\iota}\rho\sigma$.

Φημὶ is used as the pres. tense of this verb, (as we remarked above, § 109. I. 2.) on some occasions ἀγορεύειν, which properly signifies to speak in public, for instance, κακῶς ἀγορεύειν τινὰ, κακῶς εἶπον :—ἀγορεύειν is always used in most of the compounds, ex. gr. ἀπαγορεύω, I forbid, ἀπεῖπον, I forbade; in some λέγω, for instance, ἀντιλέγω, ἀντεῖπον.

The Poetical imper. $\xi\sigma\pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ comes from a collateral form with an inter-

calated σ. Compare λάσκω, ἐΐσκω, μίσγω.

The Poetical $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega$ or $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega$ is also very anomalous; it is identical with $\dot{\epsilon}i\pi\dot{\epsilon}i\nu$, of which $(\eta\nu\iota\sigma\pi\sigma\nu)$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\iota\sigma\pi\sigma\nu$ is to be considered as the aor., since we meet with no pres. indic. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\iota\sigma\pi\omega$, and the infin. is circumflexed, $(\dot{\epsilon}\nu\iota\sigma\pi\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$, Od. γ . 93.) fut. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\iota\sigma\pi\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$ or $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\iota}\psi\omega$. ²⁶

 ϵ' ιογω, (I exclude, shut out,) fut. ϵ' ιοξω, &c. ϵ' ιογυυμι, with the spiritus asper, I confine, shut up, fut. ϵ' ιοξω, &c.

The aucient and Epic language has for both signif. $\xi \rho \gamma \omega$, whence 3 pl. perf. $\dot{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$, and without the augment, $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$, they are shut up.

είρω, see εἰπεῖν and ἐρέσθαι. But in the sense of connecting in order, it is a particular verb, aor. 1. εῖρα, (Herod. 3, 87. ἐξείρας, exserens), perf. ἔερμαι, part. ἐερμένος, (Hom.) ἐρμένος (Herod. 4, 190).

²³ To assume the theme $E\Pi\Omega$, as is usually done, is needlessly adopting the anomaly, which the augment $\epsilon\iota$ continues through all the moods. A theme $EI\Pi\Omega$ agrees

perfectly well with a radical form EII—(whence $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\sigma\varsigma$).

25 Il. λ. 839. and elsewhere ἐνίσπω is conj. aor.

26 Just as διδάσκω and ἀλύσκω omit the σ in the fut., so does ἐνίσπω. This fut. is, therefore, no argument to class ἐνίπτω here, (though from its form it certainly might belong hither,) and as the pres. ἐνίπτων, and its kindred forms ἠνίπαπεν and ἐνίσσω, when they stand alone in Homer, never signify to say, but to scold, they must be removed from the radical form εἰπεῖν, and be stated separately below (see ἐνίπτω): though there is also a pres. ἐνίπτω from ἐνέπω used at least in Pind. Pyth. 4, 358. where we have ἐνίπτων for ἐνέπων. See a more detailed account of both verbs in Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 63, p. 279.

εἴωθα, see ἔθω.

ἐλαύνω, (I drive,) fut. ἐλάσω, (ἄ,) &c. perf. ἐλήλακα, pass. perf. ἐλήλαμαι, aor. ἠλάθην. Verbal adjective ἐλατὸς (with later writers ἐλήλασμαι, ἠλάσθην, ἐλαστός).

The theme $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\acute{a}\omega$ seldom occurs in the pres. tense, but $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\~{a}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\~{a}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\~{a}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\~{a}$, &c. infin. $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\~{a}\nu$, is in prose the Attic fut. (§ 95. Obs. 12.) See also $\epsilon\~{i}\lambda\omega$, $\epsilon\~{i}\lambda\sigma a\iota$, and about $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\lambda\acute{a}\delta a\tau o$, see p. 176. the Note, and about $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\lambda\acute{a}\mu\epsilon\nu o$, (proparox. ex. gr. Arat. 176.) § 111. Obs. 2.

ΕΛΕΥΘ-, ΕΛΘ, see ἔρχομαι.

ἕλκω, (I drag,) augment ει, § 84, 2. fut. ἕλξω and έλκὕσω, aor. εῖλξα and εἴλκῦσα, pass. merely εἴλκυσμαι, είλκύσθην, from $\text{E}\Lambda\text{K}\Upsilon\Omega$.—MED.

έλπω, (I give hopes, I cause to hope,) ἔλπομαι, (I expect, I hope,) perf. ἔολπα the same with ἔλπομαι, plusq. as imperf. ἐώλπειν (§ 84. Obs. 6 and 9).

ΈΛ-, see εἴλω. ΈΛ-, see αἰρέω.

ENEΓΚ—, ENEΙΚ, &c. see ϕ έρω. | ἐνέπω, see εἰπεῖν.

ἐνήνοθα, an old perf. which presupposes a theme ΕΝΕΘΩ, ΕΝΘΩ: ἐπενήνοθε, κατενήνοθε, (is, sits, lies on something,) Hom. See § 97. Obs. 2, and compare above ἀνήνοθα.

ἐνθεῖν, ἦνθον, see ἔρχομαι.

ἐνίπτω, (*I soold ²⁷*,) has two forms for the *aor*. in Homer, either ἐνένιπτον, more correctly ἐνένῖπον, (see Buttm. *Lexilogus*, I. 63, p. 282, and compare above § 85. *Obs.* 2.) or according to § 85. *Obs.* 3, with the *redupl*. at the end (3 *pers.*) ἡνίπαπεν.

ἐνίσπω, ἐννέπω, see είπεῖν.

ἕννυμι, see § 108. III.

ἐόλητο, see εἴλω.

ἐπαυρεῖν, ἐπαυρίσκομαι, &c. see AYP.

'EII—, see $\epsilon i\pi\epsilon \tilde{\imath}\nu$.

έπίσταμαι, (I know, understand,) 2 pers. ἐπίστασαι, poetically ἐπίστα or ἐπίστη, (see the Note to Soph. Philoct. 798.) imperf. ἠπιστάμην, (so far it goes after Ἱσταμαι, conj. and opt. see § 107. Obs. III. 5.) fut. ἐπιστήσομαι, aor. ἠπιστήθην. Verbal adj. ἐπιστητός.

επω (I am busy, or occupied, about something, see Schneider's Gr. Dict.)

This old verb, of which some compounds (especially $\delta(i\pi\omega)$ have been retained in prose, has the augment $\epsilon\iota$, ($\delta\iota\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\pi\sigma\nu$,) and an aor. $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\sigma\nu$, $\sigma\pi\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$, $\sigma\pi\dot{\omega}\nu$ ($\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\sigma\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\sigma\pi\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, $\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\sigma\pi\dot{\omega}\nu$, but all rather poetical). To avoid mistakes, compare $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ and $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega$ in $\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$.

ἕπομαι, (I follow, attend,) εἰπόμην, εἰψομαι. This middle voice, which is much used, has an aor. corresponding to that of the act. επω, excepting that it has

 $^{^{27}}$ See, about the difference of the forms $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega$ and the fut. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\psi\omega$, the preceding Note.

the spiritus asper in the indic.: ἐσπόμην, σπέσθαι, σποῦ, (σπέο, σπεῖο, Hom.) which latter forms occur chiefly in the compounds, ἐπίσπου, &c.

The old poets have the $\dot{\epsilon}$ also in the other moods of the aor. $\ddot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\omega\mu\alpha\iota$, $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha\iota$, $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha\iota$. But the pres. $\ddot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$, Od. δ . 826, is a false reading for $\ddot{\epsilon}\rho\chi\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$: see especially $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\phi\theta\eta$ above.

ἐράω, (I love,) poetically ἔραμαι, (after ἵσταμαι,) takes its tenses only from the pass. form, aor. ἠοάσθην (poetically ἠοᾶσάμην). The pres. tense ἐρῶμαι, ἐρᾶσθαι, ἐρώμενος, is a real pass.

Another regular ἐράω is used merely in compounds, ἐξερᾶσαι, to pour out ; κατερᾶσαι, &c.

EPΓΩ and $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\delta\omega$, see $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\zeta\omega$:— $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\omega$, see also in $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\rho\gamma\omega$.

έρείκω, to tear to pieces, in the transitive sense, but in the aor. 2. ηρικον it is intrans. (§ 113, 2.)

ἐρείπω, (I throw down, overthrow,) has this causative (§ 113, 2.) signif. in the fut., aor. 1. &c.; ἐρέριπτο, plusq. pass. Epic, instead of ἐρήριπτο, § 85. Obs. 1. but the aor. 2. and perf. 2. ἤριπον, ἐρήριπα, have the immediative signif. to fall down—Epic med. ἀνηρειψάμην, he carried off on high, carried away.

έρέω, see είπεῖν and ἐρέσθαι.

ἐρίζω, (I strive, contend,) is regular, perf. pass. ἐρήρισμαι with a strengthened signif. of the pres.

There is another form $i\rho\iota\bar{c}\alpha i\nu\omega$, and (according to § 112, 13.) $i\rho\iota\bar{c}\dot{\eta}\sigma\alpha\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, Il. ψ . 792, where the ι is long for the sake of the metre.

ξοέσθαι, (to ask,) inf. of ἠοόμην, c. ἔρωμαι, imper. ἐροῦ, an aorist. Fut. ἐρήσομαι.

The Ionians in their prose have also a pres. tense, εἴρομαι, but use the imperf. εἰρόμην, with εἴρεσθαι, thus accented, and this is likewise aor. in the other moods, fut. εἰρήσομαι. The Epics have also ἔρεσθαι as pres. tense with the signif. of εἴρομαι, and further the form ἐρέω in both the act. and med., which must be carefully distinguished from the fut. ἐρέω (see εἰπεῖν): conj. ἑρείομεν, Epic for ἐρέωμεν. Whatever is wanting is supplied in prose by ἑροπάω.

ἔρρω, (I go away,) ἐρρήσω, ἔρρησα, § 112, 2.

The Homeric $\mathring{a}\pi \acute{o} \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon$ is derived from this verb in its causative sense, to sweep away. See Buttm. Lexil. II. 92.

 ξ ρυθαίνω, (I redden, make red.) fut. ξ ρυθήσω, &c. (§ 112, 13.) Homer has also the radical form ξ ρεύθω, ξ ρεύσω, &c.

έρῦκω, (I restrain, keep off.) αστ. ἠρύκακον, infin. ἐρυκακέειν, see § 85. Obs. 3. ἐρύω, οτ εἰρύω, ὕ, (I draw, drag, pull.) is regular through the whole conjugation,

²⁸ On comparing the forms $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\sigma\nu$, $\sigma\pi\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, and $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\chi\nu$, $\sigma\chi\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\iota}\nu$, (from $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega$,) with $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\epsilon$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\epsilon\tau o$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\tau \dot{\epsilon}\mu\eta\nu$, $\pi\tau \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\beta\alpha\iota$, &c. (see § 110, 4.) it becomes obvious that the former have been produced by the same syncope. The spiritus asper in $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\omega$ and EXΩ ($\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\omega$) was changed into an σ , which immediately took its station before the following consonant, thus— $\tilde{\epsilon}$ - $\sigma\chi o\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}$ - $\sigma\pi o\nu$. The aspirated ϵ in $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\pi \dot{\epsilon}\mu\eta\nu$ is an anomaly.

fut. again ἐρύω, med. ἐρύομαι, Il. λ. 454. See § 95. Obs. 12. Hesiod (E. 816.) has also the infin. εἰρύμεναι of a form in μι (short). The MED. ἐρύομαι takes in Epics the signif. to save, preserve; in this sense some editors write the v with one σ , ($\epsilon i \rho \bar{\nu} \sigma \alpha \tau o$,) when the syllable ought to be long, just as if the ν had been originally long; and in the sense to draw, they spell it with a double σ , $(\dot{\epsilon}\rho\dot{\nu}\sigma\sigma\alpha\tau_0)$ as if the v had been originally short. But as the v is also found short in the first sense, (ex. gr. Il. δ . 186. χ . 351.) and as the signif. frequently run one into the other, it is more correct to denote the lengthening of the vby two σ, without any distinction. The collateral form ρύεσθαι, which simply means to save, liberate, has, on the contrary, a long v in the Atties, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}\dot{v}\sigma\alpha\tau_0$, but with the Epics this too is short, ῥἴσάμην, Il. o. 29, and should therefore also be lengthened ἐρρύσσατο, ρύσσατο, which, however, is generally neglected. Lastly, there is a syncopated collateral form, (§ 110, 5.) ἔρυσθαι, εἴρυσθαι, and ρῦσθαι, commonly with long v, ἔρῦτο, (yet once ἔρῦτο in Hesiod, Θ. 304.) εἴρῦτο, εἰρύαται, ῥύατο, &c. almost exclusively in the sense of saving, watching over, (except Od. χ. 90. εἴρῦτο, dragged, pulled,) which must not be mistaken for the perf. and plusq. of the radical form εἴρτμαι, I have been dragged.—See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 18, with the Additions in vol. ii.

ἔρχομαι, (I go,) from ΕΛΕΥΘΩ, fut. ἐλεύσομαι, aor. ἤλυθον, commonly ῆλθον, (§ 110, 4.) ἐλθεῖν, imper. ἐλθὲ, (see Obs. I. 4, 1, to § 103.) &c. perf. ἐλήλυθα. Verbal adj. ἐλευστέον.

The perf. with the Epics is $\epsilon i\lambda \dot{\eta}\lambda ov\theta a$ —1 pers. pl. with the syncope $\epsilon i\lambda \dot{\eta}\lambda ov\theta \mu \epsilon \nu$.

The Doric is $\tilde{\eta}\nu\theta\sigma\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\theta\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\iota}\nu$, see § 16. Obs. 1. d.

It has already been stated above, § 108. V. that instead of the other moods of the pres. from $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\chi o\mu\alpha\iota$, which more seldom occur, especially in compounds, we meet much more frequently with those of $\epsilon l\mu\iota$, and that instead of $\dot{\eta}\rho\chi \dot{\rho}\mu\eta\nu$ we more generally find the imperf. $\ddot{\eta}\epsilon\iota\nu$ or $\ddot{\eta}a$, and the pres. $\epsilon l\mu\iota$ instead of the fut. $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma o\mu\alpha\iota$.

ἐσθημένος, (clothed,) Ion., ἠσθημένος Att., a defective part. perf.

ἐσθίω, (I eat.) from ἔδω, (Hom.) fut. ἔδομαι, (§ 95. Obs. 18.) perf. ἐδήδοκα, perf. pass. ἐδήδεσμαι, aor. pass. ἠδέσθην,—aor. act. ἔφαγον (from ΦΑΓΩ).—Verbal adj. ἐδεστός.

Part of the forms of $\xi\delta\omega$ come from the old formation, fut. $\xi\delta'\xi\sigma\omega$, &c. (§ 112. Obs. 3.) where the ε in the perf. act. was changed into σ , (compare § 97. Obs. 1, 2.) which in Homer remains also in the pass. $\xi\delta'\eta\delta\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$, $\xi\delta'\eta\delta\sigma\alpha\iota$. Homer has also the perf. $\xi\delta\eta\delta\alpha$, and the infin. pres. $\xi\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota$, (§ 110, 5.) for $\xi\delta\epsilon\iota\nu$, $\xi\delta'\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota$. Poets have a shorter form in the pres. $\xi\sigma\theta\omega$.

ἔσπετε, ἔσπον, ἐσπόμην, see εἰπεῖν and ἕπω. εὔαδε, see ἀνδάνω.

εύδω, καθεύδω, (I sleep,) fut. εύδήσω, καθευδήσω, augm. καθηῦδον, καθεῦδον, and ἐκάθευδον.

εύρίσκω, (I find,) from ΈΥΡΩ, aor. εὖρον, imperf. εὑρὲ, fut. εὑρήσω, &c. (§ 112, 10.) aor. pass. εὑρέθην, (§ 95. Obs. 4.) Verbal adj. εὑρετός.—Augm. § 84. Obs. 2.—MED.

Un-Attic writers form the aor. med. like the aor. 1. εὐράμην, instead of εὐρόμην (§ 96. Note to Obs. 1).

ἔχθω, (I hate,) only in the pres. tense, and poetical, whence a MED. (ἐχθάνομαι,) ἀπεχθάνομαι, (I incur hatred,) fut. ἀπεχθήσομαι, &c. aor. ἠχθόμην, ἀπηχθόμην ²⁹, perf. ἀπ- ἡχθημαι, I am hated. See § 112, 13.

ἔχω, (I have,) imperf. εῖχον, fut. ἔξω, (with the spiritus asper, see § 18. Obs. 4.)—aor. (as if it were from EXΩ,) ἔσχον, σχεῖν, conj. σχῶ, σχῆς, &c. (compounds παράσχω, παράσχης, opt. σχοίην, (see the Note to Obs. II. 3. to § 103.) imper. σχὲς, (§ 110, 6.) but in the compounds also πάρασχε, med. ἐσχόμην, imper. σχοῦ, (παράσχου,)—whence a new fut. σχήσω, perf. ἔσχηκα, &c.—aor. pass. ἐσχέθην.—Verbal adj. ἑκτὸς and σχετός.

The aor. $\sigma\chi\epsilon\tilde{\imath}\nu$ has produced a collateral form of the pres. $\tilde{\imath}\sigma\chi\omega$, which is preferred in some particular signif. (to hold, occupy, &c.) to which of course the fut. $\sigma\chi\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$ more properly belongs ³⁰. There is an old perf. of $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega$, $\tilde{\sigma}\chi\omega\kappa\alpha$ (Il. β . 218. $\sigma\nu\nu\sigma\chi\omega\kappa\dot{\sigma}\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ ³¹).

We must also notice the following anomalous compounds of ἔχω:

 $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$. This verb, when in the middle voice ($\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$) it merely signifies to endure, bear, has the double augm. in the imperf. and aor. $\dot{\eta}\nu\epsilon\iota\chi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\eta\nu$, $\dot{\eta}\nu\epsilon\sigma\chi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\eta\nu$ (§ 86. Obs. 6).

ἀμπέχω, (I wrap round, environ,) imperf. ἀμπεῖχον, fut. ἀμφέξω, αοτ. ἤμπισχον, ἀμπισχεῖν 32 , MED. ἀμπέχομαι οτ ἀμπισχνοῦμαι, (I clothe myself in, wear,) ἀμφέξομαι, αοτ. ἠμπισχόμην.

ύπισχνοῦμαι, (I promise,) Ion. ὑπίσχομαι, (Hom. Herod.) fut. ὑποσχήσομαι, αοτ. ὑπεσχόμην, imper. ὑπόσχου, perf. ὑπέσχημαι.

εψω, (I boil, cook,) fut. εψήσω, &c. (Herod. 1, 48. has the imperf. εψεε.) Verbal adj. εψητέος, εψητός, οτ εφθός.

7

 $\zeta \acute{a}\omega$, (*I live*.) has (according to § 105. *Obs.* 5.) $\zeta \~{\omega}$, $\zeta \~{\eta} \varsigma$, $\zeta \~{\eta}$, &c. infin. $\zeta \~{\eta} v$ —impert. $\xi \~{\eta} \omega$.

We also meet (as if from a form in $\mu \iota$) with the 1 pers. imperf. $\xi \zeta \eta \nu$, and

²⁹ The infin., notwithstanding its a oristical signif., is always found accented, $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, but there is no pres. tense $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\circ\mu\alpha\iota$ in the ancient writers. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.

³⁰ See about the origin of $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\chi\sigma\nu$, $\sigma\chi\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\iota}\nu$, from $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega$, the Note to $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\omega$. The ι in the pres. tense $\tilde{\iota}\sigma\chi\omega$ supplies the place of a redupl. as that in $\mu i\mu\nu\omega$, $\pi i\pi\tau\omega$, exactly like the ι in $\tilde{\iota}\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$, only that in $\tilde{\iota}\sigma\chi\omega$ the spiritus asper was converted into a lenis on account of the χ (which was done much more frequently in the old language).

on account of the χ (which was one inter more requency in the old anguage). 31 This is erroneously derived from $OXO\Omega$, and so is $o^n\chi\omega\kappa\alpha$ (see $o^n\chi\omega\mu\alpha\iota$) from $OIXO\Omega$. Its true derivation becomes obvious from the comparison with the subst. $ok\omega\chi\dot{\alpha}$. The simplest perf. of $f_{\chi}\omega$ is $o_{\chi}\alpha$, and with the augm. $o_{\chi}\alpha$; thus that of $OIX\Omega$ is $o_{\chi}\alpha$. Both were in the usual way with the Attic redupl. $o_{\chi}\alpha$, $o_{\chi}\alpha$

used, and this form was retained for the sake of distinctness.

32 This form is not $\eta \mu \pi \iota \iota \sigma \chi \circ \nu$, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \iota \iota \sigma \chi \circ \bar{\nu}$, but $\eta \mu \pi \iota \iota \sigma \chi \circ \nu$, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \iota \iota \sigma \chi \circ \bar{\nu}$. It should properly be $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \iota \dot{\sigma} \chi \circ \nu$, (like $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \iota \dot{\sigma} \chi \circ \nu$,) but in the aor. the augm. went over from the verb to the prep. $\eta \mu \pi \iota - \sigma \chi \circ \nu$.

imper. $\zeta\tilde{\eta}\theta\iota$, to which, however, the preceding forms were preferred. This verb is used by the classic Attic writers as a defective verb along with the more perfect verb $\beta\iota\delta\omega$. The tenses $\zeta\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$ or $\zeta\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$, $\xi\zeta\eta\sigma\alpha$, $\xi\zeta\eta\kappa\alpha$, occur but little or not at all in the old writers. The Ionians lengthened $\zeta\tilde{\omega}$ into $\zeta\dot{\omega}\omega$: this produced with them a new formation, $\zeta\dot{\omega}\omega$, $\zeta\dot{\omega}\epsilon\iota\varsigma$, $\zeta\dot{\omega}\epsilon\tau\varepsilon$, $\xi\zeta\omega\nu$. (§ 105. Obs. 10. Note.)

ζεύγνυμι, (I join,) fut. ζεύξω, &c. (§ 112, 14.) aor. 2. pass. ἐζύγην.

ζώννυμι, (I gird,) fut. ζώσω, &c. perf. pass. ἔζωσμαι (§ 112, 14). MED.

H.

 $\tilde{\eta}\mu a \iota$. see § 108. II. $\tilde{\eta}\mu i$, $\tilde{\eta}\nu$, see $\phi \eta \mu i$, § 109. I.

ἢμνω (I sink). The Homeric ὑπεμνήμυκε (Il. χ. 491.) is most correctly derived from this verb. It may be assumed that, whenever a verb began with a long vowel, this vowel was shortened by the redupl.: thus ἐμήμυκα. The metre required a doubling of the first μ, instead of which the poets used μν, as is done in other words; ex. gr. ἀπάλαμνος from παλάμη, νώνυμνος for νώνυμος. ἡπτάομαι, ἡσσάομαι, only in the pass. The Ionic writers have ἑσσοῦμαι, aor. ἑσσώθην.

θ.

ΘΑΝ-, see θνήσκω.

θάομαι (I admire, behold). This is the oldest principal theme, of which isolated forms have maintained themselves in Homer and the Doric writers, (θᾶσθε, θήσασθαι, Dor. θάσασθαι,) which has given rise to a double change: 1. (θαἐ-ομαι, Dor. θηέομαι, Ion. 2.) the usual θεάομαι, (fut. θεάσομαι, Ion. θεήσομαι) Herod. has the form ἐθηῆτο, (see § 105. Note to Obs. 16.) though commonly with the various reading ἐθηεῖτο. With respect to the signif. of this verb, Homer uses it only in the sense of admiring, and never has θεᾶσθαι: later writers use all its forms in the sense of beholding, contemplating. This verb must not be confounded with ΘΑΩ, (to nurse, suck,) which see below.

θάπτω, (I bury.) aor. 2. pass. ἐτάφην, (§ 18.) aor. 1. ἐθάφθην (Herod.), perf. pass. τέθαμμαι, τεθάφθαι.

 $\Theta A \Phi$ —perf. as pres. $\tau i \theta \eta \pi a$, (I am astonished,) where the second aspirata is changed, whilst in the aor. $\xi \tau a \phi o \nu$ the first is changed. (See § 18, 2.)

ΘAΩ, an Epic defective *verb*, of which there occurs the *aor*. 1. *act*. $θ\tilde{\eta}\sigma\alpha\iota$, (to nurse, suck,) and the med. $θ\tilde{\eta}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, (see § 105. Obs. 5 and 16.) $θ\tilde{\eta}\sigma\alpha\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, to suck, milk. See $θ\tilde{\alpha}o\mu\alpha\iota$.

θεάομαι, see θάομαι. θ έλω, see $\hat{\epsilon}$ θέλω.

θέρομαι, (I warm myself,) is a defective verb, of which nothing occurs in prose but the pres and imper.; but Homer has also the fut. θέρσομαι, and the conj. aor. pass. (ἐθέρην) θερέω.

θέσσασθαι, (to obtain by prayers,) θέσσαντο, &c. a defective aor.
Verbal adj. θεστὸς (πολύθεστος, &c.).

θέω, (I run,) fut. θεύσομαι οτ θευσοῦμαι (§ 95. Obs. 17.)—no other tense occurs; see τρέχω.

θηέομαι, see θάομαι: θῆσθαι, see ΘΑΩ. | ΘΗΠ—, see ΘΑΦ.

 $\theta_{i\gamma\gamma\dot{a}\nu\omega}$, (I touch,) formed of $\theta_{i\gamma\omega}^{33}$, fut. $\theta_{i\xi o\mu ai}$, aor. $\xi \theta_{i\gamma o\nu}$.

θνήσκω, (I die,) from ΘΑΝΩ, aor. ἔθανον, ἀπέθανον, fut. θανοῦμαι, ἀποθανοῦμαι, perf. τέθνηκα, (as if it were from ΘΝΑΩ, see § 110, 11.) whence the following shortened forms, (according to § 110, 10.) in common use, τέθναμεν, ατε, τεθνᾶσιν, ἐτέθνασαν, τεθνάναι, (τεθνᾶναι, see ibid. the Note to Obs. 6.) τεθναίην, τέθναθι, part. τεθνηκῶς, (Ion. τεθνηῶς,) commonly masc. and neut. τεθνεῶς, (Hom. τεθνειῶς,) gen. ῶτος, fem. τεθνεῶσα (see ibid. Obs. 6. c.).

There is an Attic collateral form of the fut. derived from $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \eta \kappa a$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \xi \omega$, or $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \xi o \mu a \iota$. Verbal adj. $\theta \nu \eta \tau \dot{\sigma} c$, mortal.

θορείν, see θρώσκω. ΘΡΕΦ—, see τρέφω. θράσσω, see ταράσσω. ΘΡΕΧ—, see τρέχω.

 θ_{ϱ} ύπτω, (I break in pieces,) aor. 2. pass. ἐτρύφην (§ 18, 1).

 θ ρώσκω, (I leap, skip,) made of ΘΟΡΩ, aor. ἔθορον, fut. θοροῦμαι, Ion. θορέομαι, see § 110, 11.

ΘΥΦ—, see $\tau \dot{\nu} \phi \omega$.

| $\theta \dot{\nu} \omega$, see § 18. Obs. 2, and § 95. Obs. 4.

I.

ίδρόω, see § 105. Obs. 6.

ἐδρύω, (I set, place,) has in Homer and in the later κοινοὶ the aor. 1. pass. ἰδρύνθην, as if it were from ἩΔΡΥΝΩ 34.

"ίζω, καθίζω, (I seat, sit down, Med. I sit myself down,) fut. καθιῶ, (for καθίσω, according to § 95, 9.) ΜΕD. καθιζήσομαι, αοτ. ἐκάθισα, compare ἔζομαι.

ίκνέομαι, (I come,) more commonly ἀφικνέομαι, fut. εξομαι, aor. εκόμην, perf. εγμαι, ἀφεγμαι, infin. ἀφεχθαι.

The Epics use in the pres. and imperf. the radical form " $\kappa\omega$ with the aor. 150ν (§ 96, 9). This pres. " $\kappa\omega$ has a long ι , hence in the Epic all the forms belonging to the act., which are merely the pres. and imperf., always occur as long only. The aor. 2. $i\kappa\dot{\phi}\mu\eta\nu$ properly has a short ι , which is long in the indic. simply through the augment; hence in the Epics, who may neglect the augment, it is sometimes short and sometimes long, but in the collateral moods $(i\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\iota\iota,\ i\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\mu\eta\nu,\ \&c.)$ it is constantly short. The derivative form $i\kappa\dot{\alpha}\nu\omega$ has a short ι in the pres. tense. See about $\dot{\alpha}\pi(\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\iota)$ the Obs. IV. 3. to § 103.

ϊλάσκομαι, (I conciliate, propitiate,) fut. ἱλάσομαι, (ἄ,) from the more uncommon ਜλάμαι, for which Homer also has ἱλάομαι. The act. had the intrans. signif. to be propitious, whence

³³ The forms $\theta i \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\theta i \gamma \omega \nu$, which occur, ought probably to be accented like the acr.

 $^{^{34}}$ Compare § 112, 12, and $\mathring{a}\mu\pi\nu\mathring{v}\nu\theta\eta$ under $\pi\nu\acute{\epsilon}\omega$, and the Epic superl. $\mathring{l}\theta\mathring{v}\nu\tau\alpha\tau\alpha$ from $\mathring{l}\theta\mathring{v}_{\mathcal{G}}$, § 115. Obs. 6.

poets had the *imper*. ἵληθι and ἵλἄθι, *conj*. and *opt*. *perf*. (as a *pres*. tense,) ἱλήκω, ἱλήκοιμι.

ἵπταμαι, see πέτομαι.

ἴσημι, see § 109. III.

Κ.

ΚΑΔ—, 1.) κέκασμαι, κέκαθμαι, see καίνυμαι. 2.) κεκαδεῖν, fut. ήσειν, &c. see κήδω and χ άζω.

καθέζομαι, καθεύδω, κάθημαι, καθίζω, see έζομαι, εύδω, ήμαι, ίζω.

καίνυμαι (I am of distinction, excel). To this verb belongs the perf. κέκασμαι, Dor. κέκαδμαι 35, which has the same signif.

καίω, (I burn, set fire to,) is transitive, Attic κάω, (ā and without contr.) fut. καύσω, &c. (§ 95. Obs. 9.) pass. aor. 1. ἐκαύθην, and aor. 2. ἐκάην (ӑ). Verbal adj. καυστέος, καυστός, καυτός.

The Epics have an aor. 1. without the σ , $\xi \kappa \eta a$ (§ 96. Obs. 1); whence arises, through shortening the η into ε , the part. $\kappa \acute{e}a\varsigma$ in Attic poets (Æsch. Agam. 858. Eurip. Rhes. 97). With the Epics this ε is again changed into ε , (compare $\sigma \tau \epsilon \acute{\iota}\omega$, & ε . § 107. Obs. 4.) imper. $\kappa \epsilon \acute{\iota}o\nu$, med. $\kappa \epsilon \acute{\iota}a\nu \tau \sigma$, and the conj. $\kappa \epsilon \acute{\iota}o\mu \epsilon \nu$, (for $\kappa \acute{\eta}\omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, see Obs. V. 15, to § 103.) which is in the II. η . 333. instead of the fut. (see § 139. Obs. 1. I. 4.) The pres. tense $\kappa \acute{\eta}\omega$, $\kappa \epsilon \acute{\iota}\omega$, and infin. $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \epsilon \acute{\iota} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \nu$, (II. η . 403.) are doubtful.

- καλέω, (I call,) fut. καλέσω, Attic καλῶ, ἐκάλεσα, κέκληκα, ἐκλήθην, &c. (§ 110, 11.) perf. pass. κέκλημαι, (I am called, numed,) opt. κεκλήμην, κέκληο, &c. § 98. Obs. 9.—ΜΕD.
- κάμνω, (I grow weary.) from KAMΩ, (§ 112, 12.) aor. ἔκαμον, fut. καμοῦμαι, perf. κέκμηκα, (as if it were of KMAΩ, § 110, 11.) Epic part. κεκμηὼς, gen. ότος and ῶτος.

κανάξαις, see ἄγνυμι. κεῖμαι, see § 109. II.

- κέλομαι, (I exhort, command,) fut. κελήσομαι, &c. αοτ. ἐκεκλόμην, (§ 110, 4, 6). As for ἐκλέο, see κλέω.
- κευτέω, (I prick, sting.) is regular, but Homer has Il. ψ. 337. the infin. aor. 1. κένσαι from the radical form ΚΕΝΤΩ (whence κουτὸς, a long pole).
- κεράννυμι, (I mix,) οτ κιονάω, ημι, old; κεράω, (§ 112, 14, 15.) fut. κεράσω, αοτ. ἐκέρασα: there is a syncope, or rather a metathesis ³⁶, with a long a in the perf. κέκρακα, pass. κέκραμαι, ἐκράθην, Ion. κέκρημαι. But κεκέρασμαι, ἐκεράσθην, were also used.

³⁵ The ε not being omitted, but rather coalesced with the a, whence it became η , but \tilde{a} with the Attics (as usual after ϱ). Compare § 110, 11.

³⁵ The signif. of these forms, and the analogy of ράινω, ράσσατε, ἐρράδαται, show that they belong together.

Homer has the aor. 1. act. κρῆσαι, Od. η. 164. Observe also the accent on the Homeric conj. κέρωνται (Il. δ. 260 37).

- κερδαίνω, (I gain, earn,) is regular in the Attics (aor. κερδαναι); with the Ionians and several later writers κερδήσομαι, εκέρδησα, &c. perf. κεκέρδακα, (§ 101. Obs. 8. Note,) and -ηκα. κέω, see κείμαι and καίω.
- κήδω, (I afflict, grieve,) κήδομαι and κέκηδα, (I take care, care for,) whence the Homeric fut. κεκαδήσομαι, (Il. θ. 353.) shortened, (as τέθηλα, τεθαλυΐα,) imper. aor. med. κήδεσα for -ησαι.
- κιχάνω and κιχάνομαι, (I reach, attain,) κιχήσομαι, ἐκιχησάμην, aor. 2. ἔκιχον, as if of KIXΩ. It has a collateral form of the imperf. and the dependent moods of the pres. from KIXHMI, which, in most cases, keeps the η unchanged: ἐκίχημεν, ἐκιχήτην,—κιχῆναι, (κιχῶ,) κιχείω, κιχείην, κιχείς, κιχήμενος, &c. fut. κιχήσομαι, aor. 1. ἐκιχησάμην, aor. 2. ἔκιχον, κιχὼν (as if from KIXΩ).—See about the quantity, § 112. Obs. 8.

κίχρημι, see χράω.

- κ ίω, (I go.) occurs little in the *indic. pres.*, but we meet so much the more frequently in poets with the *imperf.* $\tilde{\epsilon}$ κιον, and the dependent *moods, ex. gr.* κίοιμι, part. κιών, which has the accent on the final syllable without being an aor., exactly like $i\dot{\omega}\nu$ of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ίμι, of which verb in general these forms are to be considered as collateral ($I\Omega$, KIΩ).
- κλάζω, (I clang, shout,) fut. κλάγξω, &c. (§ 92. Obs. 1.) perf. κέκλαγγα, identical with the pres. (§ 113. Obs. 14.) whence fut. κεκλάγξομαι. Poets have also without the nasal sound aor. ἔκλαγον, perf. κέκληγα, part. κεκλήγοντες. See § 111, 2. See ἔκλαξα in κλείω.
- κλαίω, (I weep,) Attic κλάω (ā and without contr.), fut. κλαύσομαι οτ κλαυσούμαι, αοτ. ἔκλαυσα (§ 95. Obs. 9). The fut. κλαιήσω οτ κλαήσω is more uncommon. Verbal adj. κλαυστέος, κλαυστός, κλαυτός.—ΜΕD.
- κλάω, (I break,) κλάσω, (ἄ,) &c. the pass takes the σ , part. aor. 2. Poet. κλάς, (ἀποκλάς,) § 110, 6.
- κλείω, (I close, shut,) is regular: perf. pass. κέκλειμαι and κέκλεισμαι.

There is an Ionic collateral form $\kappa\lambda\eta\dot{\tau}\omega$, $\kappa\lambda\eta\dot{\tau}\sigma\omega$, Att. $\kappa\lambda\dot{\eta}\omega$, whence $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\lambda\eta\mu\alpha\iota$. The Doric $\kappa\lambda\dot{\alpha}\xi\omega$, (properly $\kappa\lambda\dot{\alpha}\xi\omega$,) $\ddot{\epsilon}\kappa\lambda\alpha\xi\alpha$, comes from the fut. $\kappa\lambda\eta\dot{\tau}\sigma\omega$.

³⁷ This points to a form κέραμαι, after the analogy of § 107. Obs. III. 5. Compare κρεμάννυμι, κρέμαμαι, conj. κρέμωμαι.

- κλέω, κλείω, (I celebrate, sing,) κλέομαι, (I am celebrated,) ἐκλέο, 2 imperf. for ἐκλέεο (§ 105. Obs. 7).—But κέκληκα belongs to καλέω, and κέκλετο to κέλομαι.
- κλύω, (I hear,) a poetic rerb, whose imperf. ἔκλυον has the signif. of the aor. (§ 96. Obs. 3.) imper. κλύε, κλύετε, and κλῦθι, κλῦτε, (§ 110. 6.) or with the redupl. (§ 83. Obs. 7.) κέκλὔθι, κέκλὔτε, part. pass. κλύμενος, (renowned,) § 110. 8.

KMA—, see κάμνω. | κνάω, see § 105. Obs. 5.

κορέννυμι, (I satiate,) fut. κορέσω, &c. (§ 112, 14.) perf. pass. κεκόρεσμαι, Ion. κεκόρημαι, Epic part. κεκορηώς, (§ 97. Obs. 7.) with the signif. of the pass.— κορέω, έεις, is the Ion. fut.

This verb must not be confounded with κορέω, ήσω, I sweep.

κράζω, commonly κέκραγα, (I bawl out.) see § 113. Obs. 14. κέκραγμεν, κέκραχθι, &c. (§ 110, 9.) fut. κεκράζομαι, aor. ἔκραγον.

κραίνω, (I fulfil, accomplish,) admits in the Epics a lengthening in all its parts, ex. gr. ἐκραίαινεν, κρηῆναι, (aor. 1.) κεκράανται.

KPA-, see κεράννυμι.

κρεμάννυμι, (I hang up, suspend,) pass. κρεμάννυμαι, (I am suspended, hung from,) and as med. (I hang myself) κρέμαμαι, (after ισταμαι,) I hang, intrans. and its conj. κρέμωμαι, opt. κρεμαίμην, and also κρεμοίμην³⁸, fut. act. κρεμάσω, (α,) Att. κρεμώ, ας, α, &c. The aor. pass. ἐκρεμάσθην is common to the pass. and middle voice, and intrans., but the fut. pass. κρεμασθήσομαι belongs merely to κρεμάννυμαι: there is a particular fut. intrans. κρεμήσομαι (I shall hang loose, wave to and fro).

This repartition of forms and significations is, on the whole, confirmed by the Attic writers; but it must not be expected that authors never deviated from this analogy³⁹. K $\rho\epsilon\mu\dot{\alpha}\omega$ as a pres. tense occurs only in later writers.— $K\rho\dot{\eta}\mu\nu\eta\mu\iota$ is an Attic collateral form in the pres. and imperf.

κρύπτω, κρύπτασκον, see Obs. II. 1. to § 103.

κτάομαι, (I acquire,) perf. as pres. κέκτημαι, (I possess,) and έκτημαι, (§ 83. Obs. 1.) conj. and opt. see § 98. Obs. 9; and about the opt. κεκτώμην, see Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. § 98. Obs. 17.

κτείνω, (I kill, slay,) fut. κτενώ, see § 101. Good writers use merely ἔκτονα as perf.

Homer has a fut. κτανέω, (see Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.) and its medium as pass. Il. ξ . 481. κατακτανέεσθε.

³⁸ Aristoph. Vesp. 298. κρέμοισθε, see § 107. Obs. III. 5. and compare μαονοίμην. ³⁹ In German the same happens with hangen and hängen, erhängte and erhing; and in English with hung and hanged.

There is also a poetical aor. ἔκταν, ας, α, 3 pers. pl. ἔκταν, (for -ασαν,) conj. κτέω, (for κτῶ, see § 107. Obs. IV. 8.) infin. κτάμεν, κτάμεναι, (for κτάναι,) part. κτὰς, pass. ἐκτάμην, κτάμενος, κτάσθαι. See about all these forms, § 110, 6, 7. Homer has also the aor. pass. ⁴⁰ ἐκτάθην, and ἐκτάνθην (§ 101, Obs. 6).

Independently of the very un-Attic *perf.* ἔκτακα and ἔκταγκα, there is another form ἐκτόνηκα, (§ 112, 9) of which the Atticism is doubtful.

κτίμενος, see § 110, 7. | κτυπέω, § 96. Obs. 5.

κυνέω, (I kiss, from ΚΥΩ,) κύσω, έκυσα (υ).

The compound $\pi \rho o \sigma \kappa v \nu' \epsilon \omega$ (I prostrate myself, worship,) is commonly regular; but in the Poets we also meet with $\pi \rho o \sigma \kappa \dot{v} \sigma a \iota$, &c. See particularly another $\kappa \dot{v} \omega$.

- κυρέω, (I light on, meet with,) is regular, but has a collateral form κύρω. Deponens, κύρομαι, imperf. ἔκυρον, fut. κύρσω, aor. ἔκυρσα.
- κύω or κυέω (to be pregnant, to conceive). Κυΐσκω or -ομαι, I impregnate, is regular in the second form κυέω, but the Poets have also an aor. 1. med. ἐκῦσάμην. Compare κυνέω.

Λ .

λαγχάνω, (I obtain by lot or fate,) from ΛΗΧΩ, (§ 112, 13.) fut. λήξομαι, aor. ἔλαχον, perf. εἴληχα, (§ 83. Obs. 3.) or λέλογχα (as if from ΛΕΓΧΩ).

The Ionians said in the fut. $\lambda \acute{a} \xi o \mu a \iota$ (see § 27. Obs. 6). The Homeric aor. $\lambda \epsilon \lambda a \chi \epsilon \check{\iota} \nu$ has the causative signif. to impart.

ΛΑΚ-, see λάσκω.

λαμβάνω, (I take,) from ΛΗΒΩ, (§ 112, 13.) fut. λήψομαι, aor. ἔλαβον, imper. λάβε and λαβε, (Obs. I. 4. to § 103.) perf. εἴληφα (§ 83. Obs. 3).—ΜΕD.

The Ionians have $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \acute{a} \beta \eta \kappa \alpha$, (§ 111, 3.) and (from AAMBQ) $\lambda \acute{a} \mu \psi o \mu \alpha \iota$, $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \acute{a} \mu \phi \theta \eta \nu$, $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \lambda a \mu \mu \alpha \iota$, $\lambda a \mu \pi \tau \acute{\epsilon} \upsilon \varsigma$.

λανθάνω, more rarely λήθω, (I am hidden,) λήσω, ἔλαθον, λέληθα.
— Med. λανθάνομαι, more rarely λήθομαι, (I forget,) λήσομαι, ἐλαθόμην, λέλησμαι.

Homer has in the aor. $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\alpha\theta\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$, $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\alpha\theta\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, but the former merely as a causative of the middle voice, to cause to forget, make one forget, in which sense he uses the pers. $\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\omega$. The Ionic dialect has in the perf. pass. $\check{\alpha}$, $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$ (§ 27. Obs. 6).

⁴⁰ This tense in common language was not used from this rerb; they had, instead of it, $\tilde{\epsilon}\theta a \nu o \nu$, $\tilde{\alpha}\pi \dot{\epsilon}\theta a \nu o \nu$, in a pass. sense ($\tilde{\alpha}\pi \dot{\epsilon}\theta a \nu e \nu \dot{\nu}\pi' a \dot{\nu}\tau o \tilde{\nu}$).

⁴¹ The usual reading κυσσαμένη rests barely on the seeming relation to ἔκὕσα from κυνέω.

λάσκω, (I emit a sound, speak, Ion. ληκέω, Dor. λακέω,) from ΛΑΚΩ; aor. 2. ἔλἄκον, and as med. λελακόμην, (Hymn. Merc. 145.) whence (according to § 111, 3.) fut. λἄκήσομαι, aor. 1. ἐλἄκησα, ¹² perf. (as pres., see § 113. Obs. 14.) λέλακα, Ion. λέληκα: as an Epic shortening, λελἄκνῖα.

 $\lambda \acute{a}\omega$, see $\lambda \widetilde{\omega}$. $\Lambda E\Gamma X$ —, see $\lambda a \gamma \chi \acute{a} \nu \omega$.

λέγω, to say, has no perf. act. whatever, and the perf. pass. is λέλεγμαι, ἐλέχθην. But in the sense of to collect, in which it has some compounds, the perf. act. is εἴλοχα, (συνείλοχα,) and the perf. pass. most commonly is εἴλεγμαι, (§ 83, Obs. 3.) aor. ἐλέγην (ex. gr. κατελέγησαν).—ΜΕD. διαλέγομαι (I converse) has διείλεγμαι, but in the aor. διελέχθην.

Homer has likewise the syncopated aor. Eléq $\mu\eta\nu$, Od. ι . 335. joined Nekto, Od. δ . 451. counted.

The old poetical $\lambda \xi \xi a \iota$, (to lay down, put to sleep.) $\lambda \xi \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota$, (to lie down, rest,) has along with this form the syncopated aor. (§ 110, 8.) $\xi \lambda \xi \gamma \mu \eta \nu$, $\lambda \xi \kappa \tau o$, imper. $\lambda \xi \xi o$, and according to § 96. Obs. 9. $\lambda \xi \xi c o$. But that this rerb is a quite different radical form, has been shown in Buttm. Lexilogus, II. 78. 9, 10.

λελειχμότες, (moving the tongue, licking, lapping,) a defective part. perf. in Hesiod. See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 1. p. 7. Note.

λελίημαι, (I am bent on, hasten, strive,) an Epic perf., which seems to belong to ΛΙΑΩ, but squares with none of the signif. of this radical form. Hence the explanation is very probable that it stands for λελιλημένος for the sake of euphony, omitting the last λ, from λιλάω, λιλαίομαι, (I desire ardently, strive for 43.) See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 21.

ΛΗΒ-, see λαμβάνω.

λήθω, see λανθάνω.

ληκέω, see λάσκω.

ΛΗΧ-, see λαγχάνω.

ΛΙΑ-, see λελίημαι.

λίσσομαι, seldom λίτομαι, (I implore, supplicate,) fut. λίσομαι, aor. ἐλισάμην and ἐλιτόμην.

λούω (I wash). In this verb the Attics have, instead of the pers. forms with the short connecting final vowel, shortened forms, ex. gr. 3 pers. imperf. ἔλου, pl. ἐλοῦμεν, pass. λοῦμαι, &c. λοῦσθαι.—ΜΕD.

The lengthened forms are a contraction of the old λοέω, (Hom. ἐλόευν,

 42 The α in these forms is short, ex gr. Aristoph. Pac. 382. The passage in Aristoph. Nub. 410. (διαλᾶκήσασα,) is a deviation.

Aristoph. Now. 410. (otalaka) and a teviation.

43 Such sacrifices of analogy to pronunciation are not uncommon in a language, which is just beginning to be polished. We have a similar instance in the poetical word $\tilde{k}\kappa\pi\alpha\gamma\lambda_0 c$, (dreadful,) which is admitted to be derived from $\tilde{k}\kappa\pi\lambda\alpha\gamma\hat{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$, not by a transposition, but with the termination $\lambda_0 c$, (§ 119, 13.) instead of $\tilde{k}\kappa\pi\lambda\alpha\gamma\lambda_0 c$.— Exactly in the same way we have $\pi\psi\epsilon\lambda_0 c$ for $\pi\lambda\psi\epsilon\lambda_0 c$, from $\pi\lambda\psi\omega$, $\pi\lambda\psi\nu\omega$.

λοέσσαι,) but the shortened ones did not originate in a syncope (λοῦμαι, like οΙμαι, according to § 110,5): the accentuation ἐλοῦμεν, ἐλοῦτο, (not ἔλουμεν, ἔλουτο, like ἔκειτο, ἔρντο,) and the infin. λοῦν, which also occurs, show that they are a contraction of the radical form ΛΟΩ, whence the Homeric αor. λόε.

λύω, see § 95. Obs. 4. and about λύτο § 110, 7. opt. perf. λέλῦτο, § 98. Obs. 9.

λω, (I wish, long for,) λης, λη, 3 pers. pl. λωντι, a Doric defective verb.

M.

μαίνομαι, (I am mad,) fut. μανοῦμαι, aor. ἐμάνην, perf. (with the same signif. as the pres. tense) μέμηνα. But the aor. act. ἔμηνα (Aristoph. Thesm. 561.) has the causative meaning, to make mad, in which sense the compound ἐκμαίνω is, however, more usual (§ 135, Obs. 1).

Theorr. (10, 31.) has $\mu \epsilon \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ (§ 111, 3), with the signif. of the prestense like $\mu \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \rho \mu \alpha \iota$.

μαίομαι, see MAΩ.

MAK-, see μηκάομαι.

μανθάνω, (1 learn,) from ΜΗΘΩ, aor. ἔμαθον, fut. μαθήσομαι, perf. μεμάθηκα (\S 112, 13. and 111, 3).

The fut. $\mu\alpha\theta\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\mu\alpha\iota$, see § 95. Obs. 16.

μαπέειν, see μάρπτω.

- μάρναμαι, (I fight, combat,) after ισταμαι, has merely a pres. and imperf., imper. μάρναο, (§ 107. Obs. IV. 4.) opt. μαρνοίμην (§ 107. Obs. III. 5).
- μάρπτω, (I catch, seize,) μάρψω, &c. part. perf. μεμαρπως, aor. 2. (ξμαρπον,) μέμαρπον, and abbreviated ξμαπον, μαπέειν, 3 pers. pl. opt. μεμάποιεν (for μάποιεν).
- μάχομαι, (I fight,) fut. μαχέσομαι, commonly μαχοῦμαι, (§ 95, Obs. 15, 16.) aor. ἐμαχεσάμην, perf. μεμάχημαι. Verbal adj. μαχετέος and μαχητέος.

The fut. produced the Ion. pres. tense μαχέομαι, and Homer has not only μαχειόμενος, but even μαχειόμενος, both as pres. tenses.

Epic Poets use, for the sake of the metre, the fut. $\mu\alpha\chi\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$, but the aor. $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\chi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\alpha\tau$ o. 44

MAΩ, an old verb, which occurs chiefly in three signif. and forms:

- Perf. as pres. (μέμαα,) μεμάασι, μεμαὼς, (gen. μεμαῶτος,) and with the syncope μέμαμεν, μέματε, 3 pers. pl. plusq. μέμασαν, (§ 110, 10.) to strive, desire ardently.
- 2.) Pres. med. μωμαι, (I long for, seek or search for,) μώμενος, contr. of μάομαι;

⁴⁴ Some critics write also $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\chi\dot{\eta}\sigma\alpha\tau$ o, &c. for the sake of uniformity, contrary to the text, which has been handed down to us.

but the ω prevailed: hence, for instance, infin. $\mu\tilde{\omega}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, and imper. $\mu\tilde{\omega}\epsilon$, (like $\mu\nu\tilde{\omega}\epsilon$ o from $\mu\nu\tilde{\alpha}0\mu\alpha\iota$, $\mu\nu\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$,) see § 105. Obs. 10. Note.

- 3.) Pres. med. μαίομα, (I stir myself, seek.) fut. and aor. med. μάσομαι, ἐμασάμην, especially in compounds: thus in Homer the imperf. ἐπεμαίετο, Od. ι. 441. corresponds exactly to the aor. ἐπιμασσάμενος, ibid. 446. Compare δαίω δάσασθαι, ναίω νάσασθαι.
- μεθύω, (I am intoxicated,) takes its tenses from the pass. (ἐμεθύσθην, &c.): the other act. tenses, except the imperf., belong to μεθύσκω, (I intoxicate, make drunk,) ἐμέθὕσα (§ 112. Obs. 6). Med. μεθύσκομαι (I get drunk).
- μείρομαι, (I obtain,) aor. ἔμμορον, perf. ἔμμορα 45 (§ 83. Obs. 2).

From the causative sense (§ 113, 2.) of the act. MEIP Ω , to apportion, allot, (whence $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \rho o c$, a part, portion,) comes the perf. pass. as an impersonal verb, and with the syllable $\epsilon \iota$ instead of the redupl. (§ 83. Obs. 3) $\epsilon \'{\iota} \mu a \rho \tau \alpha \iota$, it has been ordained by fate, part. $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \mu a \rho \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o c$. We also meet with $\mu \epsilon \mu \acute{o} \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ and $\mu \epsilon \mu o \rho \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o c$.

- μέλλω, (I intend, am about to do,) fut. μελλήσω, &c. See about the augment, \S 83. Obs. 5.
- μέλω, (I am concerned about, take to heart,) is, in its act. form, mostly used in the 3 pers. μέλει, μέλουσι, fut. μελήσει, &c.; pass. μέλομαι, (I have the care of,) more usually ἐπιμέλομαι, μελήσομαι, ἐμελήθην.

Poets employ the pass. indifferently for the act.; instead of $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ they have $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota$, and the perf. in the sense of the pres. act. $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon \nu$, and pass. $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \mu \beta \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota$, (Hom., Hesiod,) which came from $\mu \epsilon \mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \tau a \iota$, according to § 19. Obs. 1. and by shortening; compare $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \mu \nu \epsilon \sigma$ and $\acute{\epsilon} \rho \acute{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \mu a \iota$.

μένω, (I remain,) has in the perf. μεμένηκα, § 101. Obs. 9.— Verbal adj. μενετέος.

From another ΜΕΝΩ, (whence μένος,) which does not occur in the prestense, comes the Ionic and Poet. perf. μέμονα (I resolve, intend, compare μενεαίνω). This μέμονα is related to μέμαα, compare γέγονα, γέγαα ⁴⁶.

ΜΕΤΙΩ, μεμετιμένος, see § 108. Ι. 5.

μηκάομαι (I bleat).

The old poet forms of this verb are part. aor. μακὼν, perf. μέμηκα, whence the Homeric shortened μεμἄκυῖα, (§ 97. Obs. 3.) and of which, as it has the signif. of the pres., there is again an imperf. ἐμέμηκον (111, 2).

⁴⁵ We meet only with the 3 pers. ἔμμορε in the old Epic poets, and in most passages as a distinct perf., like κέκτηται, ex. gr. Od. ε. 335. Only in the Il. α. 278. it is a distinct aor.

⁴⁶ The Lyric passage in Eurip. *Iphig. Aul.* 1495, where $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \nu a$ is the *perf.* of the usual verb $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega$, cannot, as a solitary instance, be of any avail against the general usage, not only of the Attic poets, but even of Herod. in his prose, (6, 84.) and the two verbs must be carefully distinguished, though they may be supposed to be etymologically connected.

μιαίνω (*I pollute*). The aor. takes the η . The Homeric μιάνθην, Il. δ. 146. is explained as the 3 pl., for μ ιάνθησαν, μ ίανθεν: it is, more probably, the 3 dual of the syncopated aor. (sing. ἐμίαν-το,) dual ἐμιάν-σθην, ἐμιάνθην, § 110. Obs. 3.

μίγνυμι, and μίσγω, (I mix), fut. μίξω, &c. (§ 112, 14.)

μιμνήσκω, (I remind,) from MNAΩ, fut. μνήσω, &c.; pass. μιμνήσκομαι, (I remember, recollect, it occurs to me, I mention,) ἐμνήσθην, μνησθήσομαι, μνηστός. The perf. μέμνημαι becomes the pres. (I recollect, still remember,) conj. μέμνωμαι, η, ηται, &c. (see § 98. Obs. 9.) opt. μεμνήμην, Attic μεμνοίμην οτ μεμνόμην, μεμνώτο, a contr. of the Ionic μεμνεώμην, μεμνέωτο (II. ψ. 361 47). To this perf. belongs the fut. 3. μεμνήσομαι (I shall remember).

Abbreviations occur in Homer's μέμνη, (μέμνεαι,) for μέμνησαι, and in

Herod.'s imper. μέμνεο for μέμνησο. Compare above μέμβλεται.

The simple form, $(\mu\nu\acute{a}o\mu\alpha\iota_1)$ $\mu\nu\~{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$, is barely Ionic in the above sense, and $\mu\nu\epsilon\~{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu oc$, $\mu\nu\~{\omega}o\nu\tau o$, $\mu\nu\~{\omega}ev$, &c. (see § 105. Obs. 10. Note,) are Ionic lengthenings. But in the sense of to sue for in marriage, to woo, we also meet with $\mu\nu\~{\alpha}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ in the common language.

μολεΐν, see βλώσκω.

μῦκάομαι, (I roar, bellow,) from ΜΥΚΩ, must be noticed on account of its Epic forms ἔμῦκον, μέμῦκα. Compare μηκάομαι.

N.

- ναίω, (I dwell,) takes its tenses from the pass. and med. from NAΩ, with short a, fut. νάσομαι, aor. ἐνάσθην or ἐνασάμην, perf. (with later writers) νένασμαι. The act. ἔνασα (ἔνασσα) has the causative signif. to cause to inhabit, to settle.
- νάσσω, (I stuff,) νάξω, &c.—νένασμαι, ναστὸς (\S 92. Obs. 2).
- νέμω, (I distribute, apportion,) fut. νεμώ and νεμήσω, aor. ἔνειμα, perf. νενέμηκα, &c. aor. pass. ἐνεμήθην and ἐνεμέθην.— MED.
- νέω, 1.) I heap up, occurs chiefly in the pres. and imperf. only with the Ionic and Epic lengthenings νήω, νηέω, νηνέω, fut. νήσω, αστ. ἔνησα, Ιοπ. ἐνήησα, &c.
 - 2.) I spin, is regular, $\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, &c. The contractions o, ov in the pres. tense are not in ov, but, contrary to analogy, in ω : $\nu \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota$, $\nu \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \sigma \varsigma$, &c. The new pres. is $\nu \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$.
 - 3.) I swim, fut. νεύσομαι and νευσοῦμαι, (§ 95. Obs. 17.) ἔνευσα, &c.
 - 4.) The poet verb νέεσθαι (to go away, return,) has commonly the signif. of the fut. in the indic. pres. νέομαι, or νεῦμαι, 2 pers. νεῖαι (§ 105. Obs. 7).
- $\nu i \zeta \omega$, (I wash,) takes its tenses from the verb $\nu i \pi \tau \omega$, which is used in the pres. $\nu i \psi \omega$, &c.—MED.

⁴⁷ See about all these forms, Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. § 98. Obs. 15-17.

- νοέω in the Ionic dialect contracts the oη as in βοάω, ex. gr. νώσω, ἔνωσα, ἐνένωτο.
- νυστάζω, (I nod the head with drowsiness, I sleep,) νυστάσω and νυστάξω, &c. (§ 92. Obs. 1.)

0.

ὄζω, (I smell, viz. emit a smell,) fut. ὀζήσω, (Ion. ὀζέσω,) &c.: the perf. ὄδωδα has the power of the pres. tense.

οίγω or οίγνυμι (I open).

The Epics separate the diphthong in the augmented forms, ώτγνυντο, ὅτζε. The following compound is most used:

ἀνοίγω or ἀνοίγνυμι, (I open,) has the augment in the anomalous way stated in § 84. Obs. 8. imperf. ἀνέφγον, aor. ἀνέφξα, infin. ἀνοῖξαι, perf. 1. ἀνέφχα. The perf. 2. ἀνέφγα has the signif. of a neuter verb, I stand open. The forms ἤνοίξα, ἠνοίγην, belong to later writers.

οίδα, see § 100. III.

οἴομαι, (I am of opinion, mean,) imperf. ψόμην, the 1 pers. sing. is also οἷμαι, imperf. ψμην 48, fut. οἰήσομαι, aor. ψήθην, οἰηθῆναι.

The Epics make also use of the act. form, and resolve the diphthong: $\delta t\omega$, $\delta to\mu a\iota$, $(\bar{\iota}_i)$ whence $\dot{\omega}\ddot{\omega}\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$, $\dot{\omega}t\sigma\theta\eta\nu$, &c.

οἴχομαι, (I go away, am off,) οἰχήσομαι, perf. ῷχημαι or οἴχωκα (see the Note to ἔχω, ὅχωκα).

Homer has also $\mathring{\psi}\chi\eta\kappa a$, $\pi\alpha\rho\mathring{\psi}\chi\eta\kappa\alpha$, Il. $\kappa.$ 252.—See about the verb Buttm. Complete $\mathit{Gr}.$ $\mathit{Gr}.$

- 0I-, see οἴομαι and φέρω.
- δλισθάνω, commonly -aίνω, (I slide, slip,) δλισθήσω, ὤλισθον (§ 112, 13).
- ὅλλυμι, (I ruin, destroy,) from ΟΛΩ, fut. ὀλῶ, aor. ἄλεσα, perf. ὀλώλεκα.—ΜΕD. ὅλλυμαι, (I am undone, perish,) fut. ὀλοῦμαι, aor. ὧλέμην, inf. ὀλέσθαι, to which belongs perf. 2. ὅλωλα.

The poet. part. ὀλόμενος, οὐλόμενος, becomes an adj. with act. signif. ruinous, pernicious: the collateral Epic form ὀλέκω came from the perf.—Compare § 111, 2.

- ὄμνυμι, (I swear,) fut. ὀμοῦμαι, εῖ, εῖται, &c. ὀμεῖσθαι from ΟΜΩ, (§ 112, 14.) aor. ὤμοσα, perf. ὀμώμοκα, perf. pass. ὀμώμοσμαι, but the rest with the aor. more usually without σ, ὀμώμοται, ϣμόθην, as if from ΟΜΟΩ.—ΜΕD.
- ομόργνυμι, (I wipe off,) fut. ομόρξω, &c. § 112, 14.—ΜΕD.

⁴⁸ The old grammarians observe that the forms $\tilde{ol}\mu a\iota$, $\tilde{\phi}'\mu\eta\nu$, were used only of a firm conviction, which Attic urbanity thus stripped of the harshness of a strong affirmation.

ονίνημι 49, (I benefit, am of advantage to,) after ιστημι: it has no imperf. active (for which ωφέλουν is used); it makes from ONAΩ, ὀνήσω, ὤνησα.—ΜΕD. ὀνίναμαι, (I am benefited, derive advantage from,) aor. 2. ὧνήμην, (ησο, ητο,) or ὧνάμην, opt. ὀναίμην, infin. ὄνασθαι.

The indic. ωνάμην is used by later writers, and in Homer belongs to ὄνομαι. We meet with the aor. pass. ωνήθην in Xen. Anab. 5, 5, 2.

ὄνομαι, (I insult,) radical form ONOΩ, whence the pres. and imperf. after δίδομαι, the 2 pers. sing. ὄνοσαι, imper. ὄνοσο, fut. ὀνόσομαι, αστ. ὼνόσθην and ὼνοσάμην.

Homer has from the still simpler form ONΩ the 2 pers. pl. pres. οὔνεσθε, acr. ϣνατο.

ΟΠ-, see ὁράω.

δράω, (I see,) imperf. Ion. ὥρων, commonly ἑώρων, (§ 84. Obs. 8.)

perf. ἑώρāκα ⁵⁰, aor. εἶδον, C. ἴδω, O. ἴδοιμι, ἰδεῖν, ἰδὼν, Att.

ἰδὲ, &c. Med. εἰδόμην, ἰδέσθαι, ἰδοῦ, (and as an interjection

ἰδοὺ, lo!) see above εἴδω, fut. ὄψομαι, (I shall see,) from

ΟΠΤΩ.—Pass. perf. ἑώρāμαι, οτ ὧμμαι, ὧψαι, ὧπται, &c.

ὧφθαι, aor. ὤφθην, ὀφθῆναι (in later writers also ὁραθῆναι).

Verbal adj. ὁρατέος, ὁρατός, ὀπτός.

The perf. 2. $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega\pi\alpha$ (I have seen) belongs to the dialects and the poets.—See about Homer's $\ddot{\sigma}\rho\eta\alpha\iota$, § 105. Obs. 16, with the Note.

The antiquated $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i \dot{\phi} \psi \rho \mu a \iota$, aor. $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \iota \omega \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$, to select, must be carefully distinguished from $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \dot{\phi} \psi \rho \mu a \iota$.

ὄρνυμι, (I rouse, excite,) from OPΩ, fut. ὄρσω, aor. 1. ὧρσα (§ 101. Obs. 3).—Med. ὄρνυμαι, (I rise,) aor. ἀρόμην, 3 pers. sing. ὤρετο and ὧρτο, (see § 110, 8.) infin. ὄρθαι, part. ὄρμενος, (for ὀρέσθαι, ὀρόμενος,) imper. ὄρσο, and according to § 96. Obs. 9. ὄρσεο.

The perf. 2. $\mathring{o}\rho\omega\rho\alpha$ belongs to this intrans. or immediative signif. (§ 113, 2) I am risen; but $\mathring{o}\rho\rho\rho\nu$ (see § 85. Obs. 2.) is aor. (ex. gr. Od. τ . 201.) like $\mathring{\eta}\rho\alpha\rho\nu$, and most generally has, like it, the transitive or causative signif. (he excited). The passive-like form $\mathring{o}\rho\mathring{o}\rho\rho\tau\alpha$ agrees in sense with the perf. $\mathring{o}\rho\omega\rho$ (compare above $\mathring{o}\rho\eta\rho\alpha$, $\mathring{o}\rho\mathring{o}\rho\mu\alpha\iota$). Lastly, Homer has likewise the pres. and imperf. of $\mathring{o}\rho\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ and $\mathring{o}\rho\mathring{o}\rho\mu\alpha\iota$, I hasten, more about, (Od. ξ . 104 Il. β . 398.) but they are attended with some difficulty: see Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.

όσφραίνομαι, (I smell, trans.) ὀσφρήσομαι, aor. ὼσφρόμην, § 112, 13. Ion. ὀσφράμην, (Herod. 1, 80, 26.) according to § 96. Obs. 1. with the Note.

⁴⁹ This is derived from ONAΩ through a redupl. with ι , (which, as in $"i\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$, &c. does not take place in the aor. 2.) whereby the redupl. of verbs beginning with a consonant $(\delta i\delta\omega\mu\iota)$ is imitated in the same way as the redupl. of the perf. by what is called the $Attic\ redupl$. Compare $\dot{a}\tau\iota\tau\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\omega$ and $\dot{o}\pi\iota\pi\tau\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\omega$, from $\dot{a}\tau\dot{a}\lambda\dot{\delta}\varsigma$ and OΠΤΩ.

⁵⁰ In Attic poetry it was either pronounced as a synizes in the Ionic way ωσακα, or written and pronounced ἐόρακα.—See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. § 84. Obs. 11.

- οὐλόμενος, see ὅλλυμι. | οὔνεσθε, see ὄνομαι.
- οὐρέω, (I make water, stale,) impf. ἐούρουν, &c. fut. οὐρήσομαι, § 84. Obs. 5.
- οὐτάω, (I wound,) οὐτήσω, &c. aor. syncope, (οὖταν, § 110, 6, 7.) 3 sing. οὖτα, infin. οὐτάμεν, (for οὐτάναι,) part. pass. οὐτάμενος: οὔτασε, οὐτασμένος, belong to οὐτάζω, a collateral form.
- ὀφείλω, 1. (I owe, ex. gr. money,) 2. (I ought, am to,) fut. ὀφειλήσω, &c.

The form ισφελον, εξ, ε, (commonly ισφελον,) occurs merely as a wish.—See the Syntax, § 150. Homer has also ισφελλω (Il. τ. 200.) for ισφελον for the sake of the metre ισφελλον, (Il. ζ. 350.) which forms must not be mistaken for ισφελλειν, to increase, magnify. Homer also uses this verb in an anomalous way in the opt. aor. 1. ισφελλειεν, 3 pers. sing. (Il. π. 651. Od. ισφελλειεν).

ὀφλισκάνω, (I am guilty, condemned,) fut. ὀφλήσω, perf. ὤφληκα, aor. ὧφλον.

Π.

παίζω, (I sport, joke,) fut. παίξομαι, παιξούμαι.

In later writers we also find after this formation $\xi\pi\alpha\iota\xi\alpha$, $\pi^{\xi}\pi\alpha\iota\gamma\mu\alpha\iota$, &c. but the correct Attics always have $\xi\pi\alpha\iota\sigma\alpha$, $\pi^{\xi}\pi\alpha\iota\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$, notwithstanding the similarity of the tenses of the following rerb:

- παίω, (I strike, beat,) fut. παίσω and παιήσω, but the rest of the tenses are ἔπαισα, πέπαικα, ἐπαίσθην.—ΜΕD.
- ΠΑΡ—, π ε π αρεῖν, see in π ορεῖν.
- πάσασθαι, (to acquire,) ἐπασάμην, perf. πέπαμαι, I possess, different from ἐπασάμην, πέπασμαι, see πατέομαι.
- πάσχω, (I suffer,) from ΠΗΘΩ, aor. ἔπαθον, from ΠΕΝΘΩ, perf. πέπονθα, fut. πείσομαι (according to the rule, § 25, 4). Verbal adj. παθητός.

From IIHO Ω are also derived the less frequent forms $\pi \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu a \iota$, $\xi \pi \eta \sigma a$, and the perf. ($\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \theta a$,) whence the Homeric $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \theta \upsilon \tilde{\iota} a$.—See about $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi o \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon}$ for $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\sigma} \upsilon \theta a \tau \dot{\epsilon}$, § 110. Obs. 5.

πατάσσω, see πλήσσω.

- πατέομαι, (I taste, eat.) ἐπἄσάμην, πέπασμαι, compare δατέομαι, δάσασθαι in δαίω.
- πείθω, (I prevail on, PASS. I believe, obey,) to which belong πείσομαι, πέπεισμαι, but the perf. 2. πέποιθα, I confide.

The poetical forms are, $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\epsilon}\theta\mu\epsilon\nu$, plusq. belonging to $\pi\hat{\epsilon}\pi oi\theta\alpha$, aor. 2. $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\epsilon}\theta o\nu$, $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\epsilon}\theta o\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\pi\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\epsilon}\theta o\nu$, &c. There is a new form $\pi\hat{\epsilon}\theta\hat{\eta}\sigma\omega$, $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\epsilon}\theta\eta\sigma\alpha$, in the sense of obeying, following, confiding.

 π είκω, (I shear, comb.) fut. π έξω, &c.—MED.

 $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \tilde{g} \nu$, see § 105. Obs. 5.

πείσομαι, see πάσχω and πείθω.

πελάζω, anciently πελάω, (I approach, and in the old language cause to approach,) has with poets the aor. ἐπλάθην, (long a, incorrectly ἐπλάσθην,) and ἐπλήμην, both in the sense of approaching, formed by the metathesis of § 110. Obs. 7. (πελα, πλεα,) part. perf. πεπλημένος.

πέλω or πέλομαι (I am). This Doric and poetical verb suffers a syncope when it takes the augment: 3 pers. imperf. ἔπλε or ἔπλετο, 2d, ἔπλεο, ἔπλευ. There is no other tense occurring. This verb has also this peculiarity, that the imperf. of the middle voice very commonly has the signif. of the pres. (ἔπλευ, thou art, &c.) To the same verb in its more ancient meaning of I turn, drive, move about, (the Latin versor,) belong with the same syncope the compound Epic part. ἐπιπλόμενος, περιπλόμενος,

ΠΕΝΘ-, sed πάσχω.

πεπαρείν, πεπορείν, πέπρωται, see πορείν.

πέπτω, see πέσσω.

 π εράω, (I go over,) regular, π εράσω with \tilde{a} , (Ion. π ερήσω,) but the Epic π εράσω with \tilde{a} belongs to π ι π ράσκω, (I sell,) which see below.

πέρδω, commonly πέρδομαι, αοτ. ἔπαρδον, fut. παρδήσομαι, perf. πέπορδα.

 π έρθω, (I lay waste, ravage,) aor. ἔπραθον (§ 96. Obs. 7).

Homer has also a syncopated passive-like aor. in the infin. $\pi \epsilon \rho \theta a \iota$ of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \theta \mu \eta \nu$, properly $\pi \epsilon \rho \theta - \theta a \iota$, (or $\pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \theta a \iota$) like $\delta \epsilon \chi - \theta a \iota$, § 110, 8.

πεσεῖν, see πίπτω.

 π έσσω, π έττω, (I cook,) fut. π έψω, &c. from π έ π τω, which occurs only in later writers in the pres. tense.

πετάννυμι, (I spread out,) fut. πετάσω, (Att. πετῶ,) &c. (§ 112, 14.) perf. pass. πέπταμαι, (§ 110, 4.) but the aor. pass. is again ἐπετάσθην.

πέτομαι (I fly with wings): from this radical form arises by a syncope an aor. ἐπτόμην, πτέσθαι, &c. (§ 110, 4.) fut. πετήσομαι, commonly πτήσομαι. There is a collateral form in μι, ἵπταμαι, aor. ἐπτάμην, πτάσθαι, &c.

To this must be added from the *act*. form, which is never used in the *pres*. tense, a synonymous third aor. $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\tau\eta\nu$, $\pi\tau\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha$, $\pi\tau\tilde{\alpha}\varsigma$, &c.

The pres. πέταμαι and πετάομαι with the aor. ἐπετάσθην (ex. gr. Anacr. 40, 6.) belong to the poets and the later prose; πεπότημαι alone appears to have been used as perf. Poets employed also the pres. ποτάομαι, πωτάομαι. (See § 112, 9.)

ΠΕΤ—, see $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$.

| πεύθομαι, see πυνθάνομαι.

πέφνον, ἔπεφνον, (killed,) is the reduplicated, and at the same time syncopated acr. (§ 110, 4.) of Φ ENΩ (whence ϕ 6νος).

The part. of this aor. has the accent, contrary to analogy, on the first

syllable, πέφνων.⁵¹ Pass. perf. πέφαμαι, fut. πεφήσομαι: compare τείνω, τέταμαι, \S 101, 9. and about πεφήσομαι, \S 99. Obs. 1. See also below ΦΑ—.

- πήγνυμι, (I fasten,) with later writers also πήσσω, πήττω, fut. πήξω, &c. (§ 112, 14.) aor. pass. ἐπάγην, perf. 2. πέπηγα, intrans. I am fixed, fast (§ 113, Obs. 3).—MED.
- πίμπλημι, (I fill.) πιμπλάναι, follows ιστημι in the pres. and imperf.; fut. πλήσω, &c. perf. pass. πέπλησμαι, aor. pass. έπλήσθην, from ΠΛΑΩ or πλήθω, of which the latter, however, has only the intrans. signif. to be full, in the pres. tense.

If in compounds μ immediately precedes the first π , it is omitted in the reduplication, $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\pi(\pi\lambda\alpha\mu\alpha\iota)$, but replaced again, whenever the angment comes between, $\hat{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\pi(\mu\lambda\alpha\sigma\alpha\nu)$.

Poets for the sake of the metre employ both forms with or without the μ , contrary to this rule.—The form in $\acute{a}\omega$ ($\pi\iota\mu\pi\lambda\tilde{a}\nu$, $\acute{\epsilon}\mu\pi\iota\pi\lambda\tilde{a}\nu$,) is un-Attic,

except in the same instances as in "ίστημι.

With respect to the passive-like aor. $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\mu\eta\nu$, opt. $\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}i\mu\eta\nu$, $\dot{\tau}^{52}$ imper. $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma$, &c. see § 110, 7. it was not unknown to the Attics. (Aristoph. $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$, $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\lambda\epsilon i\mu\eta\nu$.)

The intrans. $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\omega$ has also a poetical perf. $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\eta\theta\alpha$ in the same sense

(I am full).

πίμπρημι, (I burn, trans.) πιμπράναι, follows ἵστημι in the pres. and imperf.; the rest comes from ΠΡΑΩ or $\pi \rho \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$, (Hom.) ex. gr. ἐπρήσθην.

With respect to $\ell\mu\pi i\pi\rho\eta\mu\iota$, $\ell\nu\epsilon\pi i\mu\pi\rho\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu$, it is the same as with $\pi i\mu\pi\lambda\eta\mu\iota$, and also with regard to the form in $\dot{\alpha}\omega$.

Hesiod (Θ. 856.) has a remarkable abbreviation $\xi \pi \rho_{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon$ for $\xi \pi \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon$: the analogy of $\xi \pi i \mu \pi \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ would lead us to expect an α .

πίνω, (I drink,) from ΠΙΩ, fut. πίομαι, (§ 95. Obs. 18.) aor. ἔπιον, πιεῖν, &c. imper. commonly πῖθι (§ 110, 6).—The rest comes from ΠΟΩ, perf. πέπωκα, perf. pass. πέπομαι, aor. pass. ἐπόθην. Verbal adj. ποτέος, ποτός.

The ι in πίομαι is usually long, (Athen. 10. p. 446.) but in ἔπιον, &c. short.

—The fut. πιοῦμαι belongs to the later writers (§ 95. Obs. 16).

The forms $\pi i \sigma \omega$, $\xi \pi i \sigma a$, have the causative signif. to give drink, to cause to drink. Their pres. tense is $\pi i \pi i \sigma \kappa \omega$.

 $\pi\iota\pi\rho\acute{a}\sigma\kappa\omega$, Ion. $\pi\iota\pi\rho\acute{\eta}\sigma\kappa\omega$, (I sell,) fut. and aor. are wanting.

The forms in use are, $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \kappa \alpha$, $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \mu \alpha \iota$, $\epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \theta \eta \nu$, $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \rho \alpha \iota$, which fut. 3. is used instead of the un-Attic fut. 1. $\pi \rho \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, and even the perf. $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ is frequently used in lieu of the aor. $\pi \rho \alpha \theta \ddot{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$. The Ionians have all these tenses with η .

⁵¹ That πέφνων is a real aor, is obviously evident from the context in the two passages, Il. π. 827. ρ. 539.

 52 The reading $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\mu\eta\nu$ appears to rest on a false analogy. But even in lieu of $\varepsilon\iota$ the diphthong $\alpha\iota$ might have been expected, since the form $\pi\iota\mu\pi\lambda\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha\iota$ presupposes a radical verb $\Pi\Lambda\Lambda\Omega$. Yet $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$, which comes from $\chi\rho\dot{\alpha}\omega$, (see below,) has likewise $\chi\rho\varepsilon\dot{\iota}\eta$ in the opt.

The common language supplied the tenses which are wanting by $\dot{\alpha}\pi o\delta\dot{\omega}$ - $\sigma o\mu \alpha \iota$, $\dot{\alpha}\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{o}\mu \eta \nu$: the old and Epic language had the fut. $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$, $\ddot{\alpha}$, whence
the contractions $\pi \epsilon \rho \tilde{\omega}$, $\pi \epsilon \rho \ddot{\alpha} \nu$, αor . $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \ddot{\alpha} \sigma a$ from $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$, which we have
seen above in a cognate signif., and with \bar{a} in its conjugation. This $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \iota$ afterwards gave the remaining forms through the metathesis, which has been
stated above in § 110, 11, 2. and in the Note to $\kappa \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \nu \mu \iota$.

πίπτω, (I fall, τ, whence the imper. πτπτε,) formed from ΠΕΤΩ, (see § 112, 16.) fut. (in the Doric way,) πεσούμαι, (Ion.) πεσέομαι, αστ. ἔπεσον, (§ 96, 9.) perf. πέπτωκα.

Poetical abbreviations of the part. perf. are Attic $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \dot{\omega}_{\varsigma}$ (compare $\beta \epsilon \beta \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ from $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \beta \rho \omega \kappa \alpha$,) and $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \dot{\epsilon} \omega \varsigma$. The latter points to the original form $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$, (from HETQ, like $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \mu \eta \kappa \alpha$ from $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega$,) whence came $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \omega \kappa \alpha$, by changing the vowel. See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 63. p. 295.

We also meet with the regular aor. of ΠΕΤΩ, aor. 1. ἔπεσα, Eurip. Troad.

291. Alc. 465. aor. 2. ἔπετον in the Doric dialect (Pind.).

πιτνέω, (I fall,) aor. ἔπιτνον (§ 96. Obs. 5). But πιτνάω, πίτνημι, is the same with πετάννυμι.

 $\pi\lambda$ άζω, (I chase about, pass. I rove, wander,) fut. $\pi\lambda$ άγξω, &c. (§ 92. Obs. 1).

ΠΛ-, see π έλω. | ΠΛΛ-, π λήθω, see π ελάζω and π ίμ π λημι.

πλέω, (I navigate,) fut. πλεύσομαι, πλευσοῦμαι, -- ἔπλευσα, &c. pass. πέπλευσμαι, ἐπλεύσθην. Verbal adj. πλευστέος.

The Ionic dialect has $\pi\lambda\dot{\omega}\omega$, $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\omega\kappa a$, &c.; whence the rerbal adj. $\pi\lambda\omega\tau\dot{\epsilon}$, and the Epic syncopated aor. $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\omega\nu$, $\omega\varsigma$, ω , $\omega\mu\epsilon\nu$, &c. part. $\pi\lambda\dot{\omega}\varsigma$, see § 110, 6. with Obs. 1, 4.

πλήσσω, πλήττω, (I strike, πλήγνυμι is a more uncommon form,) in the aor. 2 pass. it retains the η, ἐπλήγην, except in those compounds which denote a striking with dismay, ἐξεπλάγην, κατεπλάγην.

The Attics do not use the act. of this verb in the sense of striking, but $\pi a \tau \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$, which they never employ in the pass. The perf. 2. $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma a$ has with later writers a pass. signif. (§ 113. Obs. 4.)

Homer has also the *aor*. 2. *act*. and *med*., but with the *redupl*. $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \delta \mu \eta \nu$.

πνέω, (I blow,) fut. πνεύσομαι, πνευσοῦμαι, --ἔπνευσα, &c. aor. pass. ἐπνεύσθην.

The perf. pass. πέπνῦμαι (§ 98. Obs. 4.) is merely poetical in a particular sense (to be spirited, wise); and according to the same analogy, the syncopated aor. ἄμπνῦτο, (Homer, for ἀνέπνυτο, § 110, 7.) and ἀμπνύνθη, (for ἀνεπνύθη, compare ἰδρύω, ἰδρύνθην,) and the imper. ἄμπνυε.

ποθέω, see § 95. Obs. 4.

πορεῖν, (Hesych.) ἔπορον, (gave, supplied,) part. πορών, a defective aor. with poets.

The same theme in the sense of supplying has produced, according to the principles of metathesis, (§ 110, 11.) the perf. pass. πέπρωται, (it is decreed by fate,) part. πεπρωμένος.

Pind. Pyth. 2, 105. has an infin. πεπαρείν or πεπορείν in the sense of

showing, exhibiting, which ought rather to be derived from a different radical form, and written $\pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon i \nu$. See Boeckh.

 $\Pi 0$ —, see $\pi i \nu \omega$: — $\pi i \pi o \sigma \theta \epsilon$, see $\pi i \sigma \chi \omega$.

ΠΡΑ-, πρήθω, see πιπράσκω and πίμπρημι.

πρίασθαι, (to buy,) a defective verb, of which the forms are used only as aor. of ὧνεῖσθαι, viz. ἐπριάμην, conj. πρίωμαι, opt. πριαίμην, imper. πρίασο, πρίω, infin. πρίασθαι, part. πριάμενος.

The pres. indic. $\pi\rho(i\alpha\mu\alpha\iota)$, stated in Dictionaries, is not met with any where: $\ell\pi\rho(i\alpha\mu\eta\nu)$ must therefore be considered as a defective aor. 2. med. after the analogy of $\ell\pi\tau\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$ (see $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\tau\rho\mu\alpha\iota$).

ΠΡΟ-, see πορείν.

ΠΤΑ--, ΠΤΟ--, see πετάννυμι, πέτομαι, πτήσσω, and πίπτω.

 $\pi\tau$ ήσσω, (I crouch,) is regular, but has with poets some forms as if from ΠΤΑΩ, part. perf. $\pi\epsilon\pi\tau\eta\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{S}}$, and 3 pers. dual acr. 2. $\pi\tau\dot{\eta}\tau\eta\nu$ (§ 110, 6).

πυνθάνομαι, (I learn by inquiry, hear,) from πεύθομαι (Poet.) fut. πεύσομαι, αοτ. ἐπυθόμην, perf. πέπυσμαι. Verbal adjective, πευστέος, πευστός.

P.

ραίνω, (I sprinkle,) see about ράσσατε and ἐρράδαται, Obs. IV. 5. to § 103.

ρέζω and ἔρδω, (I do,) fut. ρέξω, or (from ΕΡΓΩ) ἔρξω, &c. perf. ἔρργα.

ρέω, (I flow,) fut. ρεύσομαι, aor. ἔρρευσα. We find, however, in the same act. sense, only the aor. 2. pass. ἐρρύην with the fut. ρυήσομαι, and a new perf. formed from this aor. ἐρρύηκα (§ 111, 2).

'PE-, see $\epsilon i\pi \epsilon i\nu$.

ρήγνυμι, (I rend, trans.) fut. ρήξω, (§ 112, 14.) aor. pass. ἐρράγην, perf. 2. ἔρρωγα, (§ 97. Obs. 2.) with the intrans. signif. I am rent. See § 113. Obs. 4.

ρίγεω, (I shudder,) perf. ἔρρῖγα, (§ 97. Obs. 4.) identical with the pres. tense.

ριγόω, see § 105. Obs. 6.

ρίπτω and ρίπτεω, (I throw,) both forms are in use in the pres. and imperf.: the rest of the tenses are derived from the first only, ρίψω, &c. long ι, whence ρίπτε, ρίψαι, aor. pass. ἐρρίφην.—See about ρίπτασκον, Obs. II. 1. to § 103.

ροίζασκε, see Obs. II. 1. to § 103.

PΥ—, see $\dot{\rho}$ έω: — $\dot{\rho}$ ύομαι, see $\dot{\epsilon}$ ρύω. | PΩΓ—, see $\dot{\rho}$ ήγνυμι.

ρώννυμι, (I strengthen,) ρώσω, &c. (§ 112, 14.) perf. pass. ἔρρωμαι, imper. ἔρρωσο, (farewell!) aor. pass. ἐρρώσθην.

Σ .

σαλπίζω, (I sound a trumpet,) fut. σαλπίγξω, &c. (§ 92. Obs. 1.) σαλπίσω is a later form.

σαόω, see σώζω.

 $\sigma \acute{a}\omega$, an old form for $\sigma \acute{\eta}\theta \omega$, (*I sift*,) whence we find (in Herod. 1, 200.) $\sigma \~{\omega}\sigma \iota$.

σβέννυμι, (I extinguish,) σβέσω, &c. ἔσβεσμαι, ἐσβέσθην.—The perf. ἔσβηκα, (with the η,) and the aor. 2. ἔσβην, pl. ἔσβημεν, infin. σβῆναι, have the intrans. signif. to be extinguished, which else is expressed by the pass. σβέννυμαι.

σείω, ἀνασσείασκε, see Obs. II. 1. to § 103.

 $\sigma ε \dot{\nu} \omega$, (I push on,) has most commonly the augment in the same way as the verbs beginning with ρ , (§ 83. Obs. 2.) and takes no σ in the aor. 1. ἔσσευα, ἐσσευάμην, perf. pass. ἔσσυμαι, (I rush forth, I strive, I require,) part. ἐσσύμενος, (proparox., see § 111. Obs. 2.) plusq. ἐσσύμην, which form is at the same time a syncopated aor. (§ 110, 7, with Obs. 4.) whence σύτο, σύμενος, 2 pers. έσσυο, (for έσσυσο, see Obs. III. 2. to § 103.) aor. pass. (of the same signif.) ἐσσύθην (Sophocles).—The forms with a single σ (ex. gr. $\partial \theta \eta \nu$, $\partial \xi \delta \sigma \theta \eta \nu$) are less frequent, and those without any augment (σεῦα, σύτο,) belong to the Ionic Epic dialect.—We also meet with a syncopated pres. pass. (§ 110, 5.) ex. gr. σεῦται, (Soph. Trach. 645.) but more commonly with the change of the vowel into ου, σοῦμαι, (I hasten, run,) imper. σοῦσο, σούσθω, σοῦσθε (calls or exhortations, used in common life). -Hither belongs, lastly, that Laconic ἀπέσσουα, (he is gone,) known from Xenoph. Hell. 1, 1, 23, which is explained as aor. 2. pass. (for ἐσσύη.)

σκεδάννυμι, (I scatter,) fut. σκεδάσω, σκεδώ, &c. perf. pass. ἐσκέδασμαι (\S 112, 14).

σκέλλω or σκελέω (I dry, desiccate, pass. I am dried up). To this immediative sense of the pass. belong the act. forms aor. ἔσκλην, σκλῆναι, σκλαίην, (§ 110, 6.) perf. ἔσκληκα, I have been dried up, and the fut. σκλήσομαι.

The Homeric $\sigma\kappa\dot{\eta}\lambda\epsilon\iota\epsilon$ (aor. 1. $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa\eta\lambda\alpha$) points to $\sigma\kappa\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omega$, (which has the

widely different signif. to dig, scratch,) whence come, through the metathesis $\Sigma K \Lambda A$, stated § 110, 11, the forms $\sigma \kappa \lambda \tilde{\eta} \nu a \iota$, $\sigma \kappa \lambda a \iota \eta \nu$, &c.

σμάω, (I wipe,) σμής, &c. see § 105. Obs. 5. fut. σμήσω, &c.; but the aor. pass. always is ἐσμήχθην from the non-Attic pres. σμήχω. Verbal adj. σμηκτός.

σοῦμαι, &c. see σεύω. σπεῖν, σπέσθαι, see ἕπω.

σπένδω, (I pour out,) σπείσω, ἔσπεισμαι (\S 25, 4).—ΜΕD.

ΣΤΑ—, see ἵστημι. | στήκετε, see p. 207. Note.

στερέω, and στερίσκω, (I deprive of,) the first is regular, fut. στερήσω: in the pass the simple form στέρομαι in the sense of I am deprived of, robbed.

Homer has it with ε , $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha \iota$, and poets have, instead of $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma$, an aor. 2. pass. $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon i \varsigma$.

στεῦται, στεῦνται, στεῦτο, see § 110, 5.

στορέννυμι, στόρνυμι, and στρώννυμι, (I spread as a bed,) give both στορέσω, ἐστόρεσα, and στρώσω, ἔστρωσα, perf. pass. ἔστρωμαι, aor. 1. pass. ἐστοφέσθην. Verbal adj. στρωτός.

There is an obvious metathesis (§ 110. Obs. 7.) in the forms $\sigma\tau\rho\dot{\omega}\sigma\omega$, $\sigma\tau\rho\dot{\omega}\nu\nu\nu\mu\iota$.

στυγέω, (I dread, hate,) is regular; but has in Homer also the aor. 2. ἔστυγον, (§ 96. Obs. 5.) and in a causative sense to cause to be dreaded, the aor. 1. ἔστυξα, which later poets, however, employ again in the first sense.

σχεῖν, ἔσχον, &c. see ἔχω.

σώζω, (I save,) has in the old writers in the perf. pass. beside σέσωσμαι, also σέσωμαι, and in the aor. 1. pass. always $\xi \sigma \omega \theta \eta \nu$ from the primitive form $\sigma a \delta \omega$, $\xi \sigma a \omega \theta \eta \nu$.—MED.

The Epics have from $\sigma\alpha\delta\omega$, 1.) the regular formation $\sigma\alpha\delta\omega\omega$, $\delta\sigma\delta\omega\sigma$, &c. 2.) pres. and imperf. contr. $(\sigma\alpha\delta\omega$, $\sigma\alpha\delta\epsilon\iota\varsigma$, $\sigma\delta\omega$, $\sigma\delta\epsilon\iota\varsigma$, &c., whence came the usual form $\sigma\delta\omega\omega$, with Epics also $\sigma\delta\omega$, 3.) the 3 pers. imperf. $(\delta\sigma\delta\sigma\nu)$, and the imper. $(\sigma\delta\sigma\nu)$ again contracted, would be $\delta\sigma\omega$, $\sigma\omega$, but the Epics lengthen these forms again not with σ , as is usual, but with $\sigma\omega\omega$, (exactly as in $\nu\alpha\omega\omega$, see § 105. Obs. 10.) thus $\sigma\delta\omega\omega$ (for $\delta\omega\omega$ and $\delta\omega\omega$).

T.

TAΓ—, see TAΩ. $| \tau \alpha \lambda \acute{a} \omega$, see TAΛΩ.

 $\tau a \nu i \omega$, I stretch, bend as a bow. The pass. takes σ : the v is short in the conjugation; the fut. is again $\tau a \nu i \omega$, Od. ϕ . 174. See § 95. Obs. 12. The pass. with the Epics is likewise $\tau \dot{a} \nu \nu \mu a \iota$.

ταράσσω, ττω, (*I disturb*,) has a contracted collateral form:

1.) with the Attics the *pres*. tense $\theta \rho \acute{a}\tau \tau \omega$, where the τ becomes a θ , and the vowel is lengthened; hence the *part*.

neut. τὸ θρᾶττον: 2.) with the Epics the perf., but with an intrans. signif. τέτρηχα, (I am full of trouble, in a commotion,) where the Ionic η supplies the place of \bar{a}^{53} .—MED.

 $\tau \alpha \phi \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\iota} \nu$ and $\tau \alpha \phi \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$, see $\theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ and $\theta A \Phi$ —.

TA—, the seeming radical form of τείνω, τέτακα, &c. (§ 101.) To a similar root in the sense of seizing, taking, belongs the imper. τῆ, (take,) to which is related (from TAΓΩ) the Epic part. aor. 2. redupl. τεταγών (seizing). See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 41. p. 162.

ΤΕΚ-, see τίκτω.

τέμνω, (I cut.) fut. τεμῶ, aor. ἔτεμον, (§ 112, 6.) perf. τέτμηκα, pass. τέτμημαι, aor. 1. ἐτμήθην (§ 110, 11). See about the conj. perf. pass. § 98. Obs. 9.

The aor. $\xi \tau a \mu o \nu$ is more uncommon. The Ionians say also $\tau \acute{a} \mu \nu \omega$ in the pres.; and Homer has the radical form $\tau \acute{e} \mu \omega$ (II. ν . 707. $\tau \acute{e} \mu \varepsilon$, Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. § 92. Obs. 13).—There is an Epic form $\tau \mu \acute{\eta} \gamma \omega$, aor. $\xi \tau \mu \eta \xi a$,

and ἔτμαγον, pass. ἐτμάγην.

τέρπω, (I delight,) has in its pass. form τέρπομαι, (I am delighted,) three different aor. in the Epic language, ἐτέρφθην οι ἐτάρφθην,—ἐτάρπην, whence with a transposition (§ 96. Obs. 7.) the conj. τραπείω for τραπῶ,—and aor. med. (ἐταρπόμην,) τεταρπόμην, conj. ταρπώμεθα.

τέρσομαι, (I get dry, dry up, intrans.) infin. aor. 2. pass. τερσῆναι and τερσήμεναι.—

τερσαίνω, I dry, trans. ἐτέρσηνα, &c. is regular.

τέτμον, ἔτετμον, (I met by chance,) a defective aor.

1.) τεύχω, (I make, fabricate,) a poetical regular verb, τεύζω, ἔτευζα,

τέτυγμαι, ἐτύχθην, τυκτὸς or τευκτός.

2.) τυγχάνω, (I happen to, hit the mark,) fut. τεύξομαι, αοτ. ἔτυχον, (Epic ἐτύχησα,) perf. τετύχηκα (§ 112, 13, and 111, 3). The signif. of τυγχάνω arose from that of the pass. of τεύχω, whence the Epics have the pass. forms τέτυγμαι, ἐτύχθην, which nearly agree with τυγχάνω, ἔτυχον: and the perf. τέτευχα, of which the part. has in Homer the pass. signif. of τεύχειν, (Od. μ. 423. see § 113. Obs. 4.) takes altogether the signif. of the pres. τυγχάνω, in Herod. (3, 14,) and in the κοινοί.

The perf. τέτυγμαι has also the diphthong ευ, whence in Homer 3 pl.

τετεύχαται, and the fut. 3 merely τετεύξομαι.

Το τεύχω belongs, with the Ionic change of the aspirata, (§ 16. Obs. 1. e.) the aor. 2. τετυκέτν, τετυκέσθαι (to get ready, prepare).—See especially τόσσαι for τυχεῖν.

TIE—, $\tau \epsilon \tau i \eta \mu a \iota (I \ am \ sad)$. The part is $\tau \epsilon \tau \iota \eta \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \varsigma$ and in the act form $\tau \epsilon \tau \iota \eta \grave{\omega} \varsigma$ (§ 97. Obs. 7).

τίκτω, (I bring forth,) from ΤΕΚΩ, fut. τέξω, commonly τέξομαι, aor. ἔτεκον, (poetically ἐτεκόμην,) perf. τέτοκα.

This long vowel is produced by a metathesis like that mentioned § 110. Obs. 7. in which the first a passes over and coalesces with the second, to form a long syllable, exactly as in $\mu a \lambda a \kappa \dot{\rho}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $-\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi}$, $\beta \lambda \bar{\alpha} \kappa \dot{\rho}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The change of τ into θ is effected by the aspiration which so readily combines with the ρ : yet it is an anomaly, since it does not take place in other instances. (See the Note to p. 33.)—From this verb is derived the adj. $\tau \rho \bar{\alpha} \chi \dot{\nu} \dot{\rho}_{\mathcal{C}}$, (rough, rugged,) and not the verb from the adj. See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 52. p. 210.

We also meet with τέτεγμαι and ἐτέχθην in the later writers. See about the fut. τεκεῖσθαι, § 95. Obs. 16.

τίνω, see τίω.

τιτράω, (I perforate, bore,) from ΤΡΑΩ, τρήσω, &c.

The Attics, however, make more use of the collateral form τετραίνω, ἐτέτρηνα. The perf. always is, from the radical form, τέτρηκα, τέτρημαι.

τιτρώσκω, (I wound,) τρώσω, &c. (§ 112, 10, 16.)

The simple $\tau\rho\omega\omega$ in the more general sense of hurting, damaging, is in Homer. Both are connected with $\tau o\rho\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$ through the metathesis TOP, TPO, see § 110, 11.

τίω, (I honour.) is in this sense merely poet. and regular, part. perf. pass. τετιμένος.

In the sense of expiating, it is merely Epic in the pres. and imperf., but lends in prose the rest of its tenses to the following form:

τίνω, (I expiate,) fut. τίσω, perf. τέτικα, perf. pass. τέτισμαι, aor. 1. pass. ἐτίσθην. The Med. τίνομαι (τίσομαι, ἐτισάμην, ἀπετισάμην,) has the signif. to punish, avenge. The Ionic pres. is τίννυμι, τίννυμαι (§ 112, 14). The ι in τίνω is with the Epics long, with the Attics short, § 112. Obs. 8.54 Attic poets also shorten the first syllable of τίνυμι. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. § 112. Obs. 19.

τλῆναι, (to endure, bear,) ἔτλην, τλῆναι, τλαίην, τλῆθι, (§ 110, 6.) fut. τλήσομαι, perf. τέτληκα.

From this perf. are again made, according to § 110, 10, the forms $\tau \acute{\epsilon}\tau \lambda \alpha$ μεν, &c. τετλάναι, opt. τετλαίην, imper. τέτλαθι, and the Ionic part.
τετληώς, but all of them only in poets and in the pres. tense.—There is an Epic collateral form aor. 1. ἐτάλασα: the pres. tense was supplied by ἀνέχομαι οτ ὑπομένω.

TM—, see $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \omega$ and $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \mu \omega$. $\tau \mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \omega$, see $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \omega$.

τορέω, (I pierce,) ἔτορον, (§ 96. Obs. 5.) a defective aor., compare τιτρώσκω.—In the kindred sense of penetrating, having a clear loud sound, we meet with the fut. τετορήσω, and the pres. τορεύω in Aristoph.

τόσσαι, an aor. the same with τυχεῖν, of which Pind. Pyth. 3, 48. 4, 43. 10, 52,

has the part. τόσσας, and the compounds ἐπέτοσσε, ἐπιτόσσας.

τραπείω, see τέρπω.

τρέπω, (I turn,) see about τέτροφα and τέτραφα, § 97. p. 149.

τρέφω, (I nourish,) fut. θρέψω, (§ 18, 2.) perf. τέτροφα, perf. pass. τέθραμμαι, τεθράφθαι, (τετράφθαι is incorrect,) aor. pass. ἐτράφην, more rarely ἐθρέφθην. Verbal adj. θρεπτός.— MED.

In the old language $\tau\rho\epsilon\phi\omega$ had also the immediative (§ 113, 2.) signif. to grow thick, strong, tall, and the pass. has the same meaning; hence the aor. 2. act. and the aor. 2. pass. are used in Homer indifferently one for the other, ex. gr. $\epsilon\tau\rho\alpha\phi\epsilon$, the same with $\epsilon\tau\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi\eta$ and $\tau\rho\alpha\dot{\phi}\mu\epsilon\nu$, ($\tau\rho\alpha\phi\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$,) the same with the usual $\tau\rho\alpha\dot{\phi}\eta\nu\alpha\iota$, see Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.: $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\tau\rho\phi\phi$ has both signif. (see the Note to § 97. p. 149.)

⁵⁴ The statement that the ι in the conjugation of τ iσω is short in ἔτισα from τ iνω, proceeds from ignorance of the comic metre in Aristoph. *Eccl.* 45. *Vesp.* 1424. where the ι makes an anapæst.

τρέχω, (I run,) less commonly takes its tenses from itself: θρέξομαι, ἔθρεξα, (§ 18, 2.) more generally from ΔΡΕΜΩ, αοτ. ἔδραμον, fut. δραμούμαι, perf. δεδράμηκα, (see § 111, 3.) Epic δέδρομα.

ΤΡΥΦ—, see $\theta \rho \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$.

τρύχω, (I rub to pieces, consume,) forms its tenses from the less common τρυχόω, ἐτρύχωσα, τετρυχωμένος, &c.

τρώγω, (I eat,) fut. τρώξομαι, aor. ἔτραγον (from ΤΡΗΓΩ). τυγχάνω, τετυκεῖν, see τεύχω.

τύπτω, (I strike,) has with the Attics commonly τυπτήσω, τετύπτημαι, τυπτητέος, aor. pass. ἐτύπην.—ΜΕD.

τύφω, (I raise a smoke, set on fire,) fut. θ ύψω, &c. (§ 18, 2.) aor. pass. ἐτύφην.

Y.

ύπισχνέομαι, see εχω.

Φ.

ΦΑΓ-, see ἐσθίω.

φαίνω, (intrans. I shine, trans. I show,) aor. ἔφηνα, perf. 1. πέφαγκα, pass. φαίνομαι with aor. 1. ἐφάνθην, I am shown.—MED. The pass. φαίνομαι also signifies I shine, appear, aor. 2. ἐφάνην, fut. φανοῦμαι, and with perf. 2. πέφηνα (see § 113. Obs. 3). The Homeric iterative φάνεσκε (shone, appeared) is rather anomalously formed from the pass. ἐφάνην. Homer has also φάανθεν for ἐφάνθησαν, in the sense of shining: compare κραίνω.

ΦΑ—, φάσκω, see φημὶ, (§ 109, 1.) φαίνω and ΦΕΝΩ. Homer's πεφήσομαι belongs sometimes to φαίνω and sometimes to ΦΕΝΩ, I shall shine or be killed.

ΦΕΝΩ, see πέφνον.

φέρω, (I carry, bear,) has its tenses from quite different roots, fut. οἴσω, and an aoristic imper. οῖσε, about which see § 96, 9—further aor. 1. ἤνεγκα, aor. 2. ἤνεγκον: from the former are used especially the indic. and those terminations of the imper. which have the a, and from the latter chiefly the infin. and part. perf. ἐνήνοχα, (compare § 97. Obs. 1, 2.) perf. pass. ἐνήνεγμαι, aor. pass. ἢνέχθην, fut. pass. ἐνεχθήσομαι or οἰσθήσομαι. Verbal adj. οἰστέος, οἰστὸς (poetically φερτός).—ΜΕD.

The Ionians have aor. ἤνεικα, ἐνεῖκαι, pass. ἠνείχθην. The theme ἐνείκω occurs as a pres. in Hesiod, A. 440 (συνενείκεται). Ἐνεγκεῖν is erroneously considered as a compound with εν: it is like ἤγαγον, ἀλαλκεῖν, &c. (§ 85. Obs. 2.) a redupl. of ΕΓΚΩ, of which again ΕΝΕΚΩ, ΕΝΕΙΚΩ, are lengthenings (like ΑΛΚΩ, ΑΛΕΚΩ).—See Buttm. Lexilogus, I. 63, 23. Homer has in the imper. pl. φέρτε.—See about φορῖν, § 112, 9, and about φορῆναι, § 105. Obs. 16. There are a few other rare forms derived from οἴσω, viz. infin. aor. 1. ἀνῷσαι and the verbal adj. ἀνώστος in Herod. (1, 157. 6, 66.) where the ω is not grammatically correct; and the perf. προοῖσται in Lucian Paras. 2., of which the diphthong οι, unchanged by the augment, rests on § 84. Obs. 2. φρέω, see particularly below.

φεύγω, (I flee, escape,) fut. φεύξομαι and φευξοῦμαι, αοτ. ἔφυγον, perf. πέφευγα. Verbal adj. φευκτέος, φευκτὸς (φυκτὸς, Hom.).

Homer has also the part. perf. pass. $\pi \epsilon \phi v \gamma \mu \acute{e} v o c$ in an act. sense, escaped; and a part. perf. $\pi \epsilon \phi v \zeta \acute{o} \tau \epsilon c$, (compare $\phi \ddot{v} \zeta a$, flight,) fugitives.

φθάνω, (I do previously to another, anticipate,) see about the quantity of the a, § 112. Obs. 8. aor. 1. ἔφθἄσα and aor. 2. ἔφθην, φθῶ, φθῆναι, φθὰς, (med. Epic φθάμενος,) § 110, 6. fut. φθήσομαι, perf. ἔφθᾶκα.

There is $Il. \kappa. 346$. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \phi \theta \alpha i \eta \sigma \iota$, an uncommon form of the opt. for $\cdot \alpha i \eta$. Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr. I. 537. Note.

φθείρω, I spoil, trans. is regular; but the perf. 2. ἔφθορα, διέφθορα, has, with the Ionians and the later writers, the signif. I am spoiled, Attic have spoiled, the same with ἔφθαρκα.

Homer has the fut. $\phi\theta$ έρσω: fut. 2. med. διαφθαρέσμαι, intrans. with the Ionians.

 $\phi\theta\iota\omega$, in this form is merely an Homeric verb with transitive and intrans. signif. to waste, destroy, (Il. σ . 466.) perish (Od. β . 368). The other forms are more in use, yet, on the whole, more poetical. $\Phi\theta\iota\sigma\omega$ and $\ell\phi\iota\sigma\alpha$ are merely transitive. But the derivative

Pres. tense $\phi\theta$ i $\nu\omega$

is commonly intrans., and takes its tenses from the middle voice of $\phi\theta i\omega$, viz., fut. $\phi\theta i\sigma o\mu a\iota$, perf. $\xi\phi\theta \iota\mu a\iota$, plusq. $\xi\phi\theta i\mu \eta\nu$, which latter form is at the same time a syncopated aor. (§ 110, 7.) and has therefore its own moods: opt. $\phi\theta i\mu \eta\nu$, io, i70, (Od. κ . 51. λ . 330. See the Note to § 107. Obs. III. 6.) infin. $\phi\theta i\sigma\theta a\iota$, part. $\phi\theta i\mu \epsilon \nu o c$, conj. $\phi\theta i\omega \mu a\iota$, shortened $\phi\theta i\sigma \mu a\iota$, $\phi\theta i\epsilon \tau a\iota$.

The ι in both $\phi\theta i\sigma\omega$, &c. and the pres. $\phi\theta i\nu\omega$, (§ 112. Obs. 3.) is always long with the Ionians, and short with the Attics: $\xi\phi\theta\iota\mu\alpha\iota$, &c. is constantly short.

- φιλέω (I love). Instead of the regular aor. of this verb, Homer has also, with a long ι , the medial forms ἐφίλατο, imper. φῖλαι, (deponens of the simple form ΦΙΛΩ,) in which the ι is long, in consequence of the nature of the aor. See § 101, 4.
- φράζω, (I say, point out,) has in the old poets an aor. πέφραδον, επέφραδον, infin. πεφραδέειν, and a perf. pass. πέφραδμαι.
- φρέω, used only in compounds, ἐκφρεῖν, εἰσφρεῖν, διαφρεῖν, (to let in, out,through,) φρήσω, &c. imper. εἴσφρες (§ 110,6).—ΜΕD.
- φρίσσω, φρίττω, (I shudder,) fut. φρίξω, &c. perf. πέφρῖκα, from ΦΡΙΚΩ, (whence also the subst. φρῖκὴ, &c.) see § 92, 8, 2.

ΦΥΖ-, see φεύγω.

- φύρω, (I mix, knead,) fut. old φύρσω, ἔφυρσα, commonly φυράσω, &c. Ion. φυρήσω, perf. pass. πέφυρμαι and πεφύραμαι.
- φύω, (I produce,) φύσω, ἔφυσα. But the perf. πέφυκα, and the aor. 2. ἔφυν, φῦναι, conj. φῦω, part. φὺς, (§ 110, 6.) have a

pass. or intrans. signif. to be produced, to arise, for which there is in the pres. and fut. φύσμαι, φύσομαι.

Un-Attic writers use instead of $\phi\tilde{v}\nu\alpha\iota$, $\phi\dot{v}\varsigma$, &c. an aor. pass. $\phi v\tilde{v}\iota$, &c.—See about the Homeric forms $\pi\epsilon\phi\dot{v}\alpha\sigma\iota$, $\pi\epsilon\phi v\dot{\omega}\varsigma$, § 97. Obs. 7. and about the opt. $\phi\dot{v}\eta\nu$, § 110. p. 225.

X.

χάζω, commonly χάζομαι, (I give way,) is regular, but has in Homer an aor. 2. with the redupl. and the change of χ into κ, κεκαδέσθαι. The act. κεκαδεῖν, (κεκαδων,) with a particular fut. κεκαδήσω, has in Homer a peculiar transitive signif. to deprive of, bereave.—(See κεκαδήσομαι in κήδω, and ἔχαδον in χανδάνω.)

χαίνω, see χάσκω.

χαίρω, (I rejoice,) fut. χαιρήσω, aor. (from the pass.) ἐχάρην, and from this again a perf. with a more intensive signif. of the pres. κεχάρηκα οτ κεχάρημαι (§ 111, 3).

Poets have also of the regular formation $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \chi \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \iota$, aor. 1. med. $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \eta \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$, and aor. 2. with the redupl. $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \chi \alpha \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \eta \nu$. The fut. $\chi \alpha \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \rho \mu \alpha \iota$ belongs to the later writers; Homer has $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \chi \alpha \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ and $-\sigma \mu \alpha \iota$.

- χανδάνω, (I contain, hold,) aor. ἔχαδον, (§ 112, 13.) perf. κέχανδα, (the same with the pres.) fut. χείσομαι, (Od. σ. 17.) as if from X ΕΝΔΩ (compare σπένδω σπείσω, and πέπονθα πείσομαι).
- χάσκω, (I gape wide, yawn,) forms of the pres. tense χαίνω, which is not used by ancient writers, the aor. ἔχανον, fut. χανοῦμαι, perf. κέχηνα, I am open, yawning.

χέζω, fut. χεσοῦμαι, αοτ. ἔχεσα and ἔχεσον, perf. κέχοδα (§ 97, 4. a. c.). χείσομαι, see χανδάνω.

χέω, (I pour.) fut. again χέω, χεῖς, χεῖ, fut. med. χέομαι, (see Buttm. Compl. Gr. Gr., and above § 95. Obs. 8.) aor. 1. ἔχεα, (§ 96. Obs. 1.) ἔχεας, ἔχεε(ν), infin. χέαι, imper. χέον, χεάτω, &c. perf. κέχὔκα, perf. pass. κέχὔμαι, aor. pass. ἐχύθην (§ 98. Obs. 4).—ΜΕD.

The forms $\chi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \omega$, $\xi \chi \epsilon \nu \sigma a$, are unusual, though they were the primitive ones, as appears from the subst. $\chi \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \mu a$, and the forms $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \dot{\nu} \theta \eta \nu$, $\xi \chi \epsilon \nu a$, &c.—See § 95. Obs. 12. Note.

The Epics have the aor. $\ell\chi\epsilon\nu a$, (of which the conj. $\chi\epsilon\nu\omega$ becomes a fut., Od. β . 222. see § 139. Obs. l. 1. 4.) syncopated aor. pass. $\ell\chi\nu\mu\eta\nu$, &c. (§ 110, 7).

χραισμεῖν, (to aid, help,) ἔχραισμον, a defective aor., whence came again χραισμήσω, ἐχραίσμησα (§ 111, 3).

χράω. There are of this verb five different formations with dif-

ferent signif.; all of them with the contraction commonly η , Ionic (contrary to analogy) \bar{a} .

1.) χράω, (I deliver oracles,) is regular, χρήσω, &c. pass. κέχρησμαι, ἐχρήσθην.—See the contraction in η in Soph. El. 35. Œd. C. 87.

2.) κίχρημι, (I lend,) follows ἵστημι, χρήσω, ἔχρησα, &c. ΜΕD.

κίχραμαι, (I borrow,) χρήσομαι.

3.) χράομαι, (I am in need of, employ,) χρ $\tilde{\eta}$ (2 sing.), χρ $\tilde{\eta}$ ται, χρ $\tilde{\eta}$ σθαι, &c.: the rest is regular, aor. ἐχρησάμην, perf. κέχρημαι ⁵⁵. Verbal adj. χρηστέον, χρηστός.

See § 105. Obs. 8. and 15, about the Ionic forms of the pres. and imperf.

being sometimes with α , and sometimes with ϵ .

4.) $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$, (it is necessary, Lat. oportet,) is impersonal, and conforms partly to the rerbs in $\mu \iota$, infin. $\chi \rho \ddot{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$, opt. $\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\eta}$, conj. $\chi \rho \ddot{\eta}$, part. $(\tau \dot{\delta})$ $\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} \nu^{56}$, imperf. $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \ddot{\eta} \nu^{57}$ or $\chi \rho \ddot{\eta} \nu$, (never $\ddot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \dot{\eta}$), fut. $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \iota$.

5.) ἀπόχοη, (it is sufficient,) un-Attic ἀποχρᾶ, pl. ἀποχρῶσιν, infin. ἀποχρῦν, part. ἀποχρῶν, ῶσα, ῶν, imperf. ἀπέχρη, fut. ἀποχρήσει, &c.

χοώννυμι, (I dye, color,) fut. χοώσω, &c. (§ 112, 14.) perf. pass. κέχρωσμαι.

χώννυμι, (I heap up earth, make a bank or mole, § 112, 14.) is regular in the old writers: χόω, infin. χοῦν, χώσω, &c. perf. pass. κέχωσμαι.

This rerb must not be mistaken for the Epic $\chi \omega o \mu a \iota$, (I am angry,) $i \chi \omega \sigma a \mu \eta \nu$.

Ψ.

ψάω, see § 105. Obs. 5. ψύχω—ἐψύγην, see § 100. Obs. 8.

Ω .

- $\mathring{\omega}\theta\acute{\epsilon}\omega$, (I push,) has the syllabic augment according to § 84. Obs. 5. (ἐώθουν,) fut. $\mathring{\omega}\theta\acute{\eta}\sigma\omega$, and (from $\Omega\Theta\Omega$) $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\omega$ — ἔωσα, $\mathring{\omega}\sigma$ αι, ἔωσμαι, &c.
- ἀνέομαι, (I buy,) has likewise the syllabic augm. ἐωνούμην, &c. But instead of employing its own aor. (ἐωνησάμην, ἀνησάμην,) Attic writers used the forms ἐπριάμην, πρίασθαι, &c. which see above.

55 This perf. is used by the Epics in the sense of I want.

⁵⁷ This anomalous accentuation, instead of ἔχοην, (§ 12, 2. a. and Obs. 1. to § 103.)

rests on ancient custom.

⁵⁶ See about this part, § 57, 3. As this impersonal verb evidently comes from $\chi\rho\dot{\alpha}\omega$, this part, is explained by the Ionic conversion of αo into $\epsilon\omega$, (§ 27. Obs. 10.) but the accentuation must be noticed as very anomalous; and since the Ionians changed in general the verbs in $\alpha\omega$ into $\epsilon\omega$, this accounts for the ϵ in $\chi\rho\epsilon\dot{\eta}$ (compare $\pi(\mu\pi\lambda\eta\mu\iota)$).

§ 115.—Of the Particles.

1. The particles are called in Latin inflexibles, because they admit neither declension nor conjugation. Whatever regards their formation and derivation belongs to the chapter On the Formation of Words. There are, however, some particulars intimately connected with the inflection of other parts of speech, or pointing at some reciprocal bearings among the particles themselves, (as comparison and correlativeness,) or little variations occasioned by construction and euphony, which are better treated separately, being in some degree analogous to what is called inflection in general. They are therefore brought here under a distinct point of view.

2. We first separate from the particles in general the prepo-

sitions, viz., the following eighteen:-

άμφὶ, ἀνὰ, ἀντὶ, ἀπὸ, διὰ, εἰς, ἐν, έξ, ἐπὶ, κατὰ, μετὰ, παρὰ,

περί, πρὸ, πρὸς, σὺν, ὑπὲρ, ὑπὸ,

which have always been especially considered as the prepositions of the Greek language, and which we shall denominate the old prep. They alone form compound verbs in a simple and regular manner, which is not the case with other particles, which are also prep., as $\mathring{a}v \in v$, $\mathring{\epsilon}v \in \kappa a$, $\mathring{\epsilon}\gamma \gamma \mathring{v}\varsigma$, $\mathring{\omega}_{\varsigma}$, (at,) &c. Whatever concerns these old prep. with regard to form, will be stated along with the other particles; but their combination with the casus is elucidated in the Syntax, δ 147.

3. The most general adverbial form in Greek is the termination $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, which may be considered as a part of the inflection of adjectives; for it occurs only in adj. and participles, and there are very few adj. from which this form could not be derived. It is perfectly tantamount to the termination of the cases, and we need only change the final og of either nomin. or gen. into $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, observing at the same time that, whenever the end-syllable og of the nomin. has the accent, the termination $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ of the adv. retains the accent, which becomes a circumflex. For instance,

φίλος φίλως, σοφός σοφως,

σώφρων, (σώφρονος,) σωφρόνως, χαρίεις, εντος, χαριέντως, εὐθὺς, έος, εὐθέως, part. λυσιτελῶν, (serviceable,) οῦντος, λυσιτελούντως,

άληθής, έος, contr. ούς, άληθέως, contr. άληθώς.

Obs. 1. All adv. derived from adj. in $\eta_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\epsilon_{\mathcal{O}}$, should properly have a circumflex on the syllable $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}$ as being a contraction of $\epsilon_{\mathcal{W}}$ into $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}$. Some, however, are paraxytona,

and formed from the nomin., which has the same accent without any contraction, ex. gr. $\epsilon \dot{v}\dot{\eta}\theta\eta\varsigma$, $\epsilon \dot{v}\dot{\eta}\theta\omega\varsigma$, compare § 121, 9, 1. and above § 49. Obs. 4. Adverbs made of adj. in - $\nu oo\varsigma$ - $\nu ov\varsigma$ have the accent after the same analogy, as the adj. in their declension (§ 36. Obs.); thus from $\epsilon \ddot{v}\nu ov\varsigma$ — $\epsilon \dot{v}\nu \dot{o}\omega\varsigma$ $\epsilon \ddot{v}\nu \omega\varsigma$, but agreeably to the following Obs. better $\epsilon \dot{v}\nu \ddot{o} \ddot{\kappa} \dot{\varsigma}$.

Obs. 2. Adj. of one termination, which, as it were, waver between subst. and adj., take first the usual termination of adj. to form the adv. in $ω_{\varsigma}$, ex. gr. $νομαδικῶ_{\varsigma}$,

βλακικῶς. Compare § 63. Obs. 3. and the Note to § 66.

4. Particular cases and forms of *nouns* frequently supply, by virtue of a power to be explained in the *Syntax*, and by an ellipsis, the place of *particles*, and when such a form occurs rather frequently, it passes altogether for an *adv.*; *ex. gr.* the *dat*.

κομιδή, properly with care, hence very much; σπουδή, — with diligence, difficulty, hence hardly, scarcely,

beside a number of adj. feminine, where originally the dat. $\delta \delta \tilde{\omega}$ from $\tilde{\eta}$ $\delta \delta \delta c$, the way or manner, was understood; ex. gr.

πεζ $\tilde{\eta}$, on foot, κοιν $\tilde{\eta}$ jointly, $i\delta lq$, privatim, privately, $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma lq$, publice, publicly; and the like. Compare in the following \S , Obs. 7. $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\eta$, and the like.

—the Accus.

άρχην and την ἀρχην, properly, in the beginning, outset, hence wholly,

προῖκα, GRATIS, without compensation, (from προῖξ, a gift,)

μακράν, (δδόν,) far, far off.

See also Obs. 3.—The neuter of an adj. is also an adverbial accus., when in the sing. or pl. it supplies the place of an adverb. But excepting the compar. and superl., of which we shall treat presently, this is chiefly peculiar to poets, (see § 128. Obs. 4.) and there are also a few adj., which are used adverbially in the neut. gender in prose; such are, for instance, $\tau a \chi v$, quickly, $\mu \kappa \rho v$, or $\mu \kappa \rho a$, little.

Obs. 3. There are many particles which originated in this manner, whose radical noun is not in use, or used only by poets. Datives of this kind are written without the ι subscript; ex. gr. $\epsilon i \kappa \tilde{\eta}$, in vain, $\delta \iota \chi \tilde{\eta}$, doubly, compare the following §. Of this kind are also the gen. $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \tilde{\eta} \dot{\xi}$, in order, $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi o \tilde{\upsilon}$, near, $\dot{\upsilon} \mu o \tilde{\upsilon}$, together (adj. $\dot{\upsilon} \mu \dot{\upsilon} \dot{\varsigma}$ with the Epics); the neuters $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \upsilon$, near, (adj. $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \varsigma$ with poets,) $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \upsilon \upsilon$, to-day, $\alpha \dot{\upsilon} \rho \iota \upsilon \upsilon$, to-morrow; and especially several in a, like $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$, $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha$, greatly, $\delta \dot{\iota} \chi \alpha$, separately, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha$, quickly, perhaps; and many more.

Obs. 4. If beside the neuters $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \dot{\theta} \dot{\nu}$ and $i \dot{\theta} \dot{\nu}$, we also meet with $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \dot{\theta} \dot{\nu} \dot{g}$ and $i \dot{\theta} \dot{\nu} \dot{g}$ as adverbs, (see § 117, 1.) it is only accidentally that the latter form is identical with the nomin. masc. of the adj,, and in these words as well as in $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \dot{\nu} \dot{g}$ the g is as much a part of the adverbial form, as it is in $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{q} \dot{i} \dot{g}$ from $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{q} \dot{i}$, $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho i \dot{g}$ for $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho i$, $\dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \alpha g$

for ἀτρέμα.

Obs. 5. Some are cases of nouns preceded by a prep.; ex. gr. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$, immediately, on the spot, (properly at the thing itself,) $\kappa \alpha \theta \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\kappa \alpha \theta \tilde{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$, (for $\kappa \alpha \theta'$ $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\kappa \alpha \theta'$ $\tilde{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$,) as,

διὸ, (δι' δ), wherefore; but διότι, (δι' δ, τι,) because, comes from διὰ τοῦτο, ὅτι— προύργου, (for πρὸ ἔργου,) literally for the benefit of the thing, (see § 147. πρὸ,) that is, for the purpose,

and among these too there are some, of which the noun is not in use by itself, ex. gr. $\xi \xi a i \phi \nu \eta c$, suddenly. Slight differences are to be observed in the spelling and accentuation of some compound expressions of this kind; ex. gr. $\xi \kappa \pi o \delta \omega \nu$, out of the way, aside, (for $\xi \kappa \pi o \delta \omega \nu$,) $\xi \mu \pi o \delta \omega \nu$, in the way, a hindrance, (this is at the same time contrary to syntax, instead of $\xi \nu \pi o \sigma i \nu$,) $\xi \pi i \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \omega$, (for $-\tilde{\omega}$, from a noun, $\sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \delta c$,) in order, successively.

5. With respect to the degrees of comparison, it is almost generally the case, that

the *neut. sing.* of the *compar.*, and the *neut. pl.* of the *superl.*,

serve at the same time as degrees of comparison for the adv.; ex. $gr. \sigma \circ \phi \acute{\omega} \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu$ $\pi \circ \iota \varepsilon \varsigma$, thou doest more wisely, a $\acute{\omega} \circ \iota \tau \circ \iota \varepsilon \circ \varepsilon$, he lived most shamefully. The degrees of comparison of the adv. are very seldom formed by annexing ω_{ς} to the degree of comparison of the adj., and when it is done, it is to heighten the expression; ex. $gr. \kappa \circ \iota \varepsilon \circ \iota$ is not merely more beautifully, but in a much more beautiful way; hence $\mu \circ \iota \varepsilon \circ \iota$ magnificently, can have no other comparative than $\mu \circ \iota \iota \varepsilon \circ \iota$.

6. There is an old adverbial termination ω instead of ως, whence οὕτως and οὕτω (§ 26, 4). This termination is peculiar to adverbs derived from adj., which are not in use, as ἄφνω, suddenly; ὁπίσω, behind, backwards; and also to some adverbs formed from prep. as ἔξω, without, outwards, ἔσω or εἴσω, ἄνω, κάτω, πρόσω and πόρρω 1. These form their degrees of comparison in the same manner, ἀνωτέρω, ἀνωτάτω. And degrees of comparison are similarly formed in some other particles; ex. gr. ἄπο, (far from, § 117. Obs. 3.) ἀπωτάτω, (very far off, most remote,) ἔνδον, (within,) ἐνδοτάτω, ἑκὰς, (afar,) ἑκαστέρω, ἀγχοῦ, (near,) ἀγχοτάτω, μακρὰν, (far off,) μακροτέρω.

7. The analogy of adj. in the formation of the degrees of comparison is strictly followed in all particles, which are susceptible of degrees of comparison without being derived from any adj. in use; ex. gr. ἐγγὺς, (near,) ἐγγυτέρω or ἐγγύτερον, &c.—or ἔγγιον, ἔγγιστα, and they are liable to the same peculiarities and devia-

¹ These two particles and the intermediate Doric πόρσω are properly of the same signif., but in common use $\pi ρόσω$ means before, in front, and $\pi όρ ρω$, ($\pi όρσω$,) at a distance, far.

tions, which we have just noticed. (See Obs. 6.)—Compare in particular with the forms of § 67, 3. and § 68.

ἄγχι, (near,) ἆσσον, ἄγχιστα, μάλα, (greatly,) μᾶλλον, μάλιστα,

and the following adverbial form of the compar. $\eta\sigma\sigma\omega\nu$, (§ 68, 2.) viz.

ήσσον, ήττον, (less,) ήκιστα (the least).

Obs. 6. We must also notice the peculiarities of the degrees of comparison in πέρα, (§ 117, 1.) περαίτερω or περαίτερον, πλησίον, πλησιαίτερον and -έστερον, νύκτωρ, νυκτιαίτερον, προύργου, προυργιαίτερον,

and from $i\theta \dot{\nu}$ (straight-forward) comes the Homeric $i\theta \dot{\nu} \nu \tau a \tau a$ (instead of $i\theta \dot{\nu} \tau a \tau a$, see § 114. p. 266. Note).—That some of these adverbs, on taking the degrees of comparison, become real adj, has already been noticed above, § 69, 2. and the Note.

Obs. 7. Some verbal forms, by being in constant use in the popular language, were also converted into particles, and chiefly became interjections. We have already mentioned εἶεν p. 213. Note:—ἄφελον, see the Anomalous ὀφείλω (and below § 150, among the particular locutions):— $τ\tilde{\eta}$, see the Anomalous ΤΑ:—ἰδον, lo! see the Anomalous ὁράω:—there is an old imper. of similar signif. ἠνίδε, abbreviated ἠνὶ and ἤν:—ἄγε, φέρε, ἴθι, ἄγρει, signify all four, come on, well!—See also ἀμέλει, § 150. All these imper. generally retain the sing. form, even when addressed to many individuals, except ἴτε and ἀγρεῖτε.

Obs. 8. The adv. δεῦρο, hither, is also employed as an imper. for come hither, in which case it has a pl, when addressed to many: δεῦτε, which is explained as an abbreviation of δεῦρ' ἴτε, which occurs in full, ex. gr. in Aristoph. Eccl. 882.

§ 116.—Particulæ Correlativæ.

(Compare \S 79. the Adjectives.)

1. Some *localities* are expressed by means of annexed syllables: on the question

whence? by $\theta \varepsilon \nu$, ex. gr. $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \circ \theta \varepsilon \nu$, from another place, whither? $\sigma \varepsilon$, — $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \circ \sigma \varepsilon$, to another place, where? $\theta \iota$, — $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \circ \theta \iota$, in another place.

Obs. 1. But the derivatives of οἶκος, $π\tilde{\alpha}$ ς, ἄλλος, ἔνδον, ἐκτὸς, as οἴκοθεν, πάντοσε, ἄλλοθι, ἔκτοθεν, follow the general rule.

2. The question whither? also admits the enclitic δ_{ε}

to be annexed to the word, and always close to the unaltered accus., ex. gr. où $\rho a \nu \acute{o} \nu \delta \epsilon$, into heaven; $\ddot{a} \lambda a \delta \epsilon$, (from $\ddot{a} \lambda_{\varsigma}$,) into the sea; $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \beta \acute{o} \sigma \delta \epsilon$, from $\tau \grave{o} \ \ddot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \beta o \varsigma$, &c.

Obs. 2. In οἴκαδε, homeward, to the house, and φύγαδε, to flight, (φυγὴ,) the α comes from accus. of metaplastic forms of the 3 decl., as those in § 56. Obs. 8., and in ' $A\theta$ ήναζε, Θήβαζε,

the δ along with the σ of the accus, pl, is become a ζ (according to § 22. Obs. 2). There are, however, a few words which take the ζ without being in the pl, as

θύραζε, 'Ολυμπίαζε, χαμᾶζε, ἔραζε.

- Obs. 3. Homer sometimes subjoins an adj. to the accus. in this form, ex. gr. $K\delta\omega\nu\delta^{\delta}$ εὐναιομένην, (Il. ξ. 255.) and even repeats this local termination, as if it were the termination of a case in ὅνδε δόμονδε, (to his house,) from ος δόμος.—But when the same poet appends this δε to the gen. in ἄιδόσδε, it is because this gen. generally is elliptical: εἰς ἄιδος, viz. δόμον (§ 132. Obs. 9).
- 3. On the question where? the termination $\sigma\iota\nu$ or $\sigma\iota$ is annexed to several names of cities, viz. $\eta\sigma\iota$ when there is a consonant preceding, and $\bar{a}\sigma\iota$ in case of a vowel, and retaining the accent of the radical word, $ex.\ gr.$

'Αθήνησι, Πλαταιᾶσιν, 'Ολυμπίασι

(from 'A $\theta \tilde{\eta} \nu a \iota$, $\Pi \lambda a \tau a \iota a \iota$, 'O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota a^{-1}$).—A few other words take the termination $o \iota$,

'Ισθμοῖ, Πυθοῖ, Μεγαροῖ,

4. The following three interrogatives refer to the three localities stated above, viz.:

 $\pi \acute{o} \theta \epsilon \nu$; whence? $\pi o \tilde{\imath}$; whither? $\pi o \tilde{\imath}$; where?

of which the first only agrees in form with the terminations stated at 1 (with poets also $\pi \acute{o}\theta \iota$ and $\pi \acute{o}\sigma \epsilon$, see Obs. 4). But

¹ The termination $\eta \sigma \iota$ is very generally spelled with the ι subscript, and 'Aθήνη $\sigma \iota$ is considered as the Ionic dat.; but the termination $a\sigma \iota$ shows that this way of spelling is incorrect. Yet these terminations certainly come originally from datives pl., only that the form was altered for this special purpose in pronunciation, and applied also (like the termination $a\zeta s$) to nouns in the sing. See the reverse of this in the following Note.—'Ολυμπιά $\sigma \iota$ with a short $\sigma \iota$ belongs to $\dot{\eta}$ 'Ολυμπιά $\sigma \iota$.

² This termination is in $\Pi v\theta \dot{\omega}$ the real dat,; in the other words it is the somewhat altered dat. of the 2 decl., which was also applied to names in the pl. (Μέγαρα,) and even to other words, as $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\alpha\nu\theta$ οῖ from $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\alpha\bar{\nu}\theta\alpha$ (see Text 6). These forms must, however, not be mistaken for correlatives of the following πo ī, whither, though this very $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\alpha\nu\theta$ οῖ sometimes actually answers the question whither; ea. gr. in Aristoph. Lys. 568. Plut. 608; and we must recollect that in the common language the correlatives of the different questions in general are easily confounded one with the other. See the Note to Soph. Philoct. 481. and about $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\alpha\nu\theta\sigma$, Buttm. $Compl.~Gr.~\S~116.~Obs.~23$.

these and some other interrogatives, of which the most usual are

πότε; and πηνίκα; when? πως; how?

 $\pi\tilde{\eta}$; in what direction? in what manner?

stand with their immediate correlatives, (indefinitum, demonstrativum, relativum,) again in the same analogy, which we observed above § 79. with regard to the correlative adj.

Interrog.	Indef.	Demonstr.	Relat.
	all enclitics		simpl. compos.
πότε;	ποτέ,	τότε,	ότε, όπότε,
ποῦ ;	πού,	-	$\circ \tilde{v}, - \tilde{\circ} \pi \circ v,$
$\pi \circ \tilde{\iota}$;	ποὶ,		οῖ,ὅποι,
$\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$;	ποθέν,	τόθεν,	$\ddot{\theta}$ εν, $-\dot{\delta}\pi\dot{\theta}$ εν,
$\pi \tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$;	πως,	τως,	$\dot{\omega}\varsigma$, $-6\pi\omega\varsigma$,
$\pi ilde{\eta}$;	$\pi \dot{\eta}$,	$ au ilde{\eta},$	$\tilde{\eta}, \qquad - \tilde{o}\pi \eta,^3$
πηνίκα;		τηνίκα,	ήνίκα, όπηνίκα.

Their signif. are supplied by the analogy of § 79; for instance, $\pi \sigma \tau \hat{\epsilon}$, once, $\pi \sigma \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, from any place whatever, &c.—And in the same way as the artic. postpos. $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$, independently of $\delta \sigma \tau_{\mathcal{C}}$, is also strengthened by $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ($\delta \sigma \tau_{\mathcal{C}} \rho$, &c.). Several relatives of this kind add $\pi \epsilon \rho$ for the like purpose: $\delta \sigma \sigma \tau_{\mathcal{C}} \rho$, $\delta \sigma \tau_{\mathcal{C}} \rho$, $\delta \sigma \tau_{\mathcal{C}} \rho$, $\delta \sigma \tau_{\mathcal{C}} \rho$.

Obs. 4. Of the poetical forms we will only just mention (for ποῦ, &c.)
πόθι, ποθὶ, τόθι, ὅθι and ὁπόθι.
and (for ποῦ and ὅποι)—πόσε, ὁπόσε, which forms are analogous to their particular correlatives (Text 1).

- 5. The demonstratives in this table are the primitive simple ones, like δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \delta$, among the adjectives; but $\tau \delta \tau \varepsilon$, then, at that time, is the only one in common use; the others occur merely in some particular locutions, or in poetry. Sometimes we also meet with $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$ as a more uncommon demonstrative instead of $\tau \dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$: it then takes the acute accent, to distinguish it from the relative $\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$.
- 6. But there are some other demonstratives, which, instead of τ , have a very different root, and particular meaning:

ἐκεῖ, (poetically ἐκεῖθι,) there, ἐκεῖθεν, thence, ἐκεῖσε, thither, answering the interrogatives ποῦ, πόθεν, and ποῖ, (Ion. and poetical; also κεῖθι, κεῖθεν, κεῖσε:)

δεύρο, hither, answering the interr. πότε;

³ See about the *i subscript* in these instances, Obs. 8.

To which must be added the following two, $\xi\nu\theta a$, here, there, there, there, there, there, there, there, there, there

which are at the same time relatives synonymous with $o\tilde{v}$ and

 $\delta\theta_{\varepsilon\nu}$, and commonly used in prose.

7. Of the demonstrative adverbial forms, which we have mentioned, there are five susceptible of being strengthened in the way stated above in § 79, 5. whence are derived the demonstratives generally used in prose, in the following manner, (compare § 14. Obs. 3. with regard to their accentuation,)

τηνίκα,	τηνικάδε,	. τηνικαῦτα.	
ἔνθα,	ἐνθάδε,⁴	ἐνθαῦτα Ιοπ., ἐνταῦθα Att.	
ἔνθεν,	ένθένδε,	ενθεῦτεν Ion., εντεῦθεν Att.	
$ au ilde{\eta},$	τῆδε,	ταύτη.	
ώς,	ώδε,	οὕτως, οr οὕτω.	

See about the two last series Obs. 7.

8. Part of these demonstrative adverbial forms take moreover the ι demonstrativum, (§ 80. Obs. 3.)

for instance,

ούτωσὶ from ούτωσὶν, (see § 80. Obs. 3.) ἐντευθενὶ, ἐνθαδὶ, ώδὶ, δευρὶ from δεῦρο, νυνὶ from νῦν.

However $\partial \nu \tau a \bar{\nu} \theta a$, in this respect, forms, besides $\partial \nu \tau a \nu \theta a$, more commonly $\partial \nu \tau a \nu \theta a$, see the *Note* p. 294.

9. The relative adverbial forms, (like the adj., § 80.) to generalize their signif. more intensely, annex

οὖν and δήποτε,

for instance, ὁπουοῦν, wheresoever, wherever, ὁπωσοῦν, (and with the insertion of τι, ὁπωστιοῦν,) ὁπουδήποτε, &c.

Obs. 5. In the same way as the corresponding adj. § 79. form other correlatives by adding their characteristic terminations to other general expressions, (as $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\lambda\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{o}g$, $\mu\alpha\nu\tau\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{o}g$, &c. § 79. Obs. 2.) so do the adverbs; ex. gr. $\ddot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\sigma\tau\epsilon$, at another time, $\ddot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\eta$, (on the question $\pi\tilde{\eta}$,) in another way or manner, &c. $\pi\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\omega g$, $\pi\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\eta$, (as responsive to $\pi\tilde{\omega}g$, $\pi\tilde{\eta}$), in every way, entirely, &c. $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\tilde{o}\tilde{u}$, $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\tilde{o}\theta\iota$, (answering to $\pi\tilde{o}\tilde{u}$, $\pi\tilde{o}\theta\iota$,) in that place, there, &c. But the adverbs derived from $\tilde{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\sigma g$, $\pi\tilde{o}\lambda\dot{\nu}g$, $\pi\tilde{a}g$, and $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau\sigma g$, are commonly strengthened by the insertion of the letters $\alpha\chi$, for instance,

άλλαχοῦ, elsewhere, πανταχοῦ, πολλαχοῦ, in all, in many places, ἐκασταχόθεν, from every side, ἀλλαχῆ, &c.

⁴ This demonstrative $\delta \epsilon$ must not be confounded with the $\delta \epsilon$ which answers the question whither, (see above 2.) though the ancient authors themselves have sometimes been guilty of this confusion even in the very word $\epsilon \nu \theta \acute{a} \delta \epsilon$. See the Note to Soph. Philoct. 481.

Obs. 6. There are likewise negatives made of most of these forms: from $\pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon$, $\pi \widetilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{L}}$, and $\tau \acute{c}_{\mathcal{L}}$, by a mere juxtaposition,

οὔποτε, μήποτε, never, οὔπως, μήπως, in no way, by no means.

These last forms in Homer throw the σ off before a consonant, ($\sigma \pi \omega$, $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$, Ω . γ . 306. ρ . 422.) and must, in that case, not be confounded with the particle of time $\pi \dot{\omega}$ ($\sigma \ddot{\nu} \pi \omega$, $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$, not yet). But the negatives are more generally derived from the old adj. $\sigma \dot{\nu} \dot{\sigma} a \mu \dot{\sigma} c$, $\mu \eta \dot{\sigma} a \mu \dot{\sigma} c$, none.

οὐδαμῶς, by no means, not at all, οὐδαμῆ, οὐδαμοῦ, οὐδαμόθεν, &c.

Obs. 7. The simple demonstrative and relative forms are obviously derived from the artic. præpos. and postpos., of which they partly are real cases, $\tau \tilde{y}$, \tilde{y} , $o\tilde{v}$, and of for $\tilde{\phi}$, (compare the 2d Note to § 116. p. 294.) but the others are adverbial derivations from them, for just as $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, and $\tau \dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$, are adverbs of quality derived from $\tilde{v}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\tilde{v}_{\mathcal{C}}$, so are $\tilde{o}\theta \varepsilon \nu$, $\tilde{o}\tau \varepsilon$, formed from them with other terminations 5. The forms $\tau \alpha \dot{v}\tau \gamma$, $\tau \tilde{y}\tilde{v}\varepsilon$, $\tilde{o}\tilde{v}\tau \omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda \eta$, $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda \omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, derived directly from other pronouns, and $o\tilde{v}\tau \omega$, $\tilde{\omega}\tilde{\varepsilon}\varepsilon$, (from $\tilde{o}\tilde{\varepsilon}\varepsilon$), have the adverbial form ω for $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$. To answer the interrogatives $\pi \tilde{\eta}$ and $\pi \tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}\varepsilon \tilde{v}\tilde{v}\tilde{v}$ gives $\tilde{\varepsilon}\varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}v \gamma \tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}\varepsilon \tilde{v}\omega c$. The forms $\pi o\tilde{v}$, $\pi \tilde{\eta}$, $\pi \tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$, &c. and others, of which there is no nomin. occurring, as $\pi \dot{\alpha}\nu\tau \eta$, $\pi \alpha\nu\tau \alpha\chi v\tilde{v}$, &c. follow the analogy of the others.

Obs. 3. The iota subscript should properly not be placed under η , according to § 115. Obs. 3. in those forms of which there is no real nomin. as the radical word; therefore it should be $\pi\tilde{\eta}$, $\tilde{\upsilon}\pi\eta$, $\pi\acute{a}\nu\tau\eta$, $\acute{a}\lambda\lambda\alpha\chi\tilde{\eta}$, but $\tilde{\eta}$, $\tau\tilde{\eta}$, $\tau a\acute{\nu}\tau\eta$, $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\eta$. The former, however, are frequently written like the latter for the sake of uniformity.

Obs. 9. Whenever the forms $\tau \acute{o}\tau \epsilon$ and $\"{o}\tau \epsilon$ occur twice (and sometimes even only once,) for $\pi o \tau \grave{\epsilon} - \pi o \tau \grave{\epsilon} - sometimes - sometimes - (§ 149.) they are accented <math>\tau o \tau \grave{\epsilon} - , \acute{o}\tau \grave{\epsilon} - .$

Obs. 10. Dialects. a.) The Epics double the π for the sake of the metre in $\mathring{o}\pi\pi\omega c$, $\mathring{o}\pi\pi\acute{o}\tau \epsilon$, &c.

- b.) the Ionians substitute a κ in all the above forms for the π , for instance, $\kappa \tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\kappa \tilde{v}$, $\tilde{v} \kappa \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\tilde{v} \kappa \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\tilde{v} \kappa \tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{L}}$, \tilde{v}
- c.) the Dorians have for $\pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon$, $\acute{o} \tau \epsilon$, &c.— $\pi \acute{o} \kappa \alpha$, $\acute{o} \kappa \alpha$, &c.—ibid.
- d.) the Poets have the shortened form $\theta \varepsilon$, ex. gr. $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda o\theta \varepsilon$, $\mathring{\epsilon}\kappa\tau o\sigma\theta \varepsilon$.

e.) instead of \tilde{y} there is an Epic form $\tilde{y}\chi\iota$ or $\tilde{\eta}\chi\iota$.

f.) $\tau \epsilon i \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\epsilon i \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, are Epic forms for $\tau i \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\epsilon i \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, whence follows that $\tau \epsilon i \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\epsilon i \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ must be read in all those verses of Hom. where $\tau i \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $i \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ are met with in a Trochaius.

§ 117.—Mutability of some other Particles.

A. In the Letters.

1. There are some steady rules for varying $o\dot{v}$, $o\dot{v}\chi$, and $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$, $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$, according to § 26. for euphony's sake; and some particles end for the same reason in a moveable ν or ϵ . This is sometimes attended with a difference in the signif. Of this kind are

 $\pi \ell \rho a \nu$, (trans,) beyond, chiefly of rivers and waters;—but $\pi \ell \rho a$, (ultra,) over, across, farther, where the object is

⁵ Compare the gen. of the same terminations in some pronouns with the termination $\theta o \nu$: see § 72. Obs. 6, 5.

considered as a limit or boundary. Both are preposition and adverb: see Buttm. Lexilogus, II. 69.

ἀντικρὸ and ἄντικρυς (with a different accent). Homer uses the first form in all signif. indiscriminately; but with respect to the Attics the grammarians state it as a rule that ἀντικρὸ is employed only in the physical sense over-against, straight-forward, but ἄντικρυς, in the figurative sense, straight-forward, without any ceremony, &c. But there are numbers of contrary examples each way. See Buttm. Compl. Gr. Gr.

εὐθὺς and εὐθὺ (see § 115. Obs. 4). As adverb of time, (immediately,) εὐθὺς alone is used; but as adverb of place, (straight-forward, directly to,) commonly εὐθὺ, (ex. gr. εὐθὺ Λυκείου, εὐθὺ Ἐφέσου,) but before a vowel rarely εὐθὺς (Eurip. Hipp. 1197). The Ionic forms ἰθὺς, ἰθὺ, are used indifferently, and barely as adverbs of place.

2. The following varieties take place, without any difference in the signif.; they belong to the Attic poets, or are used by them, though Ionic forms:—

 $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$, $\dot{\eta}\nu$, $\dot{a}\nu$, if, (see § 139. A. 2.): the a is long in $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$

and åv.

σήμερον, Att. τήμερον, to-day, $\chi \theta \grave{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\grave{\epsilon}_{\chi} \theta \grave{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{C}}$, yesterday. σὺν, anciently ξὲν, with, εἰς, Ion. ἐς, in.

 $\hat{\epsilon}_{\nu}$, Ion. $\hat{\epsilon}_{\nu}$ i, in, see below 3.

åsì, Ion. and poet. alsì and alèv, always.

ἕνεκα, or ἕνεκεν, (the latter even before a consonant, ex. gr. Xen. Hier. 3, 4, 5, 1.) Ion. εΐνεκα, εΐνεκεν, on account of. ἔπειτα, Ion. ἔπειτεν, afterwards.

οτι, in the popular language, (Aristoph.) and δτιή, because, compare § 77. Obs. 2.

instead of ov, not, no, and vai, yes, the Attics emphatically use ovχì, (Ion. οὐκὶ,) ναίχι, § 11. Obs. 2.

Obs. 1. There are some other varieties in the dialects:

for $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$, (to, at,) anciently $\pi\rho\sigma\tau$ i, $Dor. \pi\sigma\tau$ i, for $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$, (with, &c.) Æol. $\pi\epsilon\delta\dot{a}$, for $\sigma\ddot{\nu}\nu$, (therefore,) Dor. and Ion. $\ddot{\omega}\nu$,

for αὖθις, (again,) Ion. αὖτις,

for $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}$, $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, (an enclitic particle used by Poets instead of $\tilde{a}\nu$, § 139,) Dor. $\kappa \bar{a}$, for $\gamma \dot{\epsilon}$, (at least,) Dor. $\gamma \check{a}$,

for εί, (ί/), Dor. αί, which the Epics also use, but only in αἴ κε, αἰ γὰρ, and αἴθε.

There are besides some Epic forms $\dot{\eta}$ è for $\ddot{\eta}$, (or, than,)— $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\dot{\eta}$ for $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\dot{\delta}\dot{\eta}$, (as, since,)— $\dot{\epsilon}i\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}i\nu\dot{\iota}$, for $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ or $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\iota}$.

A few prepositions, especially $\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha}$ and $\dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\nu}$, take, in the old poets, the termination $a\iota$ instead of α and $a\iota$, $\pi a \rho a \dot{\iota}$, $\dot{\nu} \pi a \dot{\iota}$.

See some other dialectic varieties in the preceding Section.

Obs. 2. The conjunction $\ddot{a}pa$, and the prepositions $\pi ap\dot{a}$ and $\dot{a}\nu\dot{a}$, with the Dorians and Epics frequently throw off the vowel even before a consonant, $\dot{a}p$, $\pi \dot{a}p$, $\dot{a}\nu$, (or $\dot{a}\nu$,) ex. gr.

οὖτ' ὰρ φρένας, πὰρ θεῷ, ὰν δέ.

When in this case $\dot{a}\nu$ comes before a labial letter, the old practice is to write, instead of $\dot{a}\nu$ $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\gamma\sigma c$, $\dot{a}\nu$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\alpha$, and the like

άμπέλαγος, άμμέγα:

see § 25. Obs. 4. The same apocope takes place with the prep. $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$, but as no word can end with a τ , this prep. is closely joined to the following words, and constantly converts the τ into the following consonant, which consequently is doubled, or if it be an aspirata, (according to § 21, 3.) with the kindred tenuis before, thus, $\kappa \alpha \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$, $\kappa \alpha \delta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$, $\kappa \alpha \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, $\kappa \alpha \gamma \phi \nu \nu^1$, $\kappa \alpha \pi \phi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \rho \alpha$,

for κατὰ τὸν, κατὰ δὲ, κατὰ μὲν, κατὰ γόνυ, κατὰ φάλαρα, &c.

The Doric $\pi \sigma \tau i$ (for $\pi \rho \delta c$) does the same, but merely before another τ , ex. gr. $\pi \sigma \tau \tau \delta \nu$, for $\pi \sigma \tau i \tau \delta \nu^2$. All these changes also take place in the compounds, as:

παρθέμενοι, παρστᾶσα,

άνστάντες, άννείμη, άλλέξαι, άγξηραίνω,

καττανύσαι, κατθανεῖν, καββάς, κακκείοντες, κάλλιπον,

καμμύω, καννεύσας, κάππεσε, καβρέζω, κακχεῦαι, and on account of the meeting of three consonants κάκτανε, κάσχεθε, for κάκκτανε, κάσσχεθε,

and the prep. $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{v}\pi\dot{\delta}$ are abbreviated in the same manner in compounds, but only rarely and merely before kindred consonants, $\dot{\alpha}\pi\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\epsilon\nu$, $\dot{v}\beta\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\epsilon\nu$.

B.—Mutability of the Accent.

- 3. Several dissyllabic *prep.*, which have the accent on the final syllable, as $\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha}$, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta}$, $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\delta}$, &c., draw the accent back in the following two instances:—
 - 1.) When in the

Anastrophe 3,

they stand behind the noun, which they govern, ex. gr.

τούτου πέρι, for περὶ τούτου, θεῶν ἄπο, for ἀπὸ θεῶν:

the prep. ἀμφὶ, ἀντὶ, διὰ, and ἀνὰ, are, however, excepted;

2. When they are employed instead of compounds with the verb εἶναι; or rather, when, this verb being omitted, they

¹ It follows of course that, in this single instance, $\gamma\gamma$ is not pronounced like ng,

but like gg.

² Many modern editors write separately $\mathring{a}\mu \pi \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \gamma \sigma_{c}$, $\kappa \grave{a}\delta \delta \acute{\epsilon}$, $\kappa \grave{a}\mu \mu \grave{\epsilon}\nu$, $\kappa \grave{a}\gamma \gamma \acute{\rho}\nu\nu$, $\pi \eth \tau \tau \eth \nu$, and so on; whereby writing separates what pronunciation combines. If we wish for consistency, we must, since we part the EMMYPI of the ancients into $\grave{\epsilon}\nu \pi \nu \rho \grave{\epsilon}$, write also $\mathring{a}\nu \pi \acute{\epsilon}\lambda \alpha \gamma \sigma \varsigma$. But then $\kappa \grave{a}\tau \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ follows of course, and this is objectionable. It is therefore better to write $\kappa \alpha \delta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$, $\kappa \alpha \tau \tau \eth \nu$, and the like, as we write $\theta o \grave{\iota} \mu \acute{a}\tau \iota o \nu$, $o \grave{\nu}\pi \grave{\iota}$, $\grave{\epsilon}\gamma \psi \eth \delta \alpha$, and the like.

³ This denomination was already equivocal among the ancients, since it was used for the withdrawing of the accent in both the instances stated. See Buttm. Compl.

Gr. Gr.

stand alone as *adverbs*, in which case the common language also has the Ionic $\hat{\epsilon}\nu\hat{\iota}$ instead of $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$, ex. gr.

έγω πάρα for πάρειμι, ἔπι, ἔνι, ὕπο, for ἔπεστιν, &c. to which belongs also ἄνα for ἀνάστηθι, up! up!

Obs. 3. Strict critics accent the prep. in the same way, even when they come in poetry after the verb; ex. gr. $\lambda o \dot{\nu} \sigma \eta$ ä πo for à $\pi o \lambda o \dot{\nu} \sigma \eta$, and when they attend the verb as an adverb, ex. gr. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota$, very, pre-eminently. We likewise write ä πo , when this prep. does not merely signify from, but severed from, at a distance from (compare § 115, 6). There is, however, as yet no uniformity in our editions in this respect, or with regard to the exceptions stated above. Another rule is that, when in the anastrophe the prep. is elided, it is not to have any accent whatever; $\theta \epsilon \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi' - \text{not } \theta \epsilon \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi' - \text{but not in the second instance, ex. gr. où <math>\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \delta \pi' \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\gamma} \rho$ (for $\delta \pi \sigma \sigma \nu \nu$). See the mutability of the accent in monosyllabic prep. § 147. Obs. 13. and about $\delta \xi$ and $\delta \xi$, δg and δg , and the like, § 13, 4.

Obs. 4. The interjection $\tilde{\omega}$ has likewise a double accent: the circumflex only in the sense of a call or exhortation, consequently before the vocative; but in the sense of an ejaculation, that is to say before any other case, the acute or grave accent; ex. gr. Soph. Aj. 372. $\tilde{\omega}$ δύσμορος, $\tilde{\sigma}_{S}$ μεθῆκα, (0, how unhappy I am!) $\tilde{\omega}$ $\tau \tilde{\eta}_{S}$ ἀναιδείας, (0, what impudence!) $\tilde{\omega}$ μοι, (woe is me!) and the same in the Epic exclamation $\tilde{\omega}$ πόποι. But it is with this rule as with the preceding ones: see

Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.

§ 118.—Of the Formation of Words.

- 1. The formation of words, in the full sense of the expression, is not within the compass of grammar. The analogies of the primitive stock of any language are generally so obscured and disjointed by time, and the intermixture of tribes,—they are combated by such a variety of contradictory opinions, and so difficult to be cleared up with any reasonable degree of certainty, that they require extensive and deep philological researches, which are necessarily kept distinct from the theory of grammatical forms. Grammar presupposes the existence of a number of words to be found in dictionaries, and leaves the investigation of their relative bearings and analogy to individual observation.
- 2. There is, however, a kind of derivations (which on that account may be considered as more recent) so complete and steady, that they may be brought under one point of view; this facilitates and accelerates so much the knowledge of the language, that grammar cannot refuse to them a place, especially as the analogies of this kind of formation of words are mostly

grounded in the analogy of inflections, and may even be regarded as a continuation of the inflections 1.

3. This Section, however, is necessarily confined to verbs, substantives, adjectives, and adverbs; the other parts of speech belong to the primitive stock of the language, and have already been partly investigated in other Sections. Derivation itself regards either, 1.) the terminations of words; or, 2.) their composition.

§ 119.—Derivation by Terminations.

1. The annexion of terminations was regulated in Greek by two principles; the endeavour to give a similar termination to similar signif., and the wish to adapt that termination to the radical word in the best manner possible. But the collision of these principles tended to confuse the analogy in two ways:—

1.) The same signif. is frequently allotted to several different forms; 2.) Terminations confined at first to particular forms of the radical words, (ex. gr. verbs in $\acute{a}\omega$ from a,— $\acute{o}\omega$ from $o\varsigma$, $o\nu$,) were transferred to other radical words, whose form no longer agreed with them, (as $\acute{a}\omega$ from $o\varsigma$,— $\acute{o}\omega$ from a, &c.) whenever a certain fixed signif. had been attached to several words of the same termination.

I.—Verbs.

2. Our attention here is chiefly directed to *verbs* derived from *nouns* (*substantive* and *adjective*). This derivation is most commonly effected by the terminations,

άω, έω, όω, εύω, άζω, ίζω, αίνω, ύνω.

These terminations take the place of the final syllable of the nomin., when the radical noun is of the first or second declension, and, if the noun be of the third declension, whenever its nomin. ends in a vowel, or a ς preceded by a vowel, $ex.\ gr.\ \tau\iota\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\tau\iota\mu\dot{\alpha}\omega,\ \pi\tau\varepsilon\rho\dot{\delta}\nu\ \pi\tau\varepsilon\rho\dot{\delta}\omega,\ \theta a\tilde{\nu}\mu a\ \theta a\nu\mu\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega,\ \dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\eta}\varsigma\ \dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\varepsilon\dot{\omega}$: in other words of the third declension the verbal terminations take the place of the $o\varsigma$ of the gen.; $ex.\ gr.\ \kappa\dot{\delta}\lambda a\xi\ \kappao\lambda a\kappa\varepsilon\dot{\omega}\omega,\ \pi\tilde{\nu}\rho\ (\pi\tilde{\nu}\rho\dot{\delta}\varsigma)$ $\pi\tilde{\nu}\rho\dot{\delta}\omega$.

Obs. 1. The nomin. of the third declension in α , $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}$, and $\iota_{\mathcal{C}}$, which take the consonant in the gen., can only pass over into kindred verbal terminations, (α and $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}$ into

¹ We only give a general outline; the filling up is left to individual study and observation. Several distinct, but less extensive analogies have purposely been omitted, that the review of the main points might not be too much encumbered.

άζω, αίνω—ις into ίζω,) ex. gr. θαῦμα θαυμάζω and θαυμαίνω, ἐλπὶς ἐλπίζω: any other termination, which is not congenial to that of the noun, is appended to the consonant of the gen., ex. gr. φυγὰς φυγαδεύω, χρῆμα χρηματίζω.

- 3. With respect to the signif. of these terminations, we can only refer to the common use of the language, and notice the fundamental meanings of the majority of the *verbs* of each given termination.
 - a.—έω and εύω: these verbs are derived from almost all terminations, and denote chiefly the situation or the action of him whom the radical noun designates; ex. gr. κοίρανος, a ruler, κοιρανέω, to rule; κοινωνὸς, a partner, κοινωνέω, to take a part, to share in; δοῦλος, a slave, δουλεύω, to be a slave, to serve; κόλαξ, a flatterer, κολακεύω, to flatter; ἀληθης, true, ἀληθεύω, to be true, speak the truth; βασιλεύς, βασιλεύω, &c.: most commonly they are intrans., but sometimes also trans., as φίλος, a friend, φιλέω, I love.

These two terminations are in general the most frequent derivatives, and denote besides a great many relations, which also are partly expressed by the following terminations, especially the practice of what the radical noun denotes, ex. gr. πολεμεῖν, ἀθλεῖν, πομπεύειν, χορεύειν, φονεύειν, βουλεύειν, or what is most usually done with the object which the noun designates, ex. gr. αὐλὸς, a flute, αὐλεῖν, to play on the flute; ἀγορὰ, a public assembly, ἀγορεύειν, to address an assembly; ἐππεύειν, to ride on horseback, &c. The termination έω, as the easiest of all, is mostly used in compound derivations, as εὐτυχέω, ἐπιχειρέω, οἰκοδομέω, ἐργολαβέω, μνησικακέω, &c.; and most verbs in έω are generally intrans.

b.—άω: These verbs come most naturally from nouns of the first declension in a and η, but pass also to others, and express chiefly having a thing or quality, and performing an action; ex. gr. κόμη, hair of the head, χολὴ, bile,—κομᾶν, to let the hair grow long; χολᾶν, to be bilious, or irascible; λίπος, fatness, fat, λιπᾶν, to be fat; βοὴ, a clamor, γόος, grief, mourning,—βοᾶν, γοᾶν; τόλμα, boldness, τολμᾶν, to be bold. Hence, when any of these verbs denotes the applying of such a thing to another, it is transitive; τιμὴ, honor, τιμᾶν τινα, to honor any

one 1.—See also below the verbs denoting diseases, 5, 1.

c.—όω, mostly from nouns of the second declension. These verbs denote, 1.) making the object to which they are applied the thing expressed by the radical word, δουλόω, to make one a slave, δηλόω, to make known (from δῆλος, known); 2.) converting into what the radical noun expresses, or imparting the qualities of that noun, by labor: χρυσόω, I gild; μιλτόω, I stain or color with red (μίλτος, red-lead); πυρόω, I set on fire; τορνόω, I turn with a lathe; 3.) providing with the thing expressed by the noun; στεφανόω, I crown; πτερόω, I furnish with

wings, (πτερου,) σταυρόω, I crucify, &c.

d.—άζω and ίζω—the first termination is most naturally used with words in a, η, aς, &c.; but for the sake of euphony also with other terminations. Both terminations comprise so many meanings, that they cannot be reduced to particular classes, ex. gr. δικάζω, χειμάζω, δρίζω, μελίζω, θερίζω, λακτίζω, &c. It may, however, be noticed, that, when these terminations serve to make verbs of the proper names of individuals and nations, they denote adopting the manners, party, and language of those persons or nations; for instance, Μηδίζειν, to have the sentiments and opinions of a Mede; Ἑλληνίζειν, to speak Greek; Δωριάζειν, to speak in the Doric dialect; Φιλιππίζειν, to be of the party of Philippus: see also 5, 2.

e.—aίνω and ύνω:—this last termination always is derived from adjectives, and denotes imparting the quality of the adj., ex. gr. ήδύνειν, to sweeten, σεμνύνειν, to make venerable, revere. The adj., whose degrees of comparison in ίων, ιστος, seem to presuppose an old positive in υς, form their derivative verbs after this positive, ex. gr. αἰσχρὸς, (αἰσχίων, from ΑΙΣΧΥΣ,)—αἰσχύνω, and μακρὸς, καλὸς, μηκύνω, καλλύνω, &c. The verbs in αίνω frequently have the same signif., λευκαίνειν, to whiten; κοιλαίνειν,

It may be matter of surprise here, and in similar instances, that the abstract noun should be the parent of the rerb; but this frequently is the case when the subst, as is very usual in all languages, is derived from an old simple rerb, and then forms a new rerb, which renders the old one obsolete. This is evidently the case with $\tau i\omega$, $\tau \iota \mu i \gamma$, $\tau \iota \mu i \omega$: we may therefore presuppose it in others, as $\beta o i \gamma$, $\nu i \kappa i \gamma$. Analogy, at all events, requires us to derive, if there be no other reason, the fuller termination from the lighter one; though it may occasionally happen that such a word as $\beta o i \omega$ existed before, and that the simple word $\beta o i \gamma$ was derived from it according to the analogy of others, which were exactly the reverse.

to hollow out, &c. Yet several of these verbs are also neuter: $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, δυσχεραίνειν, to be angry, &c. and sometimes they are derived from subst., especially from those in $\mu \alpha$, (σῆμα σημαίνω, δεῖμα δειμαίνω,) with various signif.

4. There is another peculiar way of making verbs of nouns, by merely changing the terminations of the latter into ω , in which case the preceding syllable is, according to this consonant, strengthened in the manner in which we have seen prestenses strengthened above § 92.

Thus ποικίλος gives ποικίλλω, ἄγγελος ἀγγέλλω, καθαρὸς καθαίρω, μαλακὸς μαλάσσω, φάρμακον φαρμάσσω, μείλιχος μειλίσσω, πυρετὸς πυρέσσω, χαλεπὸς χαλέπτω, &c. The signif. is constantly derived from the most general signif. of the radical noun.

- 5. To these must be added the following more limited classes of derivative *verbal* forms:—
 - 1.) Desideratives, verbs expressive of a desire or longing for; they are most commonly formed by changing the fut. (of the verb, the purport of which is desired,) σω—into a pres. tense, σείω, γελασείω, I long to have a laugh, should like to laugh, πολεμησείω, I wish for war, long to fight, &c.

There is another form of desideratives in άω or ιάω, which properly comes from substantives, ex. gr. θανατᾶν, to wish for death, desire to die; στρατηγιᾶν, to wish for a military command, desire to command an army. But they are also made of verbs, which are previously converted into subst., ex. gr. ὧνεῖσθαι, (ὧνητῆς,)—ὧνητιᾶν, to desire to buy, long to become a buyer; κλαίω, (κλαῦσις,)—κλαυσιᾶν, to wish to weep.

This form of verbs very naturally passed into a kind of imitatives, ex. gr. $\tau \nu \rho a \nu \nu \iota \tilde{q} \nu$, to play the tyrant; but it is very improper to rank verbs, denoting diseases, in the same class, as $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \iota \tilde{q} \nu$, $\delta \delta \epsilon \rho \iota \tilde{q} \nu$, $\psi \omega \rho \tilde{q} \nu$, &c., which rather belong above to 3, b.

2.) Frequentatives in ζω, ex. gr. ριπτάζειν, (from ρίπτειν,) to toss about, MED. to toss one's self about, be uneasy; στενάζειν, (from στένειν,) to groan very much; αὶτεῖν, to ask earnestly, αἰτίζειν, to beg alms; ἕρπειν, to creep, ἑρπύζειν, to crawl slowly.

3.) Inchoatives in $\sigma\kappa\omega$, § 112, 10. Obs. 6.

II.—Substantives.

6. We begin with those substantives

A. which are directly derived from verbs. We must, how-

ever, previously observe in general,

- 1.) That the terminations and inflections, especially those beginning with a σ, conform themselves to the analogy of the fut. 1.; those with μ and τ to that of the perf. pass., and those with a vowel to the analogy of the perf. 2., but that this conformity is a necessary one only in instances grounded in the fundamental rules of the language; ex. gr. of the subst. in σις, with the fut. in σω, in ἐξετάζω—άσω—ασις, τρίβω, τρίψω, τρῖψις, of those in μὸς, μα, μη, with the 1 pers. perf. pass. in πλέκω, πέπλεγμαι, πλέγμα, &c. In all the others, where the rules are less steady, there is a frequent, but not necessary conformity between the terminations of verbs and of subst. derived from them, of which the principal varieties are stated in this Section.
- 2.) That the terminations beginning with a vowel (as η , $o_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\epsilon v_{\mathcal{C}}$,) are formed from verbs contracted in $\epsilon \omega$ and $\delta \omega$ in such a manner that the ϵ and $\delta \omega$ are dropped $\delta \omega$, excepting, however, the smaller $\delta \omega$, which cannot lose their vowel, since it belongs to the root, but can only change it $\delta \omega$, $\delta \omega$.

Obs. 2. A σ is inserted before τ and μ in the perf. and aor. 1. pass. in words derived from verbs, whose characteristic letter is a lingual, excepting a few poetical forms (§ 102. Obs. 1. $\theta av\mu a\tau \delta c$). But those derived from what are called verba pura, sometimes insert the σ and sometimes not, without any regard to the inflection of the verb. When there is no σ inserted, we may on the whole conform in all terminations to the analogy of the fut., ex. gr. in $\theta \epsilon a\tau \eta c$, $\theta \epsilon a\mu a$, $\theta \tilde{\nu} \mu a$, the vowel is long as in $\theta \epsilon a\sigma o\mu a\iota$, $\theta v\sigma \omega$, yet with this limitation, that the terminations beginning with σ and τ , sometimes shorten the long vowel, especially when the verb itself shortens it in the aor. 1. pass. See above, § 95. Obs. 4, with the Note, and below, Obs. 5 and 7. But those beginning with μ conform in this respect almost generally to the analogy of the fut. 1., neglecting even the perf. pass.; see 7. a.

² It follows from the Note to p. 303, that there must be some reason for supposing that the fuller sounding rerb was in existence before the subst. It is moreover obvious that, when the fuller rerbal form is merely a lengthened old form, it is perfectly indifferent whether we say, for instance, that KTYHQ comes from $\kappa\tau\nu$ again from the latter, or whether, for brevity's sake, we rather consider in all such cases the usual rerbal form as a radical form.

7. The following terminations chiefly denote the action or effect of the *verb*,

 $\mu \delta \varsigma$, $\mu \eta$, μa , $\sigma \iota \varsigma$, $\sigma \iota a$, η or a, $o \varsigma$ masc., $o \varsigma$ neut.

a.— $\mu \delta \varsigma$, $\mu \eta$ or $\mu \dot{\eta}$, $\mu \alpha$, gen. $\tau \delta \varsigma$. These terminations may be compared with the perf. pass., but those in uoc, when there is a vowel preceding in the radical word, take generally the o, whilst the other two do not always take it, even when the perf. pass. has the o, and those which do not take the σ retain the long vowel of the fut. even when it is shortened in the perf. pass. (yet so that some are fluctuating between η and ε,) ex. gr. τίθημι, (τέθειμαι,) —θεσμός, θέμα or θημα, δέω, (δέδεμαι,)—δεσμός, δέμα, διάδημα, γιγνώσκω, (έγνωσμαι,)-γνώμη, λύω, (λέλυμαι,)λυμα. With respect to signif. the nouns in μὸς properly denote the real abstractum, ex. gr. πάλλω παλμός, the act of brandishing; δδύρομαι όδυρμος, the act of wailing; οἰκτείρω οἰκτιρμὸς, commiseration; λύζω, (λύξω,) λυγμὸς, sobbing; σείω, σεισμός, trembling. The termination μα, on the contrary, rather denotes the effect of the verb as a concretum, and even the object itself, so that it corresponds most to the neut. part. perf. pass., ex. gr. πράγμα, what has been done, deed, business; μίμημα, imitation, that is, resemblance; σπείρω, σπέρμα, what has been sown, seed, &c. The termination un fluctuates between both; ex. gr. μνήμη, memory, ἐπιστήμη, knowledge, τιμή, honor,—στιγμή, a point, γραμμή, a line, which differ only in collateral meanings from στίγμα, mark, γράμμα, a written character, a writing.

Obs. 3. Some words in μὸς of the primitive language have merely the vowel before the μ without the σ , ex. gr. δειμὸς, fear, κρυμὸς, frost, cold;—or they have a θ instead of the σ , ex. gr. ὀρχηθμὸς, dancing, from ὀρχέομαι, μυκηθμὸς, κλαυθμὸς, μηνιθμὸς, &c. βαθμὸς, (properly, stepping, from βαίνω,) hence step; even after the ρ , as σ καρθμὸς, from σ καίρω³.

Obs. 4. The above differences in point of signif. must be noticed as a basis; but always remembering, that not only in poetry, but also in popular language, the meanings of words in the abstract and concrete frequently run one into the other. Thus, for instance, $\lambda\alpha\chi\mu\dot{\rho}_{\mathcal{C}}$, (compare § 23. Obs.) $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\mu\dot{\rho}_{\mathcal{C}}$, do not signify casting lots, delivering oracles, but lot, oracle, whilst $\phi\rho\dot{\rho}\nu\eta\mu\alpha$ signifies mind, intelligence, inclination.

b.—σις, σία denote the real abstractum of the verb with very

³ Compare also the derivations from $I\Omega$, $\epsilon l\mu = l\mu \alpha$, step, pace, and $i\sigma\theta\mu\delta c$, (properly walk, way, hence) narrow neck of land between two seas, isthmus; and from $\delta\omega$, to breathe, $\delta\sigma\theta\mu\alpha$, difficulty of breathing, asthma.

little deviation; ex. gr. μ i μ n σ i ς , act of imitating; π ōā ξ i ς , acting, action; σ κ $\bar{\eta}$ ψ i ς , &c.; δοκι μ a σ ia, trial, examination; θ v σ ia, sacrifice; ξ 5 σ π λ i σ ia, &c. In some compositions σ ia denotes the action rather as a permanent property; ex. gr. ∂ 5vβ λ ε ψ ia, καχεξία, which forms imperceptibly pass into similar ones derived from nouns, which see below at 10, 4.

The following have not such a distinctly marked signif., but the idea of an abstract generally predominates in them:—

c.—η and a, mostly oxytona, ex. gr. εὐχὴ, prayer or petition, from εΰχομαι,—σφαγὴ, slaying, slaughtering, from σφάττω,—διδαχὴ, teaching, doctrine, from διδάσκω—άξω,—χαρὰ, joy, from χαίρω,—and with a change of the vowel into o, (in the way of the perf. 2.) τομὴ from τέμνω, φθορὰ from φθείρω, ἀοιδὴ from ἀείδω, &c. Some of them take a redupl. corresponding to the Attic redupl. of the perf. and constantly having an ω in the second syllable, ex. gr. ἀγωγὴ from ἄγω, ἐδωδὴ from ἔδω, (ἔδηδα,) ὀκωχὴ, from ἔχω, compare the Note to p. 264.

Some are paroxytona, as $\beta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\beta\eta$, damage, from $\beta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\pi\tau\omega$, $\beta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\beta\omega$, $\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\eta$, battle, from $\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi \alpha\mu\alpha\iota$, $\nu\dot{\iota}\kappa\eta$, victory, from $\nu\iota\kappa\dot{\alpha}\omega$. To this class belong also the words in -εία, which are formed only from verbs in ε $\dot{\iota}\omega$ by changing ε $\dot{\iota}$ υ into ε $\dot{\iota}$, ex. gr. $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\iota}\alpha$ from $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\iota}\omega$. They always have α ,

and consequently the acute accent on &.

Obs. 6. With respect to the accentuation of all nouns in εια, compare first § 34. Obs. II. 3. and keep to the following rule:

The fem. of oxytone adj. in ψς, ex. gr. ήδψς, ήδεῖα, are properispomena.

The abstracta of adj. in ης, εx. gr. ἀλήθεια, (see 10. a.) βοήθεια from βοηθὸς, and 2.) The fem. of nouns masc. in ευς, εx. gr. ὑέρεια, priestess, (see § 12, 3. d.) are proparoxytone; and the just-mentioned abstracta of verbs in εύω are paroxytona.

d.—oς masc. By far the greatest number of these words have in the principal syllable an o, either naturally or changed from an ε, ex. gr. κρότος, clapping of the hands, from κροτέω, φθόνος, envy, from φθονέω, λόγος, speech, from λέγω, ρόος, (ροῦς,) from ρέω,—yet also ἔλεγχος, refutation, from ἐλέγχω, τύπος, from τύπτω, πάλος from πάλλω, &c.

To these may be added the subst. in $\tau \circ \varsigma$, which commonly are oxytona, ex. gr. ἀμητὸς, harvest-time, κωκυτὸς, wailing, partly with some little alteration, as ὑετὸς, rain, from ὕω, παγετὸς, ice, from πήγνυμι. The accent is drawn back, for instance, in βίοτος, life; πότος, drink (from πίνω, πέπομαι).

e.—ος, neut., ex. gr. τὸ κῆδος, care, from κήδω, λάχος, lot, from λαγχάνω, πρᾶγος the same as πρᾶγμα, &c. These verbal nouns never have an o in the principal syllable; hence τὸ γένος, offspring, but ὁ γόνος, generation.

8. The subject of the verb, as man, is denoted by the terminations.

a.—της, (gen. ov.) τηρ, τωρ. The termination της after the first decl. is the most common, and the words are in part oxytona, and in part paroxytona, ex. gr. ἀθλητής, athlete, wrestler, from ἀθλέω, μαθητής, disciple, scholar, from μαθεῖν, θεατής, spectator, from θεάομαι, δικαστής from δικάζω, κριτής from κρίνω, &c. but κυβερνήτης, pilot, from κυβερνάω, πλάστης, (from πλάττω, πέπλασμαι,) δυνάστης, ψάλτης, &c.

 $-\tau\eta\rho$ and $\tau\omega\rho$ are more uncommon forms, which frequently occur in the dialects and in poetry along with $\tau\eta\epsilon$, but are also in use in several words of the popular language, ex. gr. $\sigma\omega\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$, deliverer, $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\tau\omega\rho$, orator, (from $\sigma a\dot{\sigma}\omega$ and

'ΡΕΩ,) έστιάτωρ, &c.

Obs. 7. Some shorten the vowel before the termination, (Obs. 2. b.) ex. gr. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu - \delta \tilde{\nu} \tau \eta \rho$, $\theta \epsilon \tau \eta \rho$, $\theta \epsilon \tau \eta \rho$, αξοέτης, particularly derivatives compounded with subst. and ἡγέομαι, as ὁδηγέτης, Μουσηγέτης or Μουσαγέτης.

b.—εὺς, ex. gr. γραφεὺς, writer, φθορεὺς, destroyer, seducer.

Obs. 8. The terminations under a and b have also been partly given to things which may be considered as agents or subjects of an action; ex. gr. $\mathring{a}\mathring{\eta}\eta\eta$, a gale of wind, $\mathring{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\nu\mathring{\epsilon}\mathring{v}\eta\varsigma$, upper tunic, $\pi\rho\eta\sigma\mathring{\tau}\mathring{\eta}\rho$, storm, $\zeta\omega\sigma\mathring{\tau}\mathring{\eta}\rho$, girdle, $\mathring{\epsilon}\mu\beta\circ\lambda\varepsilon\mathring{v}\varsigma$, dibble, bolt, piston. The use of masc. forms in connexion with fem. ones is a syntactic licence; see § 123. Obs. 1.

c.—oς, mostly in compounds only, ex. gr. ζωγράφος, painter, πατροκτόνος, parricide, &c.; but τροφὸς, (ὁ, ἡ,) one who educates, ἀοιδὸς, singer, and some old words like ἀρχὸς, leader (Hom.).

d.— $\eta_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\alpha_{\mathcal{G}}$, gen. ov, only in a few compounds, as $\mu\nu\rho\sigma$ -

πώλης, τριηράρχης, (and -ος.) ὀρνιθοθήρας.

9. The names of tools and other implements, necessary to the

performance of an action or operation, are derived from the preceding denominations of subjects or agents, or at least presuppose them in point of form; especially

-- τήριον, τρον, and τρα, from the termination τηρ, ex. gr. λουτήριον, bathing-tub, λοῦτρον, water for bathing, u bath, ἀκροατήριον, hall of audience, lecturer's room, ξύστρα, curry comb, ὀρχήστρα, place for dancing.

—εῖον, from the termination εὺς, ex. gr. κουρεῖον, barber's shop, from κουρεὺς, barber, and this from κείρειν, to shear, shave, τροφεῖον, recompense for educating, from τροφεύς.

10. Another principal species of subst. are

B. Those derived from adj. and attributes, and chiefly for the mere purpose of expressing the abstractum of the adj. Of this kind are the terminations

a.—ία, constantly with ᾱ, (Ion. η̄,) ex. gr. σοφὸς, wise, σοφία, wisdom, and κακία, δειλία, &c. Also βλακία from βλὰξ, εὐδαιμονία from εὐδαίμων, ονος, ἀνδρία from ἀνηρ, ἀνδρὸς, πενία from πένης, ητος, ἀμαθία from ἀμαθης, έος. The adj. in ης commonly make their subst. in εια, as will be seen presently.

Compound adj. in $\tau \circ \varsigma$ very generally change the τ into σ in the subst., $ex. gr. \ a\theta ava\sigma \circ a\theta$

δυσπεψία.

From the termination (a come the subst. in Ela and Ola

through contraction; but the a then becomes short, and the accent is transferred to the antepenultima, $\epsilon \iota a$ from adj. in $\eta \varsigma$, $\epsilon \circ \varsigma$, for instance, $\grave{a} \lambda \acute{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a$ from $\grave{a} \lambda \eta \theta \grave{\eta} \varsigma$, $o\iota a$ from adj. in $o\upsilon \varsigma$, as $\check{a} \nu o\iota a$ from $\check{a} \nu o\upsilon \varsigma$.

- Obs. 9. The abstracta of some adj. are also merely in η or α , (yet always paroxytona,) ex. gr. from κακὸς, (fem. κακὴ,) ἡ κάκη for κακία, from ἐχθρὸς, (fem. ἐχθρὰ,) ἡ ἔχθρα, enmity. Hence from the adj. in ιος, ex. gr. ὅσιος, ἄξιος, αἴτιος, subst. ἡ ὁσία, law, duty, ἀξία, worthiness, αἰτία, cause, blame, which forms happen not to differ from the fem. of the adj.
 - b.—της, gen. τητος, fem., ex. gr. ἰσότης, equality, from ἴσος, παχύτης from παχύς. They are all paroxytona with very few exceptions, as ταχυτής, δηϊοτής, gen. ήτος.

⁴ The reading $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\epsilon i\alpha$, though frequently occurring in our editions, is incorrect, as appears from the above analogy.

- c.—σύνη, ex. gr. δικαιοσύνη, δουλοσύνη, mostly from adj. in ων, ονος, ex. gr. σωφροσύνη from σώφρων, ονος. Those which have a short vowel in the fourth syllable backwards, take, as is the case with the comparatives in τερος, an ω in the antepenultima, but excepting ιεροσύνη, priesthood, there are only a very few words of this kind of the later language in Demosthenes; see Fischer ad Weller. 2, 40.
- d.—oς, neuter, especially from adj. in υς, ex. gr. βάθος, depth, from βαθὺς, τάχος from ταχύς: hence also from those adj. whose degrees of comparison seem to presuppose such an old positive, ex. gr. τὸ κάλλος, αἶσχος, μῆκος, from καλὸς, (καλλίων,) αἰσχοὸς, (αἴσχιστος,) μακρὸς (μήκιστος).

11. With respect to subst.

C. derived from other subst.

we must first notice some terminations formed after the analogy of verbal nouns, thus

- The masc. in της (of which those in ίτης all have τ) often denote an individual, simply relatively to his occupation, which is expressed by the radical word; ex. gr. πολίτης, citizen, from πόλις, city, ὁπλίτης, armed soldier, from ὅπλον: ἱππότης, horseman, form Ἱππος, γενειήτης, bearded young man, from γένειον, φυλέτης, one of the same tribe, from φυλή: and (according to § 63. Obs. 7.) they are even used as adj.
- 2.) Those in εὺς have the same signif., ex. gr. ἱερεὺς, priest, from ἱερὸν, temple, (or from τὰ ἱερὰ, sacred things, victims,) γριπεὺς, άλιεὺς, fisherman, from γρῖπος, net, άλς, sea, γραμματεὺς, &c.

12. The rest may be stated under the following classifications:—

1.) Those which denote a place consecrated to a divinity, with the terminations ιον, αιον, ειον, ex. gr. Διονύσιον,

'Αφροδίσιον, "Ηραιον, Μουσεΐον, 'Ηράκλειον.

2.) Those which denote a place where certain objects are in great numbers, are terminated in ων, gen. ωνος (masc.) and ωνιὰ, ex. gr. ἀμπελων, vineyard, ροδωνιὰ, rose-shrubbery, ἀνδρων, the men's apartment, μελετων, a place of study or practice.

3.) Female, or feminine denominations,

a.—τειρα, τρια, and τρίς, gen. τρίδος, properly from masc. in τηρ and τωρ, but also from some in της, ex. gr. σώτειρα, female deliverer, δρχήστρια, female dancer, αὐλητρίς,

female player on the flute (ὀρχηστής, αὐλητής).

b.—ις, gen. ιδος, is the most common termination for derivatives from masc. in ης and ας of the first declension; for instance, δεσπότης, master, δεσπότις, mistress, ίκέτης ίκέτις, Σκύθης, Σκύθις, μυροπώλης, μυρόπωλις (female perfumer).

c.—αινα, chiefly from masc. in ων, ex. gr. θεράπων, (οντος,) θεράπαινα, maid-servant, λέων, (οντος,) λέαινα, lioness, τέκτων, (ονος,) τέκταινα, workwoman, Λάκων, (ωνος,) Λάκαινα, likewise from some in ος, ex. gr. θεὸς, θέαινα.

d.—εια from two masc. in εὺς, ex. gr. ἱέρεια, priestess, from

ίερεὺς, βασίλεια, queen.

e.—σσα from several terminations of the third declension, ex. gr. βασίλισσα from -εὺς, πένησσα from -ης, ἄνασσα from ἄναξ, Κίλισσα, Θρῆσσα, (Att. Θρᾶττα,) from Κίλιξ and Θρηξ or Θράξ.

4.) Gentilia, denominations derived from one's native country. These are A. masc. B. fem. and C. possessive.

(adj.)

A. Masc. or for Males.

ιος, and of the first declension—αῖος, ex. gr. Κορίνθιος, Τροιζήνιος, 'Ασσύριος, Βυζάντιος, (from Βυζάντιον,) 'Αθηναῖος, Λαρισσαῖος, partly with some alteration in the radical word, ex. gr. from Μίλητος, Μιλήσιος, and from names in οῦς, οῦντος, not only 'Οπούντιος, but even from 'Αμαθοῦς, Φλιοῦς, 'Αναγυροῦς,—'Αμαθούσιος, Φλιάσιος, 'Αναγυράσιος.

-ηνὸς, ανὸς, ῖνος, used only of towns and countries out of Greece, ex. gr. Κυζικηνὸς, Σαρδιανὸς, Ιοπ. Σαρδιηνὸς,

from Σάρδεις, 'Ασιανός, Ταραντίνος.

-ίτης, ήτης, ατης 5, ιώτης, ex. gr. 'Αβδηρίτης, Χερρονησίτης,
 Αἰγινήτης from Αἴγινα, Πισάτης, Σπαρτιάτης, (Ion. -ιήτης,) Σικελιώτης, or in

⁵ The rule that the α in the gentilia in $\acute{\alpha}\tau\eta\varsigma$ is long, must therefore not be extended to instances in which there is no derivation from any radical word, as in $\Sigma\alpha\rho\mu\acute{\alpha}\tau\eta\varsigma$, Sarmatian (Lat. Sarmata).

- —εὺς, ex. gr. Αἰολεὺς, Φωκεὺς, (inhabitant of Phocis,) Δωριεὺς, Μεγαρεὺς from Μέγαρα, Μαντινεὺς from Μαντίνεια, Πλαταιεὺς from Πλαταιαὶ, Φωκαιεὺς, better Φωκαεὺς, (Phocæan,) from Φώκαια, Εὐβοεὺς from Εὔβοια.
- B. Feminine, or for Females.—Besides the usual conversion of the termination oς into η and α, ex. gr. 'Ασιανή, 'Αθηναία, they either merely change (according to 3. b.) the ης of the masc. termination into ις, ex. gr. Σπαρτιᾶτις, Συβαρῖτις, &c., or they annex ις and ας, according to euphony, to the radical name itself, ex. gr. Αἰολὶς, Δωρὶς, Μεγαρὶς, Φωκὰς, Φωκὰς, Δηλιὰς, (from Δῆλος,) and all these names may be applied to a female or the country, supplying either γυνὴ or γῆ.
- C. Possessive gentilia, (κτητικὰ,) is the denomination of adj. immediately derived from the gentilia, denoting only a reference to them, but chiefly possession. They almost all end in κὸς, see below 13, c., ex. gr. Συβαριτικὸς, Κορινθιακὸς, Λακεδαιμονικός.
- 5.) Patronymics, expressing the name of a family, or descent (origin) of an individual.

A. for males. The terminations

- $-i\delta\eta\varsigma$, άδης, ιάδης, gen. ov, are the most usual forms, and ίδης may be considered as the principal, being applicable to most final syllables, whilst adnc is used only for names of the first declension in as and ης, ex. gr. Κέκροψ Κεκροπίδης, Κρόνος Κρονίδης, 'Αλκαΐος 'Αλκαΐδης, Βορέας Βορεάδης, Ίππότης Ίπποτάδης. The names in ιος probably gave rise to the termination ιάδης for euphony's sake, ex. gr. Μενοίτιος Μενοιτιάδης. But the pleasing rhythm of these terminations (____, and especially their fitness for the hexameter, caused this form to be used for a variety of names, which had a long syllable before the patronymic termination, ex. gr. Φερητιάδης from Φέρης, ητος, Τελαμωνιάδης, 'Αβαντιάδης, &c. The dramatic iambus on the other hand preferred the common form, which is likewise applied to such names, ex. gr. Παλλαντίδης, 'Αλκμαιωνίδης, Πελοπίδης, 'Ομηρίδης.
- -ίων, gen. ωνος, (seldom ονος,) is a more uncommon col-

lateral form, but barely with poets, ex. gr. $K_{\rho o \nu l \omega \nu}$, $^{\prime}A\kappa \tau o \rho l \omega \nu$.

The quantity of the ι is regulated by the metre.

Obs. 10. The patronymics of names in εὐς and κλῆς have originally είδης, and hence in the common language by contraction είδης, ex. gr. Πηλείδης, $Tv \delta είδης$, 'Hρακλείδης, from Πηλεύς, $Tv \delta εύς$, 'Hρακλῆς: and it is the same with the termination ίων, ex. gr. Πηλείων. The Dorians retained the resolved form, ex. gr. Κρηθεύς Κρηθεύδας. The Ionic declension εὐς, gen. ηος, gives the Epic form, Πηλημάδης, &c.

Obs. 11. The o is contracted in the same way with the ι in Πανθοίδης, Αητοίδης,

from Πάνθοος, (Πάνθους,) Λητώ, όος (Latona).

Obs. 12. It frequently happens that the very name of an individual bears a patronymic form; ex. gr. Μιλτιάδης, Σιμωνίδης, Λευκαλίων, and sometimes the same name has a double form, as Εὔρυτος and Εὐρυτίων. This induced the Epic poets to presuppose such a form, even of names, which commonly do not end in ων, that they might derive from them a patronymic suited to the metre, ex. gr. from ᾿Ακρίσιος—᾿Ακρισιωνιάδης, from Ἰαπετος—Ἰαπετιονίδης. But from the same motive they likewise omitted the ων in the patronymics of names, which actually had this syllable, ex. gr. Δ ευκαλίων— Δ ευκαλίδης.

B. for females. The patronymics of females correspond on the whole to those of males; $i\delta\eta\varsigma$ and $i\delta\eta\varsigma$ give for females $i\varsigma$ and $i\varsigma$, ex. gr. Tavtalis, 'Atlavtis, Θ εσιάς: είδης gives ητς, ex. gr. Νηρητς, and iων—iωνη and iνη, ex. gr. 'Ακρισιωνη, 'Αδρηστίνη.

6.) Diminutives, of which

a.—ιον, (τὸ,) is the principal termination, ex. gr. παιδίον, little boy, σωμάτιον, little body, ράκιον from τὸ ράκος, &c. To render the diminutive still less, this termination often takes an additional syllable, particularly in the following way: ίδιον, άριον, ύλλιον, ύδριον, ύφιον, ex. gr. πινακίδιον from πίναξ, παιδάριον from παῖς, μειρακύλλιον from μεῖραξ, μελύδριον from τὸ μέλος, ζωύφιον from ζῶον.

Obs. 13. Of these diminutives, all those which have four or more syllables, (to which contracted words, like βοίδιον for βοίδιον, also belong,) and most trisyllabics with three short syllables are proparoxytona; the other trisyllabics which

make a dactyl are paroxytona, with but few exceptions.

Obs. 14. The termination $i\delta\iota ον$ is contracted with several vowels, as $\beta οίδιον$, $\gamma \dot{\eta} \delta\iota ον$, properly $\gamma \dot{\eta} \delta\iota ον$: with v and with ι it becomes \bar{v} and $\bar{\iota}$, ex. gr. iχθόδιον, $i \delta\iota ον$, from $iχθ \dot{ν} ε, i ε, iματίδιον$ from iμάτιον. Hence the first ι of radical words in $\iota ε, gen. εωε$, is long; $ex. gr. \dot{ρ} ητείδιον$, (from $\dot{ρ} \ddot{η} σιε$,) for the reading $\bar{\iota} διον$ or είδιον in several of such words is doubtful. The α in the termination $\dot{α} ριον$ is always short.

Obs. 15. Many words in 10v have completely lost the power of a diminutive;

ex. gr. $\theta \eta \rho i o \nu$, animal, from $\dot{o} \theta \dot{\eta} \rho$, $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i o \nu$, book, from $\dot{\eta} \beta i \beta \lambda o \varsigma$.

b. -ίσκος, ίσκη, ex. gr. στεφανίσκος, παιδίσκη.

c. $-\iota\varsigma$, $(\dot{\eta})$ gen. $i\delta \circ \varsigma$ and $i\delta \circ \varsigma$, $ex. gr. \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi a \iota \nu i \varsigma$, (from $\theta \epsilon \rho a - \epsilon \rho a \pi a \iota \nu i \varsigma$)

 π αινα,) π ινακὶς, (from δ π ίναξ,) σχοινὶς, $\tilde{\iota}$ δος, from σχο $\tilde{\iota}$ νος, &c. The termination $\tilde{\iota}$ διον above is the *intensive* of it.

d. $-\dot{\nu}$ λος, (rather Doric,) ex. gr. Έρωτ $\dot{\nu}$ λος from "Ερως.

e. —ιδεύς, merely of the young ones of animals; ἀετιδεύς from ἀετός.

Some peculiar diminutives, like $\pi o \lambda i \chi \nu \eta$ from $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \varsigma$, $\pi \iota \theta \dot{a} \kappa \nu \eta$ from $\pi i \theta o \varsigma$, must be learned by practice.

III.—Adjectives.

- 13. Most adj. which clearly bear the stamp of an analogous derivation, end in oc, but the letter preceding this termination must be carefully attended to.
 - a. —ιος is one of the most general terminations which is directly derived from mostly primitive nouns, and denotes what belongs to the object, concerns it, comes from it, &c. ex. gr. οὐράνιος, ποτάμιος, ξένιος, φόνιος, ἐσπέριος, &c. This termination is also particularly used when a new adj. is derived from an adj. in ος, ex. gr. ἐλεύθερος, free, ἐλευθέριος, liberal, becoming a free man; καθαρὸς, clean, καθάριος, cleanly.

Obs. 16. When the termination ιος is appended to a word having a τ, this is sometimes changed into σ, ex, gr, ἐνιαντὸς, year, ἐνιαύσιος, annual, ἑκὼν, όντος—ἑκούσιος. See also above, 12, 4. A.

By connecting the ι of ι o ς with a preceding vowel, it gives the terminations

αιος, ειος, οιος, φος,

ex. gr. ἀγοραῖος from ἀγορὰ, 'Αθηναῖος from 'Αθῆναι, αἰδοῖος, ἡῷος, from αἰδὼς, όος; ἡὼς, όος; σπονδεῖος from σπονδὴ (instead of σπονδήῖος). Practice, however, sometimes gave to one of these terminations a peculiar and more expressive meaning, ex. gr. πάτριος, what concerns one's forefathers, ancestors, or country in general; πατρῷος decidedly what concerns the father, paternal, to which latter form μητρῷος, παππῷος, were made to correspond. But the termination -ειος is particularly used in adj. derived from words denoting particular species or individuals of living beings, ex. gr. ἀνθρώπειος, human, λύκειος, of or belonging to a wolf, ἀνδρεῖος, γυναικεῖος, &c.; it is especially the most usual form for adj. derived

from personal names, whenever the last syllable of the latter admits of it, ex. gr. Όμήρειος, Ἐπικούρειος, Πυθαγόρειος, Εὐριπίδειος, &c.

b. $-\epsilon_{0}$ denotes chiefly the material of which something is

made; it is contracted into ove, see above, § 60, 6.

- c. —κὸς has the same latitude of meaning as ιος, and extends also to verbs (ex. gr. γραφικὸς, ἀρχικὸς, belonging to, skilled in painting, qualified to govern). The most usual form is —ικὸς, and when aι comes before, it generally becomes —αϊκὸς, ex. gr. τροχαϊκὸς from τροχαῖος. Words in υς give —υκὸς, ex. gr. θηλυκὸς, but terminations which have an ι before, give —ακὸς, ex. gr. Ὁλυμπία, Ἰλιος,—'Ολυμπιακὸς, Ἰλιακὸς, σπουδεῖος σπουδειακός. This termination —ιακὸς, on account of its more sonorous rhythm, is frequently preferred to the simple termination —ικὸς, though it properly is a double derivation, ex. gr. Κόρινθος—Κορίνθιος, a Corinthian, Κορινθιακὸς (in Latin Corinthiacus). Compare above the termination —ιάδης.
- d. $-\nu o \varsigma$, an old pass. termination, (like $\tau \acute{\epsilon} o \varsigma$, $\tau \grave{o} \varsigma$,) whence $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{o} \varsigma$, terrible, dreadful, $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{o} \varsigma$, (from $\sigma \acute{\epsilon} \beta o \mu \alpha \iota$,) venera-

ble, στυγνὸς, hateful, &c.

— ἴνος as a proparox. almost constantly denotes a material, ex. gr. ξύλινος, wooden, made of wood, λίθινος, &c. There is one exception in ἀνθρώπινος, which has the same latitude of meaning as ἀνθρώπειος. As oxytonon, it gives adj. of time, ex. gr. ἡμερινὸς, χθεσινὸς, (of yesterday, from χθὲς ¹,) πεδινὸς, (viz. all a plain even field,) quite level, ὀοεινὸς, mountainous, εὐδιεινὸς, quite serene, &c.

 $-\tilde{\imath}\nu \circ \varsigma$, $\tilde{a}\nu \circ \varsigma$, $\eta \nu \circ \varsigma$, are only gentilia, see above, 12, 4. A.

- e. —λος, an old act. termination, whence δειλὸς, afraid, who fears, (timid,) ἔκπαγλος, (see § 114. Note on λελίημαι,) who frightens others (formidable). But the lengthened terminations ηλὸς and ωλὸς are the most usual; they denote habit and custom, ἀπατηλὸς, deceitful, ἁμαρτωλὸς, one addicted to evil. &c.
- f. —ιμος. Adj. of this termination are almost all verbal ones denoting act. and pass. properties, qualities, or fitness; the termination is annexed according to different

¹ With respect to quantity, there are but few instances in poetry where $\iota\nu\sigma\varsigma$ is employed as long, as $\delta\pi\omega\rho\iota\nu\delta\varsigma$ is in Homer.

analogies, ex. gr. χρήσιμος from χράομαι, useful, τρόφιμος, nutritious, nourishing, θανάσιμος, deadly, πότιμος, potable. This termination is also sometimes lengthened: $a\tilde{\iota}o\varsigma$, ex. gr. $\hat{\iota}\pi o\beta o\lambda\iota\mu a\tilde{\iota}o\varsigma$.

g. —ρὸς, ερὸς, ηρὸς, denote mostly, filled with, ex. gr. οἰκτρὸς, mournful, φθονερὸς, envious, νοσηρὸς and νοσερὸς, sickly.

h. — αλέος has pretty nearly the same signif., ex. gr. θαρραλέος, (from θάρρος,) ρωμαλέος, δειμαλέος, ψωραλέος, &c.

i. $-\tau \acute{\epsilon}oc$ and $\tau \acute{o}c$, see § 102.

14. The remaining terminations of adj. are:

a. —εις εντος, with ι, η, or o preceding, denote fulness, plenty; χαρίεις, graceful, ὑλήεὶς, woody, shaded with woods, πυρόεις, fiery, full of fire.

That those in $\hat{\eta}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}}$ and $\hat{\delta}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}}$ admit of a contraction, has

been stated above, § 41. Obs. 5. and § 62. Obs. 3.

b. —ης, ες, gen. ους,—acts as a derivative only in compounds, (§ 121.) and produces the singular termination — ώδης, ώδες, gen. ους—with changed accent from — οείδης, (from είδος, form, shape, kind,) ex. gr. σφηκώδης, wasp-like, γυναικώδης, woman-like, effeminate. But they usually denote quantity, abundance, and frequently in a sneering, criticising sense, ex. gr. ψαμμώδης, αἰματώδης, ὶλυώδης, full of sand, blood, mud; sandy, bloody, muddy.

c. —μων, gen. ονος. Verbal adj. after the analogy of the subst. in μa, and derived from the latter, mostly denote the act. property belonging to the verb, ex. gr. νοήμων from νοεῖν, intelligent, sensible, πολυπράγμων from πολὺς and πρᾶγμα or πράττειν, engaging in a variety of affairs;

ἐπιλήσμων, forgetful.

Lastly, there is a great number of adj. obtained merely by composition, as we shall state in the following Sections:—

IV.—Adverbs.

15. Independently of the simple way of forming adverbs by changing the declinable final syllable of adj. into ω_c , as stated § 115. there are the following terminations of adverbs:—

a. $-\delta_{\eta\nu}$. These are all verbals, denoting the manner of the action of the verb, from which they come; the ter-

mination is annexed partly in the same way as $\tau \ell o_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\tau o_{\mathcal{C}}$, yet with a necessary change of the characteristic of the verb, and never with a σ , ex. gr. $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \eta \beta \delta \eta v$, taking all together, viz. summarily, on the whole; $\kappa \rho v \beta \delta \eta v$, secretly, $\beta \delta \delta \eta v$, step by step, $\delta v \ell \delta \eta v$, licentiously, without restraint (from $\delta v \ell \eta \mu u$, $\delta v \ell v \ell \sigma v$): partly in the shape of $\delta \delta \eta v$ appended to the radical word with the change of the vowel; ex. gr. $\sigma \pi o \rho \delta \delta \eta v$, scattered, $\pi \rho o \tau \rho o \pi \delta \delta \eta v$, ($\phi \ell v \ell v \ell v$) to flee with backs turned to the enemy, without looking back, &c.

b. —δον, ηδον, mostly come from subst. and refer chiefly to the manner and outward shape; ex. gr. ἀγεληδον, by herds or flocks, βοτρυδον, in bunches like grapes, πλινθηδον, (from πλίνθος,) laid in the form of tiles, κυνηδον, like a dog. When they are verbals, their import is the same as of the adverbs in δην, ex. gr. ἀναφανδον, openly, manifestly, in sight of the people.

c. —ì or ϵi^2 . These denote a circumstance connected with the action mentioned in the sentence or proposition.

The verbals in particular end in

-τὶ or τεὶ, which are appended in the same manner as τὸς, ex. gr. ὀνομαστὶ, by name, (ex. gr. to call one up by name,) ἐγρηγορτὶ, watchfully, especially when compounded with a negation and other meanings, ex. gr. ἀγελαστὶ, without laughing, ἀνιδρωτὶ, without sweat, labour, ἀμαχητεὶ, without fighting, ἀκηρυκτεὶ or τὶ, without a proclamation by a herald. It is hence and from what has been stated above, 3. d. of the verbs in ίζω, that adverbs in —ιστὶ denote the ways, manners, and language of a nation, class of people, or an individual, ex. gr. Ἑλληνιστὶ, in the Greek fashion, in Greek, γυναικιστὶ, in the manner of women; and ἀνδραποδιστὶ, βοϊστὶ, &c.

Adverbs made of subst. have merely i or ϵi in the place of the declinable termination; thus in $\epsilon \kappa o \nu \tau i$, voluntarily, $a \nu a \tau \epsilon i$, without injury, from $a \tau \eta$, the τ belongs to the radical noun. Most adverbs of this kind are compounds, ex. $gr. \pi a \nu \delta \eta \mu \epsilon i$, as if it were as a whole nation, altogether, in a body, $a \nu \tau o \nu \nu \chi \epsilon i$, (from

² This double pronunciation was regulated by euphony, and in poetry perhaps also by the metre, since ι may likewise be used as short. In our editions it is regulated by the MSS., or influenced by the number of examples.

an old declension, $\nu i \xi$, $\chi \delta \varsigma$,) that very night, $\mathring{a}_{\mu\alpha}\chi i$, without a contest, $\mathring{a}_{\nu\tau} \circ \chi_{\epsilon\iota\rho}i$, with one's own hands, $\mathring{a}_{\mu\iota\sigma}\theta i$, gratis, without compensation, $\nu \eta \pi \circ \iota \nu i$, (from the negation $\nu \eta$, § 120. Obs. 12.) with impunity, &c.

d. —ξ, an uncommon form, which generally attaches itself to palatals, and takes an adverbial signif.; ex. gr. ἀναμὶξ, promiscuously, confusedly, παραλλὰξ, alternately, ὀκλὰξ, (from ὀκλάζω,) with bent knees, squatting, ὀδὰξ, with the teeth (from ὀδούς).

§ 120.—Derivation by Composition.

- 1. The first part of any compound word is a noun, verb, or indeclinable word.
- 2. When the first word is a *noun*, its declinable termination is generally changed into an o, which, however, is usually elided whenever the second word begins with a vowel; ex. gr.

λογοποιός, παιδοτρίβης, σωματοφύλαξ, ἰχθυοπώλης, (from

λχθὺς, ύος,) δικογράφος, (from δίκη,)

νομάρχης, (from νόμος and ἄρχω,) παιδαγωγὸς, (from ἄγω, ἀγωγὸ,) καχεξία (from κακὸς, ἔξις).

But in most instances, where the *noun* ends in either v or ι , there is no o assumed; for instance,

εὐθύδικος, πολυφάγος, πολίπορθος, (from εὐθὺς, πολὺς, πόλις,) the same after ou and au, ex. gr.

βουφορβὸς, ναυμαχία, (from βοῦς, ναῦς,)

and frequently also after v, ex. gr.

μελαγχολία, μελάμπεπλος, (from μέλας, ανος,) παμφάγος (from πᾶς, παντός).

Obs. 1. The o sometimes remains before vowels, especially such of which it may be supposed (according to § 6. Obs. 3.) that they had the digamma in the old language; ex. gr. μηνοειδής, μενοεικής, ἀγαθοεργός. But in the compounds with ἔργον or ΕΡΓΩ, the o is usually contracted with the ϵ : δημιουργός, λειτουργός.

Obs. 2. The ω comes from the Attic, or the contracted declensions; ex. gr. νεωκόρος, (from νεως,) δρεωκόμος, (from δρεὺς, gen. δρέως,) κρεωφάγος (from κρέας, gen. αος, ως). In all the compounds of $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$, earth, it becomes $\gamma \epsilon \omega$, ex. gr. $\gamma \epsilon \omega \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \rho o c$, instead of $\gamma \alpha o$ - from the old form ΓΑΑ (see § 27. Obs. 10).

Obs. 3. Some primitive nouns in μa , gen. $\alpha \tau o \varsigma$, frequently simply change their α into o, or drop it altogether; ex. gr. $\alpha i \mu o \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma \dot{\eta} \varsigma$, $\sigma \tau o \mu \alpha \lambda \gamma i \alpha$, from $\alpha i \mu \alpha$, $\sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \mu \alpha$.

Obs. 4. In some compositions, especially in poetical ones, the form of the dat. sing. or dat. pl. is taken into the compound word; ex. gr. $\pi v \rho i \pi v v v c$, $v v \kappa \tau i \pi \delta \rho o c$, $\gamma a \sigma \tau \rho i \mu a \rho \gamma o c$, $\delta \rho \epsilon i v \delta \mu o c$, (from $\delta \rho o c$, $\epsilon o c$,) $v a v \sigma i \pi \delta \rho o c$, $\delta \gamma \kappa c \delta \mu o c$.—This latter form is frequently shortened into ϵc , (from δc , gen. $\epsilon o c$,) ex. gr. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \phi \delta \rho o c$, $\sigma a \kappa \epsilon \sigma \pi a \lambda o c$, from $\tau \delta \tau \delta \lambda o c$, $\sigma a \kappa c c$.

Obs. 5. There are some other peculiarities, which must be left to individual

notice : ex. gr. μεσαιπόλιος from μέσος, όδοιπόρος from όδος, άργίπους from άογης or άργος, ποδανιπτήρ from ποῦς ποδὸς, ἀκράχολος from ἄκρος, Θηβαγενής, μοιρηγενής, ἐλαφηβόλος, λαμπαδηφόρος, Θήβη, μοῖοα, from ἔλαφος, λαμπάς,—and the og of the nomin. seemingly retained in θεόσδοτος, λαοσσόος 1.

3. When the first word is a verb, its termination is generally made either in & with the unaltered characteristic of the verb before it, or in $\sigma\iota$, ex. gr.

άρχέκακος from ἄρχειν, δακέθυμος from δάκνω, έδακον,

λυσίπονος from λύω, τρεψίχρως from τρέπω, έγερσίχορος from ἐγείρω.

Here too the vowel is elided, ex. gr.

φέρασπις, ρίψασπις.

- Obs. 6. The instances are less frequent where the ι is without the σ , as in $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \iota$ κέραυνος, and in several compounds of ἄρχειν, ex. gr. ἀρχιθέωρος, or where the verb also takes the o, as in almost all compounds with λείπω, ex. gr. λειποτάξιον.—We must also notice the form ταμεσίχρως, (from τέμνω, ἔταμον,) λιπεσήνωρ, (from $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \lambda i \pi o \nu$,) and the shortened one in $\phi \epsilon \rho \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \iota \sigma \varsigma$ (for $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma i \beta \iota \sigma \varsigma$).
- 4. Indeclinable words remain unaltered in composition, excepting the changes effected according to the general rules, and in prep. by elision; ex. gr. $a\gamma\chi(a\lambda o\varsigma)$, (from $a\gamma\chi\iota$ and $a\lambda\varsigma$) $\pi a\lambda a\iota\gamma\varepsilon$ νης from πάλαι, αναβαίνω, ανέρχομαι, from ανα, εξέρχομαι, ἐκβαίνω, from ἐξ, προάγω, περιάγω. (§ 30, 2.)—With respect to the ν in compounds with $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$, $\pi\dot{\alpha}\lambda\iota\nu$, and $\ddot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\nu$, see § 25; and about δ_{ℓ} - and δ_{ℓ} -, $\tau_{\rho\ell}$ and $\tau_{\rho\ell}$ -, see § 70. Obs. 2. with the Note.
- Obs. 7. The prep. $\pi\rho\delta$ sometimes makes a crasis; ex. gr. $\pi\rho\sigma\delta\chi\omega$, $\pi\rho\sigma\delta\pi\tau\sigma\varsigma$, for προέχω, πρόοπτος, particularly with the augment, see § 86. Obs. 1. See about φροῦδος and the like § 17.—and about the abbreviated forms παρθέμενος, ἀνστάντες, καββαλεῖν, and the like, § 117. Obs. 2.

Obs. 8. That $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ does not lose the ι in composition, follows of course from § 30, 2. But ἀμφί, too, frequently retains it, ex. gr. in ἀμφίαλος, ἀμφίετες, from αλς, ἔτος. The rest of the prep., particularly in Ionic Epic poetry, retain the vowel in some compounds, which originally had the digamma before the second word; but with the Attics only in ἐπιέσασθαι, ἐπιορκεῖν, (§ 108. III.) and ἐπιεικής.

Obs. 9. With respect to the separation of syllables, the rule is that, when the

prep. ends in a consonant, the latter always continues with the first syllable, as είσ-έργομαι, προσ-άγω, εν υξρος, έξ-έργομαι. But when the consonant in the prep. begins the second syllable, it begins this syllable even when the vowel is elided in

the compound; ex. gr. πα-ράγω, ά-παιτεῖν.

5. The principal inseparable particles are δυσ-, which denotes difficulty, contrariety, and the like, (ex. gr. δύσβατος, of difficult

¹ It is important to remember that there are neither datives nor nomin. to be looked for in the above compounds. Vowels and the letter of are the natural connecting medium. Distinctness and euphony determined the selection. In both τελεσφόρος and θεόσδοτος the σ is only a strengthening sound; ἐγχεσίμωρος has a sonorous fulness, &c.

approach, inaccessible, δυσδαιμονία, a contrary fate, misfortune,) and what is called the

a privative,

which has the power of a direct negation like the Latin in and the English un, in, and less; ex. gr. $\mathring{a}\beta a\tau \circ \varsigma$, impassable, $\mathring{a}\pi a\iota \varsigma$, childless. This a generally takes an ν before a vowel; ex. gr. $\mathring{a}\nu a\iota \tau \circ \varsigma$, (innocent, guiltless,) from $a\iota \tau \iota a$.

Obs. 10. Several words beginning with a vowel, especially those mentioned in § 6. Obs. 3. as having originally begun with the digamma, take, however, merely the $\dot{\alpha}$, ex. gr. $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\eta}\tau\tau\eta\tau\sigma\varsigma$, $\ddot{\alpha}\sigma\nu\sigma\varsigma$, &c.: hence it is liable to contraction, as in $\ddot{\alpha}\kappa\omega\nu$, (unwilling,) for $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\omega\nu$, $\dot{\alpha}\rho\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\varsigma$, (idle,) with altered accent, (§ 121. Obs. 6.) from $\ddot{\alpha}\epsilon\rho\gamma\sigma\varsigma$. But the ν remains before a consonant in $\dot{\alpha}\nu\nu\dot{\epsilon}\phi\epsilon\lambda\sigma\varsigma$, $\dot{\alpha}\mu\phi\alpha\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\eta$ (from $\dot{\alpha}$ and $\phi\eta\mu\dot{\epsilon}$).

Obs. 11. When we find it stated that this α has also other meanings, and even a magnifying power, this must not be understood as if we were in all instances at liberty to explain it as such. It has these signif. barely in some old compounds, which must be remembered singly; we will therefore notice the most important, and leave the rest to dictionaries. The $\dot{\alpha}$ has the magnifying power in $\dot{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\nu\dot{\eta}_{\rm C}$, (intensely fixed, speaking of the eyes,) from $\tau\epsilon i\nu\omega$, to stretch, distend; $\ddot{\alpha}\beta\rho_0\nu_0c$, $\dot{\alpha}\sigma\kappa\epsilon\lambda\dot{\eta}_{\rm C}$, (very dry, hardened.) $\dot{\alpha}\chi\alpha\nu\dot{\eta}_{\rm C}$, $\dot{\alpha}\sigma\epsilon\dot{\gamma}_{\rm C}$, $\ddot{\alpha}\xi\nu_0c$, (thick-wooded). It expresses a combination or joining into one in $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\lambda\alpha\kappa\tau\epsilon_{\rm C}$, (foster-brothers and sisters,) $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\sigma\tau\omega\rho$, $\dot{\alpha}\delta\epsilon\lambda\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}c$, $\ddot{\alpha}\kappa\dot{\alpha}\lambda\alpha\nu\tau c$, literally of the same weight, viz. equal; $\ddot{\alpha}\lambda\chi\alpha c$, (from $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\nu\theta c$,) $\ddot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\delta c$, (level, even,) $\dot{\alpha}\beta\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, (to meet,) and in all these instances it probably comes from the aspirated $\dot{\alpha}$ in $\dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\dot{c}v$, (to meet,) and in all these are still some cases, in which the $\dot{\alpha}$ is superfluous, or of doubtful use and signif., as $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}\alpha\chi\epsilon\tau c$, $\ddot{\alpha}\beta\lambda\eta\chi\rho c$, $\ddot{\alpha}\beta\iota_0c$, $\ddot{\alpha}\pi\tau\epsilon\rho c$, and others.

Obs. 12. There is another negation less common than the $\dot{\alpha}$ privativum, viz. the inseparable particle $\nu\eta$, ex. gr. $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\sigma\iota\nu\rho\varsigma$, unpunished, $\nu\ddot{\eta}\sigma\tau\iota\varsigma$, fasting, (from $\nu\eta$ and

 $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\omega_{\bullet}$) $\nu\omega\nu\nu\mu\rho\rho$ (from $\nu\eta$ and $\tilde{\rho}\nu\rho\mu\alpha$).

Obs. 13. The inseparable particles, $\dot{\alpha}\rho\iota$, $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\iota$, and $\zeta\alpha$, have each of them the magnifying power; ex. gr. $\dot{\alpha}\rho\iota\pi\rho\epsilon\pi\eta\varsigma$, very distinguished, $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\iota\beta\rho\rho\mu\varsigma\varsigma$, loudly roaring, sounding, $\zeta\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu\dot{\eta}\varsigma$, very mettlesome.

6. When the second word in any compound begins with ρ preceded by a short vowel, this ρ , according to § 21, 2. is generally doubled; ex. gr. $i\sigma o \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} c$ from $i\sigma o c$ and $\dot{\rho} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega$, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \eta \tau o c$ (from $\dot{\alpha}$ and $\dot{\rho} \eta \tau \dot{\sigma} c$).

§ 121.

- 1. The form of the latter part of a compound word determines the whole word, which accordingly is either a *verb*, or a *noun*, or a *particle*.
- 2. The most usual composition of verbs is that in which the verb continues unchanged, and retains its peculiar conjugation with its augments and terminations. Strictly speaking, there are no compound verbs of this kind, but with the eighteen old prepositions, (§ 115, 2.) $\grave{a}\mu\phi\grave{i}$, $\grave{a}\nu\grave{a}$, $\grave{a}\nu\tau\grave{i}$, $\grave{a}\pi\grave{o}$, $\delta\imath\grave{a}$, $\epsilon\grave{i}\varsigma$, $\grave{\epsilon}\nu$, $\grave{\epsilon}\xi$, $\grave{\epsilon}\pi\grave{i}$,

κατὰ, μετὰ, παρὰ, περὶ, πρὸς, πρὸς, σὺν, ὑπὲρ, ὑπό: and in fact this is no real composition; the prepositions are merely considered as adverbs closely connected with or joined to the verb (in the sense of up, into, forward, away, &c.). A similar connexion or combination of the unchanged verb with real adverbs and other kinds of words is considered altogether as a juxtaposition, and is therefore generally written separately, as εῦ πράττειν, κακῶς ποιεῖν.

Obs. 1. It is only in ancient poetry that some verbs, especially participles compounded with a particle expressive of a peculiar distinct meaning, are written as one word: ex. gr. αὐέρυσαν for αὖ ἔρυσαν, they bent backwards (the neck of the victim), $\pi a\lambda \iota \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma$, and the like, and even the accus., which is the government of the verb, ex. gr. δακρυχέων. See F. A. Wolf's Præf. ad Il. noviss. LXI.

Obs. 2. It is precisely because the usual composition with prepositions is to be viewed in this light, that such compounds in poetry are liable to what is called a

tmesis; see § 147. Obs. 10.

- 3. But a real composition, by which one word coalesces with another, and is closely joined to it in an altered shape, as well as compositions with inseparable particles, can take place only in verbs changing their form so as to beget a new compound verbal form, with a derivative termination, which most commonly is ω : and such a composition is, as we shall see below (4.), generally grounded in a compound subst.: for instance, ἔργον and λαμβάνω give ἐργολάβος, whence ἐργολαβεῖν, εῦ and ἔρδω (ΕΡΓΩ) give εὐεργέτης, (a benefactor,) whence εὐεργετείν, (to practise beneficence,) δυς and ἀρέσκω give δυσάρεστος, (morose,) whence δυσαρεστείν, (to be displeased,) and when instead of φείδεσθαι, (to spare,) the negative idea not to spare, to disregard, is to be expressed with the à priv., the adj. ἀφειδης gives ἀφειδείν. There are, however, compositions with prep. also formed in this way; ex. gr. ἀντιβολείν from ἀντιβολή, from ἀντὶ and βάλλω, ὑπερμαχεῖν, (from ὑπέρμαχος,) from ὑπὲρ and μάχομαι.
- Obs. 3. When the rerb remains unaltered in such compositions, it is owing to an accidental agreement of the derivative termination with that of the radical rerb, ex. gr. ποιέω, I make, μ ελοποιός, μ ελοποιέω (I compose songs, write poetry): thus μ νροπωλέω does not come from μ ύρον and π ωλέω, but from μ νροπώλης, ἀφρονέω not from $\dot{\alpha}$ and $\dot{\phi}$ ονέω, but from $\ddot{\alpha}$ φρων, &c.: neither is $\dot{\alpha}$ τι $\dot{\mu}$ άω from τι $\dot{\mu}$ άω, but merely a collateral form of $\dot{\alpha}$ τι $\dot{\mu}$ άζω from $\ddot{\alpha}$ τι $\dot{\mu}$ ος.
- 4. Only in compound *subst*. a proper coalition takes place, though the second part frequently is the unaltered *noun*. But even when the two words are unchanged, they always are considered as a single word, and never separated by a *tmesis*;

5. But in most compound *nouns* the second part does not convey the principal meaning, or the subject of the proposition, on which it is founded, but merely its object, though this second part frequently is the unaltered denomination, and this is the case whenever the *noun* itself has a termination, which is not in contradiction to the gender of the new *noun* to be formed. Thus

ἄποικος, δεισιδαίμων, is not an οἶκος or δαίμων modified by the first part of the denomination, but the former is one who is ἀπὸ τοῦ οἴκου, distant from his house, the latter one δείσας τοὺς δαίμονας, fearing the gods. Thus ἄπαις, one who has no child, childless; μακρόχειρ, one who has a long arm; ἔνθεος, inspired by a divinity; ἐπιχαιρέκακος, one who ἐπιχαίρει τοῖς κακοῖς, delights in the misery of others, &c.

But when the termination of the *noun* does not correspond to the intended denomination, the *noun* takes the most congenial final syllable of a declension, viz. either a bare σ , or the terminations $o_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, gen. $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, gen. $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, gen. $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, gen. $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, or $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ or $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ pro-

duced by the change of vowel stated in § 63, 2. ex. gr.

ἄδακρυς, (from δάκρυ,) tearless; τρεχέδειπνος, (from τρέχω and δεῖπνον,) one who hunts after dinners; εὐθύδικος, who practises strict (δίκη) justice, an upright judge; ἄτιμος, stripped of (τιμὴ) honor, dishonored; φιλοχρήματος, who loves (χρῆμα, χρήματα,) money; εὕγεως, of a good soil (γῆ, γεω-), fertile; λειπόνεως, who quits his ship (ναῦς, νεώς); κακοήθης, of a wicked disposition (ῆθος); ἄναλκις, (δος,) cowardly (ἀλκή); σώφρων, of sound understanding (φρήν); εὐπάτωρ, born of a noble father (πατὴρ), noble.

Compare § 63. with all these forms. This is the origin of a great number of compound adj. or attributive subst.

6. Generally when a compound noun is to be formed with the help of a verb, the verb comes last, and takes the termination of a noun, and the first word contains either the purpose or the object of the action of the verb; ex. gr. $\epsilon \rho \gamma o \lambda \acute{a} \beta o \varsigma$, who undertakes a work; $\epsilon \pi \pi \sigma \tau \rho \acute{o} \phi o \varsigma$, who feeds horses. The simple termination os is the most common in compounds of this kind, and for subst. the terminations $\eta \varsigma$ and as after the first declension, (see the examples, § 119, 8. d.) but for adj. $\eta \varsigma$ after the third declension (ex. gr. $\epsilon \mathring{v} \mu a \theta \mathring{\eta} \varsigma$, neut. $\epsilon \varsigma$, apt to learn, who learns easily); and also the other terminations of nouns, (stated in § 119, 8.) ex. gr. $\nu o \mu o \theta \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta \varsigma$ from $\nu \acute{o} \mu o \varsigma$ and $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \theta \eta \mu \iota$,

Other derivative words are again formed from such primitive compounds as δεισιδαιμονία, νομοθεσία, νομοθετικός, &c. and from the compound verbs, stated sub 3. as iπποτροφέω

from ἱπποτρόφος, εὐπαθέω from εὐπαθης, &c.

8. The most remarkable of the alterations, which sometimes occur in the second word, is that words beginning with a short a, or with ε and o, commonly take an η or ω , but this never is the case with *verbs* compounded in the manner described sub 2. with *prep.*, though it is done with attributive *nouns* derived from them, and with the compound *verbs* of the second kind, (see above, 3.) *ex. gr*.

ύπήκοος, obedient, from ύπακούω, στρατηγός, military commander, from στρατός and ἄγω¹, κατήγορος, κατηγορέω, (from κατὰ and ἀγορὰ, ἀγορεύω,) an accuser, to accuse; εὐήνεμος from ἄνεμος, δυσήλατος from ἐλαύνω, ἀνώμοτος

from ὄμνυμι, &c.

and the compounds of ovona change moreover the second o

into υ, ἀνώνυμος, εὐώνυμος, &c.

9. With respect to the accent, the general rule is, that the accent of the simple word is, (according to the analogy stated above, § 12, 2. a.) in composition, drawn as far back as the nature of the accent will allow. Thus, for instance, $\rho\iota\lambda\delta\sigma\epsilon\kappa\nu\rho\varsigma$, $\rho\iota\lambda\delta\theta\epsilon\rho\varsigma$, come from $\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu\rho\nu$, $\theta\epsilon\delta\varsigma$, $\sigma\epsilon\nu\rho\delta\rho\varsigma$ from $\delta\delta\delta\varsigma$, $\delta\epsilon\kappa\nu\rho\varsigma$, $\delta\epsilon\kappa\nu\rho\varsigma$, $\delta\epsilon\kappa\nu\rho\varsigma$, from $\epsilon\epsilon\kappa\nu\rho\varsigma$, from $\epsilon\epsilon\kappa\nu\rho\varsigma$, $\epsilon\epsilon\kappa\rho\varsigma$, $\epsilon\epsilon\kappa\rho$, $\epsilon\epsilon\kappa\rho\varsigma$, $\epsilon\epsilon\kappa\rho$, $\epsilon\epsilon$

¹ The words derived in this manner from $\tilde{a}\gamma\omega$ and $\tilde{a}\gamma\nu\nu\mu\iota$ have also, in the common language, partly an \tilde{a} , ex. gr. λοχαγὸς, ναυαγός.

changeable,) from alólog, (various,) $\partial \pi$ aídeutog, duomaídeutog, from π aιdeutòg, &c. We must, however, remember that

- The termination of adj. in ης, ες, has also commonly the accent in compounds on the final syllable; ex. gr. φιλομειδης, προσφιλης, ἀπαθης. But several of them, and especially the compounds with ῆθος, μῆκος, τεῖχος, ἀρκέω, draw the accent back: εὐήθης, εὔηθες, αὐτάρκης, &c. Those in -ώδης do the same. § 119, 14. See Buttm. Complete Gr. Gr.
- 2.) Verbal nouns in η, α, ης, ηρ, ευς, and ευς, which, as simple words, have the accent on the final syllable, keep it there, when compounds; ex. gr. ἐπιτομη, συμφορα, οἰκοδομη, συνδικαστης, συγγραφευς, ἐπιτιμητέυς. Subst. in μὸς, as διασυρμὸς, παροξυσμὸς, &c. with the exception of the compounds of δεσμὸς, as σύνδεσμος, &c. do the same. Compound adj. in τος (compare § 60.) most generally have τος, τον, with the accent drawn back, rarely τὸς, τη, τόν: no rule can be relied on in this respect, ex. gr. ἀπόβλητος, ἐξαίρετος, &c. but καθεκτὸς, τη, τὸν, &c.
- 3.) Words, not compounds, but derived from compounds, (παρασύνθετα,) follow in their accentuation the general analogy of their terminations; ex. gr. the abstract verbals in η and α, as συλλογη, προσφορα, from συλλέγω, προσφέρω, αδικητικός from άδικος, αδικεῖν, παροξυσμός, from παροξύνω, προσδοκητός from προσδοκῆν. (See, however, Obs. 7.) But when such words receive an addition in their composition, they again draw the accent back, ex. gr. ἀπροσδόκητος.
- 4.) Those compound words, of which the first part is a noun or adverb, and the second a transitive verb, with the simple termination os, (not τος, νος, or the like,) have in general, when their signif. is an act. one, and the penultima short, the accent on this syllable, but when their meaning is pass., the accent is on the antepenultima; ex. gr.

 $\lambda_i\theta_0\beta_0\delta_0$ ς, throwing stones, $\lambda_i\theta_0\beta_0\delta_0$ ς, pelted with stones.

Orestes is μητροκτόνος, but Medea's children μητρόκτονοι, δικογράφος, one who writes indictments, λεπτόγραφος, finely written; and so on generally, even when there is no other than the act. meaning possible, as in οἰκονόμος,

οἰνοχόος, τοιχωρύχος from ὀρύσσω, ἀδηφάγος from ἄδην and φαγεῖν, and the like. But the accent passes over to the final syllable, whenever the penultima is long; $ex.\ gr.\ ψυχοπομπὸς,\ σκυτοδεψὸς, ἱπποβοσκὸς, λιθουλκὸς, (from ἕλκω,) μελοποιὸς, δεινωπὸς, (from ΟΠΤΩ,) ὁδηγὸς, παιδαγωγὸς, ἀργυραμοιβός.$

Obs. 4. There are no compounds of this kind proparoxytona, contrary to these rules, except a few Epic epithets, $(i\pi\pi\delta\delta a\mu o_{\xi}, \sigma\alpha\kappa\epsilon\sigma\pi a\lambda o_{\xi}, \pi\tau\delta\lambda(\pi\sigma\rho\theta o_{\xi}))$, and the derivatives of a few verbs beginning with a vowel, as $\dot{\eta}\nu\dot{\iota}o\chi o_{\xi}$, (from $\dot{\eta}\nu\dot{\iota}o\nu$ $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$,) $\nu\alpha\dot{\iota}a\rho\chi o_{\xi}$, and this is likewise the principal accentuation of the perisponena, as $\dot{\delta}a\dot{\rho}\delta\bar{\upsilon}\chi o_{\xi}$, (from $\dot{\delta}a\ddot{\varsigma}\delta a\ \ddot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$,) $\kappa\alpha\kappa\bar{\upsilon}\rho\gamma o_{\xi}$, $\pi\alpha\nu\bar{\upsilon}\rho\nu o_{\xi}$, from EPFΩ: the other similar derivatives of this last verb follow the rule, $\dot{\alpha}\gamma a\theta o\epsilon\rho\gamma\dot{\sigma}_{\xi}$, $\lambda\iota\theta o\nu\rho\gamma\dot{\sigma}_{\xi}$, &c.

Obs. 5. When the verb has an intransitive signif., it adheres steadily to the general rule. Hence we have αὐτοκτόνος, (from ἐμαυτὸν κτείνω,) but αὐτόμολος, (from αὐτὸς ἔμολον,) and also ἰσόβροπος, βαρύβρομος, and αἰμόβροος, αἰμόβρους, πυρίπνους, and the like, because the verbs ῥεῖν, πνεῖν, are intransitive in these

words, and the nouns are only datives.

Obs. 6. Some compounds become oxytona, contrary to the general rule, because their derivation has been lost sight of, ex. gr. ἀτραπὸς, ἀδελφὸς, βουλυτὸς, ἀργός.

See p. 320, ἀργός.

Obs. 7. Practice must point out the few isolated instances in which words compounded with prep. do not draw the accent back, or in which the mere derivative of a compound does yet draw the accent back, as is the case in particular with several words in $\tau \circ \varsigma$, ex. gr. ἐξαίρετος, ἐπίληπτος, ὕποπτος, περίρἡντος.

SYNTAX.

§ 122.

1. SYNTAX teaches the use of the forms whose formation has been treated of in the first part of the *Grammar*. It follows for that purpose the grand division of the parts of speech, as stated above, § 31.

2. We shall treat therefore—1.) of the *noun* in itself and other *nominal* forms; 2.) of the *noun* in combination; 3.) of the *verb*; 4.) of the *particles*; 5.) of several particular construc-

tions and phrases.

§ 123.—Of the Noun.

- 1. Whatever is joined to a noun subst. adjectively, (adjective, participle, pronoun, article,) must agree with it in gender, number, and case.
- 2. There is a seeming deviation from this rule in Greek by the Attic writers: adj. &c. with a masc. termination are joined to a subst. fem. in the dual, ex. gr. Xen. Cyrop. 1, 2, 11. Καὶ μίαν ἄμφω τούτω τὼ ἡμέρα λογίζονται. Plato Phædr. 237. Ἡμῶν ἐν ἑκάστῳ δύο τινέ ἐστον ἰδέα ἄρχοντε καὶ ἄγοντε, οῖν ἑπόμεθα. Thus frequently τὼ θεὼ and τοῖν θεοῖν, (Demeter and Persephone,) from ἡ θεός. But since we have seen above, (§ 60, 3. 4.) that the adj. in oς often are communis generis, especially with the Attics, we need only take for granted that this is usually the case with all adj. in the dual.
- Obs. 1. Poets sometimes allow themselves to construe attributive subst., which are mass. barely in form, (§ 119, 8.) with nouns fem.; ex. gr. Μοῦσαι ὕστορες ψδῆς, Έρινὐες λωβητῆρες, παμβώτορα γαῖαν, φίλων διαφθορεῦ to a female, Eurip. Hipp. 682. (see Valck.) ¹
- 3. But the *adj*. may also stand without a *subst*. not only in reference to a *subst*. in the same context, but very frequently also without any *subst*. whatever: the *subst*. then, either is actually omitted, or the idea of a *subst*. (as a man, woman, thing,) is kept in the mind. Such an *adj*. acquires in that case all the

¹ The intermixture of forms in the dual and pl. chiefly takes place only on connecting the subject and the predicate. See § 129, 5.

properties of a subst., ex. gr. δ σοφὸς, wise (man), ή ἄνυδρος, viz. $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$, desert (earth destitute of water), ή ὀρθὴ, viz. δδὸς, straight (road), οἱ πολλοὶ, the many, multitude, people, τὰ ἐμὰ, my things; and also the pronouns οὖτος, ἐκεῖνος, τὶς, &c.

Obs. 2. In this last way most subst. have originated in all languages; hence in Greek many personal denominations denoting trade, condition, office, (as a shepherd, judge,) are still used, as it were, as adj., with the addition of the word ἀνηρ, when they apply to one individual only. Thus, for instance, $\pi o \iota \mu \eta \nu$ (shepherd) stands alone only in reference to his flock; but ἀνηρ $\pi o \iota \mu \eta \nu$, where we commonly say merely a shepherd, when the strict sense is, a man who is a shepherd; and again, ἀνηρ τύραννος, a man who belongs to the family of a ruler, of a sovereign (as Hipparchus, brother to Hippias, the actual ruler, tyrant, of Athens). See also ἀνηρ φίλος, § 132, 4, 2. a. When directed to several individuals, it is a respectful address, ex. gr. ἄνδρες δικασταὶ, ye judges!

πλάγιος, ύπερπόντιος.

4. Whenever a compar. refers to another quality of the same object, the latter, as in Latin, is not in the positive, but likewise in the compar., ex. gr. Eurip. Med. 490. πρόθυμος μᾶλλον η σοφωτέρα. Plato Rep. III. p. 409. σοφώτερος η ἀμαθέστερος δοκεῖ εἶναι;

§ 124.—Of the Articulus Præpositivus.

1. The art. præpos. being originally a pronoun demonst., demonstrates or points out an object generally known, or which has been already mentioned in the context, whether of a concrete or of an abstract nature. Hence it points either to a definite individual, in distinguishing it from among the rest, or it points to the species, which latter the speaker presupposes to be a general idea, comprising a number of individuals, ex. gr. οἱ θεοὶ ἐκόλασαν τὴν τοῦ ἀνδρὸς ὕβριν—αἱ ἡδοναὶ πείθουσι τὴν ψυχὴν μὴ σωφρονεῖν—ὁ ἐλέφας τὸν δράκοντα ὀρρωδεῖ.

2. The indefinite art. of modern languages is never expressed in Greek, but when an indefinite object is distinctly to be denoted as an individual object, in which case the pronoun τὶς, τὶ, supplies our article indefinite, ex. gr. ἵππος ἔτεκε λαγὼν, a mare brought forth a hare; γυνή τις ὄρνιν εἶχεν, a

woman had a hen.

3. Proper names, according to their nature, omit the art.

It is however used, either when the name has been already mentioned, or when it is a generally known one, so that the art. conveys in some measure the idea of "that individual of whom we have already spoken," or "that individual with whom we are all acquainted." But the art. is usually omitted in mentioning any person for the first time in a narration, and it is frequently omitted when there is any nearer designation following with the art., ex. gr., Σωκράτης ὁ φιλόσοφος, Πρόξενος δ Βοιώτιος, he from Bæotia; but Θουκυδίδης 'Αθηναΐος, Th., an Athenian. (Compare Krüger on Xen. An. 6, 2, 13.) The names of rivers are usually placed between the art. and the subst. ποταμός; δ Εὐφράτης ποταμός; but Σελινούς ποταμός signifies a river called Selinus.

Obs. 1. Languages differ in the use of the article definite. The Greek in particular has it before several pronouns, where the English and German languages omit it, or even use the article indefinite. For instance, after a general description, the Greek says, ὁ τοιοῦτος ἀνὴρ οὐκ ἄν μοι ἀρέσκοι: this expression then includes all such, whilst we should say, such a man cannot please me. When prefixed to an interrogative, the article denotes that the answer is expected to be a definite object, ex. gr. τὸ ποῖον; but which? τὰ ποῖα ταῦτα; which do you mean? In confidential conversation we even meet with πάσχει δὲ θαυμαστόν· τὸ τί; something wonderful happens to him. And that is-what?

Obs. 2. The art. is essential in Greek with the pronouns possessive, for ex. gr. oog δοῦλος (as well as δοῦλός σου) can signify only, a slave of thee; but ὁ σὸς δοῦλος (as well as ὁ δοῦλός σου) means thy slave. (Compare § 127, 7.) On the art. before

the part. see § 144.

Obs. 3. The remaining instances, where the Greek has the art. prapos, instead of our article indefinite 1, may be reduced to the following two principal cases: 1.) When an object, which in particular instances is or may be an indefinite one, is considered in the abstract or in general, and consequently appears as definite. We do the same, for instance, in comparisons: we may say, "He is like the physician, who should visit the sick without knowing any thing of physic." (Xen. Ec. 15, 7.) Again, when an indefinite object becomes a definite one in a particular relation, or occupies a definite place, we say, "The matter between you and me is still so doubtful, that we want a man to decide it;" but the Greek has, ωστε τοῦ διακρινοῦντος ἔτι δεῖ, we want the man who will decide it, viz. the third man in this particular relation, who &c.2 2.) On relating a current story, which is repeated as being well known, and having been frequently told, ex. gr. Plato Charm. 7. Σοφώτατος δ Κριτίας, ος είπεν, ἐπὶ τοῦ καλοῦ λέγων παιδος, Critias is very wise, who (according to the well-known story) said in reference to a beautiful boy-

Obs. 4. The Greek prose-writers frequently omit the art. where we should use it, and where, according to the rule, it ought to be used even in Greek. But such cases are difficult to be brought under strict rules, as a great latitude was granted to the Greek writer on such points, and the addition of the art. therefore is not to be considered entirely wrong. Thus the art. is frequently omitted: 1.) in expressing gene-

¹ See Wolf. ad Reiz. de Accent. 76. Heind. ad Plat. Charm. 7.
² In the passage which is quoted, Plato Ph e dr. 4. $\tau \tilde{\psi} \nu \sigma \sigma \tilde{v} \tilde{\nu} \tau \iota$ ought, I think, to be actually taken in a definite sense, as Socrates is clearly meaning himself.

ral ideas; ex. gr. Plato de LL. 5. θεῖον γὰρ ἀγαθόν που τιμή. Charmid. 18. οὐκ ἄρα σωφροσύνη ἀν εἴη αἰδώς. Theæt. 23. αἴσθησις, φὴς, ἐπιστήμη: 2.) before words whose general and abstract nature has become sufficiently definite and particularised by the context; ex. gr. πόλις, μήτηρ, πατὴρ, γονεῖς, παῖς, θεὸς, and others; principally in their oblique cases; and 3.) before such appellatives, which have some similitude with proper names, as ἥλιος, σελήνη, γῆ, θάλασσα, οἰρανὸς, βασιλεὺς, king of Persia; see Heind. ad Plat. Euthyd. 8. Phædo 17 and 108.

§ 125.

- Obs. 1. The Ionians even insert the pronoun $\tau i \mathfrak{c}$ between the gen. dependent on it and its art., ex. gr. $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \mathfrak{c}$ ieré $\omega \nu$, instead of $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ieré $\omega \nu \tau \iota \mathfrak{c}$.
- 2. If the intercalated modification begins with the art, there may be two or three articles one after the other, provided they differ in their forms from each other, ex. gr. $\tau \delta$ $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ $\mathring{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ $\mathring{a} \wedge \delta \lambda \delta \varsigma$, the beauty of virtue, δ $\tau \mathring{a}$ $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ $\pi \rho \mathring{a} \gamma \mu a \tau a$ $\pi \rho \mathring{a} \tau \tau \omega v$, he who manages the affairs of the state, $\tau \delta v \tau \delta \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ $\mathring{a} \wedge \delta \eta v \tilde{a} \varsigma$ $\mathring{a} \gamma a \lambda \mu a \mathring{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a \sigma \mathring{a} \mu \epsilon v o v \gamma \mathring{\epsilon} v o \chi o \varsigma$ $\mathring{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega \tau \tilde{\psi} \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \theta \acute{\epsilon} \rho \omega v \phi \theta o \rho \tilde{a} \varsigma$ $v \circ \mu \omega$.
- 3. But the modifications of the *subst*. may also come after for emphasis or distinctness, in which case the *art*. must be repeated; *ex. gr.* τον παῖδα τον σον, thy son, ο χιλίαρχος ο τὰς ἀγγελίας εἰσκομίζων, the military tribune who has to bring in the reports. It may, however, be omitted in the first place, *ex. gr.* σύνειμι ἀνθοώποις τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς.
- Obs. 2. The gen. partitive can never be intercalated in that way, nor, when placed after the governing noun, can the art. of the latter be repeated before the gen. part. The only exception to this rule is, where several other words are placed between the art. and the gen. part.; ex. gr. Thuc. VI. 102. οἱ πρὸς τὴν πόλιν αὐτῶν τὸ πρῶτον καταφυγόντες, where αὐτῶν depends upon οἱ καταφυγόντες. The pronoun demonst. is intercalated in an analogous manner in many passages; as for instance in Xen. Anab. VI. 2, 6. ἡ στενὴ αὕτη ὁδός. The repetition of the article is necessary with the part., because the proposition would else constitute that particular construction of the part., which is so usual in the Greek language, and of which we treat below, § 144.
 - Obs. 3. When the adj. without an art. stands close to a subst., which has the art.,

4. When the subst. is understood from the context, it is commonly omitted, and the art. stands alone before the modifications, ex. gr. $\delta \wr \mu \delta \varsigma \pi a \tau \eta \rho \kappa a \delta \delta \tau o \tilde{\nu} \phi l \lambda o \nu (my father and that of my friend).$

5. There are certain customary omissions in such cases, (as above, § 123, 3. with the adj.) ex. gr. υίὸς, παῖς, θυγάτηο, 'Αλέξανδρος ὁ Φιλίππου, or also alone: ὁ Σωφρονίσκου, the son

of Soph., i. e. Socrates.

χώρα, γῆ· εἰς τὴν Φιλίππου, into the country of Philippus. οἶκος or οἰκία· (more usual without any art., see § 132. Obs. 9. 147. Obs. 5.) εἰς Πλάτωνος, into the house of Plato; εἰς ἄδου, into the Hades.

ανθρωποι οἱ ἐν ἄστει, the inhabitants; οἱ κατ' ἐμὲ, my contemporaries. The same with regard to friends or relations: οἱ περί οτ ἀμφί τινα, (see § 150.) οἱ σύν τινι, &c.

χρήματα or πράγματα τὰ τῆς πόλεως, the affairs of the town; τὰ (or τὸ) τῆς ἀρετῆς, that which relates to virtue. Hence arises a circumlocution of the simple subst. See § 128. Obs. 1. and 2.

ἡμέρα· ἡ ἐπιοῦσα, the following day.

6. As any indeclinable modification may be declined with the help of the article, adverbs are converted into adj. by the mere addition of the art., ex. gr. μεταξὺ, between, ὁ μεταξὺ τόπος, the intermediate place, the place situated between; πέλας, near, αὶ πέλας κῶμαι, the neighbouring villages, οἱ τότε ἄνθρωποι, οἱ πάλαι σοφοὶ ἄνδρες, ἡ ἄνω πόλις, the upper town, εἰς τὸν ἀνωτάτω τόπον, (see § 115, 6.) ἡ ἐξαίφνης μετάστασις, the sudden removal, &c.¹ Under this head comes also ἡ οὐ διάλυσις, and the like, see below, § 148. Obs. 3. Or the adverb comes after, and the art. is repeated: "Οταν ἐγείρησθε ἐκ τῆς ἀμελείας ταύτης τῆς ἄγαν, when you awake from this excessive remissness.

7. If the subst. being sufficiently known from the context or

¹ The Latin language, not having any art., must resort to a kind of juxtaposition to use such expressions, and that only in comedy, heri semper lenitas, Terentius.

idea itself, be altogether omitted, the adv assumes the appearance of a subst., ex. gr. avoid, to-morrow, omitting the word hméra, day, gives h avoid, the morrow; h $\Lambda v \delta \iota \sigma \tau$, the Lydian music (àrmovía being understood); of $\tau \delta \tau \varepsilon$, the men of that time; $\varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \delta v \delta \tau \delta \tau \varepsilon$, (for $\tau \delta \delta \tau \delta \tau \varepsilon$, with the probable omission of $\mu \varepsilon \rho \delta \varepsilon$, backwards, towards the hind part; Anacreon has, Tò $\delta \iota \tau \delta \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon$, what occurs to-day; for it is not always possible to supply a definite subst. when the art. is neut., nor is there any occasion to supply a subst.

8. On the other hand, *Infinitives*, and any word or phrase considered in itself as an *object*, become *actual* substantives by

the art. being prefixed:

Infinitives, ex. gr. τὸ πράττειν, the acting, being engaged in business, τὸ κακῶς λέγειν, the evil-speaking, backbiting, ἡδομαι τῷ περιπατεῖν, I find pleasure in walking. The use of the infin. as a subst. is very extensive in Greek, as will be seen § 140.

- 2.) Any word or phrase, considered in itself as an object, ex. gr. τὸ λέγω, i. e. the word λέγω.—Plato Protag. 345. Περὶ ἐαυτοῦ λέγει τοῦτο τὸ ἐκών. Phædr. 129. Καταχρήσασθαι δεῖ αὐτὸν τὸ, Πῶς δ' ἂν ἐγὼ τοιόσδε τοιῷδε ἐπεχείρησα, he must make use of this speech, How could I have attacked such a one!
- 9. The short particles, as $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, $\tau \hat{\epsilon}$, $\gamma \hat{\epsilon}$, $\delta \hat{\eta}$, $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho$, $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, $\delta \hat{\eta}$, $\tau o (\nu \nu \nu)$, are usually placed between the art. and the subst. or its representative: $\delta \gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho \ \tilde{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \varsigma$, $\tilde{\eta} \ \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho \ \tau \hat{\epsilon} \chi \nu \eta$, &c.

Obs. 4. Any word denoting an object is generally considered as of the neut. gender; but in grammar it is customary to give to every word the gender belonging to the denomination of that part of speech, ex. gr. as we say $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{a}\nu\tau\omega\nu\nu\mu\dot{a}$, (the pronoun,) we also say $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{a}\gamma\dot{\omega}$, (the pronoun $\dot{a}\gamma\dot{\omega}$), and \dot{a} $\dot{a}\pi\dot{a}$, because of \dot{a} $\dot{a}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$ (conjunction).

Obs. 5. There is, however, another peculiarity to be attended to. The article $\tau \delta$ with its accompaniment, omitting any connexion, becomes adverbial, ex. gr. $\tau \delta$ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau a \tilde{\iota} o \nu$, finally, $\tau a \nu \tilde{\iota} v$, (properly $\tau \dot{a} \nu \tilde{\iota} v v$.) now, $\tau \dot{o} \dot{a} \pi \dot{o} \tau o \tilde{\iota} \delta \varepsilon$, henceforth (compare below the accus. § 131, 8).—See about $i \dot{\epsilon} v a \iota \tau o \tilde{\iota} \tau a \phi \sigma \omega$, the Note to § 132, 4, 2. c., and about some other expressions with the art. $\tau \dot{o}$ or $\tau \dot{a}$, below the adj. neut. § 128. and the accus. § 131.

Obs. 6. In an elliptical sentence the article sometimes stands even before a pronoun relative, ex. gr. Τὸ ὁμοιωθὲν πρὸς τὸ ῷ ὡμοιώθη, (Plato Rep. 510.) the thing compared contrasted with that to which it is compared, where ἐκεῖνο might have been used instead of τό: Οὐδὲν τῶν ὅσα αἰσχύνην ἐστὶ φέροντα (Herod. 3, 133): Τοῖς οἵοις ἡμῖν χαλεπὴ ἡ δημοκρατία, to men like us (compare below, § 143, 6.) a democracy is prejudicial (Xen. Hist. Gr. 2, 3, 17): Τῆς (συνουσίας) ὅθεν ἀν φρονιμώτατος εἵη, (Plato Phædr. 34.) τὸ ὥσπερ κάρνον, the walnut-like thing (Pollux 7, 75); and the

same before other words connected with a subsequent proposition, ex. gr. To $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon$ $\delta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon$, literally, the when one ought to speak teach me, i. e. teach me when it is proper to speak; "Ev $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{\iota} \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, $\tau \delta \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \dot{\iota} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$, i. e. a case still remains, this, namely, if we could persuade you (Plato Rep. 1. p. 327).

§ 126.— δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{\delta}$, as Pronoun Demonstr. and $\delta \varsigma$, $\dot{\eta}$, $\ddot{\delta}$.

- 1. Both the artic. præpos. δ , $\hat{\eta}$, $\tau \delta$, and the artic. postpos. $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\hat{\eta}$, $\hat{\sigma}$, were demonstrative pronouns in the primitive language instead of $o\tilde{v}\tau o_{\mathcal{C}}$ or $\hat{\epsilon}_{\kappa\tilde{\kappa}}\tilde{v}o_{\mathcal{C}}$. When the language became polished, and more copious, their use was more confined, but even in common prose both retained in several definite instances the power of a real demonstrative pronoun.
- 2. They were most frequently used in this sense on making a division and distinction; in that case δ μεν comes first, and then & & follows once or several times, properly only in speaking of definite objects, this -that -: but also of indefinite ones -the one-the other-one more, and so through all genders and numbers; ex. gr. Τὸν μὲν ἐτίμα, τὸν δὲ οὐ, this (man) he esteemed, that not; Τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἀνόητον, τὸ δὲ μανικὸν, one is a silly trick, the other a mad one; Των πολεμίων, (or οἱ πολέμιοι,) οἱ μὲν έθαύμαζον τὰ γιγνόμενα, οἱ δ' ἐβόων, οἱ δε συνεσκευάζοντο, some of the enemies were astonished at what happened, others screamed, others prepared for their retreat; Των ζώων τὰ μὲν ἔχει πόδας, τὰ δ' ἐστὶν ἄποδα: - Κρεῖσσον καλῶς πένεσθαι ἢ κακῶς πλουτεῖν τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἔλεον, τὸ δ' ἐπιτίμησιν φέρει:—Isocrates says of the Athenians, who had sent out colonies, because their country was over-peopled, that in this way they, "Εσωσαν αμφοτέρους, καὶ τοὺς ἀκολουθήσαντας καὶ τοὺς ὑπομείναντας τοῖς μὲν γὰρ ίκανην την οίκοι χώραν κατέλιπον, τοῖς δὲ πλείω τῆς ὑπαρχούσης $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi \acute{o}\rho\iota\sigma a\nu$.—We find in the same manner, of $\mu \tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ $a\tilde{\nu}\tau \tilde{\omega}\nu$ —of $\delta \tilde{\epsilon}$, some of them—others—. See about δ μεν, δ δ' οῦ, δ 149. in μέν.
- 3. The genuine Attics seldom use the artic. postpos. in this way, $(\delta_{\mathcal{C}} \ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu, \ \delta_{\mathcal{C}} \ \delta \grave{\epsilon}, \&c. \hat{a} \ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu, \ \hat{a} \ \delta \grave{\epsilon}, \&c.)$ but later writers, like Plutarch, very frequently.
- Obs. 1. There is an instance in Demosth. pro Cor. 248. Reiske, Πόλεις Έλληνίδας, ας μὲν ἀναιρῶν, εἰς ὰς δὲ τοὺς φυγάδας κατάγων, destroying some Greek cities, bringing the exiles back to others.
- 4. In a narrative, δ , $\hat{\eta}$, $\tau \delta$, frequently stands but once with $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ in reference to an object already named, $ex.\ gr.\ \delta$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\iota} \pi \epsilon$, but this one said; $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon} \ \tilde{a} \pi o \chi \omega \rho \tilde{\eta} \sigma a \iota$, (the accus. with the infin.) that this (female) then went away.

233

5. When the question is of persons as subjects or agents, such a proposition may also be connected with καὶ, in which case the nomin. always has the form of the artic. postpos. δς, ῆ, οῦ, αἵ:—but the accus. (with the infin.) takes the form of the artic. præpos. τὸν, &c. ex. gr. Καὶ δς, ἀκούσας ταῦτα, ἔωσεν αὐτὸν ἐκ τῆς τάξεως, the other, having heard this, pushed him out of the rank, Καὶ οῦ διαλυθέντες, ἐσέβαινον ἐς τὰς νῆας, Herod. Καὶ τὸν κελεῦσαι δοῦναι, and the other ordered it to be given to him.

Obs. 2. Hither belong also the expressions used in relating a conversation: $\tilde{\eta}$ δ ' δc , said he, (see § 109. p. 220.) and the elliptic κai δc , (viz. $\tilde{\epsilon}\phi \eta$,) on which the other said.

Obs. 3. When there is a prep. in the sentence, $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ frequently come immediately after it, ex. gr. 'Ev $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ $\tau o \hat{i} \zeta$ $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega v o \hat{i} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ $\tau o \hat{i} \zeta$ o $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, on some points we agree, on others not; Isocr. Paneg. 41. Eig $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ $\tau o \hat{\nu} \zeta$ $\hat{\nu} \beta \rho i \zeta o \nu \tau \epsilon \zeta$, $\tau o \hat{i} \zeta$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ $\delta o \nu \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} o \nu \tau \epsilon \zeta$. See Reiz. de Accent. 13, 69.

Obs. 4. The distinctions, however, are not always so marked and so corresponding as in the preceding examples; ex, gr, of $\mu k \nu$ is frequently followed in the next proposition by $\tau \iota \nu k \varsigma$ δk , $\xi \nu \iota \iota \iota$ δk , or by a name or any other designation.

Obs. 5. From the ancient signif. of the art. the expressions $\tau \dot{\rho} \nu \kappa \alpha \dot{\iota} \tau \dot{\rho} \nu$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$, and the like, are derived; they correspond with the English this and that, and

are particularly frequent in Demosth.

Obs. 6. The ancient signif. of the art. accounts likewise for the poetical dat. $\tau \tilde{\psi}$ adverbially used, therefore, on that account, Il. ε . 815. $\Gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega \sigma \varepsilon$, $\theta \varepsilon \dot{\alpha}$, $-T \tilde{\psi} \tau o \iota \pi \rho o \rho \rho \rho \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \dot{\varepsilon} \pi o \varsigma$, therefore I will candidly tell you, which may also be expressed by the accus. (compare below, § 131, 7.) Il. γ . 176. Allà $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma'$ où $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \nu \nu \tau o$, $\tau \dot{\delta} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \dot{\omega} \sigma \dot{\sigma} \dot{\tau} \dot{\tau} \gamma \kappa \alpha$, therefore am I melting in tears.

Obs. 7. But the use of both articles as demonstrative pronouns is far more general in poetry, especially in Epic poetry, and δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \delta$, in Homer, (excepting the instances in which τὸ, τὸν, &c. stand for ὃ, ὃν, &c. according to § 75. Obs. 2.) are almost every where to be taken in this sense. To be convinced of this, we need only to look at the following passages, Il. a. 340. δ. 399. ε. 715. ζ. 407. Od. ε. 106., which, read superficially, appear to have the usual prosaic art.; but the attentive reader, who does not know of any such art. in Homer, very soon perceives from the context that in all these passages, and many similar, it is the demonstrative pronoun; the demonstrative power of which, however, may be dispensed with here, and is therefore weakened. In but few passages this little word denotes an object merely as well known and distinguished, or as very much present to the mind of the poet, or where the demonstrative power really is so weakened, that its dwindling into the true Attic art. becomes obvious (Il. a. 167. n. 412. µ. 289).—But we must be particularly on our guard against taking this form for the art., when it is separated from the subst. by the rerb and the whole proposition, as, 'Η μέν ἄο' ὢς εἰποῦσ' ἀπέβη πόδας ὡκέα Ipic: for here $\dot{\eta}$ as a demonstrative pronoun is to be rendered by she, whose name is mentioned after in the poetical way, thus she spoke, and departed, the swift-footed Iris. This is proved by those passages in which the real pronoun of (to him) is followed in the same way by the subst., ex. gr. Od. v. 106.—Thus even in Homer this subst. nature of \dot{o} , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{o}$, apparently raises an adj. to a noun subst., ex. gr. Il. ρ . 80. $\tau \dot{o} \nu$ ἄριστον, the bravest, α . 33. ὁ γέρων, the old (man), and frequently οἱ ἄλλοι, τἆλλα. In all these instances \dot{o} , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{o}$, as subst. has its adj. along with it, and the sense properly is, him the braxest, he the old (man), those, the others, that, the other. But here too the pronoun demonstrative, as it may be dispensed with, is often weakened, and its dwindling into the usual article very evident.

§ 127.—Pronouns—Adj. πας.

1. a.) The pronouns demonstrative οὖτος and ὅδε are sometimes employed instead of adverbs of place; ex. gr. Plato Rep. 1. (in the beginning,) Ἡρόμην ὅπου εἴη, οὖτος ἔφη, ὅπισθεν προσέρχεται, I asked where he was; there he comes, said the other, behind you. The demonstrative ὅδε is chiefly used in this manner by poets, (Od. a. 185. χ. 367.) and in particular very frequently by tragic poets (Schaef. Meletem. 77).

b.) $o\tilde{v}\tau o_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\tilde{o}\delta\epsilon$ (and the *adverbs* $o\tilde{v}\tau \omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\tilde{o}\delta\epsilon$) differ from each other in so far as $o\tilde{v}\tau o_{\mathcal{C}}$ refers, though with some exceptions, to the antecedent, and $\tilde{o}\delta\epsilon$ to the subsequent words in a sentence; $o\tilde{v}\tau o_{\mathcal{C}}$ is in good prose employed at the beginning of a sentence which is intro-

duced by a pron. relative.

c.) The pronouns demonst. are frequently omitted before the pronouns relative, as in Latin, ex. gr. (ταῦτα) ἃ σὸ εἶπες, and in the locutions σημεῖον δέ τεκμήριον δὲ, (see § 151 towards the end,) ἔστιν οῦ—§ 150.

2. The three principal signif. of the pronoun αὐτὸς (§ 74, 2.)

must be carefully discriminated.

I. It signifies self,

a. when it belongs to another noun, so as to be in apposition with it, that is to say, either behind it, or even before the article, ex. gr. Μᾶλλον τοῦτο φοβοῦμαι ἢ τὸν θάνατον αὐτὸν, I fear this more than death itself; Αὐτὸν τὸν βασιλέα ἀποκτεῖναι ἐβούλετο, he wanted to put to death the king himself.

b. when, the pronoun personal being omitted as sufficiently known from the context, it stands for I myself, he himself. In that case it is chiefly in the nomin., ex. gr. αὐτὸς ἔφη, he himself said it; παρεγενόμην αὐτὸς, I myself got there;—it is used in the oblique cases only, when the sentence begins with them, ex. gr. αὐτὸν γὰρ είδον, for I saw him himself.

II. It is employed instead of the pronoun personal of the 3 pers., but merely in the oblique cases, as in English him, her, it, to him, &c. (in Latin eum, &c.) In this sense it can come only after other words of the sentence or proposition, ex. gr. ἔδωκεν αὐτοῖς τὸ πῦρ, he gave fire to them; οὐχ ἑώρακας αὐτόν; have you not seen HIM? Τὸ δέρας Αἰήτη ἔδωκεν, ἐκεῖνος δὲ αὐτὸ

καθήλωσεν, he gave the skin to Æëtes, but the latter nailed it up.
—See also 7.

- III. It means the same, when it is immediately preceded by the article; ex. gr. ὁ αὐτὸς ἀνὴρ, the same man; ἐκέλευσε τὸ αὐτὸ (οτ ταὐτὸ) ποιεῖν, he ordered him to do the same. (See its construction with the dat. below § 133, 2.)
- Obs. 1. Hence it follows that the nomin. αὐτὸς, &c. never signifies he alone, &c. But under the second head must also be ranked those instances, in which the subject, according to the Greek construction, is stated in an oblique case, especially in the gen. absolute, and with an infin. in the accus.; the oblique cases of αὐτὸς must then be rendered by he, she, it, ex. gr. ἐπικειμένων δ' αὐτῶν, but as they rushed in; μετὰ ταῦτα, ἀπελθεῖν αὐτὸν, that after this he departed; Soph. Philoct. 777. (pray) μή σοι γενέσθαι πολύπονα αὐτὰ, (viz. τὰ τόξα, the bow,) that it be not troublesome to you.
- Obs. 2. The Epic poets also employ $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\rho} \varsigma$ without the article, for $\dot{\rho}$ a $\dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\rho} \varsigma$: and sometimes it is used even in prose for $\mu \dot{\rho} \nu \rho \varsigma$, alone, ex. gr. $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\rho} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$, for we are alone; the English we are by ourselves; $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\nu} \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \nu$, to observe only what is before your feet, Xenoph. Laced. 3, 5.
- 3. The pronoun autòς loses its emphasis in the reflective pronouns έμαυτὸν, σεαυτὸν, &c. (§ 74, 3): αὐτὸν σὲ means thyself, but σεαυτὸν simply thee as the reflective pronoun, (which, however, in English is expressed with self,) ex. gr. ἔθιζε σαυτόν (in French barely accounted to the in English accustom thyself). Thus the pronoun reflective of the 3 pers. (ἑαυτὸν or αὐτὸν) answers to the Latin sui, sibi, se, and, like this, it is also used when it refers to the first subject of two connected propositions, where we still say him in English; ex. gr. Νομίζει τοὺς πολίτας ὑπηρετεῖν ἑαυτῷ, he thinks his fellow citizens are subject to him. But in this last case it may also be simply αὐτὸν or ε̂ (οῦ, &c. σφεῖς, σφᾶς, &c. See Obs. 3).
- Obs. 3. The Attics use E, &c. in this combination chiefly 1.) when there is no emphasis, and when it would be the enclitic $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$ in the 1 pers., Plato Rep. 1. (init.) Κατιδών ήμᾶς, ὁ Πολέμαρχος ἐκέλευσε δραμόντα τὸν παῖδα περιμεῖναί ἐ κελεῦσαι, he bid the slave tell us to wait for him; in the same way we have of, Xen. Anab. 3, 1, 5. Plato Phædo p. 117. Protag. 316. σφίσιν, Xenoph. Hell. 5, 4, 11. &c. But 2.) when, in a speech alluded to in sermone obliquo, the speaker himself is mentioned, this pronoun is frequently used in opposite propositions and the like, entirely as ἐμέ. See, for instance, Plato Rep. 10. p. 617. where $\sigma\phi\tilde{\alpha}\varsigma$, $o\tilde{v}$, $\tilde{\epsilon}$, occur in this way.—The sing. of this form is altogether very rare with the Attic writers, but the pl. was familiar to them both ways; they have even the nomin. $\sigma\phi\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$, when a quoted speech or opinion, the speakers, or those who give their opinion, are themselves the subject, ex. gr. Xen. Anab. 7, 5, 5. Λέγειν ἐκέλευον, ὅτι οὐδὲν ἀν ἦττον σφεῖς άγάγοιεν την στρατιάν, they bid them say that they would lead the army on equally well. In this case avrol might be used, but it would rather signify they themselves: in the sing., however, nothing but αὐτὸς can be used in this case both for he and he himself. See about the nomin. i the Note to § 72, 3.
 - Obs. 4. Examples of ἐαυτὸν or αὐτὸν thus employed are found in Isocr. Paneg. 12.

Of $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ (of $\theta \epsilon \alpha \tau a i$) örav $i \delta \omega \sigma i$ τοὺς ἀθλητὰς $\dot{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ Evera πονοῦντας, where $\dot{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ refers to the spectators, to which corresponds exactly in the next following sentence, Of δ\hat{\epsilon} (of \darkappa \darkappa (of \darkappa \dark

Obs. 5. The reflective pronouns of the 3 pers. have sometimes the power of a general reflective pronoun, which stands also for the 1 and 2 pers., as ἐαυτοῦ, αὐτοῦ, for ἐμαυτοῦ and σαυτοῦ. This has been perfectly ascertained and is acknowledged by the ancient grammarians, (see Timæus with the Note of Ruhnken, p. 92.) and extends likewise to prose; but the passages occurring in prose writers are still open to critical examination. The Attic senarius is an instance in point, ex. gr. Soph. Œd. T. 138.

Υπέρ γὰρ οὐχὶ τῶν ἀπωτέρω φίλων, 'Αλλ' αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ, τοῦτ' ἀποσκεδῶ μύσος,

for the sake of myself, for my sake. Other poets go still further; not only use σφέτερος without any distinction of pers. or number in the sense of one's own, but also ε, οἱ, ἑὸς, Apoll. partly (like the Latin se) for the pl., and partly as a pronoun reflective of the 1 and 2 pers. (see Wolf. Proleg. ad Hom. 247.)

Obs. 6. The genitives of the pronoun αὐτὸς are added, corresponding with the Latin usage, to the pronouns possessive, for the purpose of strengthening the power of the latter; in English we say: my own, &c.:

αὐτῶν γἆρ σφετέρησιν ἀτασθαλίησιν ὅλοντο, sua ipsorum temeritate perierunt. Hom. Od. a. 7.

We meet with this addition less frequently in prose, on account of the pronouns possessive (see below 7) being usually rendered by the pronouns personal. The gen. of a subst. instead of $a\dot{v}\tau o \tilde{v}$, &c. may be also joined to the pron. possessive, ex. gr. $\delta\iota a\rho\pi\dot{a}\xi ov\sigma\iota \tau\dot{a}$ $\ell\mu\dot{a}$, $\tau o \tilde{v}$ κακοδαίμονος.

- 4. The pronoun indefinite τ\c is also used for the German man, French on, English one, they, people, ex. gr. "Ανθρωπον ἀναιδέστερον οὐκ ἄν τις εὕροι, one cannot find a more impudent man; even it refers to a whole assembly, ex. gr. "Ηδη τις ἐπιδεικνύτω ἑαυτὸν, now one must distinguish one's self (every one of you must distinguish himself). See about the neut. τ\cdot\, \§ 150. The pronoun interrog. τ\c(\tau\c,\tau\c), τ\c), is also used as in English for an indirect interrogation: ἡρώτα με τ\c)ς εἴην, he asked me who I was.
- Obs. 7. Hence $\tau i \zeta$, τi , is likewise employed with some verbs denoting merely inquiry or investigation, instead of $5 \sigma \tau i \zeta$, $5 \tau i$, Xen. Anab. 3, 3, 18. "Ην οῦν ἐπισκεψώμεθα, τίνες αὐτῶν πέπανται σφενδόνας, if we now inquire or examine which of them have slings. Compare § 139, H. 2.
- 5. "Allog without any article is the Latin alius, another;" $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\xi\rho\sigma\varsigma}$ without the article is the same, but denotes a stronger difference, but $\delta \mathcal{E}_{\tau\xi\rho\sigma\varsigma}$ always makes a distinction only between two objects; it is the Latin alter, the other, see § 78, 4. In the pl. $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda\sigma$ means others, and of $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda\sigma$ the others, ceteri; of $\mathcal{E}_{\tau\xi\rho\sigma\iota}$ supposes a more distinct separation into two parts, the other party. The sing. $\delta \mathring{a}\lambda\lambda\sigma$ denotes a whole exclusively and in opposition to a definite part: $\mathring{\eta} \mathring{a}\lambda\lambda\eta$ $\chi\omega\rho\sigma$, the whole remain-

SYNTAX. 337

ing country, the rest of the country, all the other parts of the country.

6. Most pronouns and the adj. $\pi \tilde{a}_{\varsigma}$ and $\tilde{a}\pi a_{\varsigma}$ come either before the article or after the subst., ex.gr. $\tau o \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta_{\varsigma} \tilde{\omega} \nu$, of these men; δ $\tilde{a}\nu \tilde{\eta}_{\varsigma} \rho$ o $\tilde{\nu}\tau \sigma_{\varsigma}$, this man; δ ($\kappa \eta \nu \tilde{\varepsilon}\tau \iota \nu \varepsilon \tau a \delta \tau \eta \nu$, he suffered this punishment; $\pi \dot{a}\nu \tau \varepsilon_{\varsigma}$ of "Ellhause, all the Greeks; $\tau \tilde{\omega} \delta \tilde{\eta} \mu \omega \tilde{u} \pi a \nu \tau \iota$, to the whole people. But seldom and rather emphatically $\pi \tilde{a}_{\varsigma}$ is between the article and subst.: of $\pi \dot{a}\nu \tau \varepsilon_{\varsigma}$ $\beta \dot{\delta} \varepsilon_{\varsigma} \tau \varepsilon \kappa \tilde{a} \tilde{\iota} \pi \pi \sigma \iota$, Plato. When $\pi \tilde{a}_{\varsigma}$ is without an article in the sing., it is commonly used for $\tilde{\varepsilon} \kappa a \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma$, ex.gr. $\pi \tilde{a}_{\varsigma} \tilde{a}\nu \tilde{\eta}_{\varsigma}$, (the French tout homme,) every man.

Obs. 3. Several adjectives, as ὅλος, μέσος, ἔσχατος, ἄκρος, ἥμισυς, take the same position, though with a difference of signification, since, for instance, ἐσχάτη ἡ νῆσος, means, the island (at its extreme point), the end of the island; but ἡ ἐσχάτη νῆσος, the (extreme) most distant island (in speaking of several islands). ἐν μέση τῷ ἀγορῷ, in medio foro, but τὸ μέσον στίφος, (Xen. Anab. I. 8, 13.) the inmost division

of troops.

Obs. 9. The art., however, must be omitted with all pronouns enumerated in text C., if they be not connected in an adjective signification with their substantive, but are referred to by the subst. as by a predicate. Thus, for instance, altiau μèν αδται ήσαν, these were the reasons (but αδται αὶ αlτίαι, these reasons); τούτψ παραδείγματι χρῶνται, this they quote as an example. Compare Dawes' Misc., p. 300, Reisig. Conj., p. 177, &c. Real exceptions to this rule are only those instances in which substantives are added to the above-mentioned pronouns, which, when taken even in a definite sense, do not require the art., ex. gr.; ὅĉε ᾿Αρίσταρχος αὐτὸς βασιλεὺς, πάντες ἄνθρωποι, i. e. all who are called men.

7. The pronouns possessive are frequently rendered by the genitives of the pron. personal or reflexive. The usage of

speech is the following:

1.) Instead of the pron. poss. of the 1 and 2 pers. sing., the enclitical forms μου and σου are used, and they are either put before the art. or after the subst.; hence μου, σου ὁ φίλος οτ ὁ φίλος μου, σου; or the pron. reflex. ἐμαυτοῦ, σεαυτοῦ, are employed for the sake of emphasis, and they are placed either between the art. and the subst. or after the subst., the art. being repeated. Hence ὁ ἐμαυτοῦ, σεαυτοῦ φίλος, or ὁ φίλος ὁ ἐμαυτοῦ, σ.

2.) Instead of the third pers. of the pron. poss., which is scarcely ever employed in prose, the gen. $a\partial\tau \sigma\tilde{v}$, $\tilde{\eta}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $a\partial\tau\tilde{\omega}\nu$ are used, and these again either before the art. or after the subst., if the words signifying possession do not refer to a subject contained in the principal proposition; hence $a\partial\tau\sigma\tilde{v}$, &c. δ $\phi(\lambda\sigma_{\mathcal{C}})$ or δ $\phi(\lambda\sigma_{\mathcal{C}})$ adv $\sigma\tilde{v}$, &c. The pron. reflex. $\delta a\nu\tau\sigma\tilde{v}$, $\tilde{\eta}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\delta a\nu\tau\tilde{\omega}\nu$, is used (taking the same position as has been pointed out in number 1. b.) if the word signifying possession refers to the subject of

the principal proposition; hence δ $\epsilon av \tau o \tilde{v}$, &c. $\phi i \lambda o c$ and δ $\phi i \lambda o c$ δ $\epsilon av \tau o \tilde{v}$, &c.

3.) The first and second pers. plur. of the pron. poss. are by far more usual than the circumlocution with $\hat{\eta}\mu\tilde{\omega}\nu$ and $\hat{\upsilon}\mu\tilde{\omega}\nu$.

Obs. 10. This is the usage constantly observed in Attic prose; for examples see Rost's Grammar, § 99. The pron. poss. $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\delta}c$, $\sigma\dot{\delta}c$, &c. are used like adject.; hence $\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\delta}c$ or $\dot{\delta}$ $\phi i\lambda \delta c$ or $\dot{\delta}$ definition. That $\alpha\dot{\delta}\tau\sigma\dot{\delta}\nu$, may be employed instead of the pron. reflex. $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau\sigma\dot{\delta}$, &c. follows from text 3.

8. With words expressive of constantly relative ideas, as a son, father, friend, master, the hand, foot, &c. the pron. poss. is never used, but supplied by the art. alone.

See about the premised enclitic gen. μου, σου, instead of the

Dat. commodi, § 133. Obs. 5.

§ 128.—Of the Neuter Adjective.

2. The neuter in the sing. rather denotes the abstract idea of objects, ex. gr. τὸ καλὸν, the beauty (the beautiful abstractedly considered in itself); τὸ θεῖον, the divinity (any divine nature, of which we have but an obscure notion); τὸ τῆς γυναικὸς δοῦλον καὶ θεραπευτικὸν, the slavish and submissive condition of women. Whence also the neuter of a part. is employed as an abstractum, ex. gr. τὸ συγκεχωρηκὸς, the yielding, i. e. an indulgent, yielding disposition.

Obs. 1. The neuter of the article alone with the gen. is still more indefinite; it denotes a mere reference, derivation, and the like: Tò δὲ τῶν χρημάτων μάλιστα $\pi \sigma \theta ε$ ῖτε ἀκοῦσαι, πόσα καὶ πόθεν ἔσται, with respect to the money, you are particularly anxious to hear how much it is, and whence it is to come; Demosth. Τὰ τῶν $\theta ε$ ῶν

φέρειν δεί, we must bear what comes from the gods.

Obs. 2. Hence comes a particular circumlocution peculiar to the Attics, that of both $\tau \dot{o}$ and $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ with the gen., which will best appear from examples. Thus they often say $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \ddot{\eta} \varsigma$ $\tau \dot{\nu} \chi \eta \varsigma$, when we should simply say fortune; Demosth. Ol. 1. Ταράττει αὐτὸν τὰ τῶν Θετταλῶν, the Thessalians (whom he distrusts) fill him with care; τὰ τῶν βαρβάρων ἐστὶν ἄπιστα φύσει, barbarians are naturally distrustful; τὸ τῆς πόλεως γενναῖον καὶ ἐλεύθερὸν ἐστι, the nature of the state is something noble and free, Plato, Menex. 17; and on describing a beautiful place, πάντων δὲ κομψότατον τὸ τῆς πόας, but the most beautiful of all is the grass, Plato Phædr.—Hence the neuter of the

pronoun possessive often serves in this manner for the pronoun personal: τὸ ἐμὸν for ἐγὸ, properly what concerns me, my person.

Obs. 3. The Greeks can also use $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a$, (like the French their tout, in tout court, tout fuit,) for every one; ex. gr. Eurip. Τῶν βαρβάρων γὰρ δοῦλα πάντα πλην ἐνὸς, among the barbarians all are slaves (in French tout est esclave) except one, i. e. τὰ τῶν βαρβάρων πάντα, for οἱ βάρβαροι πάντες,

Obs. 4. The neut. of the adj. instead of the adv. is seldom used in prose except in the compar. and superl. (§ 115, 4.); but it occurs frequently in poetry, ex. gr. δεινὸν βοᾶν, to cry out dreadfully, ἔκπαγλα φιλεῖν, to love excessively. A similar use principally of the neuter in the plur. is not very rare in prose. The adj. then, properly speaking, is not employed instead of the adverb, but is rather to be considered as standing in the accus. case, and must be explained according to the analogy of the usage of speech treated of in § 131, 3. Compare Xen. Anab. V. 9, 5: ἡλλοντο ὑψηλά τε καὶ κούφως. The adverbial signif. of pronouns and similar general adj., as τὸ πᾶν, entirely, totally; τὰ πολλὰ καθεύδει, he is mostly (the greatest part of time) asleep, &c. is easily understood. We may also notice τοῦτο μὲν—τοῦτο δὲ, on one hand—on the other hand; τὰ μὲν—τὰ δὲ, partly—partly; both forms being perfectly adverbial: ex. gr. Demosth. Lept. 474. Xenoph. Mem. 1, 3. init.

§ 129.—Of the Noun in connexion.—Subject and Predicate.

The signification of the words subject and predicate, and the general rules of construction, are supposed to be sufficiently known from the Latin grammar. The rules we shall give are therefore confined to the peculiarities of the Greek language; and we remark only that the predicate, when it is a subst., is used according to its nature without an art., since instances like ἀνακαλοῦντες αὐτὸν τὸν εὐεργέτην, τὸν προδότην are easily accounted for, without interfering with the general rule. The predicate is always placed first when the subject is without an article. For examples see § 124. Obs. 4.

1. The nomin. neut. pl. commonly has in Greek the verb in the sing.; τὰ ζῶα τρέχει, the animals run; ταῦτά ἐστιν ἀγαθὰ, this is good; ᾿Αθηναίων ηὕζετο τὰ πράγματα,—ἔστι ταῦτα, this is, i. e. that is true; τῶν ὄντων, τὰ μέν ἐστιν ἐφ᾽ ἡμῖν, τὰ δ᾽ οὐκ ἐφ᾽ ἡμῖν (in our power).

Obs. 1. But whenever the subject denotes animated objects, the pl. is again preferred in prose writers: Plato, Cach. p. 180. τὰ γὰρ μειράκια—ἐπαινοῦσιν: or whenever the idea of singleness or of multitude is particularly alluded to, ex. gr. φανερὰ ἦσαν ἀνθρώπων καὶ ἵππων ἵχνη πολλά. Xen. Anab. I. 7, 17. But poets use the plural without any such reason.

2. Whenever a verb has for its subject several individually-named objects, which come after, the verb frequently is in the sing., ex. gr. "Εστι καὶ ἐν ταῖς ἄλλαις πόλεσιν ἄρχοντές τε, καὶ δῆμος: if ἄρχοντες stood alone, the construction would necessarily require εἰσί:— Ἰνα γίγνηται αὐτῷ ἀρχαί τε, καὶ γάμοι, καὶ ὅσαπερ, κ. τ. λ.— Plato Rep. 2. p. 363.

Obs. 2. One instance, where the verb comes after, occurs in Il. ρ. 386. Γούνατά $\tau \varepsilon$, κνημαί $\tau \varepsilon$, πόδες $\tau \varepsilon$ —Χεῖρές τ' όφθαλμοί $\tau \varepsilon$ παλάσσετο.

Obs. 3. Some dialects allow themselves to construe the verb in the sing. also with the pl. of nouns mass. and fem. denoting things, which peculiarity, as it is much used by Pindar, is generally called schema Pindaricum. This construction is a little more natural, yet still uncommon, when the verb comes first, as in $\tau \eta \varsigma \delta^{\gamma} \eta \nu \tau \rho \epsilon i \varsigma \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda a i$, mentioned above in the Note to § 108, and in such a construction we even meet with the proper names of persons. See in this respect Lesbon. 184. Herm. ad Pind. Ol. 3, 10. 11, 5. Valck. ad Herod. 5, 12. Hither belongs also the usual expression $\epsilon \sigma \tau \nu \sigma i$, &c. See § 150.

3. Nothing however is more common than for the meaning of a sentence to change its grammatical precision; this is called the

constructio κατά σύνεσιν.

Thus we meet a., with the plural instead of the singular with nouns collective; b., with predicates adopting the natural gender of the subject, to which they refer.

Examples, a.) $ω_{\mathcal{C}}$ φάσαν $\mathring{\eta}$ πληθ $\mathring{\iota}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Hom. thus spoke the multitude.—Thuc. 5, 60. $\mathring{\tau}\mathring{o}$ στρατόπεδον \mathring{a} νεχ $\mathring{\omega}$ ρουν $\mathring{\iota}$: and the same with pronouns, if they refer to nouns collective: Thuc. 3, 4. λαθόντες $\mathring{\tau}\mathring{o}$ τ $\mathring{\omega}$ ν \mathring{a} λθηναίων ναυτικὸν, $\mathring{o}\mathring{\iota}$ $\mathring{\omega}$ ρμουν, &c. ibid. 1, 36. \mathring{o} Θεμιστοκλ $\mathring{\eta}_{\mathcal{C}}$ φε $\mathring{\nu}$ γει ές Κέρκυραν, $\mathring{\omega}$ ν $\mathring{a}\mathring{v}$ τ $\mathring{\omega}$ ν εὐεργέτης.—b.) $\mathring{\tau}\mathring{o}$ μειράκι \mathring{o} ν έστι καλ \mathring{o} ς: the case is the same in the participial constr. Thuc. 4, 15. $\mathring{\tau}\mathring{a}$ τέλη, καταβάντες ές $\mathring{\tau}\mathring{o}$ στρατόπεδον: and in circumlocutions with the gen., the predicate then taking the gender of the word, which stands in the gen.: Plato Rep. viii. p. 563. $\mathring{\tau}\mathring{o}$ ν $\mathring{\tau}\mathring{\omega}$ ν θηρίων (i. e. $\mathring{\tau}\mathring{a}$ θηρία) έλευθερώτερα έστιν. Hom. Il. β. 459. \mathring{o} ρνίθων έθνεα πολλ \mathring{a} — \mathring{a} γαλλόμεναι πτερύγεσσιν.

Obs. 4. In a speech, though addressed to many, the principal person alone is often accosted, and the verb is used in the plur.; Soph. Phil. 466. ἤδη, τέκνον, $\sigma \tau \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$; On the other hand the sing. of imperatives is often used, although several persons be mentioned or addressed: $\epsilon i \pi \grave{\epsilon}$, ἄγε, φέρε, $i \delta \acute{\epsilon}$: Arist. Pax 383. $\epsilon i \pi \acute{\epsilon}$ μοι, $\tau \acute{\epsilon}$ πάσχετ' ὧνδρες, Comp. § 115. Obs. 7.

Obs. 5. A single person sometimes speaks in Greek of himself in the pl., the same as in Latin, and particularly often in Greek Tragedies; but when a female speaks, the predicate always is in the pl. mass. Thus Electra says in Soph. El. 391. $\pi \epsilon \sigma o \acute{\nu} \mu \epsilon \theta '$, $\epsilon i \chi \rho \dot{\eta}$, $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho o \acute{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$. See in this respect Herm. ad Viger. n. 50.

- 4. The copula (είναι, γίγνεσθαι, &c.), if placed closer to the predicate than to the subject, takes sometimes the number of the predicate. Herod. 6, 112. ῆσαν δὲ στάδιοι οὐκ ἐλάσσονες τὸ μεταίχμιον αὐτῶν ἢ ὀκτώ.
- 5. As the *dual* is not a necessary grammatical form, (§ 33, 2.) any proposition about two objects may be expressed in the pl, and when the subject is expressed in the *dual*, the verb may

¹ We meet with the plur. even in the Genit. Absolut. τοῦ στόλου—πλεόντων, in Demosth. Mid. \S 45.

yet be in the pl., and versa vice, and the different predicates and references to the subject may again interchange the two forms according to the exigency of euphony.

Εxamples. Hom. II. ε. 275. τω δὲ τάχ' ἐγγύθεν ἢλθον, ἐλαύνοντ' ωκέας ὅππους. δ. 452. ως ὅτι χείμαβροι ποταμοὶ κατ' ὅρεσφι βέοντες συν βάλλετον, &c. η. 279. μηκέτι, παῖδε φίλω, πολεμίζετε, μηδὲ μάχεσθον.

- Obs. 6. Nouns strictly belonging together require the uniformity of § 123, except that 1.) the numerals δύο and ἄμφω are sometimes construed with the pl. (δυεῖν ἡμερῶν,) and 2.) the construction with the part. shares the licence of the verb, ex. gr. Aristoph. Ran. 573. νὼ-δείσασαι ἀνεπηδήσαμεν, Eurip. Alc. 903. δύο ψυχὰς συνέσχεν ὁμοῦ-διαβάντε. (The uncommon instances of a dual instead of the requisite pl. are stated § 33. Obs. 7, and § 87. Obs. 6.)
- 6. When the adj. as a predicate is separated from the subst., it is often in the neuter, though the subst. be either masc. or fem., and in the sing. though the subst. be in the pl. The object is then considered in the aggregate as a whole, as one thing; hence we may always mentally supply the idea of a thing or something.

Examples: ἡ ἀρετή ἐστιν ἐπαινετὸν, virtue is praiseworthy; οὐκ ἀγαθὸν πολυκοιρανίη, Hom.; εἴθ' ἡδὺ, εἴτ' ἀνιαρὸν παῖδες γίγνονται, ἀγνοεῖ,—χαλεπὸν ἀκροατὴς ἀσύνετος, where we could say in English more fully, a stupid hearer is a disagreeable thing,—Aristoph. Eccl. 236. Χρήματα πορίζειν εὐπορώτατον γυνή. It is most usually done when the adj. refers to several separate objects, which have been named before, and which are all, or the greatest part of them, things: Τὸν αὐχένα καὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν φαίνει κεχρυσωμένα, Herod.: ᾿Ανὴρ Καρμάνιος καὶ κύων ἀμφότερα ἀγριώτατα, Ælian, H. A. 3, 2.

- Obs. 7. The predicate is often expressed by an adverb, either when the adjective form of an adverb does not exist, (compare § 125, 7.) or when the adverb and copula coalesce into one idea. Such adverbs are: ἄλις, σῖγα, ἀκὴν (Hom.), ἑκὰς, ἐγγὺς οr ἐγγύθεν, πλησίον, δίχα, χωρὶς, ex. gr. (Xen.) ὅτε ἐγγὺς, χωρὶς ἦσαν, ἐγγὑτερον ἐγίγνοντο: further καλῶς, κακῶς, χαλεπῶς εἶναι, ex. gr. εἴ τι τῷνδ' ἐστὶν καλῶς. Eurip.
- 7. The subject, as in Latin, commonly is not expressed, whenever the termination of the *verb* and the context sufficiently show it, and when there is no particular stress on it, whilst in such a case we always use the *pronouns personal*, *I*, thou, he, she, it, &c.
- Obs. 8. Any substantive (or word) in a principal sentence, although it be in an oblique case, needs not be repeated in a collateral sentence, on its becoming the subject of the latter. Herod. 9, 8. τὸν Ἰσθμὸν ἐτείχεον καί σφι ἤν πρὸς τέλει. The case is the same in dependent propositions, the subj. of which is frequently the object in the principal proposition, whether it be the immediate or more distant one. Compare § 151. I. 6.—Some noun may be added by way of apposition to the pronoun,

which is omitted, though implied in the termination of the verb; ex. gr. δ δὲ Μαίας τῆς "Ατλαντος διακονοῦμαι αὐτοῖς, i. e. " and I the son of Maja, daughter of Atlas, wait on them." Lucian.—It is likewise to be remarked, that the Greek adds an article to any noun, which is placed as an apposition to a pron. pers., even if the latter be only implied in the termination of the verb. Xen. Anab. IV. 6, 16. ἐγὼ ὑμᾶς ἀκούω τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους δεινοὺς εἶναι κλέπτειν τὰ δημόσια. Compare Krueger in 1,7,7.

- 8. The noun of the subject is likewise omitted, when the verb denotes the usual occupation or profession of a definite individual known by this occupation, ex. gr. σαλπίζει οτ σημαίνει, the trumpeter gives the signal with the trumpet. Thus Demosth. says, ἀναγνώσεται ὑμῖν, he shall read to you, (speaking of the usual appointed reader,) and Herod. in speaking of usual occupations at sacrifices, the chace, &c. (2, 47. 70.) Compare below, Obs. 9.
- 9. The same is done, where we say it, meaning some effect of nature, or the result of circumstances, ex. gr. $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon l}$, it rains (where we must not understand $Z_{\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon}}$, although the Greeks often said $\delta Z_{\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon}}$, $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon l}$, and the like): $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \mu a(v_{\varepsilon l}, it announces itself (ex. gr. in the atmosphere); <math>\delta \delta \hat{v}_{\delta l} \lambda \omega \sigma \varepsilon \delta \hat{c}$, and so it showed itself (Xenoph. Mem. 1, 2, 32).
- 10. Impersonal verbs, that is to say, verbs which have no reference to any person or subject, are of a very different nature; the subject with them is not a kind of mystery, or left in the dark, but the action, to which they refer, whether it be expressed by an infin. or any dependent proposition, is the real subject of such verbs, of which verbs the peculiarity consists simply in their subject not being expressed by a noun or infin., with an article, supplying the place of a noun; ex. gr. έξεστί μοι ἀπιέναι, i. e. τὸ ἀπιέναι έξεστί μοι, (to go away is permitted to me,) it is permitted to me to go away (I am at liberty to go). Of this kind are δεί, χρη, ἀπόχρη, δοκεί, (look for all these above among the Anomalous,) πρέπει, it becomes, ἐνδέγεται, it is possible, and others, of which some also admit of personal constructions, which are easily understood; hence complete phrases, like έχει λόγον, (consentaneum est,) are to be considered as impersonals of this kind.
- 11. The French on is most commonly rendered (according to § 127, 4.) by the pronoun $\tau \wr c$, in some cases also by the 2 pers., ex. gr. $\phi a i \eta c$ a v, (on diroit,) 'you would say;' or, as in Latin, by the 3 pers. pl., or by the pass., chiefly in the expressions, $\phi a \sigma i$, $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota$, but also in other expressions (Thuc. 7, 69). " $O \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \acute{a} \sigma \chi o \nu \sigma \iota \nu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \tau o i c \mu \epsilon \gamma \acute{a} \lambda o \iota c \acute{a} \gamma \acute{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$.

- Obs. 9. The word $\tau \wr_{\mathcal{C}}$ may also be omitted, and consequently the 3 pers. sing. used alone, if by the French general pronoun on, the Eng. one, we understand either 1.) the person who performs the action, (compare 3.) ex. gr. Tòn $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \tau \tilde{\eta} \rho \alpha \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$, (qu'on apporte la lanterne,) "let the lantern be brought," Xenoph. Symp. 5, 2; or 2.) the indefinite subject of a preceding verb, ex. gr. Oùn ἔστιν ὀρθῶς ἡγεῖσθαι ἐἀν μὴ φρόνιμος ἢ, it is impossible to be a good commander in chief, if one be not prudent, Plato Meno 38.
- 12. The copula is also frequently omitted, though only in the pres. indicat., for instance in general sentences: $τ \grave{a} τ \tilde{ω}ν φίλων$ κοινά; or when no obscurity ensues from it: "Ελλην ἐγὼ, I am a Greek; Σιμωνίδη οὐ ῥάδιον ἀπιστεῖν; σοφὸς γὰρ καὶ θεῖος ὁ ἀνήρ.
- Obs. 10. There are some words, which are seldom construed otherwise, than in this elliptic manner; as especially the subst. ἀνάγκη, χρεών, θέμις, καιρὸς, ὥρα; the adject. ἔτοιμος, φροῦδος, αἴτιος, ῥάδιος, χαλεπὸς, and others; the locutions: θαυμαστὸν ὅσον, ἀμήχανον ὅσον, (§ 150.) and the neuter rerbal adjectives in τέον or (plur.) τέα. Examples: οὐ καὶ τοῦτο ἀνάγκη; is not this also required? even without ἐγὼ, Luc. Catapl. 10.—ποιητέον, faciendum est (see § 134, 10). Compare Heind. ad Plat. Phædr. 69. Gorg. 68. Valck. ad Phæn. 976.

§ 130.—Object.—Oblique Cases.—Omission of the object.

- 1. The person or thing, on which an action is performed, or to which that action refers—the object—is always in one of these three cases, gen., dat., accus., which on that account are called dependent, oblique cases.
- 2. The proximate object, or necessary relation of the transitive verb, without which this verb cannot be conceived, commonly is in the accus., $\lambda a\mu\beta\acute{a}\nu\omega$ $\tau\grave{\eta}\nu$ $\mathring{a}\sigma\pi\i\delta a$, I take or seize the shield; but the remote object named along with the accus. and with intrans. verbs is connected by a prep., $\lambda a\mu\beta\acute{a}\nu\omega$ $\tau\grave{\eta}\nu$ $\mathring{a}\sigma\pi\i\delta a$ $\mathring{a}\pi\grave{o}$ $\tauo\~{v}$ $\pi a\sigma\sigma\acute{a}\lambda o\nu$, I take the shield from the nail; \evere $\$
- 3. The relations of more remote objects, which return most frequently, are generally expressed by a mere casus, which in the European languages, which have cases, is chiefly the gen. and dat., ex. gr. I give the money to the man, he assured me of his benevolence.
- 4. But languages differ greatly in this respect: what is expressed in one by one case, is rendered in another language by another case; and very frequently one language employs a prep., where the other simply uses a case, or some may use either the prep. or the casus: ex. gr. in English, I gave the letter to him, or I gave him the letter. When we therefore meet with a mere casus in Greek, where other languages use a preposition, we must not be too hasty with the interpretation

of an omitted *preposition*; we had better assume that the *casus* involves already that idea, which we would render apparent through the medium of a *preposition*.

- 5. Nothing, however, is more common in the ancient languages, (and it may be considered as one of their fundamental principles.) than that, whenever the object has already been named, and the reference to it is abundantly apparent from the verb itself, they do not express either of the two objects, (just as in other instances they do not express the subject and the pronoun possessive,) and thus avoid that multiplicity of pronouns, which greatly obstructs our modern languages. It will be sufficient to direct the attention of the learner to this particular nature of the ancient languages, with the addition of a few examples: Xen. Cyrop. i. 2, 12. Έν ή δ' αν των φυλων πλείστοι ωσιν ανδοικώτατοι, επαινούσιν οί πολίται (here we must mentally supply ταύτην before ἐπαινοῦσιν).—Xenoph. Hell. 3, 4, 3. Ἐπαγγειλαμένου τοῦ 'Αγησιλάου την στρατείαν, (when Agesilaus offered himself to command the army, διδόασιν οι Λακεδαιμόνιοι (viz. $\vec{a}\vec{v}\tau\vec{\omega}$) $\vec{v}\sigma a\pi\epsilon \rho \vec{\eta}\tau \eta \sigma\epsilon v : -Athen. 8. pag. 339. Ov <math>\vec{\eta}\nu$ $\vec{v}\delta\eta$, τac χείρας οὐκ ἀφέξεται (viz. αὐτοῦ). But in this, as in all other instances, where it produces an emphasis or harmonious fulness, the pronouns may be equally well used.
- Obs. 1. When there are two verbs, especially a participle and the definite tense of another verb, which have but one object, the Greek expresses that object commonly but once, and places it in the casus, governed by that verb, to which it is nearest. For examples see Krueger to Dionys. Historiogr. p. 119.
- Obs. 3. Properly it is only the part of a verb, which can be construed with the same case, as that verb governs. Subst. and adj. derived from a verb, convert the case of the latter into the gen., or necessitate a circumlocution. But we frequently find in the Attic writers the accus, as well as dat of the verb joined to the noun with a peculiar energy and precision, ex. gr. ἀκολουθητικός τινι, prone to, ἡ ἐκάστφ ετανέμησις, the action of distributing to each, πρὸς ἐπίδειζιν τοῖς ξένοις, for a display to strangers;—τὰ μετέωρα φροντιστής, one who meditates on superhuman things, Plato Apol. 2. from φροντίζειν τι, to meditate on:—'Ανήκοον είναι ἔνιά γε χθιζά τε καὶ

345

πρωϊζὰ γεγενημένα, not hearing of something, which had occurred, Plato Alcib. II. 7 (usually ἀνήκοον εἶναί τινος). The case is the same with ἐπιστήμων, and in poets φύξιμος, ξυνίστωρ, from ἐπίσταμαι, φεύγω, ξύνοιδά τι. The adj. ἔξαρνος is always construed in this manner, and hence combined with the rerb subst., it signifies to deny, and (like ἀρνεῖσθαι) governs not only the infin. (ἔξαρνός εἰμι ποιῆσαι,) but also the accus., ex. gr. ὅπως μὴ ἔξαρνος ἔσει ὰ νῦν λέγεις, Plato Euthyd. 283.

Obs. 4. Many verbs may be construed either with an object, or in its stead with another sentence or proposition by means of a conjunction. Sometimes both constructions are found together with one verb, Plato Gorg. 77. Καὶ χρήματα παρασκευάζονται καὶ φίλους, καὶ ὅπως ἀν ὧσιν ὡς πιθανώτατοι λέγειν, Rep. 6. p. 496. Τῶν πολλῶν ἰκανῶς ἰδόντες τὴν μανίαν, καὶ ὅτι οὐδεὶς αὐτῶν οὐδὲν ὑγιὲς πράττει.

§ 131.—Accusative.

1. The use of the accus. case, denoting any proximate and immediate object, governed by a transitive verb, is supposed to be sufficiently known from other grammars. But there are often instances, where in one language the object is proximate to a verb, and in another it is more remote in the gen. or dat. case, or it is construed with a preposition; and in like manner verbs in Greek are construed in a transitive sense, which in other languages have no object belonging to them. The following verbs govern the accusative case in Greek, thus deviating from the German, (and for the most part also from the English,) or they are at least usually translated into verbs which

govern another casus or a preposition:

ονινάναι, ωφελείν to be useful to, (but λυσιτελείν always governs the dat.) βλάπτειν to hurt, αδικείν to do injustice to. εὐεογετείν to do good, to act well, κακουργείν to do wrong λατρεύειν, θεραπεύειν to serve—θώπτειν, θωπεύειν, κολακεύειν to flatter—μιμεῖσθαι to imitate—φθάνειν to obviate—λανθάνειν to be concealed from, φεύγειν to flee, to escape, ἀποδιδράσκειν to run away from, ἐπιλείπειν to be in want of - ὀμνύναι to swear, ex. gr. τους θεούς by the gods, επιορκείν, ἀσεβείν, άλιτείν to perjure one's self, to sin against any body—the verbs impers. Sei and you with the accus. of the person, -lastly all verbs denoting the affections of the mind, as adyeuv, άγθεσθαι, ωδίνειν, αγανακτείν, δυσανασγετείν, δυσγεραίνειν, γηθείν, χαίρειν, ἐπιχαίρειν, ήδεσθαι, τέρπεσθαι, θαρρείν to feel joy, pain, indignation at something.—Many intransitive verbs become transitive by an object being added to them; thus ποοσκυνείν to fall down, τινά to show respect to any person by falling down, δορυφορείν τινα to be the body-guard of somebody, to protect him, αρέσκειν to reconcile, to win, έπιτροπεύειν to be guardian, &c. to somebody, ἀντιάζειν to attack, σπεύδειν to hasten, ὑποστῆναι to promise, τιμωρεῖσθαι, τίσασθαί τινα to take revenge on any body, and many verbs in the middle voice, as αἰσχύνεσθαι, κόπτεσθαι, ἐκπλήττεσθαι. (See below in the passive and middle voice, § 134, 135.)

2. Other verbs, though they may take the accus. case, do not become on that account transitive verbs, as προσκυνεῖν in the former section. Such are ἰέναι, ἔρχεσθαι, βαίνειν, πορεύεσθαι, τρέχειν for instance ὁδόν; περαιοῦσθαι, ex. gr. ποταμόν; πλεῖν, ex. gr. θάλασσαν; ρεῖν, ex. gr. αὶ πηγαὶ ρέουσι γάλα καὶ μέλι. Poets furnish a great many verbs of this kind, ex. gr. ἐξαναζεῖν χόλον, φόνον βλέπειν, μένεα πνείοντες, &c.

Obs. 1. Poets join the accusative without any prep. to verbs denoting motion, in order to express the direction, and the same is even the case with verbs denoting rest, (καθίζειν, θάσσειν, κεῖσθαι,) in order to point to place and situation, ex. gr. Soph. Phil. 145. $\eth \nu \ \tau \delta \pi o \nu \ \kappa \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \tau a \iota$.

3. It is a peculiarity of the Greek language to give to the verb a subst. denoting the meaning of the verb in the abstract, chiefly for the purpose of adding another modification.

Examples. κινδυνεύσω τοῦτον τὸν κίνδυνον, (I will risk the risk,) I will expose myself to the danger; ζῆ βίον ἢδιστον, he lives a very agreeable life; φανερῶς τὸν πόλεμον πολεμήσομεν, we will war an open war; ἡ ἀδικία, ἢν ἢδίκουν σε, (the wrong, with which I wronged you,) the injustice, which I did you, (compare 5.) γλυκὺν ὕπνον κοιμᾶσθαι, —ἐπιμελοῦνται πᾶσαν ἐπιμέλειαν. The Greek thus avoids the powerless accumulation of our to do, make cause, have, &c. (See the same construction with the pass. § 134. Obs. 2.)

Obs. 2. Even the predicate with the verb είναι sometimes has such an additional kindred accus., ex. gr. Δοῦλός ἐστι τὰς μεγίστας δουλείας, ἄδικος ἐκάστην ἀδικίαν, σοφὸς τὴν ἐκείνων σοφίαν, κακοὺς πᾶσαν κακίαν. The excellence of such expressions becomes eminently conspicuous by the fruitless attempt to render them as energetically in other languages.

4. The Greeks also use the accus. in several phrases for the more remote object; for instance, the proximate object of $\pi o \iota \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\nu}$ is the action, of $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ it is the words; the more remote object of both is the person, to whom something is done or said: nevertheless the Greeks always say $\kappa \alpha \kappa \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \pi o \iota \tilde{\epsilon} \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu \tilde{a}$, to any one, $\kappa \alpha \kappa \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu \tilde{a}$, to speak ill of one. But there are also many verbs, which may be conceived as having two different relations, both as proximate objects, and consequently both in the accus.; ex. gr. to wrap, I wrap the child (up in a cloak), and the cloak (round the child).

5. These two cases explain the peculiarity, that all such verbs in Greek often have the two relations at once in the same sentence in the accus., or, to use a shorter expression, that they govern two accus., of which one in most instances denotes the person, and the other the thing, to which the action refers; $ex. gr. \tau i \pi o i \eta \sigma \omega a v \tau \delta v$; what shall I do to him? $\pi o \lambda \lambda a a \gamma a \theta a \tau a v \delta v \epsilon \pi o i \eta \sigma \epsilon v$.

This construction occurs in verbs signifying

to act: ποιείν, δράν, ἐργάζεσθαι τινὰ τὶ;

to speak: λέγειν, εἰπεῖν, ἀγορεύειν;

to ask: ἐρέσθαι, ἐρωταν, ἐξετάζειν to find out by inquiring;

to teach: διδάσκειν as in German and in Latin;

to demand: αἰτεῖν, ἀπαιτεῖν, πράττεσθαι, προκαλεῖσθαι to invite, to challenge to do something;

to dress and to undress; ενδύειν, άμφιέννυμι, εκδύειν, ύποδείν;

to take away: ἀφαιρεῖσθαι, ἀπαυρᾶν, ἀπορραίειν, ἐναρίζειν, ἐρημοῦν, συλᾶν, ἀποστερεῖν;

to force: ἀναγκάζειν, βιήσασθαι;

to divide: κατανέμειν, δάσασθαι;

to conceal: ἀποκρύπτειν, κεύθειν. Further, ἀναμιμνήσκειν τινά τι to remind one of something; πείθειν τινά τι to persuade somebody to something '.

Εκαπρίες: κακὰ ἔλεγεν αὐτόν.—ἄπαντας εἴρετο παῖδα (after the boy).—διδάσκουσι τοὺς παῖδας σωφροσύνην.—Θηβαίους χρήματα ἤτησαν.
—ἔτερον παῖδα ἐκδύσας χιτῶνα, τὸν ἑαυτοῦ ἐκεῖνον ἠμφίεσεν.—τοὺς πολεμίους τὴν ναῦν ἀπεστερήκαμεν.—τοῦτο μὴ ἀνάγκαζέ με.—τρεῖς μοίρας (into three parts)—δασάμενος πάντα τὸν πεζὸν στρατόν.—οὔ σε ἀποκρύψω τὰς ἐμὰς δυσπραγίας.

Obs. 3. We must now mention two other constructions, in each of which two accus. cases occur, viz.:

- 1.) The placing of both, the object and the predicate, in the accus. with verbs denoting to call, to choose, to create, ex. gr. σοφιστήν δνομάζουσι τὸν ἄνδοα τοῦτον —τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους εἴλοντο ξυμμάχους. In the passive two nominatives are used, as in Latin.
- 2.) The construction called the σχῆμα καθ' ὅλον καὶ μέρος, or the construction in which the whole as well as the part are placed in the accus. case, ex. gr. ποῖόν σε ἔπος φύγεν ἕρκος ὀδόντων'—τὸν δὲ σκότος ὅσσε κάλυψεν.— Comp. Obs. 4.
- 6. The accus. is a still more remote object, when, as is frequently the case in Greek, it denotes only a part, circumstance, or more definite object, to which a general assertion is limited,

¹ But this does not mean that all such rerbs, or that the above rerbs are always construed in this manner. Thus we find in Xen. Cyr. 7, 2, 26. μάχας σοι καὶ πολέμους ἀφαιοῶ (comp. Od. α. 9). Anab. 5, 8, 24. τούτψ τὰναντία ποιήσετε ἢ τοὺς κύνας ποιοῦσι, and other passages.

ex. gr. καλός ἐστι τὸ σῶμα, (he is handsome in his body,) he is of a fine figure; πόδας ὡκὺς, swift-footed; πονεῖν τὰ σκέλη, to feel pains in the legs; ἀλγῶ τὰς γνάθους:—θανμαστὸς τὰ τοῦ πολέμου, amazingly clever in what concerns war; Σύρος ῆν τὴν πατρίδα, he was a Syrian by birth (by his country); Σωκράτης τοὕνομα, Socrates by name. The names of fights, games, and sacrifices, are also construed in the accus. with the verbs referring to them, as δραμεῖν τὸ σταδίον, νικῷν 'Ολύμπια, ἐστεφανῶσθαι Πύθια, εὐαγγέλια, (on account of a favourable message,) δαινύναι γάμον, θύειν ἐπινίκια, &c. This construction is well known by the name of the Greek accusative, so frequently imitated by the Latin poets (ex. gr. os humerosque deo similis). That the accus. does not come from the prep. κὰτὰ being omitted, follows from § 130, 4.

7. But even verbs, which never have subst. of this kind in the accus., take this case, whenever there is, instead of the definite object, a pronoun, or any other general expression, ex. gr. $\tau i \chi_0 \tilde{\omega} \mu a \iota a \tilde{\upsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega}$; what use shall I put it to? où κ olda, $\tilde{\upsilon}$, $\tau \iota \sigma o \iota \chi_0 \tilde{\omega} \mu a \iota$, properly, I do not know what use I shall put you to, i. e. what I am to do with you; $\pi \acute{a} \nu \tau a \epsilon \acute{\nu} \delta a \iota \mu o \nu \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$, to prosper in everything, and the like 2.

Obs. 4. This also (viz. Text 6. and 7.) explains the two accus. in those cases, where not the person, but the thing, is the more remote object, as, for instance, in phrases like $T\dot{\eta}\nu$ έν Μαραθῶνι μάχην τοὺς βαρβάρους ἐνίκησεν:—τὰ μέγιστα ὡφελήσετε τὴν πόλιν:—πολλά με ἡδίκηκεν, he has injured me in many things. In some of the verbs mentioned above (5.) as διδάσκειν, ἐνδύειν, it may appear doubtful whether the person or thing is to be considered as the more remote object; the most natural supposition, however, is that both objects were primitively considered as equally proximate.—See about the accus. with the pass. and middle voice, § 134, 135.

- 8. Hither belongs also the accus., which, as in Latin and German, expresses the duration of time; ex. gr. πολὺν χρόνον παρέμεινεν, he stayed away a long time with him; καθῆντο ἐν Μακεδονία τρεῖς ὅλους μῆνας, they tarried three whole months in Macedonia; (τὰ πολλὰ καθεύδει, and the like, are stated above, § 128. Obs. 4.) The measure of a distance is likewise stated in the accus., ex. gr. ἀπέχει δέκα σταδίους, it is at the distance of ten stadia.
- 9. Lastly the accus. case is used in an adverbial sense, of which some instances in the neuter gender have been already mentioned in § 128. Obs. 4. Thus we meet with $\tau i \nu a \tau \rho \delta \pi o \nu$; qua ratione? $\kappa \nu \nu \delta c \delta i \kappa \eta \nu$, in the manner of a dog; $\epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \nu$,

² The accus. $\dot{\alpha}\mu\phi\dot{\delta}\tau\epsilon\rho\alpha$ is very different, about which see § 150.

mea gratia; την ωραν, at the right time; την ταχίστην, εὐθεῖαν, μακράν, sc. δδὸν, &c.

Obs. 5. The accus. is sometimes added to a proposition as an apposition, when its meaning is tantamount to which is or will be, ex. gr. Il. ω. 735. η τις 'Αχαιῶν ῥίψει (αὐτὸν) ἀπὸ πύργου, λυγρὸν ὅλεθρον, which is a miserable death; Eurip. Crest. 1105. Έλένην κτάνωμεν, Μενέλεφ λύπην πικρὰν, we will kill Helena to the bitter grief of Menelaus.

Obs. 6. A proverbial expression is commonly designated by inserting before it in the context $\tau \delta$ λεγόμενον, ex. gr. Plato Gorg. init. 'Αλλ' $\tilde{\eta}$, $\tau \delta$ λεγόμενον, κατόπιν έορτης ήκομεν; do we come, as the saying is, after the feast?—and in the same way, $\tau \delta$ τοῦ ποιητοῦ, before a passage from a poet, and the like. The analogy of other phrases shows that these are accus, of the neuter gender, which in other languages are expressed by a prep. with its proper casus, (according to the poet,) &c. It is the same with the adverbial expressions τοὐναντίον, on the contrary, (ex. gr. οὖτος δὲ, πᾶν τοὐναντίον, ἡβούλετο μὲν, οὐκ ἡδύνατο δὲ) ταὐτὸ τοῦτο, exactly so, and the like.

§ 132.—Genitive.

1. The proper use of the *gen.*, both subjective and objective, with another *subst.*, is shared by the Greek with other languages. We shall, therefore, state only its accessary significations, and especially when it is joined to *adj.*, *verbs*, and *adverbs*.

Examples of the gen. objective, which is frequently in use with poets; $\pi \delta \theta o \varsigma$ νίοῦ, the longing after the son; εὕνοια ᾿Λθηναίων, towards the Ath.; ἡ τοῦ θεοῦ λατρεία, the service of God; εὕγματα Παλλάδος, λιταὶ θεῶν, to the gods; ἡ τῶν Πλαταιέων ἐπιστρατεία, against the Pl.; σωτὴρ κακῶν, from misfortune.

- 2. The names of countries are put in the gen. for obvious reasons, whenever towns are mentioned with the countries wherein they lie, and where in English the prep. in is used. Hence τῆς ᾿Αττικῆς ἐς Οἰνόην, Thuc., ἐν Κορήσσω τῆς Ἐφεσίης, Herod.
- 3. In order to take a more comprehensive view of the use of the gen., we must remember, that the fundamental idea of a gen. is that of separation, proceeding from, and that it has the import of the prep. of or from and out of. It follows from what has been said in § 130, 4. that when we occasionally meet with a prep. instead of the mere gen., it must be considered as having been added only for the sake of distinctness; and, on the other hand, that poets may always employ the mere gen. even in those cases where prose-writers commonly use the prep., ex. gr. Arat. 185. $\pi \circ \delta \delta c \in \pi \circ \delta a \tau \in v \in S$ from one foot to the other.

4. The gen. then is employed in Greek when the direction from or out of a place is to be expressed, ex. gr. δόμων ίέναι, to come out of a house; hence,

1.) with all verbs denoting separation, ex. gr. νοσφίζειν, χορίζειν, κωλύειν, ἐρητύειν, εἰργειν, to separate, keep off,—τινά τινος—στερίσκειν, ἀποστερεῖν, (the latter also with the object in the accus.) to rob—ἐλευθεροῦν, λύειν, ἀφιέναι, ἀπαλλάττειν, σώζειν, ἀμύνειν, ἀλέξειν, to free one, to save, to defend from,—ἐκφεύγειν, ἐξανιστάναι, χωρεῖν, ὑποχωρεῖν, εἴκειν, to flee, to give way,—ἀπέχειν, διέχειν, διαφέρειν, to be distant, to differ from,—ἁμαρτάνειν, ἀμπλακίσκειν, σφάλλεσθαι, ψεύδεσθαι, to fail, to deceive one's self,—μεθίεσθαι, ἀφίεσθαι, παύεσθαι, λήγειν, ἐπέχειν, to cease from, to leave off. And in the same manner the adject. derived from the preceding verbs, as γυμνὸς, ἐλεύθερος, διάφορος; adverbs like νόσφι, χωρὶς, ἑκάς.

Examples: νόσου τήνδ' ἀπαλλάξω χθόνα.—διέσχον ἀλλήλων τριάκοντα στάδια.—'Αργεῖοι ἕληξαν φόνοιο.—μνηστῆρας ἔπαυσαν ἀέθλων, they made them desist from their games.—ἄρχων ἀγαθὸς οὐδὲν διαφέρει πατρὸς ἀγαθοῦ.—ἤμαρτε τοῦ σκοποῦ.—Hence Homer, (Od. a. 69.) ὀφθαλμοῦ ἀλάωσεν, he blinded his eye.

2.) with expressions denoting selection or choice, exception, and in general portion or part of a whole, the word expressing the whole is placed in the gen.; consequently,

a.) with adj. and pronouns, when they serve to separate or distinguish an object from others; hence with all numerals and adj. denoting a number, as πολύς, όλίγος, οἱ μὲν and οἱ δὲ, οὐδεὶς, μόνος, ὁ ἔτερος, &c. with the pron. demonstr. and relat., ex. gr. οὐδεὶς τῶν Ἑλλήνων, μόνος ἀνθρώπων, τῶν στρατιωτῶν τοῖς μὲν ἐδόκει, τοῖς δ' οὔ, αὖται τῶν πόλεων. See the example ἐν ἢ δ' ᾶν τῶν φυλῶν, § 130, 5. In general, with all adj. and part. in such combinations as οἱ φρόνιμοι τῶν ἀνθρώπων, i. e. in short, clever people; τῶν ἀνδρῶν τοῖς καλοῖς κὰγαθοῖς αἰρετώτερόν ἐστι θανεῖν ἢ δουλεύειν.—τῶν πολεμίων τοὺς προσμίξαντας μάχη ἐκράτησαν.

Hither also belong naturally all superlatives; ex. gr. ή μεγίστη τῶν νόσων ἀναίδεια.—χρημάτων πάντων τιμιώτατόν ἐστιν ἀνὴρ φίλος συνετός τε καὶ εὔνους.—and the locution δεινότατος σαυτοῦ ῆσθα, you were superior to

yourself.

- Obs. 1. Just as in the proposition expressed in the pl. οἱ φρόνιμοι τῶν ἀνθρώπων, genders agree, so they do in the sing., when part of a whole likewise in the sing. is to be expressed. This part, then, is not in the neut. gender, but in the gender of the word expressive of the whole in the gen., ex. gr. ἡ πολλὴ τῆς Πελοποννήσου, most part or the greatest part of the Peloponnesus; ὁ ἡμισυς τοῦ χρόνου, half of the time; and also with superlatives, ex. gr. ἡ ὀρθοτάτη τῆς σκέψεως, the most correct investigation, Plato Crat. 18.
- Obs. 2. With of $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu oi$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ the word denoting the whole is placed likewise in the same case in which these pron. are, see § 126, 2. (Isocr. de Pac. 113.)
 - b.) with adverbs of time, and adverbs of place, considered as parts of a more extensive time or place, ex. gr. τρὶς τῆς ἡμέρας, three times a day; ὁπότε τοῦ ἔτους; at what time of the year? ποῖ γῆς ἀφικόμην; whither on earth have I got? (like the Latin ubi terrarum?) πανταχοῦ τῆς ἀγορᾶς, everywhere in the market; πόρρω τῆς ἡλικίας, far advanced in years.
- Obs. 3. Hither belongs also the expression, Είς τοῦτο ἀναισχυντίας προβέβηκε, to this degree of impudence: —πρὸς τοῦτο καιροῦ πάρεστι τὰ πράγματα, to this crisis are matters arrived; είς τοσοῦτο μίσους κατέστησεν ὥστε—; and the like.
 - c.) with all verbs where the action is limited to a part or portion, ex. gr. $\xi\delta\omega\kappa\dot{\alpha}$ so $t\tilde{\omega}\nu$ $\chi\rho\eta\mu\dot{\alpha}\tau\omega\nu$, some or part of my money; $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\gamma\alpha$ $t\tilde{\eta}$ $\kappa\epsilon\phi\alpha\lambda\tilde{\eta}$ ϵ , (properly, I am broken in part of my head,) i. e. have a fracture in the head; and hence with all verbs which express to have a share in or to take part in a thing; ex. gr. with $\epsilon i\nu\alpha\iota$, $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}\nu\alpha\iota$, $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$, $\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\lambda\alpha\mu\beta\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$, $\kappao\iota\nu\omega\nu\dot{\epsilon}\iota\nu$, (adj. $\kappao\iota\nu\omega\nu\dot{\delta}\varsigma$,) and others;—to be partaking of: $\tau\nu\gamma\chi\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$, $\lambda\alpha\gamma\chi\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$, $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota\ddot{\alpha}\nu$, $\kappa\nu\rho\epsilon\dot{\iota}\nu$, $\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\rho\nuo\mu\epsilon\dot{\iota}\nu$;—and to touch, to seize: $\ddot{\alpha}\pi\tau\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, $\lambda\alpha\mu\beta\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, with their compounds, $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, $\psi\alpha\dot{\nu}\epsilon\iota\nu$, $\theta\iota\gamma\gamma\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$, and similar ones; compare 5, 8. and 6, 3.

Examples: ἤθελε τῶν μενόντων εἶναι.—τῆς βουλῆς εἶναι, to be member of the council.—μετεστί μοι τῶν πραγμάτων.—θνητοῦ σώματος ἔτυχες, ἀθανάτου δὲ ψυχῆς.—Χen. Anab. 7, 6, 41. ἢν σωφρονῶμεν, ἑξόμεθα αὐτοῦ.

d.) with all verbs denoting enjoyment of or deriving

¹ We may in this way understand many instances of gen., by which a verb refers rather indefinitely to an object, (Herm. ad Viger. 881.) especially the Homeric θέειν πεδίσιο, as if it were to run through part of the plain. Thus also the expression it var τοῦ πρόσω, to go forwards, onwards, as if it were to go part of the farthermost road, Xen. Anab. 1, 3, 1. Soph. Ab. 731. with Lobeck's Note.—But it would be rather overstraining to explain the Homeric expressions, ex. gr. λούsσθαι ποταμοῖο, πρῆσαι πυρὸς, as a part or portion, and it is probably more accurate to say of these, and other similar poetical expressions, that the gen. in the old language denoted any general relation, whenever the proximate one was obvious of itself, pretty nearly as is the case with the prep. κατὰ and the accus.

benefit from any thing: ἀπολαύειν, ὀνίνασθαι, γεύειν, γεύ- $\varepsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$; and with verbs denoting eating, drinking, whenever the thing enjoyed is mentioned, ex. gr. ἐσθίειν κρεών, πίνειν υδατος, to eat meat, to drink water (ἐσθίειν τὰ κρέα would signify to eat the meat up, and πίνειν ύδωρ might mean to drink water habitually, to be a water-drinker).

3.) The gen. is further used to denote the material or stuff of which something consists, ex. gr. στέφανος ὑακίνθων, a garland of hyacinths, or made of hyacinths; ex. gr. Evos $\lambda(\theta)$ ov π av π e π o(η ral, the whole is made of one stone.

4.) The circumstances or peculiarities on which things are, as it were, dependent, are expressed in the gen.: δένδρον πολλών ἐτών, a tree of many years (standing).

Obs. 4. The construction of the gen, with είναι corresponds entirely with the Latin esse and the gen. or abl. It is used to express: 1.) possession, as πᾶσα ἡ γῆ ἐστι βασιλέως, belongs to the king; ἐαυτοῦ είναι, to be one's own master, to be free; 2.) the quality of any thing, as ην γάρ άξιώματος μεγάλου; 3.) habit, usage, ex. gr. ἔστι φρονίμου ανδρός, est prudentis, or with abstract nouns: πολλης ανοίας έστι τὸ θηρᾶσθαι κενά, (Soph. El. 1054.) it is the sign of, &c.; 4.) possibility or chance, ex. gr. in the proverb: οὐ παντὸς ἀνδρὸς εἰς Κόρινθόν ἐσθ' ὁ πλοῦς.

5.) The following kinds of words are generally construed with the gen.:

1.) Adjectives derived from verbs have the object of the verb in the gen., ex. gr. from ἐπίστασθαί τι, to understand something, comes ἐπιστήμων τινὸς, experienced in a thing; προσήγορος οὐδενὸς, (προσαγορεύω τινα,) he who does not address any body; ὄφιες, ἀνθρώπων οὐδαμῶς δηλήμονες, which are not dangerous to man; especially the numerous adj. derived from verbs, and terminating in ικός, ex. gr. from εξετάζειν τι comes εξεταστικός τινος, skilled in investigating any thing; οί πρακτικοί των δικαίων (from τὰ δίκαια). About the exceptions see § 130. Obs. 3.

2.) All words denoting plenty or want, as πληροῦν, πιμπλάναι, κορέννυσθαι, ἇσαι, γέμειν, βρίθειν, δεῖ, (see § 131, 1.) δείσθαι 2, απορείν, ελλείπειν, σπανίζειν, χηρούσθαι, &c. and the adj. πλέως, μεστός, κενός, ἐπιδεής, ἔρημος, ψιλος, and others; the adv. αλις, άδην, ex. gr. δείσθαι χρημάτων, to want money; μεστόν έστι το ζην φροντίδων.

² Owing to the idea of wanting, needing, $\delta \epsilon \tilde{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ in the sense of requiring, asking, entreating, with the gen. of the person.—With regard to ὀλίγου, πολλοῦ δεῖν, see § 140. Obs. 5.

- 3.) All words denoting worth or unworthiness: ἄξιος, ἀνάξιος, ἀξιοῦσθαι; see 6, 2.
- 4.) All words denoting experience, skill, reminiscence, and their opposite: ἔμπειρος, ἴδρις, ἄπειρος, ἰδιώτης, ἀδαῆς, (hence in poets the participles like τόξων εὖ εἰδως, Π. β. 720.) and the verbs to remember, to forget: μιμνήσκειν with its compounds, μνημονεύειν, ἐπιλανθάνειν.
- 5.) Several other verbs, especially those denoting care, concern, and their opposite: ἐπιμέλεσθαι, κήδεσθαι, φροντίζειν, μέλει μοί τινος, ἀμελεῖν, ἀλεγίζειν, ὀλιγω-οεῖν; to spare, φείδεσθαι; to disregard, and to admire, καταφρονεῖν, θαυμάζειν.

6.) desire, ἐπιθυμεῖν, ὀρέγεσθαι, ἐφίεσθαι, ἐρᾶν³, ἔρασθαι, to aim at something, στοχάζεσθαι, τιτύσκεσθαι, hence

also τοξεύειν τινός, ακοντίζειν τινός.

7.) indicting, condemning: κατηγορείν, καταγιγνώσκειν.

8.) the verbs which have a relation to the senses, excepting the sight; ex. gr. ὄζειν μύρων, to smell of perfumes; τοὺς δούλους ἔγευσε τῆς ἐλευθερίας;—ἀκούω παιδίου κλαίοντος, I hear a child crying (comp. 4, 2. c. and d.); and in general the verbs denoting to perceive, to learn: αἰσθάνεσθαι, πυνθάνεσθαι, μανθάνειν, συνιέναι, ἐπαΐειν.

9.) But it is especially

the Comparative

which always has the object, with which the comparison is made, in the gen., ex. gr. μείζων ἐμοῦ, taller than I, σοφώτερός ἐστι τοῦ διδασκάλου, he is wiser than his master, κάλλιον ἐμοῦ ἄδεις, you sing better than I do; ἀρετῆς οὐδὲν κτῆμά ἐστι σεμνότερον.

10.) Hence, lastly, all verbs denoting the idea of comparison in their signification, ex. gr. προτιμάν, to value more;—to excel: περιγίγνεσθαι, περιείναι, διαφέρειν, ὑπερβάλλειν, ἀριστεύειν, διαπρέπειν; to be inferior, ἡττᾶσθαι, ὑστερείν; also to rule: ἄρχειν, ἀνάσσειν, κρατείν,

produces it; there are, however, exceptions in both instances. See Steph. Thes.

⁵ ἄρχειν, ἄρχεσθαι, and their compounds, are also construed with the gen. (and accus.) in the signification of beginning any thing.

A a

³ Hither belongs also the rerb ἐρᾶν τινος, to love in the sense of desiring, seeking after; whilst φιλεῖν, στέργειν, ἀγαπᾶν τινὰ, is to love in the sense of having an affection for.
⁴ ἀκούειν most commonly governs the accus. of the sound, and the gen. of him who

ήγεῖσθαι, ἐπιστατεῖν, and similar ones; the adj. ἐγκρατής.—ήττω εἶναι with the gen. has the peculiar signification of to be subject, to be given to; ex. gr. ήττων ἐστὶ τῆς γαστρὸς, he is given to drinking.

Obs. 5. The more complete construction of the compar. is with η, (than, Lat. quam, see below, the particles, § 149.) but it is used only where the gen. cannot be employed. The Greeks are so fond of this latter construction, that they even put into the gen. the object, to which the comparison does not directly apply; μείζονα ἐμοῦ διέπραξεν:—Aristoph. Eccl. 235. στία τὶς τῆς τεκούσης μᾶλλον ἐπιπέμψειν ᾶν; (who more than the mother?) The ambiguity, which sometimes arises from this construction, can only be explained by the thing itself from the context, Herod. Πυραμίδα ἀπελίπετο πολλον ἐλάσσω τοῦ πατρὸς, which was much smaller than his father; or smaller than this thich his father left behind.—The following construction is of a different kind, Μείζων τῷ εἰπόντι γίγνεται βλάβη τοῦ πεποιηκότος, i. e. ἢ τῷ πεποιηκότι.

Obs. 6. The words ἄλλος and ἕτερος sometimes imitate the compar.; ex. gr. ἄλλος

ἐμοῦ, another than I, ἕτερα τούτων, different from this.

6. Lastly, the following relations are expressed by the gen.:

- 1.) The more precise sense of a general expression, where we say with regard to, with respect to, Έγγύτατα αὐτῷ εἰμὶ γένους, I am very nearly related to him, (with respect to family, with regard to origin, descent,) Herod.; ἄπαις ἀρρένων παίδων, childless with respect to male descendants; παρθένος ὡραία γάμου, (ripe with respect to marriage,) marriageable; δασὺς δένδρων; very frequently in the expressions ὡς, πῶς, ὅπως ἔχει, καλῶς, μετρίως ἔχειν; ex. gr. ὡς ἑκάτερός τις εὐνοίας ἢ μνήμης ἔχοι, Thuc.; ὡς ποδῶν εἶχον, Herod. Hither belong also the expressions, where ἕνεκα, on account of, because of, is usually supplied, εὐδαιμονίζω σε τοῦ τρόπου, I account you happy, because of your disposition; οἰκτείρω σε τοῦ πάθους. Compare the infin. § 140. Obs. 4.
- 2.) The relation of value; when the value itself, or the price may be in the gen. (genitivus pretii,) δραχμῆς ἀγορά-ζειν τι, to buy something for a drachm; πλείστου τοῦτο τιμῶμαι, I esteem this of the greatest value; and the merchandise or article purchased may equally be construed in the gen. (genitivus mercis,) τρεῖς μνᾶς κατέθηκε τοῦ ἵππου, he paid three minas for the horse; χρήματα τούτων πράττεται, (he stipulates money for it,) he gets paid for it: see ad Plat. Meno. 28.
- 3.) With the constructions of the verbs to seize, &c. (4, 2. c.) may be compared such propositions as $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon$ $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \delta \varepsilon$ $\tilde{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu \tilde{\alpha}$, to lead him (take him) by the hand, i. e. by his

SYNTAX. 355

hand; ἐπισπάσας τινὰ κόμης, to drag one by the hair; τὸν λύκον τῶν ἄτων κρατῶ, I hold the wolf fast by the ears; ἐλάβοντο τῆς ζώνης τὸν Ὁρόντην, Xen. Anab. 1, 6, 10. But λαβεῖν τινὰ χειρὶ means to seize one, lay hold of him with the hand.

4.) To the question when? but only of an indefinite time of some duration, (compare § 133, 3, 4.) νυκτὸς, ἡμέρας ποιεῖν τι, to do something by night, in the day-time; πολλῶν ἡμερῶν οὐ μεμελέτηκα, I have not practised for several days; ἐκεῖσε οὐκ ἀφικνεῖται ἐτῶν μυρίων, he will not get thither within 10,000 years, Plato Phædr. 248. e.

Obs. 7. In this sense the prep. $\pi\epsilon \rho i$, of, (Lat. de,) is sometimes omitted; Od. A. 173. $Ei\pi \epsilon$ $\delta \epsilon$ $\mu o \pi \pi \pi \rho \delta \epsilon$ $\tau \epsilon$ $\kappa \alpha i$ vieog, where the gen. may be explained, 'this concerning my father,' for the This never needs to be expressed, when the thing itself follows. See the Note to Soph. Philoct. 439.

Obs. 8. Sometimes it happens, that the word, to which the gen. refers, is omitted; ex. gr. $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ddik $\omega \nu$ d $\sigma r i \nu$, it is one of the unjust things (i. e. simply, it is an injustice).

Hither belong also the instances of the gen. with Elvat in Obs. 4.

Obs. 9. The word οἶκος, house, is most commonly omitted on putting the name of the owner or tenant in the gen.; ex. gr. εἰσῆμεν εἰς ᾿Αλκιβιάδον, we went to Alcibiades's (house). Hence the expression ἐν ἄδον, εἰς ἄδον, properly in or to the house of Hades (i. e. in or to the shades below).—The instances, where the article of the word omitted (νἰὸς, χώρα, &c.) is retained, are stated above, § 125, 5.

Obs. 10. The omission is not so evident with exclamations of astonishment or sorrow, sometimes with an interjection, ex. gr. οἴμοι τῶν κακῶν, alas, what misfortunes! τῶ Ζεῦ, τῆς πανουργίας, O Jupiter, what cunning! and sometimes without, τῆς τύχης,

O fate! (O wretched fate!) $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \pi \alpha \chi \dot{v} \tau \eta \tau \sigma \varsigma$, O what stupidity!

See about the gen. $\mu o \tilde{v}$, $\sigma o \tilde{v}$, &c. before their subst. instead of the dativus commodi, § 133. Obs. 5.

§ 133.—The Dative.

- 1. The dat. properly is the opposite of the gen., since it denotes an approximation. It is pretty nearly the same in Greek as in English, and comprises similarly several relations, which are more distinctly expressed by the prep. for, towards, to, &c., and require no explanation, as $\delta o \tilde{v} v a \ell \tau v v \ell$, to give to one; $\ell \chi \theta \rho \delta c \tau v v \ell$, hostile to one; $\pi \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \ell v \sigma c v \delta \mu o \ell c$, and the like.
 - 2. Hence the dat. is used in Greek:
 - 1.) with verbs denoting coming together, meeting, approaching, and where we commonly use prep., ex. gr. δμιλεῖν τινι, to have intercourse with one; μίγνυσθαι, καταλλάττεσθαι (to reconcile one's self with something or somebody); μάχεσθαι, ἐρίζειν, ἁμιλλᾶσθαι, πολεμεῖν, and the like.

2.) with verbs, compounded with prep., which express

to approach, to meet together, or with such verbs as acquire a similar signification through composition, as $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma = \lambda \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$, $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, $\sigma \nu \gamma \gamma (\gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, especially with such verbs as denote to attack, as $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \beta \delta \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau (\theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota)$, $\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.

3.) with verbs (mostly compounded with prep.) denoting commanding, exhorting, ex. gr. $\pi a \rho a \iota \nu \epsilon \bar{\iota} \nu$, $\pi a \rho \epsilon \gamma \gamma \nu \bar{q} \nu$, $\delta \pi o \tau \bar{\iota} - \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ with the dat. of the person. Keleville, jubere, prefers also in Greek the construction with the accus. c. inf.

4.) with verbs denoting censure or reproach, especially μέμφεσθαι, ἐπιτιμᾶν, ἐγκαλεῖν, φθονεῖν with the dat. of the

person.

5.) with the verbs $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota$ decet. $\Delta \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$ is sometimes construed with the dat., sometimes with the accus. of the person, but always with the gen. of the thing.

6.) with verbs denoting similarity and dissimilarity, as

ὄμοιος, ἐναντίος; hence the dat. case is also used with

ὁ αὐτὸς the same,

ex. gr. οὖτός ἐστιν ὁ αὐτὸς ἐκείν φ , this one is the same with that one.

Obs. 1. Just as we have seen above that with compar. even the indirect object of the comparison is in the gen., $\dot{\delta}$ αὐτὸς also takes the dat. When this pronoun simply refers to a common third object; ex. gr. τὰ αὐτὰ πάσχω σοὶ, I experience the same as you; πίνειν ἀπὸ θηλῆς, κατὰ ταὐτὰ, (for τὰ αὐτὰ,) τοῖς βρέφεσιν, to suck the breast as infants; Θησεὺς κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν χρόνον Ἡρακλεῖ γενόμενος, Theseus, who lived at the same time as Hercules. But here two ambiguities may arise, as τὰ αὐτὰ λέγω ἐκείνφ, I say the same to him, or the same as he says.

7.) with words denoting any action which has a tendency to be useful or hurtful. This is the so-called dativus commodi et incommodi, which is known from the Latin grammar, but its use is in Greek of a nature by far more frequent and peculiar; see Obs. 2, 3.

8.) Peculiar is the use of the dat. with substantives, which are either derived from such verbs as govern that casus, or as admit a relation to being useful or hurtful; ex. gr. (Hes.) $\delta \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \delta \delta \sigma \iota c \hat{a} \nu \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \pi o \iota \sigma \iota v$;— $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \sigma \hat{\iota} c \hat{\phi} (\lambda o \iota c) \beta o \hat{\eta}$.

θειαν, Plat.; --φιλία τοῖς 'Αθηναίοις, Thuc.

3. The dat. further supplies the Latin ablative, denoting,

1.) the tool or instrument, or, in general, that wherein or whereby one is or one does something (dat. instrumenti). The Greeks say in general $\chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta a (\tau \iota \nu \iota)$, (to make use of a thing,) and more particularly, ex. gr. $\pi a \tau \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu$

ράβδω, to beat with a stick; σμίλη πεποιημένον, made with a chisel; τιτρώσκεται βέλει ές τον ωμον; τῆ μεν εξουσία τυραννεί, ταίς δ' εὐεργεσίαις δημαγωγεί, by his power he is a ruler. but by his kind acts a leader of the people; πασι τοῖς τοιούτοις (the virtues of the Lacedæmonians having previously been enumerated) παίδα αν ήγήσαιο σαυτόν. Plat. Alcib. I. 38. τώ τεκμαίρει τούτο; see Obs. 5.

2.) the manner, ex. gr. ταῦτα ἐγένετο τῷδε τῷ τρόπω, this happened in this manner; δρόμω παρηλθεν, he came up run-

ning; μεγάλη σπουδή πάντα ἐπράττετο.

3.) the cause or motive, where in English the prep. from, with, of, are used, ex. gr. φόβω έπραττον; κάμνειν νόσω τινί; τέθνηκεν ἀποπληξία. οὐ γὰρ ἀγροικία ποιῶ τοῦτο. Hence in general the verbs denoting the affections of the mind, as άλγεῖν τινι, though they are more usually construed with ἐπὶ and the dat., or with the accus. (See § 131, 1.)

4.) with comparatives and verbs implying similar signification, the degree how much any thing is better or more preferable than another, is placed in the dat., ex. gr. πολλώ αμείνων, ολίγω μείζων. διήνεγκε τώ μεγέθει, τή а́овтя, &с.

5.) a fixed definite time, (comp. § 132, 6, 4.) ex. gr, παρῆν τη τρίτη ήμέρα, he came on the third day; τη ύστεραία την βουλην ἐκάλουν, on the following day he assembled the senate.

Obs. 2. The daticus commodi et incommodi comprises that relation, which is expressed by the dat. of almost all languages, such as it is, for instance, in Homer's άσσα οἱ κατὰ Κλῶθες—γεινομένω ἔνησαν (κατ-ένησαν), what the fates spun for him (good or bad) at his birth; Μενελάφ τόνδε πλοῦν ἐστείλαμεν, Soph. for the sake of Menelaus we proceeded on this voyage. On this basis rests the lighter dat. commodi et incommodi, which is added in relation to the design or will of a person, ex. gr. Ἐπειδὰν τάχιστα αὐτοῖς οἱ παῖδες τὰ λεγόμενα ξυνιῶσιν, as soon as her children, would have been sufficient here, but the autoic refers to the expectation of the parents. The dat. likewise refers to the feeling or sentiment which an action excites in one, Plato Lys. Ἡ μήτηρ ἐᾱͅ σε ποιεῖν ὅ τι ἀν βούλη ἵν' αὐτῆ μακάριος ης, here that thou mayest be happy! was enough for the understanding, but the $a\dot{v}\tau\tilde{y}$ is superadded for the feelings: and it is the same on speaking of a prejudice, Plato Sophist. Οὶ πατέρες τοὺς υἰεῖς παραμυθοῦνται, ὅταν αὐτοῖς ἐξαμαρτάνωσι, where we must not seek in the abroig the precise direction of the trespass (against them, to their prejudice), but merely a collateral relation to the feelings of the fathers. It is from such passages that we must learn correctly to understand others, where the dat. µoì, σοὶ, &c. is inserted, in a manner particularly familiar to the Greeks, merely to interest the feelings. There is a striking instance of this apparently useless dat. in Od. δ . 569, where Menelaus is told that the gods (564.) would send him to Elysium, Ούνεκ' έχεις Έλένην, καί σφιν γαμβρός Διός έσσι.

Obs. 3. It is also owing to this datirus commodi that the Greeks add the dat. to the proposition instead of the gen. belonging to the subst.; Herod. 1, 31, $E\pi i \tau \tilde{\eta} c$ άμάξης δέ σφι ὀχέετο ἡ μήτηρ, to them, instead of their mother sat on the waggon. Hence there is sometimes a real gen., as if it were carelessly put after such a dat.: see Od. ρ . 231, 232. And a dat., which does not at all belong to the proposition, is sometimes used with words expressive of relationship and kindred, instead of the gen., (such as a relation, friend, guest,) Plato Soph. 216. Τοῦ ξένου ἡμῖν ἡδέως ἄν $\pi \nu \nu \theta a \nu o i \mu \eta \nu$.

Obs. 4. There is a peculiarity in Greek in the way of adding an adj. or a part. to a dat. commodi in order to define more accurately the relation in which any object stands to the action of the rerb; for instance, the words $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ are more accurately defined by adding the word $\dot{a}\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\psi$ or $\dot{\eta}\delta\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\psi$ $\dot{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$, i. e. to my joy he came, I was very glad to see him. We meet with this construction very frequently with $\dot{\epsilon}l\nu\alpha\iota$ and $\dot{\gamma}(\dot{\gamma}\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, to which $\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\psi$ is added; ex. gr. $\dot{\epsilon}l$ $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\psi}$ $\dot{\gamma}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\sigma\sigma\iota$ $\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\psi$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\sigma}\dot{\tau}\dot{\nu}\nu$ $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\pi}\sigma\kappa\rho\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, if you yourself will answer. It is the same with $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\pi\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\psi$, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $\pi\alpha\theta\sigma\ddot{\nu}\nu\tau\iota$, $\ddot{\alpha}\kappa\sigma\nu\tau\iota$, $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\kappa}\dot{\kappa}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\psi$, $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\kappa}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\psi$. Comp. § 145, 5.

Obs. 5. But frequently the gen. of a pronoun personal belonging to a subsequent subst. is used instead of the dativus commodi or incommodi, Plato Phædo, towards the end, Έάν σου βάρος ἐν τοῖς σκέλεσι γένηται, where σοῦ, which belongs to σκέλεσι, stands instead of σοὶ with the verb.—Again, Διὰ τί μου ἀνδριὰς οὐ κεῖται πυνθάνονται: here μοῦ has its full signif., but it comes before, because it stands at the

same time for µoi. See the Index to Plat. Meno, &c. under Genitivus.

Obs. 6. The words στρατιὰ, στόλος, νῆες, or such as denote a particular division of troops, as $\dot{\sigma}\pi\lambda\tilde{\iota}\tau\alpha\iota$, $\pi\epsilon\zeta o\dot{\iota}$, &c. are usually put in the dat. without $\sigma\dot{v}\nu$, as in Latin copils without cum, ex. gr. ἀφίκοντο εἴκοσι νανσίν.—ἐβοήθησαν ἑαντῶν τε $\pi\epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa o\sigma (o\iota_{\kappa}\alpha\iota_{\kappa})$ χιλίοις ὁπλίταις καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων μυρίοις. Thue. 1, 107.

Obs. 7. The pron. αὐτὸς is frequently added to a dat. in order to supply the place of the prep. σὲν, which is omitted. This construction expresses a connexion as an inseparable and immediate one with regard to time and space. Xen. Anab. 1, 3, 17. (φοβούμενος) μὴ ἡμᾶς αὐταῖς ταῖς τριήρεσι καταδύση. Comp. Elmsl. to Eur. Med. 160.

About the dat. with the pass. see the following §, No. 4.

§ 134.—Of the Verb.—The Passive Voice.

1. The influence of the *verb* in its primitive and simple form, that is to say in the *act. voice*, having been sufficiently shown in what has been observed of the construction of the *noun*, we have now only to notice the *pass.* and the *middle voice*.

2. The pass. from its nature has as subject in the nomin., whatever is as object in the accus. with the active voice. The subject or nomin. of the act. now becomes the object by which I suffer, and when this is mentioned with the pass. in Greek, it is generally done by the help of the prep. ὑπὸ with the gen.; ὁ ᾿Αχιλλεὺς κτείνει τὸν Ἔκτορα, is in the pass. ὁ Ἕκτωρ κτείνεται ὑπὸ τοῦ ᾿Αχιλλέως, Hector is killed by Achilles.

3. Instead of ὑπὸ the prep. πρὸς, likewise with the gen., is frequently used; πρὸς ἀπάντων θεραπεύεσθαι, to be respected by all; and sometimes παρὰ, Plato Symp. 175. Οἶμαι γάρ με παρὰ σοῦ σοφίας πληρωθήσεσθαι, and ἐξ especially by the Ionians, Herod. Εἰ τί σοι κεχαρισμένον ἐξ ἐμοῦ ἐδωρήθη, when something agreeable

has been given to you by me; ibid. To $\pi oin\theta ev$ ek $\tau o v$ avd o c. Homer uses principally $v\pi d$ with the dat., ex. gr. $v\pi d$ $v\pi d$

- Obs. 1. The Greeks make a very frequent use of this pass. construction to supply the perf. act., which in many verbs occurs little or not at all, as just now $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \chi \alpha$, which would have been required in the foregoing expression; see § 97. Obs. 6.—That this construction is liable to frequent ambiguities, is unquestionable, $(\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \ \sigma \omega \ \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \iota$, you have said all, all has been said to you)—but a careful attention to the context easily removes the ambiguity.
- 5. According to the general rule it is only the nearest object, in the accus. with the act. voice, which can become the subject of the pass.; and this rule is strictly observed by the German, Latin, and other languages. But as many an object, which in Greek stands with the act. in the gen. or dat., actually is from its nature its nearest object, or at least can readily be understood as such, ἀμελεῖν τινος, to be neglectful of one, πιστεύειν τινὶ, to give credit to one, the Greeks allow themselves to say likewise in the pass. τὰ τούτου πράγματα ἀμελεῖται ὑπὸ τῶν θεῶν, (are neglected by the gods,) ὁ ψεύστης οὐ πιστεύεται, (the liar is not credited,) whilst in German we must say, to the liar no credit is given, &c.
- 6. The pass. in Greek may also be construed with an accus. Whenever the act. (according to § 131, 5.) has two accus., and the accus. of the person becomes the subject of the pass., the accus. of the thing continues to be used for the object of the pass.; ex. gr. of $\pi \alpha i \delta \epsilon \varsigma \delta i \delta a \kappa o \nu \tau a i \sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma \delta \nu \eta \nu$, the boys are taught modesty; $a \phi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \varsigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$, who has had the command taken from him.

snatched away from my hands, Plato Tim. 60. Τὸ δὲ ὑπὸ πυρὸς τὸ νοτερὸν πᾶν ἐξαρπασθὲν, this from which all moisture has been withdrawn by the fire; δέλτος ἐγγεγραμμένη ξυνθήματα:—ὑπὸ πόλεως τὴν ἡγεμονίαν πεπίστευτο:—Προμηθεὺς ὑπ' ἀετοῦ ἐκείρετο τὸ ἦπαρ (where κείρειν signifies to tear from):—ἐκκοπεὶς τοὺς ὀφθαλμούς.

- Obs. 2. Respecting the accus. case with the pass. voice, see § 136. Obs. 1. The accus. in those instances quoted above in No. 6, and similar ones, (see § 135, 4.) has nothing peculiar in itself. It is merely the idea of teaching or of taking away, which, independent of the pass. and middle voice, demands an accus. for its object. The German language is not capable of this construction, but we meet with it in Latin, ex. gr. humus poscebatur segetes. The verb in some instances (like the act. according to § 131, 3.) governs even as pass. an accus., which contains the import of the verb as subst., to add an additional determination adjectively; $\tau \acute{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \tau a\iota \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \varsigma$, he is struck many strokes.
- 8. That the verbal adjectives in τloc and τoc are pass. by their nature follows from § 102. Obs. 2. and about their signification see ibid.
- 9. Precisely on account of this their pass. nature, (the verbal adj. τὸς, however, merely when it conveys the idea of possibility, compare below Obs. 6.) they refer in their connexion to the subject of the act., which is in the dat. in the way mentioned above, at 4. ex. gr. Τοῦτο οὐ ρητόν ἐστί μοι, this is not to be spoken by me, i. e. I dare not say it, 'Η πόλις ωφελητέα σοί ἐστι, the state must be assisted by you, i. e. you must be useful to the state. But frequently this relation, as being of a more general nature, is omitted, when the sense is, one must, one can; Λυτέος ὁ τοιοῦτος νόμος καὶ οὐκ ἐατέος κύριος εἶναι, this law must be abolished, and not permitted to be valid.
- 10. The neut. τέον in this way, with or without ἐστὶν, corresponds to the Latin nomin. neut. in dum, λεκτέον ἐστὶν, or merely λεκτέον, it must be said, one must say; it then assumes all the relations and connexions of the verb, ex. gr. ἀρετὴν ἔχειν πειρατέον, one must endeavour to be virtuous; τοῖς λόγοις προσεκτέον τὸν νοῦν, one must apply the mind to the speeches; ταῦτα πάντα ποιητέον μοι, all this must be done by me, I have all this to do. The corresponding use of the neut. τὸν (but without any such verbal connexions) is, ex. gr. βιωτόν ἐστι, one can live; τοῖς οὐκ ὲξιτόν ἐστι, who cannot go out, Hesiod. Θ. 732.

Obs. 3. The Attics employ the verbal τέον in the same sense in the pl.: βαδιστέα, it is necessary to go; συνεκποτέ ἐστὶ τὴν τρύγα, the lees must be drunk along with it. (Compare § 129, 1.)

Obs. 4. Another Attic peculiarity is the verbal adj. in τέον, which, as it were by

virtue of its intrinsic meaning δεῖ, sometimes has the subject of the act. in the accus. instead of the dat., Plato Gorg. 507. Τὸν βουλόμενον εὐδαίμονα εἶναι σωφροσύνην διωκτέον καὶ ἀσκητέον (Heind. ad Plat. Phædr. 128).

Obs. 5. Verba deponentia (§ 113.) being also employed passively in some of their forms, also give verbal adj. in the same sense as if they came from regular actives; $\xi\rho\gamma\delta\zeta o\mu\alpha\iota$, I work, $\xi\rho\gamma\alpha\sigma\tau\delta\nu$, what can be worked, or is worked, $\xi\rho\gamma\alpha\sigma\tau\delta\nu$, one must work, the work must be done. Even in some verbs, whose pass or med. assumes a signif, which may be considered as a new simple meaning, and as active, the verbal adj., in some current or familiar connexions, also has both meanings, that which proceeds from the real active, and that which proceeds from the pass or med.; $\pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\tau\delta\nu$ one must convince, from $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\nu$, and one must obey, from $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\nu\mu\alpha$.—But it is very remarkable that in $\tau\rho\delta\pi\nu$ and one must obe, the aor. 2. pass. $\delta\tau\rho\delta\pi\eta\nu$ forms a particular verbal adj. in this sense, $\tau\rho\alpha\pi\eta\tau\delta\nu$.

Obs. 6. The rerbal adj. in $\tau \circ g$ have sometimes the same signification, but not the same construction with the part. perf. pass.; for with regard to the latter it is a

mere adjective; ex. gr. ποιητός made, πλεκτός plaited, στρεπτός twisted.

§ 135.—The Middle Voice.

- 1. Before we enter on the use of the middle voice, we must exclude all verbs deponent properly so called, for with regard to their signification they have become real actives. On the other hand we must not exclude such middle voices, as take their aorist from the passive voice, a part of which we have already enumerated in § 113. Obs. 5.

Examples of real media are: ἀπάγχεσθαι, to strangle one's self; ἀπέχεσθαι, to keep one's self off, to abstain; ἀμύνεσθαι, to defend one's self; φυλάττεσθαι, to guard one's self against any thing, to take care; ἐγγυᾶσθαι, to bail one's self (to become bail); κρεμάννυσθαι and its compounds, to hang one's self; παρασκευάζεσθαι, to arm one's self, and those verbs which denote an operation on one's own body, and where in the active voice τὸ σῶμα may be supplied instead of the accus. of the person, ex. gr. λοῦσθαι, χρίεσθαι, γυμνάζεσθαι, ἐνδύσασθαι, ἀπομόργνυσθαι, κείρεσθαι, στεφανοῦσθαι.

3. Many verbs lose their original reflective meaning, and become either real intransitives or are at least translated in an intransitive way, for want of a corresponding reflective expression.

Examples: στέλλειν, to send; στέλλεσθαι, to send one's self to some place, i. e. to travel; δαίνυσθαι, to feast. The case is the same with πορεύεσθαι, to travel; φαίνεσθαι, to appear; παύεσθαι, to cease; πλάζεσθαι, to wander, to rove; εὐωχεῖσθαι, to feast; κοιμᾶσθαι, to rest.

- Obs. 1. These media bear the same relation to their act. verbs as the immediate verbs do to the causatives; hence there are some passivo-media, which having such a simple signif. appear exactly like deponents, as $\gamma \epsilon i o \mu a \iota$, I taste, $\sigma i \pi o \mu a \iota$, I rot, $i \lambda \pi o \mu a \iota$, I hope, of which the actives, which seldom occur, can be expressed only by a circumlocution with causing to: $\gamma \epsilon i \omega$, I cause to taste, give to taste; $\sigma i \pi \omega$, I cause to rot, make putrid; $i \lambda \pi \omega$, I cause to hope, give hopes. See also $\mu a i \nu o \mu a \iota$ in the Anom.
- 4. But the medium also becomes a verb transitive just as easily and may have in most cases an object in the accus. with it. This is most readily the case, when the active is construed with two accus., in which instance one of these continues with the medium, ex. gr. ἐνδύω τινὰ χιτῶνα, I put a coat on one, ἐνδύομαι χιτῶνα, I put a coat on myself. To this class belong most verbs denoting an operation on one's own body, though in German one of the accus. casus, expressing the person, is to be translated with the dat.

Examples. ἔσσασθαι, to put on clothes, κείρεσθαι, to cut one's hair (ex. gr. κείρομαι τὴν κεφαλὴν, for κείρω ἐμὲ τὴν κ.) στεφανοῦσθαι, ἀπομόργνυσθαι, λοῦσθαι (ex. gr. τὰς χεῖρας, i. e. one's own), ὑποδήσασθαι and ὑπολύεσθαι, to put on or to take off (one's shoes), ἐγκαλύπτεσθαι, to wrap one's self up, and others.

5. But the medium may also have an object of its own, when a new meaning, as we have just seen, arises from the reflective action of the verb, which is conceived as transitive; περαιοῦν τινα, to carry over (across a river), med. περαιοῦσθαι, (properly to carry one's self over,) to cross over, pass.; hence it then has the river in the accus., περαιοῦσθαι τὸν Τίγριν, to cross the Tigris.

Examples. φοβεῖν τινα, to frighten any one, φοβεῖσθαι, (properly to frighten one's self,) to fear, likewise φοβεῖσθαι τοὺς θεοὺς, to fear the gods; τίλλειν, to pluck, τίλλεσθαι, to pluck one's self, to pull out one's own hair; and as this is an action by which one mourns over a person, τίλλεσθαι τινα, to mourn over any one (by pulling out one's own hair),

SYNTAX. 363

αἰσχύνειν, to shame, to confound, αἰσχύνεσθαί τινα, to feel shame in the presence of somebody; φυλάσσεσθαί τινα, to be on one's guard against somebody. That all these verbs adopt in the middle voice a new meaning, is very obvious from our not being able to substitute for αἰσχύνομαί σε the words αἰσχύνω ἐμέ σε. It is the same with ἀμύνεσθαί τινα, to defend one's self against somebody.

6. In all the instances mentioned, the medium arises from the usual trans. construction of the act. with the accus. (φοβοῦμαι, for ἐγὼ φοβῶ με). But just as (according to § 134, 5, 7.) the pass. proceeds sometimes from the construction with the more remote object, namely the dat., so does the medium; and the other object, which was in the accus. with the act., is put in the accus. also with the medium, ex. gr. προσποιήσαί τινί τι, to acquire something for any one, (ex. gr. a country for a state,) προσποιήσασθαί τι, to acquire for one's self, appropriate to one's self .- Hither belong the great number of middle voices, in which the more reflective meaning becomes less and less prominent; it is often merely hinted at by the form of the verb that something happens which may be hurtful or useful to the subj., or that the action of the verb stands in some inward relation to the subj. Thus for instance θείναι νόμους, to impose laws, applied to a conquered state, where the conqueror is not subject to the laws, whilst θέσθαι νόμους means to propose laws, said of a state, which gives to itself its own laws; -στήσασθαι τρόπαιον, εἰκόνα, to erect a trophy, a statue to one's self, for one's own deeds. Though it be true that Greek writers do not always strictly observe this difference, since in these cases they often use the active instead of the medium, still they rigidly observe this difference in so far as they never use the middle voice where the active ought only to be employed, that is to say, where the action stands in no inward relation whatever to the subject of the verb. (Compare below, text 8.)

Examples: πορίζεσθαί τι, to procure something for one's self, i. e. to acquire or obtain something; κλαίεσθαι, to bewail, to lament, ex. gr. τὰ πάθη, one's own sufferings; but κλαίειν τὰ πάθη τινὸς, to bewail the sufferings of another; -σύμμαχον ποιεῖσθαί τινα, to make an ally of some one; -καταστήσασθαι φύλακας, to place guards; -αἴρεσθαι, to take up something, ex. gr. πόλεμον, to undertake a war; συναίρεσθαί τινι πόλεμον, to share the dangers of war with somebody, i. e. to support another in a war; -εὐρίσκομαι, I find for my own use, i. e. I get (nanciscor); -

άγεσθαι γυναῖκα, to take a wife;—κληρώσασθαι, to win by drawing lots; καταπράττεσθαι, to execute, to do something on one's own behalf;—εἰσκομίζεσθαι, to get for one's self or for one's own kindred, for instance, to procure provision for a town, and the same with ἐκκομίζεσθαι; — εἰαθέσθαι τὰ τῆς πόλεως.—Hence the ideas of pushing something away (from one's self), or of disdaining, despising, are usually expressed by the middle voice: ἀποσώσασθαι, ἀποκρούσασθαι, ἀποθέσθαι, and many others compounded with ἀπὸ, προέσθαι, προβάλλεσθαι, &c.

- Obs. 2. Thus it comes that a verb having two accus. in the active, may retain both in the middle voice, whenever a relation to the subject of the verb is to be pointed out. Alt $\tilde{\omega}$ of $\tau \tilde{v} \tilde{v} \tilde{v} \tilde{v}$, I ask this of you (leaving it undetermined whether it be for myself or for another person); but $ai\tau \tilde{v} \tilde{v} \tilde{v} \tilde{v}$ can only mean, I request this of you for myself. This construction however occurs but rarely; see Schneider on Xen. Anab. I. 1. 10.
- 7. The medium expresses a reciprocal action just as frequently; νέμεσθαι, to distribute amongst ourselves, διαλέγεσθαι, to converse (with each other).

Examples: βουλεύεσθαι, διαλλάττεσθαι, σπένδεσθαι, σπονδοποιεῖσθαι, διαλύσασθαι; besides all verbs denoting to differ, to quarrel: διαφέρεσθαι, κρίνεσθαι, διακοντίζεσθαι, διαδορατίζεσθαι, ἀκροβολίζεσθαι, φιλοτιμεῖσθαι, ἀγωνίζεσθαι, which for the most part, as μάχεσθαι, change into the signification of a deponent.

8. Another kind of reflective action is when something is done to me or for me by my orders, which is expressed in English by the verb 'to get,' 'to get a thing done.' Thus κείρομαι signifies I shave myself, but also I get myself shaved; the pass. καρῆναι refers only to a state of passiveness, 'to be shorn,' like a sheep. Here too the more remote relation occurs; παρατίθεμαι τρά- π εζαν, I get a table set before me; μισθόω, I let out for hire, μισθούμαί τι, I hire for myself; διδάξασθαι νίον, to get one's son taught; καταδικάσαι τινά, to condemn one, κατεδικασάμην αὐτὸν, as it were, I have got him condemned to my advantage, i. e. I had him cast, I won a law-suit against him. But we also meet with a medium of this kind even without any reference to the subject, when it ought to be rendered simply by the infin. act. with the verb 'to cause' or 'to get;' Cyrop. 1, 4, 18. where it is said that the young Cyrus took the arms, \hat{a} δ $\pi \hat{a}\pi\pi\sigma\varsigma$ ἐπεποίητο, which his grandfather had got made. Hence πρεσβεύω, Igo as ambassador, πρεσβεύομαι, I send ambassadors.

Obs. 3. The above instances are sufficient to give a general idea of the reflective

power of the middle voice, and to show that the nature of the relation to the subject always is determined by the nature of the verb, and by the context, which must be learned by practice and comparison. But it must be observed that the relation to the subject frequently is very remote and weak, so that its designation might be omitted without impairing the sense, especially when it is pointed out by the nature of the verb itself; and in some verbs and individual instances, the relation has completely vanished; ex. gr. $i\delta\epsilon i\nu$ and the poetical $i\delta\epsilon\sigma a$ are exactly the same, and so are in prose $\dot{\alpha}\pi o\phi ai\nu \epsilon \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha}\pi o\phi ai\nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a\iota$, to manifest, prove; $\pi a\rho i\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\pi a\rho i\kappa \epsilon \sigma a\iota$, to afford. The medium is also often used to express one shades of meaning, or in combination with collateral signif., as in $ai\rho \epsilon i\nu$, to take, $ai\rho \epsilon i\sigma a\iota$, to select: $\lambda a\beta \epsilon i\nu$ and $\lambda a\beta \epsilon \sigma a\iota$, and others. But these instances must be particularly treasured in the memory, like other peculiarities and anomalies of the language; this requires a careful attention, because a relation may be imperceptible to us, which was instantly perceived by the Greeks.

Obs. 4. But it must not be supposed that there actually is a middle roice for every verb, which from its nature and signif. is susceptible of one. The best Dictionaries must be consulted whether a verb has a medium, and whether this medium has a

particular signif.

Obs. 5. When the more remote relation to the subject is, for the sake of distincness or emphasis, expressed by a pronoun, (like $\ell\mu\alpha\nu\tau\sigma\tilde{\nu}$, $\ell\mu\delta\varsigma$, &c.) the medium, if there be any, is still employed, though it is not requisite in that case. Thus Demosth. for instance (in Mid.) says, $\Gamma'\epsilon\gamma\rho\alpha\mu\mu\alpha\iota\ \ell\mu\alpha\nu\tau\tilde{\psi}$ $\tau\alpha\tilde{\nu}\tau\alpha$, I have noted that down.

§ 136.

- 1. The verba media, which take their aor. from the pass. voice, are enumerated in § 113. Obs. 5. The number of those, which appear more or less as verbs passive, might still be increased, were it altogether possible to draw a strict line of demarcation between the verbs passive and middle; for the signification of the tenses preponderates either to the middle or to the passive voice according to the usage of speech, although grammar distributes the forms of them partly among the one and partly among the other.
- 2. The construction of the object in the accus. is far from being decisive, whether a verb be passive or middle, since both these voices admit of this construction, for instance $\mathring{\eta}\sigma\kappa\mathring{\eta}-\theta\eta\nu$ $\tau\mathring{\eta}\nu$ $\tau\acute{\epsilon}\chi\nu\eta\nu$, I exercised myself, may be translated into our language in the middle form, yet in Greek it might have been conceived in the passive.
- Obs. 1. Respecting this accus. of an object, we have further to remark, that all those passive and middle verbs, which have an object in the accus., may be considered as representing new intransitive ideas; their construction then coincides with that of verbs intransitive of the active form, which so frequently are construed with an accus. case. Hence we may state the rule in this manner: in all intransitive verbs, whether of the active, passive, or middle voice, the noun, to which the import of

the verb refers, is put in the accus., therefore $\dot{a}\lambda\gamma\tilde{\omega}$ $\tau\dot{a}c$ $\gamma\nu\dot{a}\theta$ ove, $a\dot{a}\sigma\chi\dot{v}\nu$ o μ aí $\sigma\epsilon$, $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\tau\tau$ o μ aí $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\kappa\epsilon\phi$ a $\lambda\dot{\eta}\nu$, &c.

Obs. 2. The more ancient language uses in many instances the aor. of the middle roice, where the modern one uses that of the passive; ex. gr. ηρασάμην, ἐκοιμησάμην; in some verbs the aor. has a peculiar signification, ex. gr. στέλλεσθαι, to travel, σταληναι; στέλλεσθαι, to clothe one's self, and also to send for, στείλασθαι.

Obs. 3. When the aor. med. is in use, the aor. pass. may also be used as the pass. of a peculiar signif. of the med.; γραφείς, written, from γράφειν, but also accused,

from γράφεσθαι, γράψασθαι, to accuse.

Obs. 4. The use of the fut. med., and even in some few cases of the aor. med. instead of that of the pass., has been stated above, § 113, 5.

3. That the perf. and plusq. pass. exactly like the pres. are the real perf. and plusq. med., is unquestionable from a great many examples, of which we had two in the preceding Section, 8.—ἐπεποίητο, and Obs. 5. γέγραμμαι: Cyrop. 7, 3, 14. ᾿Ακινάκην πάλαι παρεσκευασμένη σφάττει ἑαυτὴν, having long before provided herself with a sword, she killed herself; 7, 2, 12. διαπέπραγμαι, I have obtained, accomplished; Isocr. ἐπιδεδειγμένος τὴν πονηρίαν, having given a specimen of his malice; Xenoph. Symp. 8, 25. μεμισθωμένος χῶρον, one who has taken a piece of land in farm, &c.

See § 113. Obs. 3. and 4. compared with § 97, 5. and Obs. 5. about the perf. 2. commonly called perf. med.

§ 137.—Of the Tenses.

The two tenses *aor*. and *fut*. III. are peculiar to the Greek language. Of the latter we shall treat in \S 138., but to know the nature of the *aor*. we must compare the other *præterites*.

- 1. The perfect tense is to be separated from all other præterites, as it is not used in narration. It partakes of the nature of the present, and is distinguished from it only in so far as the present denotes an action as not yet accomplished or as still taking place during the present time, whilst the perfect denotes an action as wholly accomplished and terminated, though likewise in the present time. It merely connects what has happened, as past, with the present time; ex. gr. I know it, for I have seen it. This connexion with the present time may not always be expressed, but the perf. by itself alone conveys the idea of it. I have seen it, i. e. I am one of those who saw it. Now that I speak, it is already over, it has happened.
- 2. The tenses used in narration are the aor., imperf. and plusqp. Of these the imperf. and plusqp. narrate with refer-

ence to some other fixed time, the aor. however without any such reference or presupposition. Any narration given with the aor. carries the mind to the past, and brings the events successively one after another before the hearer or reader, without pointing out the time in which the related events stand to each other, ex. gr. $\Pi\dot{\nu}\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}o_{\zeta}$ δ $\beta a\sigma i\lambda \epsilon\dot{\nu}\varsigma$ $\delta\delta\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\nu\chi\epsilon$ $\kappa\nu\nu\dot{\epsilon}$ $\phi\rho o\nu\rho o\tilde{\nu}\nu\tau\iota$ $\nu\epsilon\kappa\rho\dot{\delta}\nu$ — κ aì $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\nu\sigma\epsilon$ $\mu\epsilon\theta$ ' $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau\sigma\tilde{\nu}$ $\kappa o\mu i\zeta\epsilon\nu$, and so on. But in the midst of the narrative it is sometimes necessary to state the circumstances by which the thing, which happened, was attended, when it happened; this is done by means of the imperf., 'Oliquis dè $\ddot{\nu}\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho o\nu$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\tau\alpha\sigma\nu$ $\dot{\nu}\nu$ κ aì π a ρ $\dot{\nu}\nu$ δ $\kappa\dot{\nu}\omega\nu$, $i\delta\dot{\omega}\nu$ dè τ o ν g ρ o ν $\dot{\epsilon}$ a ν g $\dot{\epsilon}$ g $\dot{\epsilon$

3. But if the reference to time be sufficiently apparent from the context, the aor. may also be used instead of the perf., and in the narrative instead of the plusq., ex. gr. Xen. Memor. 1, 6, 14. Socrates says, Τοὺς θησαυροὺς τῶν πάλαι σοφῶν, οὺς ἐκεῖνοι κατέλιπον εν βιβλίοις γράψαντες, διέρχομαι, where the sense obviously requires the perf., which they have left behind in books. In every discourse in which there is much mention made of the past, and always in such a way that the mind connects it with the pres., the Greeks most generally use the aor. instead of the perf., which is generally used alone in our modern languages; and it is only when the speaker lays a particular stress on the time of an occurrence, that the Greek employs the perf., and in a narrative the plusq.: all this is, however, greatly influenced by euphony. The uncertainty, or indefinite notion, from which the aor. derives its name, is properly limited to the time past.

Obs. 1. The aor. is used instead of the plusq., Cyrop. 5, 1. her husband was ambassador in Bactria, "Επεμψε δὲ αὐτὸν ὁ ᾿Ασσύριος περὶ συμμαχίας, had sent him; Thuc. Οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι εὐθὺς ἐπειδὴ ἀνεχώρησαν—ξύμμαχοι ἐγένοντο. Poets use this aor. far more frequently than the plusq. itself. Whenever a duration of time or a repetition of what has happened is to be expressed, the imperf. may be also used instead of the plusqp. in modern languages. Compare Krueger on Xen. Anab. I. 1, 6.

^{4.} The idea of one thing taking place simultaneously with another, is nearly connected with that of *duration*. Hereby it is not meant, that any thing, which takes place simultaneously with another, must really occupy a certain length of time; it

simply means, that it is to be conceived as something lasting, on account of its occurring, during the time that something else happens, should even that action fill but the space of a moment; ex. gr. all were asleep, when a scream was heard; or I was just opening my mouth to call for him, when he entered. Hence arose a second usage of the imperf. in Greek, according to which this tense is employed whenever the related occurrence is to be described as of some duration, but the aor. whenever it is to be conceived as only momentary; ex. gr. Xen. Anab. 5, 4, 24. τους μέν οὖν πελταστὰς ἐδέξαντο οἱ βάρβαροι, (they received them, MOMENTARY,) καὶ ἐμάχοντο (and were fighting with them, A DURATION,) έπεὶ δ' έγγὺς ἦσαν οἱ ὁπλῖται, (as they approached, NATURAL IMPERF.,) ἐτράποντο, (they took to flight, MOMENTARY,) καὶ οἱ πελτασταὶ εὐθὺς εἰποντο (pursued them, DURA-TION). This often points to a difference in the sense, which is easily overlooked; for when it is said, for instance, Ο κύων ἐξέδοαμε, καὶ καθυλάκτει αὐτοὺς, it necessarily conveys the idea of a continued barking; but if the expression be καθυλάκτησε, it would be the barking of an instant, as momentary as the ¿ξέ-Enguery. The imperf. is thus constantly employed, when something, which was customary or done habitually or frequently, is related of a time which is gone by; Μίλων ὁ Κροτωνιάτης ἤσθιε μνας κρεών είκοσι, Milo of Crotona ate twenty minas of meat, i. e. used to eat.

5. This difference between what is momentary, and what implies a duration, occurs also in the time present, and in the future. The language, however, has no double form for it in the indic., but in the dependent moods the Greek language can always make the distinction. Of these,

the moods of the perf. and future

express the time of their indic.; but

the moods of the pres. and aor.

do not mark any time whatever '. In this case there is a double form, which is perfectly indifferent with regard to time, $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ or $\tau \dot{\nu} \psi \alpha \iota$, $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \varsigma$ or $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \varsigma$, &c.; and the Greek writers

The moods of the aor. however have sometimes the signif, of what is past, as for instance in Aristoph. Ran. 1416. τὸν ἕτερον λαβὼν ἄπει, ἕν' ἔλθης μὴ μάτην, that thou mightest not have come in vain. The infinit is frequently to be understood in a similar manner, as in Xen. Anab. III. 1, 6. ὑποπτεύσας μἡ τι πρὸς τῆς πόλεως, οἱ ὑπαίτιον εἵη Κύρφ φίλον γενέσθαι i. e. εἰ Κύρφ φίλος γένοιτο.—For the part of the aor, see text 6, and for the conj. aor. instead of the Latin fut. exact. § 139, 4.

avail themselves of this double form, so as to employ chiefly the moods of the pres. tense to denote an action or occurrence of some duration, and the moods of the aor, for a momentary one. For instance, when Demosth. says, (Phil. I. p. 44.) Τριήρεις πεντήκοντα παρασκευάσασθαί φημι δείν, είτ' αὐτοὺς οὕτω τὰς γνώμας ἔχειν, he wants the men of war to be immediately equipped, hence the momentary aor.; but the feeling or disposition, which he recommends by γνώμας έχειν, has some duration. Again, (p. 45.) "Ιν' η δια τον φόβον-ήσυχίαν έχη, η παριδών ταῦτα ἀφύλακτος ληφθῆ, it is obvious that here too ἔχη has a duration, and $\lambda \eta \phi \theta \tilde{\eta}$ is momentary. The case is the same with the imper. (p. 44, init.) Ἐπειδὰν ἄπαντα ἀκούσητε, κρίνατε, καὶ μη πρότερον προλαμβάνετε. Here the moment of κρίνατε is distinctly marked, but the forming of an opinion is something gradual, which the speaker did not conceive as momentary in his mind; hence προλαμβάνετε. See Herm. ad Viger., n. 165. b. But the distinction frequently depends altogether on the view of the speaker or writer, and in numberless passages it is perfectly indifferent whether we have λέγειν or λέξαι, λέγε or λέξον. The distinction, however, is not the less true on the whole.

- Obs. 2. Even an action of a long duration may be in the acr. in the dependent moods, whenever its completion is taken into the account, and considered as its final purpose; Plato Crit. 15. Τῶν παίδων ἕνεκα βούλει ζην, ἵνα αὐτοὺς ἐκθρέψης καὶ παιδεύσης.
- 6. The part. of the aor. constantly denotes time past, and becomes a complete part. perf.; $\partial \pi \sigma \beta \alpha \lambda \partial \nu$, who has lost, and consequently now possesses no longer,— $\mu \alpha \theta \partial \nu$, who has learnt, and consequently knows,— $\theta \alpha \nu \partial \nu$, who has died, dead—of $\pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \nu \tau \epsilon c$, those who fell, the dead.
- Obs. 3. Thus Demosth. (in Mid. 52. p. 576.) the true author of a speech full of merited reproaches, 'Ο παρεσχηκώς τὰ ἔργα—οὐχ ὁ ἐσκεμμένος οὐδ' ὁ μεριμνήσας τὰ δίκαια λέγειν, i. e. is he who has provided the deeds for it, not he who has prepared himself, and taken care to say what is proper. Here we have μεριμνήσας quite parallel with the tenses of the perf., evidently for the purpose of avoiding the less pleasing sound of μεμεριμνηκώς.

Obs. 4. All that has been observed of the aor. refers chiefly to the Attic writers. In Homer the distinction between the tenses is not yet so marked, and the imperf. in particular is still frequently confounded with the aor., which was only, as it were, at its birth. We leave the examples of this assertion to the individual observation of the learner². In Herod. too, (and perhaps in the Ionic

We will, however, point out a few passages, where the *imperf*. is connected with αor . without any difference in the action legitimating the distinction, Il. α . 437, 438,

dialect in general,) the *imperf*. is often used in a progressive narration as an aor, that is to say, for the relation of *momentary* occurrences, of which the existence with other events does not necessarily appear from the context, 3, 28. $\&\kappa\acute{a}\lambda\epsilon\epsilon$, $\&\kappa\acute{e}\lambda\epsilon\nu\epsilon$, and frequently $\mathring{\eta}\rho\acute{\omega}\tau\alpha$, $\mathring{a}\mu\epsilon\acute{\mu}\delta\epsilon\nu\epsilon$, &c.

Obs. 5. Whenever any habitual occurrence, or any customary event, is mentioned, without its being an express narrative, the Greeks frequently have, instead of the pres., by which it is stated in other languages and even the Greek itself, the aor. (which then marks an indefinite time in the strictest sense,) Demosth. Olynth. 2. Μικρὸν πταῖσμα ἀνεχαίτισε καὶ διέλνσε πάντα, α small mistake overthrows and destroys all again; Mid. 21. Οὐ γὰρ ἡ πληγἡ παρέστησε τὴν ὁργὴν, ἀλλ' ἡ ἀτιμία, οὐδὲ τὸ τύπτεσθαι—ἐστὶ δεινὸν, ἀλλὰ τὸ ἐφ' ὕβρει, where ἐστὶ shows how the preceding παρέστησε is to be understood. Isocr. Paneg. 12. (speaking of the great games and meetings of the Greeks, contrasted with the continual concourse of people at Athens,) Αὶ μὲν ἄλλαι πανηγύρεις διὰ πολλοῦ χρόνου συλλεγεῖσαι ταχέως διελύθησαν, ἡ δὲ κ.τ.λ. See also Heind. ad Plat. Phæd. 49.

Obs. 6. There is another instance where the aor, seems to be used instead of the pres., viz. the indic. aor. after the question τί οὖ; ex. gr. Τί οὖκ ἐποιήσαμεν; literally, 'why have we not done this?' i. e. let us do this! Τί οὖκ ἔφρασας, i. e. tell

me instantly. (See Heind. ad Plat. Gorg. 126.)

Obs. 7. The Greeks obtained a great latitude in the choice of tenses by introducing again the pres. in a narration, whenever the true time is evident from the context, and not only by means of the præsens historicum, as it is used in other languages, to add to the liveliness of the narrative, but in the midst of a proposition, for instance in Xen. Anab. 1, 7, 16, it is related, that the army of Cyrus came to a ditch, and then he immediately adds, Taύτην δὲ την τάφρον βασιλεύς μέγας ποιεῖ ἀντὶ ἐρύματος, ἐπειδὴ πυνθάνεται Κῦρον προσελαύνοντα. Any other language would necessarily have used the plusq. twice. The case is similar with regard to the indicat. in intercalated sentences obliquely introduced. See the general remark, Obs. 3. in § 139, after H.

Obs. 8. There are some verbs, the present of which comprises the signif. of a perf. Hither belong particularly $\ddot{\eta}\kappa\omega$, I am come, i. e. I am here; ex. gr. Plat. Criton. init. ἄρτι $\ddot{\eta}\kappa\omega$; in the same way οἴχομαι, I am gone, I am off, whereby the imperf. $\ddot{\phi}\chi$ ετο has the appearance of a plusq. And usually the pres. of the verbs denoting to hear, to learn, (ἀκούω, πυνθάνομαι, μανθάνω, αἰσθάνομαι,) is used where we employ the perf. Again, τίκτειν τινὰ has, besides the signif. of begetting, that of being the parent of any one, whence it must be frequently understood as a perf.: πολλοῦ σε θνητοῖς ἄξιον τίκτει πατήρ.

Obs. 9. And just as there are in every language certain expressions introduced, particularly in daily intercourse, which appear contrary to its general laws, because their natural origin has been obscured by time, there are in Greek expressions, which cannot be brought under the rules stated about the use of the tenses; they must be remembered without disturbing the rules derived from the agreement of the

^{465.} β . 43—45. For it would be absurd to suppose that the landing of the sailors, cutting the meat, using the large mantle, had been conceived in the mind of the poet as occurrences of some duration, while leading out a number of animals to be sacrificed, putting so many pieces of meat on the spits, girding on the sword, should have been thought momentary by the same mind; and $\lambda i \pi \epsilon$, β . 107, compare 106, is still more decisive. But it must be acknowledged, on an attentive perusal of Homer, that most of the decided imperf. mixed in the narration denote the repetition of actions which are necessarily of some duration, and that we do not easily meet in Homer with aor. where there is a co-existence in the time, or where it is a repeated action.

language in all the rest. Hither belong the $\tilde{\eta}\nu$ $\tilde{a}\rho\alpha$ instead of the pres. in argumentative observations. See Heind. ad Plat. Phæd. 35. originally probably, 'thus it always was, (and is still,)... and I observed it not,' and further in conversation some isolated aor. 1. pers. instead of the usual pres. as $\tilde{\eta}\sigma\theta\eta\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\tilde{\eta}\nu\epsilon\sigma\alpha$, to express the decided sensation or feeling attendant on the action. See Herm. ad Vig. 162. and Buttm.'s Note to Soph. Philoct. 1289, 1314. See also the Epic $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\epsilon\tau$ 0 in the Anom. $\pi\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\omega$.

Obs. 10. The circumstance that the pres. and imperf. constantly denote a duration without completion, has given birth to the custom by which several verbs, of which the action is only completed through the concurrence of another individual, as one's giving by another accepting, one's sending away by another going away, are used in those tenses merely of one part of the action, or as is said de conutu, (which expression, however, is neither accurate nor sufficient,) Herod. 7, 221. $\Lambda \epsilon \omega \nu i \delta \eta c$ $\phi \alpha \nu i \rho \delta c$ δc δ

Obs. 11. The verb μέλλειν with an infin. is used in a periphrastic sense for the simple fut., with this distinction, that by the fut. the action of the verb is removed to a future indefinite time, but by the circumlocution with μέλλειν, the period is fixed from which the action of the verb is to be conceived as taking place; hence μέλλω π οιεῖν (I am (now) one who shall do it).—This verb conveys at the same time the collateral idea of shall or must, hence \ddot{a} ήμελλον $\pi \dot{a} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, what I should suffer. The difference between the pres. tense or the aor. of the infin. employed with μέλλειν lies again in the duration or momentary performance of the action; but the fut. of the infin. is also commonly used by a kind of pleonasm; Demosth. Mid. 21. and he did all this in the presence of people, où αὐτὸν ἐπαινέσεσθαι μετὰ ταῦτα ἡμελλον, (Lat. 'qui eum erant laudaturi,') where we should say more precisely, of whom he could foresee that they would praise him.

Obs. 12. The perf. has also a conj. and opt., and the future has an optative, which are really used whenever that kind of uncertainty or contingency which is peculiar to these moods (see § 139.) agrees with the time of these tenses. For instance, Είθε ὁ νίὸς νενικήκοι,—Oh that my son had conquered! ει τινες είσεληλύθοιεν, if (by chance) some had entered, εἶπεν ὅτι ήξοι ἡμέρα τρίτη, he said that he should come the third day. But the moods of the pres. and of the aor. assisted by the context being sufficient in most of these instances, and the indic. being also very frequently employed in sermone obliquo, (compare § 139. Obs. 3.) the former are used only for the sake of distinctness, and therefore require no particular elucidation here. And even then the periphrastic form, ex. gr. πεφιληκώς ω and εἴην is generally preferred to the conj. and opt. perf. The imper. perf. occurs in its principal 2 pers. chiefly in such verbs only, of which the perf. has the signif. of the pres., as κέκραχθι, κεχήνετε, (see the Anom. χάσκω,) μέμνησο: the 3 pers. especially of the perf. pass. marks a conclusive resolution, let it then be done! and frequently supplies an energetic expression, ex. gr. Νῦν δὲ τοῦτο τετολμήσθω εἰπεῖν, be it dared, Aristoph. Vesp. 1129. πεπει- $\rho \acute{a} \sigma \theta \omega$, be it attempted, i. e. attempt it.

§ 138.—Futurum 3.

1. The fut. 3. in both form and signif. is properly composed of the perf. and fut.; it transfers into futurity what is com-

pletely past and accomplished; Plato Rep. 6. Ἡ πολιτεία τελέως κεκοσμήσεται, ἐὰν ὁ τοιοῦτος αὐτὴν ἐπισκοπῷ φύλαξ, the state will have been perfectly arranged (not be arranged, Lat. adornata erit civitas, not adornabitur) when it is superintended by such a governor. Aristoph. Nub. 1436. μάτην ἐμοὶ κεκλαύσεται, (compare § 134, 4.) then shall I have wept in vain. The perf. frequently denotes a situation which is still continuing, ex. gr. ἐγγέγραμμαι does not mean simply I have been inscribed, but also I am inscribed, am on the list. The case is the same with this fut., Aristoph. Eq. 1371. Οὐδεὶς κατὰ σπουδὰς μετεγγραφήσεται, 'Αλλ' ὥσπερ ῆν τὸ πρῶτον, ἐγγεγράψεται, none shall for any consideration be transferred from one list to another, but every one shall continue inscribed as he was at first.

- 2. Hence this is the proper fut. of such perf. as obtain a particular signif., which may be conceived as that of a pres.; λέλειπται, it is a remnant, λελείψεται, it will be a remnant, (λειφθήσεται, it will be left behind,) κέκτημαι, I possess, μέμνημαι, I remember, κεκτήσομαι, μεμνήσομαι.
- 3. The Attic writers, moreover, employ the fut. 3. in the pass. voice of several verbs as a simple fut. pass. Independently of the verbs $\delta \epsilon \omega$ and $\pi \iota \pi \rho \acute{a} \sigma \kappa \omega$, (see the Anom.) this is chiefly the case with $\pi \epsilon \pi a \acute{v} \sigma o \mu a \iota$, $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \acute{v} \acute{v} \rho \mu a \iota$, which ought never to be taken by a forced interpretation for the original fut. 3. nor ought this to be done with other verbs in which this fut. (with Attic writers) sometimes has the usual signif. of the fut. pass., as $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \acute{\eta} \sigma o \mu a \iota$, $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \xi o \mu a \iota$ and others, which we leave to individual notice.

Obs. This fut. 3. has, however, a particular emphasis in some verbs, and denotes either—1.) it shall be, I will have it so, Soph. Aj. 1141. Menelaus' speech, "Εν σοι φράσω, τόνδ' ἐστὶν οὐχὶ θαπτέον—is answered, Σὲ δ' ἀντακούσει τοῦτον ὡς τεθά-ψεται, where the usual ταφήσεται would not have been so energetic by far; or 2.) hastening, φράζε, καὶ πεπράζεται, (Aristoph. Plut. 1026. cf. 200.) properly, speak, and it shall be done, i. e. it shall be done instantly. And it is apparently from such passages that the ancient denomination of this fut., paulo-post-futurum, was derived.

§ 139.—Moods.

1. The *indicat*., as the mood conveying the idea of certainty, and the *imperat*., as that of command, agree in their essential parts with the usage of other languages. *Conditional*, *hypothetical*, or *dependent* propositions may be expressed in Greek in two ways, either by the *conj*. or the *optat*., whilst other lan-

guages, for instance the Latin, have but the conj. The conj. mood in Greek is used in propositions containing an assertion on which experience or the future has to decide, in how far this assertion will hold good or not; the optat. however is used whenever any assertion is to be conceived as merely hypothetical or conditional, without any reference as to whether the future or experience may confirm it or not.

Obs. 1. Though the nature of the conj. and optat. points to their being merely employed in dependent propositions, still there are certain instances in which they occur even in simple or principal propos. We shall treat first of the latter:—

I .- The Conj. in Simple Propositions.

1.) as the expression of doubt and reflection (conj. dubitativus or deliberativus). The conj. occurs in this instance scarcely otherwise than in the first person. Such propositions ought to be conceived as dependent ones, since the verbs βούλει, θέλεις, οὐκ οἶδα are either added or to be understood, ex. gr. πόθεν βούλει ἄρξωμαι; where shall I begin? βούλει οὖν σκοπῶμεν; Anacr. τί σοι θέλεις ποιήσω; (conj. aor.) or without such verbs: εἴπω οὖν σοι τὸ αἴτιον; shall I tell you the reason? Plat. Theæt. 17.—νῦν ἀκούσω αὖθις; Luc. Dial. Μ. 30, 1.—τί ποιῶ; πῆ βῶ; ποῖ τράπωμαι; Eurip. Ion. 758. εἴπωμεν ῆ σιγῶμεν, ῆ τί δράσομεν; This conj. may be also sometimes found in the second and third person, ex. gr. ποῖ τις ἔλθη; Dem. Mid. 10. ὁ τοιοῦτος πότερα μὴ δῷ δίκην;

as the expression of gentle command or of a wish, (conj. adhortativus,)
merely in the first person, principally of the plur., ex. gr. ἴωμεν, let us go,

ίδωμεν, συμβουλεύωμεν, &c.

3.) instead of the imperat. in the second and third person, but only in commands negatively expressed, with μη and οὐ μη ; in which case the conj. aor. is used, (see § 148, 3.) ex. gr. μη τρέσης; Soph. Ant. 84. ἀλλ' οὖν προμηνύσης γε τοῦτο μηδενί. El. 1035. ἀλλ' οὔποτ' ἐξ ἐμοῦγε μὴ μάθης τόδε.

4.) In Epic writers we frequently meet with the conj. of the aor. for the real fut., and the origin of this usage may be explained by the signification of the fut. in the ancient language not having been so distinctly marked as it was in later times; ex. gr. οὐ γάρ πω τοίους ἴδον ἀνέρας οὐδὲ ἴδωμαι, nor may I (probably) see any more, Il. a. 262. καί ποτέ τις εἴπησι, ζ. 459. This conj. occurs even in the midst of the most decided futures, ex. gr. Od. μ. 383, δύσομαι εἰς 'Αἰδαο καὶ ἐν νεκύεσσι φαείνω.

$II. -The \ Optat. \ in \ Simple \ Propositions.$

- 1. as the expression of a wish: Plat. Phædr. extr. ⁵Ω θεοὶ δοίητέ μοι καλῷ γενέσθαι τἄνδοθεν πλούσιον δὲ νομίζοιμι τὸν σοφὸν, &c. This optat. serves likewise for softening down the harshness of command, and is thus used for the imperat.: Hom. Od. ξ. 407. τάχιστά μοι ἔνδον ἐταῖροι εἰεν ; it is also used for expressing the will and design, usually in the first person, and similar to the conj., but with this distinction, that the optat. does not, like the conj., compel to immediate action. Od. π. 383. ἀλλὰ φθέωμεν ἐλόντες —βίστον δ' αὐτοὶ καὶ κτήματ' ἔχωμεν, δασσάμενοι—οἰκία δ' αὐτε κείνου μητέρι δοῖμεν ἔχειν, ἠδ' ὅστις ὁπνίοι.
- 2.) with $\hat{a}\nu$, see 3, 4.

- Obs. 2. The usage of the *Epics* respecting the moods in *simple propos*. coincides with that of the *Attic* writers. The only peculiarity of the *Epics* is that they use the *part*. $\tilde{a}\nu$ and $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}$ by far more frequently. (See below 3.)
- 2. The conj. and optat. are principally and properly employed in dependent sentences. The remark that the conj. mostly accompanies the principal, the optat. the historical tenses, is based on the nature of these moods; see Text 1. The Greek says, for instance, οὐκ οἶδα ὅποι τράπωμαι, (non habeo, quo me vertam,) because I suppose that the future will decide whither I am to turn. Hence the conj. follows after a perfect, (the latter implying by its nature a present time,) and after a future; and even after an aorist when it stands for the perf., according to § 137, 3. But in a narration the mind is carried back to the past, and thus identifying itself with the person which acts or speaks, abstracts completely from any future time which is to decide or has already decided on what has been stated; ex. gr. οὖκ ἥδειν ὅποι τραποίμην (non habebam, quo me verterem).
- 3. The use of the *moods* is intimately connected with that of the particle $a\nu$; whereby this part of the syntax becomes so very complicated and difficult. The nature of the part. $a\nu$ consists in its modifying the meaning of a propos. construed with the conj. or optat., and hence arose a second usage of this part., that of being annexed to other words. It points out that the import of a sentence is to be considered as depending upon a certain condition, without however explicitly mentioning it, (at least in most cases,) but leaving it to be inferred. The part. $a\nu$ therefore implies in fact always a whole sentence containing a condition.—We shall now treat of the particular cases in which it occurs:—
 - 1.) ἀν with the indicat. of the present and perf. is a combination which is impossible, since the certainty and positive nature of any assertion would become uncertain by its being made dependent upon a condition. When we therefore meet with ἀν in a propos. construed with the pres. or perf., the part. ἀν does not belong to the indicat. but to another word in the sentence; ex. gr. in σίμαι ἀν, οὐκ ἀν οἰδ΄ ὅτι—, the part. ἀν belongs to the dependent propos. (see for more examples in Obs. 4. below). But it may be connected with the indicat. of the fut. by way of softening down the decisive character of any assertion made with regard to future things, similarly to the conj. aor. instead of the fut.; see Obs. 1. I. 4., ex. gr. Od. γ. 80. εἴρεαι, ὑππόθεν εἰμέν ἐγὼ δέ κέ τοι καταλέξω. Il. α. 174. παρ' ἔμοιγε καὶ ἄλλοι, οἴ κέ με τιμήσουσι.
 - 2.) av with the indicat. of the historical tenses signifies
 - a.) the repetition of an action, in so far as this same repetition is to be

conceived as dependent upon certain conditions, but the existence of which is merely hinted at by the addition of $\tilde{a}\nu$; for instance, $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi o i \epsilon \iota$ $\tilde{a}\nu$, he used to do, viz. as often as circumstances would admit of it. This usage is very common with all writers.

b.) In the same manner is ἀν used with the historical tenses, whenever the sense is to be conveyed that any condition under which an action may or might take place, may or might possibly not be fulfilled. The imperf. is then employed with ἀν, respecting an action the impossibility of which exists in the present time; if in the past, the plusq. or the aor. with ἀν, ex. gr. ἐποίουν ἀν τοῦτο, I should do so (the idea in the mind of the speaker is ἀλλ' οὐ ποῖω); and with a negation: οὐκ ἀν ἐποίησα, οὐκ ἀν ἐπεποίηκειν, I should not have done so (mentally supplied: ἀλλ' ἐποίησα).

Obs. 3. Omitted in $\mathring{a}\nu$ with verbs denoting that something ought to be done, or might be permitted, as $\chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon \iota$, $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\chi} \tilde{\eta} \nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \nu \tilde{\eta} \nu$, ϵx . gr. Soph. El. 1505.

χρην δ΄ εὐθὸς εΙναι τήνδε τοῖς πᾶσιν δίκην, ὅστις πέρα πράσσειν γε τῶν νόμων θέλει, κτείνειν τὸ γὰρ πανοῦργον οὐκ ἄν ην πολύ.

Here the reason of the omission is, that the idea in the mind of the speaker is not $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$ où $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$; for the necessity of the fact is not here denied, but the fact itself. To the latter words, however, the reply is, $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$ $\xi\sigma\tau\iota$ $\pi o\lambda\dot{\upsilon}$.—'E $\xi\bar{\eta}\nu$ $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho$ $\dot{\alpha}\pi o\phi\epsilon\dot{\upsilon}$ - $\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$, I might have fled (mentally supplied, $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$ où $\dot{\kappa}$ $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\phi\nu\gamma\sigma\nu$). But as soon as one may reply, $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$ $\delta\epsilon\dot{\iota}$, $\xi\xi\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota$ or où $\dot{\delta}\epsilon\ddot{\imath}$, the part. $\dot{\alpha}\nu$ is again employed. It is for the same reason that $\dot{\alpha}\nu$ is usually omitted with the verbs $\ddot{\omega}\phi\epsilon\lambda\sigma\nu$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda\sigma\nu$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\phi\eta\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\dot{\delta}\mu\eta\nu$.—See for another instance below E. 3.

- 3.) The conj. describing an object as existing only under certain conditions which are to take place either in the present or future time, involves in fact always the part. άν. We therefore may say that its being added to a conj. is superfluous. Hence no simple propos. are ever construed with âν and the conj., at least not in good Attic prose. But if we meet with the conj. with âν in dependent propos. or such as begin with a conjunction or a pronoun, the part. âν is, according to the usage of speech, to be separated from the conj. mood, and is intimately to be connected with the conjunction or the pronoun, ex. gr. ἐἀν, ὁπόταν, (for εἰ ἀν, ὁπότ ἀν, ὃς ἀν,) &c.
- 4.) The optat. construed with āν serves for expressing a mere subjective opinion, limited by certain conditions, and is therefore employed to convey a doubtful assertion or the idea of a bare possibility, and which is rendered in English by may, might, &c.; ex. gr. ἴσως ᾶν οὕν τινες ἐπιτιμήσειαν τοῖς εἰρημένοις, some perhaps might find fault with what I said; ἀλλ' οὕν, εἴποι τις ᾶν, but some one might say—; ἡδέως ᾶν θεασαίμην ταῦτα·—τὸ σωματοειδίς ἐστιν οῦ τις ᾶν ἄψαιτο, the corporeal is what can be touched. This is the manner of speaking, owing to that modesty or moderation so peculiar to the Attic writers, which is used for the most positive assertions and predictions, or for avoiding the more positive character of the future tense; ex. gr. οὐ γὰρ ἄν τάγε ἥδη γεγενημένα τῷ νυνὶ βοηθεία κωλῦσαι δυνηθείημεν·—οὐκ ᾶν φύγοις, you will not escape; γένοιτο δ' ᾶν πᾶν ἐν τῷ μακρῷ χρόνφ. Lastly, this optat. with ᾶν is likewise employed for softening down the harshness of command; hence λέγοις ᾶν for λέγε·— χωροῖς ᾶν εἴσω, Soph.
- 4. When the particles and pronouns compounded with $a\nu$ are construed with the conj. of the aor., the latter constitutes a presupposed preterite, and consequently if the context points

to a time to come, it becomes a future preterite (in Latin futurum exactum).

Examples: Dem. Mid. 10. χρη δὲ, ὅταν μὲν τίθησθε τοὺς νόμους, ὁποῖοι τινές εἰσι σκοπεῖν ἐπειδὰν δὲ θῆσθε, φυλάττειν καὶ χρῆσθαι, but when you have given them; ἐπειδὰν ἄπαντα ἀκούσητε, κρίνατε, when you shall have heard all, then judge; αὕτη ἡ παρασκευὴ διαμεῖναι δυνήσεται, ἕως ἃν περιγενώμεθα τῶν ἐχθρῶν.—διαφθερεῖ ὅ, τι ἃν λάβη. \

5. Any conditional, contingent, or uncertain expression which is expressed hypothetically, may, owing to its construction, be rendered in Greek by the *infinit*. or the *participle* with the addition of $a\nu$. This advantage of imparting the power of the *opt*. or *indicat*. with $a\nu$ to the *participle* and *infinit*. gives to the Greek a great superiority over all known languages. The *infinit*. or *participle* however can never be employed instead of the *conj*. with $a\nu$, since, according to text 3, 3, the *part*. $a\nu$ is never to be construed with the *conj*. mood, but is to be connected with certain *conjunctions* or *pronouns*, and therefore must be omitted whenever the *conjunction* or *pronoun* be omitted.

Examples: Οἰονται ἀναμαχέσασθαι ἃν συμμάχους προσλαβόντες, they think they might repair their defeat, if they had allies (ἀναμαχέσαιντ' ἃν, εἰ προσλάβοιεν, see below, A.); τἆλλα σιωπῶ, πόλλ' ἄν ἔχων εἰπεῖν, whilst I could say a great deal more. Herod. 7, 139. νῦν δὲ ᾿Αθηναίους ἄν τις λέγων, (who might say,) σωτῆρας γενέσθαι τῆς Έλλάδος οὐκ ᾶν ἐξαμάρτοι. Plat. Crit. 9. οἱ ῥαδίως ἀποκτιννύντες καὶ ἀναβιωσκόμενοί γ' ᾶν, εἰ οἶοί τ' ῆσαν, who lightly put to death, and would probably restore again to life, if they but could (ἀνεβιώσκοντ' ἂν, see 3, 2. b.)

The part. $\tilde{a}v$, if placed after the verbs $\delta o \kappa \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\iota}v$, $o \tilde{\iota} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \tilde{\iota} \zeta \epsilon \iota v$, $o \tilde{\iota} \kappa \tilde{\iota} \sigma \tau \iota$, and similar ones, imparts to the *infinit*. of the aor. the power of the *infinit*. future.

Examples: Οὐκ ἔστιν ἕνα ἄνδρα ἆν δυνηθῆναί ποτε ἄπαντα ταῦτα πρᾶξαι, it is not to be supposed that any man should ever be able to perform all this (δυνηθῆναί ποτε without ἆν means, would have performed all this); ἐδόκει ᾶν ἡμῖν ἡδέως πάντα διαπρᾶξαι, (on the position of ἆν, see Obs. 4.) it appeared to us that he would gladly perform all this. The same applies to the part. of the aor. with ἆν after such verbs as are construed with the participle instead of the infinit. See § 144, 4. a.

Obs. 4. As to the position of $a\nu$, it is to be remarked that it never can begin the sentence. But the question after which word in the sentence it is to be placed depends in many cases upon the choice of the writer, or upon the intention of rendering the uncertainty sensible either a little sooner or a little later, or in some

instances it is added to some word or other without any such reason. Thus it is frequently placed directly after the opt. or indicat., but never after the conj., for the reason quoted above in 3, 3. The part. av is likewise frequently annexed to the adverbs $\tau i \zeta$, $\pi \tilde{\omega} \zeta$, $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, and to $o i \kappa$, $o i \delta \epsilon i \zeta$, &c. not only in sentences construed with the conj., but also in such as are construed with the opt. or indicat. We have already mentioned the expressions είμαι αν, οὐκ αν οίδα, in text 3, 1. Examples of this kind are: Plato Phado 116. οἶμαι ἀν, ὡς ἐγὼ λέγω, ποιοῖς, where ἀν belongs to ποιοῖς. Tim. p. 26. b. οὖκ ἀν οἶδ' εἰ δυναίμην ἄπαντα ἐν μνήμη πάλιν λαβείν, i. e. εί δυναίμην αν, 'whether I could,' viz. if I were asked. 'Εδόκει αν ήμιν ήδέως πάντα διαπράξαι, where αν belongs to the infinit. The position of αν in sentences like the following is to be noticed, Demosth. Ol. 1, 13. (5.) Ti ov av τις εἴποι ταῦτα λέγεις ἡμῖν νῦν, where $\hat{a}\nu$, though belonging to εἴποι, is connected with τί οὖν of another sentence. Plat. Phædo p. 87. a. τί οὖν ἀν φαίη ὁ λόγος ἔτι ἀπιστεῖς, instead of τί οὖν ἀπιστεῖς, φαίη αν ὁ λ. Demosth. c. Aristocr. 680, 26. ἐκ τούτου τοῦ ψηφίσματος κυρωθέντος αν, εί μη δι' ήμας, ήδίκηντο οί βασιλεῖς, i.e. εἰ τὸ ψ. ἐκυρώθη, οἱ βασιλεῖς ἠδίκηντ' αν, (would be wronged, see below,) $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \delta i' \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ (i. e. if it were not for us, see § 150).

Obs. 5. The particle $\mathring{a}\nu$, like a negation, is often repeated twice or three times without imparting any additional strength to the sense. This is the case principally in such propositions as those in which $\mathring{a}\nu$ is combined with one of the words quoted in Obs. 4., and where $\mathring{a}\nu$ is repeated with the mood, (viz. either with the indicat. or opt.) ex. gr. $\pi \tilde{\omega} g \ \mathring{a}\nu \pi \sigma \tau' \ \mathring{a}\phi \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$ $\mathring{a}\nu - \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$ $\mathring{a}\nu + \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$ $\mathring{a}\nu + \iota \iota \iota \iota$ $\mathring{a}\nu + \iota \iota \iota$

p. 188.

6. The dependent propos. in which the moods are principally employed, are of a manifold nature. The rules respecting the moods in these propos. are not to be separated from those in simple propos., and the conj. and opt. stand therefore in dependent propos. only when their usage agrees with the general rules noted above. Hence it is a mistake to consider the moods as dependent upon the preceding particles; on the contrary, the particle is frequently modified by the following mood. We think it useful to take a short review of the different kinds of dependent propos., in order to follow up the general definition in the various instances in which the moods are applied, and to be thus enabled to see which constructions are predominating in dependent sentences, and the reason why they are made use of. We shall treat, 1.) of conditional propos., 2.) of relative propos., 3.) of propos. beginning with the particles of time, 4.) of propos. containing a reason or cause, 5.) of propos. expressing any purpose or aim, 6.) of propos. expressing conclusions, 7.) of transitive propos. with ὅτι, ὡς, that, 8.) of direct and indirect interrogative propos.

§ 139. A.—Conditional Propositions.

In every conditional propos. the condition is considered either as possible or as impossible. The possible case is either

expressed as quite *certain* and *positive*, or as the *partial* and *subjective* meaning of the speaker, or as *dependent* upon a future time and experience. This gives rise to the following cases:—

- 1. Possibility, without any mention of uncertainty, ε with the indic.: ε ε εβρόντησε, καὶ ἤστραψεν, if it has thundered, it has also lightened; ε τι ἔχεις, δὸς, if thou hast any thing, give it.
- Obs. 1. The future time is in itself always uncertain, or at least dependent upon certain conditions: hence the Greek gives in such cases the preference to the conj. mood. But ϵi with the fut. indic. is used as often as any condition in being fulfilled is either feared or hoped for by the speaker; since the mind of the latter, being affected by the probable result, keeps no more within the boundaries of mere reflection, but anticipates at once the event, as one which has been already realised: ϵx . gr. Xen. Anab. 4, 7, 3. $\tau \tilde{\eta}$ yào $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \tilde{q}$ or $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \tau \tau \tilde{\alpha}$ è $\epsilon \tau \tau \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\epsilon} \epsilon a \tau \tilde{\alpha}$ coincides ϵx . Plat. Phædo p. 107. c. δ κίνδυνος δόξειεν δr δεινός είναι, εί $\tau \iota \tilde{\gamma}$ αὐτῆς $(\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \psi v \chi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma)$ ἀμελήσει. Eurip. Hel. 1010. ἀδικοίημεν δr , εί $\mu \tilde{\eta}$ ἀποδώσω. See in particular the example in 5. below, in Eurip. (Or. 559.) and some others quoted by Krüger on the passage in Xen. quoted above.
- Obs. 2. It is, however, not against the Grecian usage of speech to omit sometimes the part. $\tilde{a}\nu$; the propos. is then merely construed with ϵi and the conj., and the case is essentially the same with the preceding one, yet with this exception, that the condition is to be considered as less dependent upon casual events, and as one which in future time is certainly going to be realised. This construction is also to be met with in Attic writers: ex. gr. Soph. Ed. R. 873. $i\beta\rho_{ij}$, $i\ell$ π 0 λ 0 ν $i\pi\epsilon\rho\pi\lambda\eta\sigma\theta\tilde{\eta}$, a condition, the fulfilling of which is likely to result from the nature of the $i\beta\rho_{ij}$ itself; ibid. 193. $i\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota$ $i\ell$ 0 $i\ell$ 1 $i\ell$ 1 $i\ell$ 2 $i\ell$ 1 $i\ell$ 2 $i\ell$ 3 $i\ell$ 4 $i\ell$ 4 $i\ell$ 5 $i\ell$ 5 $i\ell$ 6 $i\ell$ 6 $i\ell$ 7 $i\ell$ 8 $i\ell$ 9 $i\ell$ 9. Not so certain is this passage with the Epics: $i\ell$ 1. a. 340. $i\ell$ 2. 257. (see for more examples in Herm. Opusc. IV. p. 97. s.)
- 3. The condition is merely a supposition of a case, (a mere hypothesis,) which may in itself be possible, but which is to be considered in the mean time as entirely independent from the idea as to whether it will be realised in future or not: εὶ with the opt. In the conclusion, (see below, 5.) the opt. with αν is usually employed, ex. gr. εἴ τις ταῦτα πράττοι, μέγα μ' αν ωφελήσειε, if any one should do this, he would render me a great service; εἴ τις ταῦτα καθ' αὐτὰ ἐξετάσειεν, εὕροι αν, if any one should examine this, he would find.
 - Obs. 3. On the very rare and doubtful instances in good Attic prose where $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{a}\nu$ is

combined with the opt. on account of a preceding historical tense, see the general remark in Obs. 2. (after H.) The connecting of $\dot{t}\dot{a}\nu$ (as coming from $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{t}\,\dot{a}\nu$) with the opt. would make one condition dependent upon another; or with other words, would point out the improbability of what has been stated in the preceding conditional propos.; as is the case, for instance, in Xen. Hipparch. 7, 4. $\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\mu}\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{\tau}\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ $\eta\tau a\iota$ $\dot{t}\pi\dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\tau}\dot{a}$ $\nu a\nu\tau\iota\dot{\kappa}\dot{a}$ — $\tau\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\tau}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{$

- 4. Impossibility or unbelief, or, in general, where a case is imagined, of which it is presupposed that it does not exist. In this case the imperf. without av is usually employed for the present time, and the conclusion in the second part of the propos. is expressed by the imperf. with av, (compare § 139, 3. 2. b.) ex. gr. εί τι είχεν, εδίδου αν, if he had any thing, he would give it. Here the idea is necessarily in the mind of the speaker, but he has nothing. When the case refers to the time past, si with the indic. of the aor, is used, and in the conclusion the aor. with αν: ex. gr. εἴ τι ἔσχεν, ἔδωκεν αν, if he had had any thing, he would have given it. But the two sentences may refer to dissimilar times, ex. gr. εἰ ἐπείσθην, οὐκ ἂν ηρρώστουν, had I obeyed, (complied with advice,) I should not now be ill.—It follows of course that a proposition, which, if not hypothetical, would be expressed in the perfect, is in this case rendered by the plusqp., and the present by the imperf.; ex. gr. Demosth. Ol. 3. p. 32. εὶ γὰο αὐτάρκη τὰ ψηφίσματα ῆν, οὐκ ἂν Φίλιππος τοσούτον ύβρίκει χρόνον.
- Obs. 4. When the conclusion refers to the past, but is at the same time to convey the idea of duration, it is rendered by the imperf. with ∂v , ex. gr. Herod. 7, 139. καὶ οὕτω ∂v ∂v
- 5. The sentence containing the conclusion is not confined to any definite construction, because sentences of this class are to be considered as independent or simple propositions. The opt. with a_{ν} therefore in independent propos. may just as well follow after a_{ν} with the indic. as after a_{ν} with the conj.; and on the other hand, the conclusion, expressed by the indic. with or without a_{ν} , may equally well follow after a_{ν} with the opt.

Examples: Plat. Apol. p. 25. b. πολλή ἄν τις εὐδαιμονία εἴη περὶ τοὺς νέους, εἰ εἶς μὲν μόνος αὐτοὺς διαφθείρει, οἱ δ' ἄλλοι ἀφελοῦσιν. Compare Hipp. Min. p. 364. a.—Eurip. Or. 559, εἰ γὰρ γυναῖκες ἐς τόδ'

ήζουσιν θράσους ἄνδρας φονεύειν, καταφυγὰς ποιούμεναι ἐς τέκνα,—παρ' οὐδὲν αὐταῖς ἦν ἃν ὀλλύναι πόσεις.— ibid. 1130. εὶ μὲν γὰρ εἰς γυναῖκα σωφρονεστέραν ξίφος μεθεῖμεν, δυσκλεὴς ἃν ἦν φθόνος.—Plat. Prot. p. 334. b. οἶον καὶ ὁ κόπρος,—εὶ δ' ἐθέλοις ἐπὶ τοὺς πτόρθους καὶ τοὺς νέους κλῶνας ἐπιβάλλειν, πάντα ἀπόλλυσιν.—Xen. Anab. 5, 1, 9. ἐὰν οὖν κατὰ μέρος φυλάττωμεν καὶ σκοπῶμεν, ἦττον δύναιντ' ἃν ἡμᾶς θηρᾶν οἱ πολέμιοι; (the latter example is taken from a speech most instructive respecting conditional sentences.) The fourth case alone (see text 4.) is of that kind where the first part of the proposition and its conclusion stand in a reciprocal relation to each other; the mere indic. therefore (without $\mathring{a}\nu$) stands usually only in such cases as we noticed in § 139. Obs. 3.

B.—Propositions beginning with pronouns relative.

1. The adverbial pronouns, or the relative adv. of place, (ōv, $\~v\pi o v$, &c.) of time, ($\~v\iota \kappa a$, &c.) of manner, ($\~v\iota \kappa a$, &c.) are of course to be reckoned among the pronouns relative. These relative propositions (which must be well distinguished from indirect interrogative propos. in section H.) are either construed like independent propos., or, when considered as dependent ones, the same constructions as are already enumerated in conditional propos. are to be attended to.

2. We therefore only observe that 1.) propositions with the indic. either refer in a specified manner to a preceding pron. demonstrative, whether it be expressed or merely understood, or they are of a more general nature, (beginning with ὅστις, οστισοῦν,) in which case they correspond with the Latin propos. construed with quicunque; 2.) that in propos. with the conj., av is always joined to the pron. relative, and then the sentence is always of a general character; 3.) that in propos. with the opt., av is either omitted, in which case they are also of a general character, or they are intercalated sentences belonging to an oblique speech, (see Obs. 4.) or av is added, and then it forms that mood which we have mentioned above, (or it may have originated in the change of the conj. into that of the opt., see Obs. 4.) 4.) that the historical tenses in the indic. with $a\nu$ are used wherever they would be employed in a simple propos. All these different cases will be easily understood by the following examples :-

Examples: Λέξω ἃ ἤκουσα.—Xen. Anab. 6, 5, 6. ἔθαπτον, ὁπόσους ἐπελάμβανεν ἡ στρατιά; id. Cyr. 3, 2, 26. δώσω, ὅσον τις δήποτε ἔδωκε.—Eurip. Iph. T. 39. θύω γὰρ, δς ἂν κατέλθη τήνδε γῆν Ἑλλην

ἀνήρ.— Troad. 1031. νόμον δὲ τόνδε ταῖς ἄλλαισι θὲς γυναιξὶ, θνήσκειν, ήτις ἀν προδῷ πόσιν.— Xen. Anab. 2, 5, 32, οἱ ἱππεῖς, διὰ τοῦ πεδίου ἐλαὐνοντες, ῷτινι ἐντυγχάνοιεν Ἑλληνι, πάντας ἔκτεινον, (compare below C. Obs. 2.)—Hell. 2, 1, 32. Λύσανδρος, Φιλοκλέα ἐρωτήσας, δς τοὺς ᾿Ανδρίους κατακρημνίσειε, (a fictitious case,) τί εἴη ἄξιος παθεῖν, ἀπέσφαξεν, (sc. τὸν Φ.)—Cyr. 2, 1, 4. βουλευσόμεθα, ὅπως ἀν ἄριστα ἀγωνιζοίμεθα, how we might fight in the most advantageous manner. — Eur. Hel. 815. μι ἔστιν ἐλπὶς, ἢ μόνη σωθεῖμεν ἀν, (see for more examples in Matthiæ's Gram. § 528.)—Xen. Anab. 7, 6, 26. Ἡμῖν δὲ ὑπλιτικὸν μὲν ἢν, ῷ Ἰσως ἀν ἐδυνάμεθα σῖτον λαμβάνειν οὐδέν τι ἄφθονον.

Obs. 1. The usage of adding $\mathring{a}\nu$ to the particles relative is, as far as Attic prose is concerned, beyond doubt; but the Epics use far more frequently the mere conj., ex. gr. Il. a. 229. $\mathring{\eta}$ πολὺ λώϊόν ἐστι $-\delta \tilde{\omega} \rho$ ἀποαιρεῖσθαι ὅστις σέθεν ἀντίον εἴπη. The tragic writers sometimes imitate this mode of construction, (see A. Obs. 2.) ex. gr. Soph. Trach. 251. τοῦ λόγου δ' οὐ χρ $\mathring{\eta}$ φθόνον, γυν $\mathring{\eta}$, προσεῖναι, Ζεὺς ὅτου πράκτωρ φαν $\mathring{\eta}$; yet not without sufficient reason, since the question is here of a definite object.

Obs. 2. We meet very frequently with the future indic. where in Latin the conj. must be used, in relative propos., which express an aim or purpose, or wherein the meaning is, that something ought or may be done; ex. gr. Xen. Hell. 2, 3, 2. ξδοξε τῷ δήμω τριάκοντα ἄνδρας ἐλέσθαι, οι τοὺς πατρίους νόμους ξυγγράψουσι, καθ' οὺς πολιτεύσουσι.—Αnab. 7, 6, 24. ἀγορᾶ δὲ ἐχρῆσθε σπάνια ἔχοντες, ὅτων ώνήσεσθε.—In the same way we meet after negative sentences with the indic., where in Latin the conj. must be used. Hell. 6. 1, 4, (5.) παρ' ἐμοὶ οὐδεἰς μισθοφορεῖ, ὅστις μὴ ἰκανός ἐ στιν ἴσα πονεῖν ἐμοί.

Obs. 3. In certain modes of speech, the *imperat*, may be also used after particles relative; ex. gr. $older{l}$ $older{$

third pers.: οἶσθα νῦν ἄ μοι γενέσθω. Eurip.

Obs. 4. For the use of the mere conj, and other moods in indirect questions see below H. 2; for the opt, with $\hat{a}\nu$ after historical tenses in the principal propos, and for the opt, and indic, in intercalated sentences, in the middle of an oblique speech, see the general remark (after H.) in Obs. 2. and 3.; for the inf, after $particles\ relat$, see § 141. Obs.; for the fut, with κ è after $particles\ relat$, in Epic writers, see § 139, 3, 1.

C.—Propositions beginning with the particles of time.

1. Particles of this kind are: $\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\ddot{\sigma}\tau_{\mathcal{E}}$, $\dot{\delta}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\imath$, $\ddot{\epsilon}m\varepsilon$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\xi$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\xi$, $\ddot{\epsilon}v$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\eta\nu$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\varepsilon$; the Epic $\ddot{\eta}\mu\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\ddot{\sigma}\phi\rho\alpha$, $\dot{\epsilon}\ddot{\nu}\tau\varepsilon$, and others. Their construction agrees essentially with that of relative propositions, these particles partaking for the most part of a relative nature. We shall therefore limit our observations to some particular instances, and quote examples for common use. The construction with the conj adds again $\ddot{a}\nu$ to the particles of time, with the only exception of $\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$, which in this combination signifies either as, when, or it tends to express an aim or purpose. See below.

Examples: Οι πολέμιοι, ώς εἶδον τοὺς Μήδους, ἔστησαν.—Xen. Anab.

3, 1, 9. εἶπε δὲ ὅτι, ἐπειδὰν τάχιστα ἡ στρατεία λήξη, εὐθὺς ἀποπέμψει αὐτόν.—ibid. 3, 5, 18. παρήγγειλαν, ἐπειδὴ δειπνήσειαν συνεσκευασμένους πάντας ἀναπαύεσθαι, καὶ ἕπεσθαι ἡνίκ' ἄν τις παραγγείλη.—Plat. Phæd. p. 101. d. εἰ δέ τις αὐτῆς τῆς ὑποθέσεως ἔχοιτο, χαίρειν ἐψης ἃν καὶ οὐκ ἀποκρίναιο, ἕως ἂν τὰ ἀπ' ἐκείνης ὑρμηθέντα σκέψαιο.

Obs. 1. That Homer omits very frequently $\mathring{a}\nu$ in propos. construed with the conj., corresponds with the observation we have made with regard to the particles relative and the particles expressing a condition; ex. gr. $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda'$ ὅτε γηρ $\mathring{a}\kappa\omega$ σι πόλιν κ $\mathring{a}\tau a$ $\mathring{\phi}\mathring{v}\lambda'$ $\mathring{a}\nu\theta$ ρ $\mathring{\omega}\pi\omega\nu$, Od. o. 408. and in many other passages. For examples in later writers and their interpretation see Herm. Op. IV. p. 103.

Obs. 2. The opt. is usually employed with the particles, conveying the idea of repetition, especially with $\delta\pi\delta\tau\epsilon$; this is likewise the case when there is any mention of specified facts; in such instances we meet far more rarely with the indic. The part. $\delta\pi\delta\tau a\nu$ is used with the conj. (but Homer may, according to Obs. 1., use the conj. with $\delta\pi\delta\tau\epsilon$.) The reason for this combination lies of course in the moods, since, for instance, in $\delta\pi\delta\tau\epsilon$ of $\pi\delta\lambda\mu\nu$ is $\epsilon\pi\theta\nu\nu$, $\epsilon\pi\theta\nu\nu$, the approach of the enemy is here to be conceived as the subjunctive motive of repeated retreat, though the retreat itself has been already stated as a fact. But when we read for instance, $\delta\pi\delta\tau\nu$ or $\delta\tau\nu$ or $\delta\tau\nu$

Obs. 3. "E $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, Epic $\mathring{o}\phi\rho\alpha$, with the indic. expresses the idea of duration, during which something happens, and signifies in this case, so long as, or, when used to express a momentary action, till. "E $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ a with the conj. ($\mathring{\epsilon}\sigma\tau'$ a $\mathring{\epsilon}\nu$, Epic $\mathring{\epsilon}i\sigma\acute{\kappa}\epsilon$ or $\mathring{\epsilon}ig$ $\mathring{\sigma}\tau$ $\kappa\epsilon$) also signifies till, but with this distinction from $\mathring{\epsilon}\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ with the mere opt., that by the former a limit is set to the principal action by the introduction of another, whilst by the latter the acting subject itself sets a limit to the principal action. Attentive reading will furnish the student with a sufficient number of examples. For an

example with the opt. and $\partial \nu$ after $\omega \zeta$ see text 1.

Obs. 4. The particle $\pi\rho i\nu$ or $\pi\rho i\nu$ $\hat{\eta}$ (Epic $\pi \acute{a}\rho o\varsigma$), before, the very opposite to the foregoing part., is construed with the accus. with the inf., but admits likewise of the construction with a definite mood. It is difficult to point out accurately the difference between these two constructions, since Homer, for instance, combines the conj. or opt. and the infinit. in one and the same sentence, without any essential distinction; ex. gr. Il. ϱ . 504. Od. β . 373. $\pi \acute{a}\varrho o\varsigma$ alone, as conjunction, is always construed with the inf., and $\pi \rho i\nu$ seems to give the preference to the indic., in the case of distinct facts being quoted, which have already taken place: (Od. δ . 180. Soph. Ed. T. 775.) whilst the inf. and conj. are principally used whenever there is any allusion to future events. For an example with the opt. (where the inf. might have been used equally well,) see Il. ϕ . 580. and for the opt. with $\mathring{a}\nu$ see Xen. Hell. 2, 3, 48.

D.—Propositions expressing any cause or reason.

1. Besides some particles of time, as $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\epsilon}$, $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}\delta\hat{\eta}$, which may likewise convey the idea of reason or cause, there are the conj. $\ddot{\delta}\tau\iota$, $\delta\iota\acute{\delta}\tau\iota$, $\delta\dot{\iota}\acute{\delta}\tau\iota$, $\delta\dot{\iota}\acute{\delta}\tau\iota$, $\delta\dot{\iota}\acute{\delta}\tau\iota$, o $\ddot{\imath}\nu\epsilon\kappa a$, which belong to this section. They are construed either with the indic. when any reason or cause is to be represented as a fact; or with the opt. when the former is to

be stated as merely existing in the mind of the speaker. We therefore meet with the latter *mood* for the most part only in a narration.

- 2. The conj. cannot be construed with these particles; for every sentence containing the reason (even when it refers to the future) of the action expressed in the principal proposition, stands to the latter in a relation on which experience and time have already completely decided. For when I say, for instance: "I write now, because he will soon be here," it is just the same as if I said: "because I know he will soon be here."
- 3. The opt. with $a\nu$, and the historical tenses with $a\nu$, are but modifications of sentences expressed by the *indic.*, and are therefore sufficiently explained by what we said in § 139, 3.

Examples will be furnished by attentive reading. For an example of the *indic*, with $a\nu$ see Il. o. 228; of the *opt*, with $a\nu$ see Aristoph. Plut. 120.

E.—Propositions expressing any aim or purpose.

1. The conjunctions denoting purpose or design are ως, "iva, (Epic $\mathring{o}\phi \rho a$,) and $\mu \mathring{\eta}$, to which we may also reckon $\mathring{o}\pi \omega c$, when it is to be rendered by that; this is the case when it stands after certain verbs which signify to exhort, to be afraid of, to endeavour, to be cautious, ex. gr. παρακαλείν, φυλάττεσθαι, δράν, ἐπιμέλεσθαι, μέλει μοι, πράττειν, &c. Their usual construction is with the conj., even after historical tenses, whenever any purpose is not distinctly to be represented as the intention of the subject. Nothing, however, is more usual than that the conj. is alternately employed with the indic. fut.; the same as was the case with the part. relative, when they imply any aim or purpose, (see B. Obs. 2.) ex. gr. Thuc. 2, 3. ξυνελέγοντο-ὅπως μή διὰ τῶν όδῶν φανεροί ὧσιν ἰόντες, &c.-3, 4. ἔπρασσον, ύπως τις βοήθεια ήξει.-1, 56. ἔπρασσεν ὅπως πόλεμος γένηται. 1, 65. ξυνεβούλευε τοῖς ἄλλοις ἐκπλεῦσαι, ὅπως ὁ σῖτος ἀντίσχη. Xen. Cyrop. 4, 1, 18. ὅρα, μὴ πολλῶν ἑκάστω ἡμῶν καὶ ὀφθαλμῶν καὶ χειρών δεήσει.

Obs. 1. The elliptical construction of $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega\varsigma$ is here to be noticed, since the verb, which denotes exhortation, is to be supplied, and the sentence with $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega\varsigma$ stands thus in the place of a strengthened imperat. In this case, too, the part. $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega\varsigma$ is either construed with the fut. indic, or with the mere conj.: ex. gr. Xen. Anab. 1, 7, 3. $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega\varsigma$ ξοεσθε $\ddot{\sigma}\nu$ ξοες $\ddot{\sigma}$ ξιοι $\tau \ddot{\eta}\varsigma$ ξλευθερίας, show yourselves truly men, &c. Æsch. Prom. 68. $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega\varsigma$ μ $\dot{\eta}$ σαυτὸν οἰκτιεῖς π οτε. Xen. Cyrop. 4, 1, 16. $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega\varsigma$ μ $\dot{\eta}$ ἀναγκάσωμεν αὐτοὺς, ἀγαθοὺς γενέσθαι $\ddot{\tau}$.

¹ In consequence of remarks, which were however of too narrow a compass,

- 2. With regard to the use of $\delta\pi\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$, and $\mathfrak{l}\nu a$, it is further to be remarked, that $\delta\pi\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$, in the construction with the conj., frequently take $\tilde{a}\nu$, whilst $\tilde{l}\nu a$ in the signification of in order to (German damit) stands without $\tilde{a}\nu$; hence $\tilde{l}\nu$ $\tilde{a}\nu$ can only mean ubicunque. Again, $\delta\pi\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$, as particles denoting purpose or design, can only be construed with the indic. fut., whilst $\tilde{l}\nu a$ with the fut. only signifies where. For examples see Herm. Op. IV. p. 121.
- 3. All these conjunctions may of course, when coming after historical tenses, be likewise construed with the opt., ex. gr. Xen. Laced. 2, 2. ἔδωκεν αὐτῷ μαστιγοφόρους, ὅπως τιμωφοίη (τοὺς παῖδας), εἰ δέοι. Plat. Rep. 3.- p. 393. Ε. ἀπιέναι δὲ ἐκέλευε (τὸν Χρύσην) καὶ μὴ ἐρεθίζειν, ἵνα σῶς οἴκαδε ἔλθοι, or after another opt., see Xen. Cyr. 1, 4, 25.
- Obs. 2. We have seen in text 1. that propos. expressing design and purpose may be construed with the conj. after historical tenses; in the same way it is to be explained from the nature of the opt. when $\ddot{o}\pi\omega\varsigma$ or $\ddot{i}\nu\alpha$ are construed with the opt. after principal tenses; ex. gr. Xen. Anab. 1, 6, 9. $\sigma \nu\mu\beta \nu\nu\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$ τὸν ἄνδρα τοῦτον $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\pi\sigma\delta\dot{\omega}\nu$ ποιεῖσθαι $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ τάχιστα, $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ $\mu\eta\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\tau$ ι δέοι τοῦτον φυλάττεσθαι, ἀλλὰ σχολή $\dot{\epsilon}\ddot{i}\eta$ ήμῖν.
- Obs. 3. When $\partial \nu$ is annexed to $\ddot{\nu}\pi\omega\varsigma$ or $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ with the opt., the same mood is then formed, which we noticed in § 139, 3, 4. Thus, for instance, $\dot{\phi}\iota\lambda\omega\nu$ $\ddot{\phi}\epsilon\tau\sigma$ $\delta\epsilon\bar{\iota}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ συνεργούς $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\sigma\iota$, he thought to be in need of friends, so as to have assistants. (Anab. 1, 9, 21.) But Cyrop. 4, 2, 29. Κροῖσος τὰς γυναῖκας προαπεπέμψατο τῆς νυκτὸς, $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu$ $\dot{\rho}\ddot{q}$ ον πορεύοιντο, that they might travel in an easier manner; ibid. 1, 2, 10. αὐτός τε θηρ \ddot{q} , καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἐπιμελεῖται, ὅπως ἀν θηρ \ddot{q} εν, that they might be able to hunt. Compare Cyrop. 3, 1, 1. Il. τ . 331. Eurip. Iph. T. 1025, &c. and the general remark in Obs. 2. (after H.)
- 4. Lastly, when the meaning is to be conveyed, that any purpose or object would have been gained, if something had happened otherwise than it has, the *indic*. of an *historical tense* with $a\nu$ ought to be employed according to rule; but the general usage is to omit $a\nu$ in this case.

Examples: Soph. Œd. T. 1392. τί μ' οὐ λαβὼν ἔκτεινας εὐθὺς, ὡς ε̈δειξα μήποτε, ἔνθεν ἦν γεγώς; that I might never have discovered whence I came; and shortly before: ἵν' ἦν τυφλός τε καὶ κλύων μηδέν.

Dawes had laid down as a rule that the particles $\ddot{o}\pi\omega_{\zeta}$ and $o\dot{v}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ never are construed in good Attic writers with the conj. aor. 1. act. and med., but constantly with the fut. indic. This rule was found to be frequently confirmed by MSS., and in consequence many passages have been altered in modern editions, even without the authority of any codex, the alteration being but trifling, σy_{ζ} into $\sigma \epsilon \iota \zeta$, $\sigma \omega \mu \nu$ into $\sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$, &c. But it was soon discovered that there would be no end to correcting, and that there are passages which are not so easily mended, as for instance, Eur. Troad. 445. $\ddot{o}\pi\omega_{\zeta}\gamma\eta\mu\dot{\omega}\mu\dot{\omega}\theta$. Plat. Rep. p. 609. b. où $\gamma\dot{a}\rho$ $\tau\dot{o}\gamma\dot{c}$ $\dot{a}\gamma a\theta \dot{o}\nu$ $\mu\dot{\eta}\pi\sigma r\dot{c}$ $\tau\dot{c}$ $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\dot{o}\dot{k}\dot{c}\eta$. This rule laid down by Dawes, and the subsequent corrections, have therefore been abandoned.

F.—Propositions expressing any inference or conclusion.

- 1. The part. $\omega_{\sigma\tau\epsilon}$, expressing the idea of an inference or a conclusion, is compounded of $\hat{\omega}_{\varsigma}$ and $\tau\hat{\epsilon}$, and means literally and thus, in which case it begins the sentence and corresponds completely with the Latin itaque, ex. gr. Xen. Anab. 1, 7, 7. " $\Omega_{\sigma\tau\epsilon}$, in the signification of so that, may be construed with the indic. of all tenses, whenever so that coincides in signification with and thus, i. e. when any result is represented as one not being intended, but mentioned merely as a fact.
- 2. " $\Omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ (for which $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ is also used) is however construed with the *infin*, whenever any result is to be conceived as one which has been *intended*.
- 3. That $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau_{\varepsilon}$ may also be construed with the *opt*. and $\mathring{a}\nu$, or with the *historical* tenses and $\mathring{a}\nu$, (for which latter the *inf*. may be likewise used,) instead of the *indic*., follows of course from the general definition given in § 139, 3.

Examples: Xen. Anab. 2, 2, 17. Οι δε κραυγήν πολλήν εποίουν καλούντες άλλήλους, ώστε και τους πολεμίους άκούειν (an intended purpose); ώστε οἱ μὲν ἐγγύτατα τῶν πολεμίων καὶ ἔφυγον ἐκ τῶν σκηνωμάτων (a circumstance which follows as a fact from the preceding intended result, viz., that the enemy had heard the noise). Sometimes the design or purpose is not made equally apparent by the construction of the inf.; ex. gr. 3, 3, 14. τοῖς θεοῖς χάριν, ὅτι οὐ σὺν πολλῆ ρώμη, άλλα συν όλίγοις ήλθον ώστε βλάψαι μεν μή μεγάλα, δηλωσαι δέ, ων δεόμεθα. It will, however, be easily remarked, even in this construction, that the writer wishes to represent the connexion between cause and effect as one which has been intended. Memor. 3, 1, 9. 'Αλλ' οὐκ ἐδίδαξεν' ὤστε αὐτοὺς ἂν ἡμᾶς δέοι τούς τε ἀγαθοὺς καὶ τοὺς κακούς κρίνειν. Ages. 1, 26. πάντες πολεμικά ὅπλα κατεσκεύαζον, ώστε την πόλιν όντως η γήσω αν πολέμου έργαστήριον είναι. Thuc. 2, 49. τὰ δὲ ἐντὸς οὕτως ἐκάετο ώστε μηδὲ γυμνοὶ ἀνέχεσθαι, ήδιστά τε αν ἐς ύδωρ ψυχρόν σφᾶς αὐτοὺς ρίπτειν.

Obs. 1. The relation between cause and effect, never being uncertain nor holding out any prospect of future decision, is the reason why $\H{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ can never be construed with the conj., even when the proposition expressing conclusion contains the future tense; this is again easily to be understood from the remark we made in section

D. 2.; and still less does this relation admit of the part. $\tilde{a}\nu$ being immediately connected with $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\tau\varepsilon$, since it is the nature of this relation to be conceived as one quite independent of any contingent condition. For the same reason the mere opt. is quite inadmissible, and one instance as in Xen. Ec. 1, 13: ε i $\tau\iota\varsigma$ $\chi\varrho\tilde{\psi}\tau\sigma$ $\tau\tilde{\psi}$ $a\rho\gamma\nu\varrho\iota\psi$, $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\varepsilon$ $\kappa\dot{\alpha}\kappa\iota\upsilon\nu$ $\tau\dot{\upsilon}$ $\sigma\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha$ $\ddot{\varepsilon}$ χ υ ι $-\pi\tilde{\omega}\varsigma$ $a\nu$ $\ddot{\varepsilon}\tau\iota$ $\tau\dot{\upsilon}$ $a\rho\gamma\dot{\upsilon}\varrho\iota\upsilon\nu$ $a\dot{\upsilon}\tau\tilde{\psi}$ $a\dot{\upsilon}$ $a\dot{\upsilon}$

Obs. 2. n H ώστε (less frequently $\mathring{\eta}$ ώς) after comparatives is usually construed with the inf.: νεώτεροί εἰσιν $\mathring{\eta}$ ώστε εἰδέναι οἵων πατέρων ἐστήρηνται, they are too young to be able to know, &c. The indic. with $\mathring{a}v$: Anab. 1, 5, 8: θ \tilde{a} ττον $\mathring{\eta}$ ώς τις

ầν ῷετο.

G.—Transitive propositions after the verbs to say, &c.

1. The conjunction that after the verbs to say, &c. is rendered in Greek either by the construction of the accus. with inf. (also frequently by that of the participle) or by means of the conj. $\ddot{\sigma}\iota$, $\dot{\omega}c$, poet. $\ddot{\sigma}\upsilon$ eka, $\dot{\sigma}\theta\dot{\sigma}\upsilon$ eka. The mere opt. is combined with these conj. whenever the sentence, introduced by $\ddot{\sigma}\iota$, &c. is to be represented as the idea or notion of the speaking or writing individual, otherwise the indic. is used, or those moods representing it (viz. opt. with $\ddot{a}\nu$; or the historical tenses with $\ddot{a}\nu$). The conjunctive mood can never be used after these conj. for the same reason which we observed in the section of the part. denoting any cause or reason.

Εχαπρίες: Πάντες ὁμολογοῦσιν, ὡς ἡ ἀρετὴ κράτιστόν ἐστι.—Anab. 4, 5, 10. αὖται ἠρώτων αὐτοὺς, τίνες εἶεν' ὁ δὲ ἑρμηνεὺς εἶπε, ὅτι παρὰ βασιλέως πορεύοιντο' αἱ δὲ ἀπεκρίναντο, ὅτι εἴη, &c. Or with the indic. and opt. alternately (ibid. 2, 1, 3). οὖτοι ἔλεγον, ὅτι Κῦρος μὲν τέθνηκεν, ᾿Αριαῖος δὲ πεφευγὼς εἴη, &c.; and the opt. with ἄν: ibid.—καὶ λέγοι, ὅτι περιμείνειεν ἂν αὐτοὺς, &c. Compare Cyrop. 1, 6, 3. 1, 3, 13.

Obs. 1. That the subject of the dependent sentence is frequently put in an oblique case in the principal one will be explained in § 151. I. 6. We have but to add, that expressions like the following: it is known, manifest, concealed, it is said, reported, are frequently construed in a personal manner; ex. gr. Xen. Cyrop. 1, 4, 2. ὁ Κῦρος δῆλος ἦν ὅτι ὑπερεφοβεῖτο; though more usually with the participle, δῆλος ἦν ἐπιθυμῶν, σπεύδων, &c. (Anab.) ἐξηγγέλθη τὴν "Ολυνθον πολιορκῶν, &c. See § 144, 5. a. and § 151. I. 7.

Obs. 2. The German conj. dass (that) may be rendered also by ὅτε als (when) after the verbs to remember, to hear; ex. gr. Thuc. 2, 21. μεμνημένοι καὶ Πλειστο-άνακτα, ὅτε εἰσβαλὼν ἀπεχώρησε πάλιν: literally, remembering the time, when Pl.

&c.; compare Il. o. 18.

Obs. 3. The German conj. dass (that) may also be rendered by ϵl , after the verbs $\theta av\mu \acute{a} \zeta \omega$, I wonder, $\dot{a} \gamma a\pi \acute{a} \omega$, I am content, $ai \sigma \chi \acute{v} \nu o\mu a\iota$, I am ashamed, and some other verbs denoting the affections of the mind; since the Attics avoided speaking in a positive manner even when making the most positive assertions. This ϵl , however, can only be properly translated by dass (that) when it is construed with the indic. Xen. Cyrop. 4, 5, 20. οὐδὲν θαυμάζω, ϵl Κυαξάρης ὀκνεῖ περὶ ἡμῶν. Demosth. Mid. 29. οὐκ ἡσχύνθη εἰ τοιοῦτο κακὸν ἐπάγει τψ, that he brought such a

misfortune upon somebody. Æsch. c. Ctes. p. 537. R. οὐκ ἀγαπᾶ εἰ μὴ δίκην ἔδωκεν, that he remained unpunished. The same after χαλεπῶς φέρω. Xen. Cyr. 5, 5, 12.

Obs. 4. "Οτι stands in a pleonastic manner when the very words of an individual are quoted; ex. gr. Xen. Cyrop. 5, 2, 9. ὁ Κῦρος ἀπεκρίνατο, ὅτι, ἔφη, ὧ Γωβρύα, οἴομαι, &c.

H.—Direct and indirect interrogative propositions.

2. Indirect interrogative propos. are introduced either by the part. $\epsilon i (\hat{\eta} \nu)$, whether, $\epsilon i \mu \hat{\eta}$, whether not, (double questions by $\epsilon''_{17\epsilon}$ — $\epsilon''_{17\epsilon}$, $\pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu - \mathring{\eta}$,) or by the indirect interrogative pronouns or adv. pron.: ὅστις, ὅπου, ὅπως, ὁπηλίκος; in lieu of which the direct interrog. pron. τίς, ποῦ, &c. are also very frequently employed. The construction of these sentences agrees with that of dependent propos., and is therefore in its material points explained, by what we said in the preceding sections. We have but to add, that in the construction with the conj., av is not to be referred to the interrog. part., as was the case with the part. relative. But the Greek makes use of this construction only after negative propos., so that this conj. is nothing else but the conj. deliberativus, explained in § 139, 1, 1, which will be seen by the following examples: Soph. Œd. R. 1367. οὐκ οἶδ' όπως σε φῶ βεβουλεῦσθαι καλῶς. Xen. Cyrop. 8, 4, 16 (8). τὰ δε εκπώματα, έφη, οὐκ οἶδ', εἰ Χρυσάντα τούτω δω. Eurip. Or. 713. κουκέτ' είσιν έλπίδες, υπη τραπόμενος θάνατον φύγω.

Obs. After affirmative propos, either the indic. (or the mood representing it) is used or the opt.; the latter principally after historical tenses 1. Attentive reading will furnish sufficient examples. Respecting the indic. see the following Obs.

¹ That we sometimes meet with the conj. also after affirmative sentences, cannot appear strange, since there are certain affirmative modes of speaking, where the conj. dubitativus may be admissible; ex. gr. in double questions: Æsch. Prom. 779. έλου γάρ, η τὰ λοιπά σοι φράσω, η τὸν ἐκλύσοντ' ἐμέ. Comp. Il. ν. 742. σ. 307. ι. 702. Herod. 1, 53. Κροῖσος ἐνετέλλετο ἐπειρωτὰν τὰ χρηστήρια, εἰ στρ ατεύη ται ἐπὶ Πέρσας Κροῖσος, whether he was to risk a veur against the Persians; yet afterwards we meet with: καὶ εἴ τινα στρατὸν προσθέοιτο σύμμαχον: this being a simple question: whether in case the god advised to declare war, he should try to get allies. Hither belongs also the seeming independent αἴ κε οτ εἴ κε with the conj. in Homer; ex. gr. Il. ε. 279. α. 420. αἴ κε τύχωμι, πίθηται.

General observations on the use of the moods in dependent propositions.

Obs. 1. The rule, that the conj. stands after principal tenses, the opt. after historical, has been found confirmed, though only in a very general manner. The changing, therefore, of a principal tense (in a simple or independent propos.) into an historical tense, must greatly influence and modify the moods in dependent propos. : for instance, in all cases where grammar distinguishes between the construction with the conj. and that with the opt., we shall find that the former will be more readily combined with a principal tense, the latter more readily with an historical one. Ex. gr. the sentence: καὶ, ἐάν τι ἔχωμεν, δώσομεν, when made dependent upon ἐκέλευσε would be changed into : καὶ ἡμᾶς, εἴ τι ἔχοιμεν, ἐκ. δοῦναι. Or the example from Xen. Anab. 2, 5. in B. 2, with the change into a principal tense: οί ίππεῖς, ὅτφ ἀν τυγχάνωσιν "Ελληνι, πάντας κτείνουσι. Or that from Anab. 3, 5, 18, in C. 1. παραγγέλλει άναπαύεσθαι, ἐπειδὰν δειπνήσωσι. Thus says Isæus de Aristarchi her. 17. οἱ μὲν, ὅταν περὶ χρημάτων οὐστυχῶσι, τοὺς σφετέρους αὐτῶν παῖδας εἰς ἐτέρους οἰκίους εἰσποιοῦσιν, ἵνα μὴ μετάσχωσι τῆς τοῦ πατρὸς ἀτιμίας. οὖτοι δὲ ἄρα εἰς ὑπόχρεων οὐσίαν καὶ οἰκόθεν εἰσεποίουν σφᾶς αὐτοὺς, Ίνα καὶ τὰ ὑπάρχοντα προσαπολέσειαν. However, since the use of the opt. does not depend alone upon the preceding tense, but chiefly upon the notion and idea which the writer intends to convey, we meet with a great many instances in which, for example, the construction with the conj. after historical tenses is left unchanged. This is principally the case with the particles denoting purpose or design (see E. 1, 2.) and with $\mu \dot{\eta}$ after the verbs to be afraid, &c. (see § 148, 4.) And just as frequently the particles ἐἀν, ὅστις ἀν, ὅπου ἀν, ἐπειδάν are used with the conj. in a narration, principally in an oblique speech, when in the direct speech the same construction would be used; ex. gr. Xen. Hell. 2, 1, 24. Λύσανδρος τὰς ναῦς ἐκέλευσεν ἕπεσθαι ἐπειδὰν δὲ ἐκβῶσι, ἀποπλεῖν. Only those propos. which commence with "\u03c4\in, \u03c4\ig (that) and the dependent interrog. sentences are not readily to be met with, containing the mere opt, without $\hat{a}\nu$, when dependent upon a principal tense (see G. and H.).

Obs. 2. We have observed, respecting the part. av, that it is frequently found in dependent propos, construed with the conj., whilst the opt. does not admit of it. But it is by no means unfrequent that, whilst the part. $\partial \nu$ in propos. construed with the conj. is intimately connected with the conjunction or pronoun, the opt., after the same propos. has undergone the change into this mood, retains nevertheless the part. av with the conjunction or pronoun, without, however, forming on that account that mood which we mentioned in § 139, 3, 4. (We would advise the beginner to consider in the mean while such and similar instances as exceptions to the general rule.) Hence we not unfrequently meet with instances of $\delta\sigma\tau\iota\varsigma$ $\mathring{a}\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon i\delta\dot{\alpha}\nu$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\omega_{S}$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu$, $\pi\rho i\nu$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu$, $\dot{\sigma}\pi\sigma\nu$, $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega_{S}$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu$, nay, even $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\alpha\nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\nu$ with the opt. mood; ex. gr. Xen. Cyrop. 5, 5. init. ἐπέστελλεν αὐτῷ ήκειν, ὅπως σύμβουλον γίγνοιτο, ό, τι αν δοκοίη πράττειν. Απαδ. 7, 5, 8. ἐμέμνητο, ώς, ἐπειδάν ἐπὶ θάλατταν ἀπέλθοι, παραδώσοι αὐτῷ Βισάνθην. ibid. 7, 7, 57. ἐδέοντο μὴ άπελθεῖν, πρὶν ᾶν ἀπαγάγοι τὸ στράτευμα καὶ Θίμβρωνι παραδοίη. Demosth. Mid. 5. την παρασκευήν, ην αν πορίσαιτό τις, ψεσθε χρηναι υβρίζεσθαι. The same after ὅταν, Æsch. Pers. 448; after ἐἀν, Thuc. 8, 27. Yet many of these instances are not sufficiently confirmed by MSS., and hence in editions the want of uniformity as to the conj. and opt. moods. See besides, Thuc. 2, 93. (μη αν.) Xen. Anab. 7, 4, 2. $(\ddot{o}\pi\omega\varsigma\ \ddot{a}\nu.)$

Obs. 3. The mood peculiar to the oblique speech, principally in narration, is the opt., and hence it likewise usually stands in intercalated sentences belonging to the

former; ex. gr. Xen. Ages. 1, 10. Τισσαφέρνης ωμοσεν, εί σπείσαιτο, εως ελθοιεν, οὺς πέμψεις πρὸς βασιλέα ἀγγέλους, διαπράξεσθαι, &c. But as the Greek language employs the indic. in dependent propos. far more frequently than the Latin tongue, (for example, after the part. denoting purpose or design, see B. Obs. 2.) so do we often meet with the indic. in intercalated sentences making part of an oblique speech. Greek writers in general are fond of turning suddenly from the indirect speech into the direct one, (ex. gr. Xen. Cyrop. 1, 4, 28. extr. Hell. 2, 1, 25.) and in the same manner we suddenly meet with the indic., where, according to all syntactical rules, we should expect another mood, and where the Latin tongue invariably uses the conj. One example will be sufficient: Anab. 1,3, 14: Εἶς δὲ δή είπε, στρατηγούς μεν έλέσθαι ἄλλους ώς τάχιστα, εί μή βούλεται Κλέαρχος ἀπάγειν:—ἐλθόντας δὲ Κῦρον αἰτεῖν πλοῖα, ὡς ἀποπλέοιεν ἐὰν δὲ μὴ διδῷ ταῦτα, (see Obs. 1.) ήγεμόνα αἰτεῖν Κῦρον, ὅστις διὰ φιλίας τῆς χώρας ἀ πάξει· ----πέμψαι δὲ, καὶ προκαταληψομένους τὰ ἄκρα, ὅπως μὴ φθάσωσι (see E. 1.) μήτε ὁ Κῦρος μήτε οἱ Κίλικες καταλαβόντες, ὧν πολλοὺς καὶ πολλά χρήματα ἔχομεν ἡρπακότες.

§ 140.—Of the Infinitive.

1. The *infin*. is used in Greek not only in all those instances where the Latin language, but also where the German and English languages employ the *infin*. with or without the *part*. (zu) to, and frequently where the three languages use conjunctions. The infin. is used:

1.) as the *subject* of a *propos.*, as in Latin, after the impersonal locutions: δεῖ, προσήκει, καλόν ἐστι, ἀγαθόν ἐστι,

and many others, ex. gr. δεῖ λέγειν ήδὺ θηρᾶν.

- 2.) as the completion or determination of certain verbs, which do not convey a complete notion without their being followed up by an infin. This is not only the case where the Latin language likewise uses the infin. as after to be able, to be in the habit of, to remember, &c., but also where in Latin a conjunction (ut, quin, quominus) stands, as after to beg, to command, to doubt, &c. ex. gr. οἶόστ' εἰμὶ πονεῖν δέρμαί σου παραμένειν παραινέω λέγειν, &c.—About the article before the infin. see section 5.
- Obs. 1. A definite tense with a conj. ($\delta \tau \iota$, $\delta \iota g$, $\delta \tau \pi \omega g$, $\delta \sigma \tau \iota g$) may in most cases likewise be used instead of the infin. The use, therefore, not only of the infin. but also that of the propos. beginning with conjunctions, is far more extended in Greek than in Latin, since the construction is not confined, as in Latin, in certain instances to the use of the infin., and in others to the use of conjunctions.
- 2. The *infin*. is further used to denote a purpose or consequence, where in Latin a *conjunction* or the construction with the *gerund* or *partic. fut. pass.* is used.

Examples: "Εδωκεν αὐτὸ δούλφ φορῆσαι, he gave it to a slave to carry; δ ἄνθρωπος πέφυκε φιλεῖν, man is born to love; παρέχω ἐμαυτὸν, ἐρωτᾶν, I produce myself to be examined; ἵππον παρεῖχε τῷ ἀνδρὶ ἀναβῆναι— ῆλθον ιδεῖν σε: Anab. 2, 2, 3. Ἐμοὶ θυομένῳ ιέναι ἐπὶ τὸν βασιλέα

οὐκ ἐγίγνετο τὰ ἰερὰ, when I sacrificed to march against the king, (when I made the usual sacrifice before the march,) the sacrifice was not auspicious, and further on, Ἰέναι δὲ παρὰ τοὺς Κύρου φίλους, πάνυ καλὰ ἡμῖν τὰ ἰερὰ ἦν, but to go to the friends of Cyrus, (to join the friends,) the sacrifice was very propitious to us; taken from the common expression, ἐκαλλιέρει ταῦτα πράττειν, Herod. 6, 76.

- 3. Allied to this is the infin., which is construed with an adj. (or subst.) as a determination or modification; $E\pi\iota\tau\eta\delta\epsilon\iota\circ\varsigma\pi\iota\iota\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$ $\tau\iota$, apt, able to do something; où $\delta\epsilon\iota\nu\circ\varsigma$ $\epsilon\sigma\iota\iota$ $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$, $\lambda\lambda\lambda$ ad $\delta\iota\nu\sigma$ $\tau\circ\varsigma$ $\sigma\iota\gamma\tilde{\varrho}\nu$, he is not clever at speaking, but incapable of remaining silent;—Eurip. $\delta\epsilon\iota\nu\alpha$ $\gamma\nu\nu\alpha\tilde{\iota}\kappa\epsilon\varsigma$ $\epsilon\nu\rho(\sigma\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu$ $\tau\epsilon\chi\nu\alpha\varsigma$, women are apt to invent (use stratagems).—Or with a pass. meaning, (where the Latin has the supine in $\iota\iota$), $\rho\tilde{\varrho}\delta\iota\circ\varsigma$ $\iota\sigma\tilde{\iota}\sigma\iota$, easy to be observed, $\pi\delta\iota\circ\varsigma$ $\chi\alpha\lambda\epsilon\pi\eta$ $\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$:— $\eta\delta\nu$ ako ι ι , delightful to hear (suave auditu. Homer $\theta\alpha\tilde{\iota}\mu\alpha$ $\iota\delta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, wonderful to be seen (a wonder to the sight, "mirabile visu"). The infin. pass. is likewise used in such cases; $\theta\eta\lambda\nu\varphi\alpha\nu\eta\varsigma$ $\delta\varphi\theta\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$, of feminine appearance (like Horace's niveus videri).
- 4. That $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau\varepsilon$ (less frequently $\mathring{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$) may be inserted before the infin., when the latter expresses a consequence, is obvious from § 139. F. This is chiefly the case when a pron. demonstr. precedes the infin., ex. gr. $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ δὲ $\pi\varepsilon\pi$ αιδενμένος οὖτως, $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau\varepsilon$ πάνν ραδίως ἔχειν ἀρκοῦντα, (Xen. Mem. 1, 2, 1.) or for distinctness' sake, Xen. Cyrop. 3, 2, 29. φησὶν $\pi\varepsilon$ ιράσεσθαι π οι $\mathring{\eta}$ σαι, $\mathring{\omega}$ στε σε νομίζειν καλ $\mathring{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$ βεβουλε $\mathring{\nu}$ σθαι (on account of the four infin.).— About intercalated sentences with $\mathring{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\mathring{\nu}$ σον and the infin., see Obs. 5.
- Obs. 2. About $\mathring{\eta}$ ώστε after comparatives see § 139. F. Obs. 2. Sometimes even ὅτι stands before the infin. in the same manner as ὅτι before a direct speech, (see § 139. G. Obs. 4.) ex. gr. Xen. Cyrop. 1, 6, 18. λέγεις σὺ ὅτι οὐδὲ στρατηγοῦ ἀργοῦ οὐδὲν ὄφελος εἶναι.
- 5. That the infin. takes the art. $\tau \delta$, and that it is susceptible of being declined, has been mentioned in § 125, 8. All kinds of determinations and modifications of the object, or such as are of an adverbial nature, may be joined to the infin., as: $\tau \delta$ καλῶς ἀποθανεῖν, $\tau \delta$ τοὺς φίλους ἀδικεῖν, $\tau \delta$ ὑπὸ τῶν φίλων ἀδικεῖσθαι, &c., so that entire propos. may be headed by the art. The infin. therefore stands:
 - 1.) when it is the *subject* of a *propos*. expressing a general sentence (maxim), ex. gr. χαλεπὸν τὸ ποιεῖν, τὸ δὲ κελεῦσαι ῥάδιον.

¹ This agrist of the *middle voice* is, according to the practice of the Epics, simply active. (§ 135. Obs. 4.)

- 2.) when the infin. is dependent upon a preposition, (in which case, in German, a definite tense with a conj. is used,) or when the construction requires a gen. or dat., ex. gr. 'Αθηνᾶ ἔρριψε τοὺς οὐλοὺς διὰ τὸ τὴν ὄψιν αὐτῆς ποιεῖν άμορφον, (for its rendering her face ugly) because it deformed her face. τὸ φυλάξαι ἀγαθὰ τοῦ κτήσασθαι χαλεπώτερου. τὸ μὲν οὖν ἐπίορκον καλεῖν τινα ἄνευ τοῦ τὰ πεπραγμένα δεικνύναι, λοιδορία ἐστίν. (Demosth.)—τὸ λέγειν, ώς δεῖ, μέγιστόν έστι σημείον τοῦ φρονείν εὖ.—τὸ πλουτείν έστιν έν τῷ χρῆσθαι μᾶλλον ἢ ἐν τῷ κεκτῆσθαι.
- Obs. 3. But when the infin. serves for a nearer determination or modification of another verb or adj., i. e., when it is in the accus. (§ 131, 1, 6.) no art, is prefixed. The art. then, in this case, is only used when the infin. with a particular stress stands first. Xen. Mem. 4, 3, 1. τὸ μὲν οὖν λεκτικοὺς καὶ πρακτικοὺς γίγνεσθαι τοὺς συνόντας οὐκ ἔσπευδεν; or when the infin. is the real object, as in Cyrop. 1, 4, 21. ώσπερ δὲ κύων γενναῖος φέρεται πρὸς κάπρον, ούτως ὁ Κῦρος ἐφέρετο, μόνον ὁρῶν τὸ παίειν τὸν άλισκόμενον. We further direct the attention to the infin. with τὸ where the construction would require the gen.: Thuc. 3, 1. τὸν ὅμιλον εἶργον τὸ μὴ τὰ ἐγγὺς τῆς πόλεως κακουργεῖν. Comp. 2, 53. Plat. Lach. p. 190, e.
- 6. They are besides at liberty to insert subordinate propositions between the article and its infin.; Τὸ δὲ ὅσα γ' ἡδέως ἡ ψυχή δέχεται, ταῦτα ἱκανῶς ἐκπονεῖν ἐδοκίμαζε, but that as much as nature takes with pleasure, should be sufficiently worked out (by exercise), that (is what) he approved of.

Obs. 4. The infin. with the article in the gen., sometimes, but generally only in whole propositions, denotes a motive, purpose: $O\dot{v}\kappa \dot{a}\pi\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\varepsilon$, $\tau o\tilde{v}$ $\mu\dot{\varepsilon}$ $\delta o\kappa\varepsilon\tilde{v}v$ $\dot{a}\mu\varepsilon\lambda\varepsilon\tilde{v}v$ (not to seem neglectful). "Ενεκα is commonly considered as omitted and understood.

See Heind. ad Plat. Georg. 30, and compare § 132, 6, 1.

Obs. 5. The infin. of some short intercalated expressions may be derived from the above-mentioned constructions; ex. qr. from Text 2. $\dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\tilde{\omega}g$ $\epsilon i\pi\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$, to be short. Thus έμοι δοκείν, in my opinion, ολίγου or μικρού δείν, almost, πολλού δείν, far from it. See the personal construction of these expressions in § 150. Thus the infin. stands frequently after ως, ὅσον in intercalated sentences, ex. gr. ως συνελόντι (sc. λόγω) είπεῖν, to be short (or merely συνελόντι); ὅσον γ' ἐμὲ εἰδέναι, as far as I know; ὡς ἔπος είπεῖν, ὡς εἰκάσαι, &c.; see Heind. ad Plat. Hipp. maj. 11.

Obs. 6. Verbs, implying a negative sense, as to hinder, to deny, &c., add frequently the negative part. $\mu\dot{\eta}$ to the infin., where we omit it. About this peculiarity see

§ 148. Obs. 9.

Obs. 7. About the use of the infin. in expressions like those of praying, swearing, exclaiming, see § 142. Obs. 5. and b., and after $\tilde{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, § 149.

\$ 141.

1. Whenever the infin. has a subject of its own, the rule is that this subject is in the accus. This is the case with the infin. with τὸ, Τὸ ἁμαρτάνειν ἀνθρώπους ὄντας οὐ θαυμαστὸν, that men do err, is not to be wondered at; Οὐδὲν ἐπράχθη, διὰ τὸ ἐκεῖνον μὴ παρεῖναι, because the other was not present; Οὐδέποτε ὀρθῶς ἔχει, (see § 150, ἔχειν,) τὸ κακῶς πάσχοντα ἀμύνεσθαι ἀντιδρῶντα κακῶς, that when one has suffered wrongs, one be revenged by returning the evil.

2. The Greeks have, like the Latins, a peculiar construction of the accus, with the infin.

after verbs on which another proposition is immediately dependent, especially after verbs denoting to say, believe, &c. they put the subject of the dependent proposition in the accus. and its own verb in the infin. ex. gr.; Οὶ μυθολόγοι φασὶ, τὸν Οὐρανὸν δυναστεῦσαι πρῶτον τοῦ παντὸς, mythologists say that Uranus first ruled over the universe. This construction is invariably made use of in a narration, related in an oblique speech, whilst in English and German the conjunction that (dass) is used. Or this conjunction may likewise be rendered in Greek by ὅτι, ὡς, see § 139, G., and in particular cases also by the construction with the part., see § 144, 4.

3. When the subject belonging to the *infin*. is the same with that contained in the principal sentence, the former is not expressed, when coming after such verbs, as ought to be construed with the accus. cum infin., ex. $gr. \xi \phi \eta \sigma \pi o v \delta \acute{a} \xi \epsilon \iota v$, dixit se festinare. The beginner must therefore be on his guard not to be misled by the Latin construction in such cases, and not translate the Latin se (me, te) by $\epsilon av \tau \acute{o} v$. Comp. § 142. Obs. 1. and 2.

Obs. The Greeks likewise go further than the Latins in the use of the infin., when they quote the words of another or in any dependent sentence, by more usually construing the relatives and the first part of a proposition in the same manner; Plato Alcib. 1, 40. Έφη παρελθεῖν χώραν—ἢν καλεῖν τοὺς ἐπιχωρίους Ζώνην—' he said that he had passed by a country, which the inhabitants called the Zone.' Herod. 1, 86. Ὁς ἐὲ ἄρα μιν προστῆναι τοῦτο, ἀναστενάξαντα ἐς τρὶς ὀνομάσαι ΣΟΛΩΝ, that when this recurred to his mind, he three times repeated the name of Solon with heavy sighs.—Plato Phædr. 84. Συνεύχομαί σοι, (I implore you.) εἴπερ ἄμεινον ταῦθ ἡμῖν εἰναι, ταῦτα γίγνεσθαι. Xenoph. Mem. 1, 1, 13. Σωκράτης ἐθαύμασεν, εἰ μὴ φανερὸν αὐτοῖς ἐστιν, ὅτι ταῦτα οὐ δυνατόν ἐστιν ἀνθρώποις εὐρεῖν ἐπεὶ καὶ τοὺς μέγιστον φρονοῦντας ἐπὶ τῷ περὶ τούτων λέγειν οὐ ταὐτὰ δοξάζειν: here the first part of the proposition comes after with ἐπεὶ, as is usual in such a connexion, for the second part is contained in what goes before; this the author had stated directly in his own name; he now states the first in Socrates's speech, and declares it by the infin. used on quoting another's words. See also Plato Alcib. 1, 55. (Note 7.)

§ 142.

1. When other modifications or determinations than attri-

393

butes or predicates are joined to the *infin*. in the shape of subst. or adj., it follows of course that, if the subject be in the accus., they also must be in the accus.; "Ωιμην σε παρεῖναι μόνον.
—"Απαντες νομίζομεν τὴν γῆν σφαῖραν εἶναι.

2. But when the subject of the infin. is not expressed, the

Greeks have a very peculiar construction called

Attraction,

by means of which these additions are not in the accus., but in the same case in which the object is expressed in the antecedent proposition; they are, as it were, attracted by the preceding verb, the word of the subject not being mentioned. There are, however, two cases to be distinguished,

1. If the subject of the *infin*., which is omitted, be at the same time subject (*nomin*.) of the antecedent *verbum* finitum, on which the *infin*. is dependent, the additions to

this infin. must also be in the nomin.

δ 'Αλέξανδρος ἔφασκεν είναι Διὸς υίὸς,

(in Latin, dicebat, se esse Jovis filium,) which is likewise the case when the subject is not expressed with the first verb,

έφασκες είναι δεσπότης,

ἔπεισα αὐτοὺς είναι θεὸς, I persuaded them that I was a god,

ἐνομίζοντο οὐδ' αὐτοὶ σωθήσεσθαι, they thought that they themselves could not be saved.

II. δ. 101. Εὔχεο δ' 'Απόλλωνι,—ρέξειν έκατόμβην—οἴκαδε νοστήσας, Vow to Apollo to offer him a hecatomb, when returned home. Here νοστήσας, as added to ρέξειν, is in the nomin. on account of the σὸ concealed in εὕχεο.

2.) If the subject of the *infin*., which is omitted, be only the proximate or remote object of the antecedent *verb*, the additions of the *infin*. are in the same oblique case, in which their subject stands as object of the *verb*; thus, for instance, in the *gen*.

εδέοντο αὐτοῦ είναι προθύμου, they intreated him to be zealous:

or in the dat.

έξεστί μοι γενέσθαι εὐδαίμονι,

(just as in Latin, licet illis esse beatis;) 'Απεῖπεν αὐτοῖς ναύταις εἶναι, he forbade them to be mariners; Ταῖς πόλεσι τοῦτο μᾶλλον λυσιτελεῖ, ἢ δούλαις ὀφθῆναι γιγνομέναις:

or lastly in the accus., in which case it comes again under the principal rule,

κελεύω σε είναι πρόθυμον.

See about the attraction § 151, 1, 7.

- 3. The same attraction takes place, when the proposition with the infin. has the art. τὸ before it.—Πρὸς τὸ συμφέρον ζῶσι διὰ τὸ φίλαυτοι είναι, they live for their own interest, because they are selfish:—Δημοσθένης σεμνύνεται τῷ γραφεὶς ἀποφυγεῖν, Demosthenes boasts of having been acquitted of the charges brought against him:—Οὐ γὰρ ἐκπέμπονται ἐπὶ τῷ δοῦλοι, ἀλλὰ ἐπὶ τῷ ὅμοιοι τοῖς λειπομένοις εἶναι, (speaking of colonists,) they are sent forth not to be slaves, but to be equal to those who are left behind; ἐφ᾽ ἡμῖν ἐστι τὸ ἐπιεικέσι καὶ φαύλοις εἶναι, it rests with us to be virtuous and depraved. But if the subject of the infin. be as accus. in the first part of the proposition, then the infin. is as usual construed with the accus.; Ἐπέδειξε τὰς πολιτείας προεχούσας τῷ δικαιοτέρας εἶναι, he showed that states are superior to others for being more righteous.
- 4. The infin. is likewise construed with the nomin. in the construction with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$, if the first part of the proposition requires it; Oὐδεὶς τηλικοῦτος ἔστω παρ' ὑμῖν, ὥστε τοὺς νόμους παραβὰς μὴ δοῦναι δίκην, let none be so great among you as not to be punished, when he transgresses the laws.
- Obs. 1. From these statements, it is obviously incorrect to suppose that the subject of the infin. is a nomin. in expressions like, $\Upsilon\pi$ $\epsilon\sigma\chi\epsilon\tau$ 0 $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}_{5}$ π 0 $i\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\nu$. In all such instances the subject of the infin. is not expressed; what is in the nomin., is merely its modification or determination as here, $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}_{5}$: the noun omitted is the subject, and can be supplied only by a pronoun personal, which is likewise wanting.
- Obs. 2. Whenever the writers think proper to add the pronoun personal itself, it stands, in spite of the nomin. belonging to the antecedent verb, in the accus.: Oiµau μέν ληρείν με, Plato Charm. 45. (Heind. ad Euthyd. 79.) Herod. 2, 2. οἱ Αἰγύπτιοι ἐνόμιζον ἑωυτοὺς πρώτους γενέσθαι πάντων ἀνθρώπων: here is a particular stress upon ἐωυτοὺς, that they were those, who; see besides 1, 34.—Sometimes it occurs that we meet with no attraction in the dependent propos., though preceded by a gen. or dat. in the principal propos.; the predicate and the participle are then placed in the accus., for the sake of distinctness; ex. gr. Herod. 6, 100. 'Αθηναίων ἐδεήθησάν σφισι βοηθούς γενέσθαι. Isocr. Δέομαι ὑμῶν, ἀκοῦσαι τῶν λεγομένων ἐνθυμηθέντας ὅτι - Anab. 3, 2, 1. "Εδοξεν αὐτοῖς προφύλακας καταστήσαντας συγκαλεῖν τοὺς στρατιώτας.-Μεποτ. 1, 1, 9. "Εφη δείν, α μεν μαθόντας ποιείν εδωκαν οί θεοί, $\mu\alpha\nu\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon_i\nu$. Here $\tau \tilde{\sigma}i\zeta$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\sigma\iota\zeta$ must be mentally supplied as added to $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\omega\kappa\alpha\nu$, but for all that the accus, μαθόντας is not altered here. Compare below § 144. Obs. 5. an almost similar proposition in the same passage, in which proposition $\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\sigma\iota\varsigma$ is expressed, and the attraction attended to .- Another kind of attraction is to be met with not only after κελεύειν and some other similar verbs, but also frequently after

SYNTAX. 395

πρέπει, προσήκει, ἔξεστι,—when, on an infin. being added, the person is placed in the accus; ex. gr. Eurip. λόγους, οθς οὐκ ἀκούειν τὰς γαμουμένας πρέπει.

Obs. 3. On the other hand the attraction really is sometimes so strong, that the express pronoun personal is with the infin. in the nomin.; but I know of no other instances than where two infin. are dependent on one verb with different subjects, of which one only is the same with the subject of the first verb. Demosth. Mid. 55. (p. 579. Reiske.) Έμὲ οἴεσθ΄ ὑμῖν εἰσοίσειν, ὑμεῖς δὲ νεμεῖσθαι; (here on construing the sentence σίεσθε must come first alone, which then has the same subject with the second infin. νεμεῖσθαι,) think you that I am always to contribute, and you always to consume? and further on: Νομίζεις—ἡμᾶς μὲν ἀποψηφεῖσθαι, σὸ δὲ οὐδὲ παύσεσθαι, but such instances belong to the very numerous cases, in which Attic writers were induced by a specious analogy to carry any peculiarity of the language beyond the proper bounds of its nature. Compare Schæf. ad Soph. Œd. R. 958.

Obs. 4. Whenever $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\iota\nu$, $\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta a\dot{\iota}\tau\iota\nu\iota$, is followed by a proposition of which the subject is the object of the above-mentioned rerbs, it is also construed in the infin., and the pronoun $a\dot{\upsilon}\tau\dot{\upsilon}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is omitted; Plato Charm. 18. 'Ομήρφ $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\iota\varsigma \kappa a\lambda\tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$ λέγειν. Phædr. 124. Μὴ $\pi\iota\theta\dot{\omega}\mu\epsilon\theta'$ αὐτοῖς, τέχνη γράφειν. But whatever belongs to the omitted word of the subject, is likewise in the attraction; Cyrop. 3, 3, 24. Οὐδ'

αν τούτοις επίστευον εμμόνοις έσεσθαι.

Obs. 5. The infin. is sometimes used in quite an independent way instead of a wish, request, command, in the 3 pers. either with the subject in the accus., Il. γ . 285. Trugag— $\frac{1}{6}\pi i \hat{c}$ 000 vai, then are the Trojans—to give back, Hesiod, rurror $\sigma \pi \epsilon i$ rein, rurror de $\sigma \epsilon i$ 000 which is observed an indefinite 3 person— τi 02—which is omitted, though Virgil has the same recommendation in the 2 pers. 'nudus ara, sere nudus,' or with the xerb being impersonal, Herod. 5, 105. σi 02 Zei000 èi101 kg i102 virante i103 de i104 kg i105 de i105 de i105 de i106 de i106 de i107 de i107 de i107 de i107 de i108 de i109 de i1

infin. instead of the imper.

of the 2 pers., and in this case the subject, and what belongs to it, if it be expressed, is always in the nomin. II. ρ . 692. 'Alla σύγ' αΐψ' 'Aχιλη̃ι, θέων ἐπὶ νῆας 'Aχαιῶν, Εἰπεῖν—Plato Soph. 218. 'Aν δ' ἄρα τι τῷ μήκει πονῶν ἄχθη, μὴ ἐμὲ αἰτιᾶσθαι τούτων (then do not impute it to me). Verbs like δεῖ, μέμνησο, &c. are usually supplied, but it is better to do without them. See Dorv. Vann. 341. Heind. ad Plat. Lys. 18. Matthiæ's Gr. Gr. 544. p. 824. Engl. Trans. 4th ed.

Obs. 6. The infin. with and without $\tau \delta$, sometimes serves as an exclamation expressive of surprise: $\Sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ ταῦτα δρᾶσαι, 'that thou couldst do such a thing!' Τὸ Δία νομίζειν, ὄντα τηλικοντονὶ, 'that thou, being of such an age, canst still believe in Jupiter!' Aristoph. Nub. 816.

§ 143.—Construction with the Pronoun Relative.

1. The construction of relative propos. with regard to the moods, has been explained in § 139, B. We shall now make some few isolated remarks, before we treat of the attraction in relat. propos.

1.) A relat. propos. may not only express purpose or object, but also cause and reason, ex. gr. θαυμαστὸν ποιεῖς, ὃς ἡμῖν οὐδὲν δίδως, who givest to us nothing or by giving to us nothing. Herod. 1, 31. αἱ ᾿Αργεῖαι ἐμακάριζον τὴν μητέρα,

οἴων τέκνων ἐκύρησε, i. e. ὅτι τοιούτων τ. è. for having such children. Similar is the use of the pron. ὅσος and οἴος in Hom. in passages as Il. σ. 95. ἀκύμορος δή μοι, τέκος, ἔσσεαι, οῖ ἀγορεύεις, where the pron. relat. approaches the signif. of a pron. demonst. Comp. χ , 347. (οῖά μ' ἔοργας.) Od. δ, 611. Æsch. Prom. 915.

2.) olog and of frequently stand after $\tau o \iota o \tilde{v} \tau o c$, $\tau o \sigma o \tilde{v} \tau o c$, precisely for $\tilde{w} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$, and are then construed like the latter, i. e. usually with the *infin.*, yet so that the *pron. relat.* takes the gender, number, and case of the preceding *pron. demonstr.*

Εχαπρίες: Plat. Charm. p. 156. b. ἔστὶ γὰρ τοιαύτη (ἡ δύναμις) σἴα μὴ δύνασθαι τὴν κεφαλὴν ὑγιᾶ ποιεῖν. Xen. Mem. 2, 1, 15. δοῦλος τοιοῦτος, οἶος μηδενὶ δεσπότη λυσιτελεῖν. Herod. 6, 137. ἑωυτοὺς δὲ γενέσθαι τοσούτῳ ἐκείνων ἄνδρας ἀμείνονας, ὅσῳ, παρεὸν ἀποκτεῖναι—, οὐκ ἐθελῆσαι. An example of the pron. demonstr. being omitted is in Thuc. 1, 2. νεμόμενοι τὰ αὐτῶν ἕκαστοι, ὅσον ἀποζῆν. Plat. Theag. p. 127. c. φοβοῦμαι ὑπὲρ τούτου, μή τινι ἄλλῳ (sc. τοιούτῳ) ἐντύχη, οἵφ τοῦτον διαφθεῖραι. Thus οἶος with an infin. becomes quite an adj.: of such a nature as, ex. gr. οἱ πρόσθεν ὀδόντες πᾶσι ζώοις οἷοι τέμνειν εἰσίν, οἱ δὲ γόμφιοι οἷοι παρὰ τούτων δεξάμενοι λεαίνειν.

3.) In the Greek language, similarly to the Latin, the mere pron. relative is often used, where we must say: with regard to, as to.

Examples: Xen. Anab. 6, 1, 129. δ δ' ὑμεῖς ἐννοεῖτε, ὅ τι ἦττον ἂν στάσις εἰη ἑνὸς ἄρχοντος ἢ πολλῶν, εὖ ἴστε ὅ τι, &c. Hell. 2, 3, 45. ἃ δ' αὖ εἶπεν, ὡς ἐγώ εἰμι οἶος μεταβάλλεσθαι, κατανοήσατε, &c. Eurip. Or. 564. ἐφ' οἶς δ' ἀπειλεῖς, ὡς πετρωθῆναί με δεῖ, ἄκουσον. Comp. § 151. IV. 5.

Ποσειδῶνα, where this form simply serves to vary the connexion, belong to a period when the Greek language had already lost its purity.

2. The nature of the construction with the pronoun relative requires properly that there should be with the first verb a noun, and with the second the pronoun relative, which refers to it, and each in the casus, which the proposition demands, to which it belongs; Οῦτός ἐστιν ὁ ἀνὴρ, ὃν εἶδες:—Μετέδωκεν ἡμῖν πάντων, ὅσα παρῆν:—Φίλον οὐκ ἔχω, ῷτινι πιστεῦσαι ἂν δυναίμην. But the subst. of the first verb is frequently omitted, and added to the second part of the proposition with the pronoun relative in the same casus;

οδτός έστιν, δν είδες άνδρα,

οὐκ ἔστιν, ἥντινα οὐκ ἦρξεν ἀρχὴν, there is no magistracy but what he has exercised,

and the last sentence is very often put first for the sake of emphasis:

δν είδες ἄνδρα, οῦτός ἐστιν.

3. Here too an

Attraction

takes place, similar to that of the construction with the *infin*. When the *pronoun relative* on account of its *verb* should be in the *accus.*, but the *noun*, to which it refers, is in the *gen.* or *dat.*, and is not attended with any *pronoun demonstrative*, (like $o\tilde{v}\tau oc$, $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu oc$,) the *pronoun relative* is *attracted* by it, and takes the same case instead of the *accus.*:

Μεταδίδως αὐτῷ τοῦ σίτου, οὖπερ αὐτὸς ἔχεις, thou givest to him of the bread, which thou hast (thyself),

where $\delta \tilde{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$ stands also in the *gen*. on account of $\sigma (\tau o v)$, to which it refers, instead of being in the *accus*. $\tilde{v} v \pi \epsilon \rho$, which the *verb* $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota v$ required; again:

Εῦ προσφέρεται τοῖς φίλοις, οῖς ἔχει, he conducts himself well towards the friends whom he has.

—Anab. 1, 3, 16. Τῷ ἡγεμόνι πιστεύσομεν, ῷ ἂν Κῦρος δῷ, for δν ἂν Κ. δ.:—Ἐκράτησε μεγάλων χρημάτων, ὧν ὁ Γέλων ἐπετράπετο αὐτῷ. Even when the requisite accus. properly is that of the remote object, Demosth. Mid. 35. Δίκην ὧν ἂν ἀδικηθῆ τις λαμβάνειν, of the expression ἃ ἀδικοῦμαι, by which I am injured. This attraction of course takes place only when the pron. relat. expresses a nearer and as it were an adjective determination and modification of the subst., and can therefore not be applied in

sentences like the following: ἐπηνέθη ὑπὸ πάντων τῶν ἑαυτοῦ πολιτῶν, οὺς μεγάλα ἀφέλησεν. See § 151, 1, 2.

- Obs. 2. The ear being once accustomed to this construction, the pronoun relative was mostly put in the same case as the pronoun demonstrative, even when the latter was expressed in the first part of the proposition; Plato Gorg. 452. Οἱ δημιουργοὶ τούτων, ὧν ἐπήνεσεν ὁ τὸ σκολιὸν ποιήσας ¹: Χεπορh. Œc. 2. Ὑπό γε τούτων, ὧν δεσποινῶν καλεῖς οὐ κωλύομαι, which is at the same time an instance of the accus. (δεσποίνας,) which is dependent on the second proposition, being also placed in the attraction.
- 4. In this instance too the *noun* frequently is removed from the first part of the proposition to the second, so that it remains along with the *pronoun relative* in the *casus*, which the word governs, on which it is dependent:

Μεταδίδως αὐτῷ, οὖπερ αὐτὸς ἔχεις σίτου:-

Εὖ προσφέρεται, οἷς ἔχει φίλοις:-

ἀπολαύω, ὧν ἔχω ἀγαθῶν: χρώμενοι οἰς εἶπον προστάταις, εὐδαίμονες ἦσαν, (from χρῆσθαι προστάτη, to have a leader, patron.) whilst they had the leaders, whom I mentioned, they were happy. This contraction appears still more strange to us, when the proposition is an inverted one;

Οῖς ἔχει φίλοις, εὖ προσφέρεται, towards the friends he has, he conducts himself well.

5. When the *noun* conveys no precise definite idea, or when it has been mentioned once before, it is frequently altogether omitted, so that the *pronoun relative* stands alone in a *casus*, to which it properly is a stranger;

Μεμνημένος ὧν ἔπραξε,

for μεμνημένος τῶν πραγμάτων, ὧν ἔπραξεν, and this for ἃ ἔπραξεν:—Δεινότερά ἐστιν, ἃ μέλλω λέγειν, ὧν εἴρηκα, (for δεινότερα ἐκείνων, ἃ εἴρηκα:—) Μετεπέμπετο ἄλλο στράτευμα πρὸς ῷ πρόσθεν εῖχε (for πρὸς τῷ στρατεύματι, ὃ π. ε.). And being inverted

οῖς ἔχω, χρῶμαι,

for ἃ έχω, τούτοις χρωμαι.

6. There is one instance in which the nomin. of the pronoun

¹ This form of the proposition as the most perfect is usually considered as the fundamental one, but through my investigation of the attraction in general, I at least am arrived at the conviction that this is erroneous. (See § 151. I.) It was the omission of the pronoun demonstrative, which alone could be an inducement to construe the pronoun relative with the preceding word, and thus to round the whole expression. But when the Attic writer, to whom this construction was familiar, still added the pronoun demonstrative for emphasis' sake, we can very easily conceive that he had no occasion to recur on that account to his original combination.

399

relative is likewise liable to this attraction; viz. when the nomin. of the pronoun relative οἷος ought to be construed with εἶναι in the complete proposition, Πάνν ἡδέως χαρίζονται ἀνδρὶ τοιούτω, οἷος σὰ εἶ, they very gladly do an agreeable thing to such a man as you are, not only the pronoun demonstrative, but also the verb εἶναι is omitted, and the pronoun relative is so attracted by the principal proposition, that, along with all the nomin. eventually connected with it, it adopts the case of the noun to which it refers, and is even intercalated before that noun, Πάνν ἡδέως χαρίζονται οἷω σοὶ ἀνδρί. The noun itself is also frequently omitted; Χαλεπὸν ἤρον, καὶ οἵω γε ἐμοὶ ἄπορον, difficult is what you ask, and to a (man) like me very perplexing. The instances, where the article of the noun omitted is left standing before such pronoun relatives, τοῖς οἵοις ἡμῖν, have been stated above § 125. Obs. 6.

7. When the pronoun relative, on account of a verb like to be, to be called, &c. requires another noun in the same case with itself, it usually agrees entirely with this in gender and number, and not with the first noun, to which it properly refers; $\Pi \acute{a} \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \nu a \rlap/ u a \rlap/ u$

§ 144.—Construction with the Participle.

1. A very considerable number of tenses being provided with participles in Greek, this language employs them much more frequently than any other. Their use is of a threefold nature; they may be employed, 1.) instead of a propos. beginning with a pron. relative; 2.) instead of a propos. beginning with a conjunction, like when, because, after, if, &c. 3.) to serve as a completion to the sense of some verbs.—The participle can never adopt the art. in the two latter instances, and in the first only then when the participle assumes the character of a substantive, i. e. when it is to be translated by is qui or aliquis qui; whilst such relat. propos., as may be changed into propos. beginning with a conjunction, are rendered in Greek by the participle without an art.

Examples: Xen. Mem. 4, 2, 28. Οἱ μὲν εἰδότες ὅ, τι ποιοῦσιν, ἐπιτυγχάνοντες (if they succeed or get) ὧν πράττουσιν, εὐδοξοί τε καὶ

τίμιοι γίγνονται.—οί τε ἀποτυγχάνοντες τῶν πραγμάτων ἐπιθυμοῦσι, &c. For examples where the participle is to be rendered by *aliquis qui* see § 124. *Obs.* 3, 1; and another one is quoted below in Text 3.

2. Propos., beginning with conj., principally such as denote a relation of time or of mode and manner, (when, since, after, whilst,) or such as convey the idea of cause and reason, (because,) or of condition, (if,) of limitation, (although, see Obs. 6.) or of purpose, (so that, see Text 3.) may be rendered by a participle whenever clearness and distinctness be not impaired by it, and when the subject of the propos. beginning with a conj. is already contained or expressed in the preceding verb, in which case this participle takes the casus of the noun of the subject.

Examples: ἐπεσκεψάμην τὸν ἑταῖρον νοσοῦντα, who, or when, or because he was sick.—τῷ μεγάλῳ βασιλεῖ οὐ πάτριόν ἐστιν ἀνδρὸς ἀκροᾶσθαι μὴ προσκυνήσαντος, who or if he does not prostrate himself.— Xen. Mem. 1, 4, 8. τὸ σῶμα συνήρμοσταί σοι, μικρὸν μέρος λαβόντι ἑκάστον.—Demosth. οὕτω δεῖ τὰς γνώμας ἔχειν, ὡς ἐὰν δέη, πλευστέον εἰς τὰς ναῦς ἐμβᾶσιν, a participle, which in translating is better placed before the verb connected with it and joined by the particle 'and;' we must make up our minds to take to our ships, if necessary, and to sail.

3. The participle of the fut. is particularly employed in sentences which we render by 'to,' 'in order to,' 'for to,' 'that.'

Examples: Ταῦτα μαθὼν ὁ Κῦρος ἔπεμψε τὸν Γωβρύαν ἐποψόμενον, Cyrus having learned this, sent Gobryas to see:—Τὸν ἀδικοῦντα παρὰ τοὺς δικαστὰς ἄγειν δεῖ δίκην δώσοντα, that he may suffer a punishment:—Demosth. Τοὺς συμμάχους δεῖ σώζειν, καὶ τοὺς τοῦτο ποιήσοντας στρατιώτας ἐκπέμπειν, here the article, according to § 124. Obs. 3, 1. stands with the indefinite object, and to send soldiers to do it.

4. By connecting this construction of the part. with that of the pron. relative and that of the infin., and by making one part. even dependent upon another part. (in which case the part. are not to be joined by $\kappa a i$,) the Greek can entwine several propositions, one with the other, without creating any confusion.

Examples: Ἐκεῖνα μόνον διεξήει, ἃ τοὺς ἰδόντας ἡγεῖτο τεθνηκέναι, we shall be obliged to say, he related only that, of which he thought that those who had seen it were dead. Demosth. Mid. 42. τιμᾶτε αὐτῷ οὐκ ἐλάσσονος, ἣ ὅσον καταθεὶς παύσεται τῆς ΰβρεως, punish him with no less a fine than such as will, when he has paid it, abate his

SYNTAX. 401

insolence. II. σ. 372. τὸν δ' εὖρ' ἰδρώοντα, ἐλισσόμενον περὶ φύσας, where the first part. is more nearly determined by the latter. Comp. Eur. Suppl. 231. Iph. T. 714. (696.)

Obs. 1. The construction $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ σύνεσιν is not very rare with the part., and that not only as to the number and gender, (for an example of this kind, see § 129, 3.) but also as to the casus; which occurs in consequence of the construction having undergone a change in the mind of the writer. Thus we meet with a part. in the nomafter, and sometimes before a subst. which stands in the dat.: Plat. Ap. 6. καὶ διαλεγόμενος αὐτῷ, ἔδοξέ μοι οὖτος ὁ ἀνήρ (i. e. ἐνόμιζον).—Thuc. 7, 42. καὶ τοῖς Συρακοσίοις κατάπληξις οὐκ ὁλίγη ἐγένετο—ὁρῶντες (i. e. κατεπλάγησαν); after an accus.; Eurip. Hec. 964. αἰδώς μ' ἔχει, ἐν τῷδε πότμφ τυγχάνουσ', ἵν' εἰμὶ νῦν (i. e. αἰδοῦμαι); after a gen.: Herod. 4, 132. Δαρείου ἡ γνώμη ἔην—εἰκάζων (i. e. Δαρεῖος τὴν γνώμην εἰχε); the dat. after a gen.: Thue. 1, 61. ἡν δὲ γνώμη τοῦ 'Αριστέως, τὸ μὲν μεθ' ἑαντοῦ στρατόπεδον ἔχοντι, ἐπιτηρεῖν &c.

5. Propositions, which serve as a completion to the imperfect sense of some verbs, are frequently construed with participles, where we introduce the propos. by using the conjunction, that, or to, for, of. Such a part. considered as a verb, either has the same subject with the preceding verb, as in the other constructions with the participle, and consequently is in the nomin. or has a different subject and is therefore along with this subject, as the proximate or remote object of the preceding verb, in one of the oblique cases.

This construction, however, can only be employed, when the nature of the part. admits of it, i. e. when the meaning is to be conveyed that something is really in existence; quite independent of whatever notion the writer may have of it. (Objective.) If this is not the case, the infin. is used, which besides may be employed in some instances for the part., but the reverse never takes place. Wherever the part. is used, the sentence can always be considered such as may be resolved by means of since, because, such a one as, &c. The difference between these two constructions may be easily understood by the following

Examples: φαίνομαι ων, apparet me esse, φαίνομαι εἶναι, esse videor; — μανθάνω ων, I remark that I am, μ . εἶναι, I learn how to be; — οὐ περιορῶ σε τοῦτο ποιήσαντα, I shall not quietly overlook your having done so, οὺ π . σε τοῦτο ποιῆσαι, I shall not suffer that you do so.

Hence, since there is no particular class of verbs which as such absolutely demand this construction with the part., and since it depends entirely upon the meaning which is to be conveyed by the sentence which contains the completion to the imperfect sense of the preceding verb, we think it to be sufficient to point out merely the general nature of those verbs,

with which this construction with the part. is most readily combined. These are the verbs, denoting perception either by means of the senses or of the mind; those expressing the idea of any thing being apparent or to be made apparent; of being filled with any thing, of letting to happen, of persevering, ceasing, beginning, acting wrongly, &c.

- a.) Examples on the nomin.—(here the proper subject may, as usual, be wanting,) Αλσχύνομαι ταῦτα ποιῶν, or ποιήσας, I am ashamed of doing this, or of having done this (whilst aigxvvoual ποιείν means, I am ashamed to do this, yet hesitating about doing it): - Μέμνησο ἄνθοωπος ων, remember being a man, that thou art a man: -Ου συνίεσαν μάτην πονούντες, they did not perceive that they laboured in vain: - Herod. 3, 1. Διαβεβλημένος οὐ μανθάνεις; do you not understand that you are deceived? Demosth. Mid. 18. Έν ή γαρ αὐτὸς εὐδαίμων ήδει γεγονώς πολιτεία, in which state (i. e. the state in which) he knew that he had been happy; - (οἶδα γεγονώς, I know that I am become :-) Isocr. Σκοπούμενος εύρισκον οὐδαμῶς αν άλλος τοῦτο διαπραξάμενος, weighing it in my mind, I found that I could not accomplish it otherwise (compare § 139, 5). Hence also in particular with passives, (arising from the following construction,) Έξελήλεγκται ήμας ἀπατων, he has been convicted of imposing on us; ἀπηγγέλθη ὁ Φίλιππος τὴν "Ολυνθον πολιορκών, it was reported that Philippus besieged Olynthus. And the case is the same with the following expressions, which are construed in an analogous manner: δηλος, φανερός είμι ποιών, &c. for which see § 151, I. 7.
- b.) Examples of the accus.—Oi Πέρσαι διαμνημονεύουσι τὸν Κῦρον ἔχοντα φύσιν, the Persians relate that Cyrus had, literally, they remembered him as one who had, hence in the pass. 'Ο Κῦρος διαμνημονεύεται ἔχων, see the preceding construction:—Οίδα συνοῖσον τῷ τὰ βέλτιστα εἰπόντι, I know that it will be advantageous to him, who shall have given the best counsel, from the impersonal expression συμφέρει, it is expedient, profitable.
- c.) Examples of the genitive and dative.— Ἡ,σθόμην αὐτῶν οἰομένων εἶναι σοφωτάτων, I perceive that they fancied themselves very wise, σοφωτάτων for -ους, because of the attraction according to § 142, 2, 2.:—Οὐδέποτε μετεμέλησέ μοι σιγήσαντι, φθεγξαμένω δὲ πολλάκω (from μεταμέλει μοι, I repent,) I never yet repented having been silent, but frequently that I had spoken, a saying of Simonides:—Plato de LL. 857, 6. Οὐδὲν διαφέρει τῷ κλέπτοντι μέγα ἢ σμικρὸν ὑφελομένω, it is of no consequence, makes no difference to the thief (viz. when he under-

goes his punishment) whether he has stolen much or little. Thuc. 1, 118. ἐπερώτων τὸν θεὸν, εἰ (sc. αὐτοῖς) πολεμοῦσιν ἄμεινον ἔσται.

Obs. 2. With verbs construed with the pronoun reflective, as σύνοιδα ἐμαυτῷ, I am conscious, this part. may stand in two different cases, Σύνοιδα ἐμαυτῷ σοφὸς ὢν, Plato, and, Σαυτῷ συνήδεις ἀδικοῦντι, Demosth.:— Ἑαυτὸν οὐδεὶς ὁμολογεῖ κακοῦργος ὢν, (Gnom.) might also have been κακοῦργον ὄντα.

Obs. 3. When ἀκούειν signifies to hear with one's own ears, it can only be construed with the part.: ἀκούω σου λέγοντος, I hear you speak; but ἀκούω σε λέγειν, I hear (from other people) that you say.—Γιγνώσκειν in the signif. to determine,

to resolve upon, takes only the infin. along with it.

Obs. 4. The participles of rerbs, on which a nomin. is dependent, as εἶναι, καλεῖσθαι, generally change this nomin. into the casus in which they are themselves; 'Υμῖν δὲ οὖσιν 'Αθηναίοις οὐ πρέπει, it does not become you who are Athenians:—'Επορεύοντο διὰ τῶν Μελινοφάγων καλουμένων θρακῶν (on resolving this sentence θρᾶκες is the subject of καλεῖσθαι).

Obs. 5. The constructions of the part. become more complicated, when the proposition as an infin. is again dependent on a verb, so that the part is attracted; Εἴρηται αὐτοῖς ἀπαντῆν ἐνθάδε βουλευσομένοις, they have been summoned to meet here to deliberate, Aristoph. Lys. 13., here the dat is used, on account of αὐτοῖς, instead of the accus. before the infin. ἀπαντῆν, but ἀπαντῶν βουλευσόμενοι is to be explained by Text 3.—Xenoph. Mem. 1, 1, 9. (compare above § 142. Obs. 2.) "Α τοῖς ἀνθρώποις ἔδωκαν οἱ θεοὶ μαθοῦσι διακρίνειν, what the gods permitted men to decide from their own knowledge. Here μαθοῦσι does not belong directly to ἀνθρώποις, but to διακρίνειν: it is, however, in the dat., because of ἀνθρώποις.

Obs. 6. The participles are frequently combined with particles. Thus when the part. precedes the other verb, there is sometimes οὕτως, ἔπειτα, or εἶτα, inserted between the two for the sake of emphasis; Demosth. Mid. 20. Έχρην αὐτὸν, τὰ ὄντα ἀναλίσκοντα, ὥσπερ ἐγὼ, οὕτω μὲ ἀφαιρεῖσθαι τὴν νίκην, by expending his own (fortune) like me, he thus (i. e. by this expenditure) must snatch the victory from me:-Xenoph. Hier. 7, 9. "Οταν οἱ ἄνθρωποι εὐεργετεῖσθαι πρός τινος ἡγησάμενοι, ἔπειτα τοῦτον ἀνὰ στόμα ἔχωσιν ἐπαινοῦντες, when men, who think that they have received benefits from one, afterwards, (i.e. in consequence of this conviction,) continually (have him in their mouth praising,) load him with their praises:—Anab. 1, 2, 25. Οὐ δυνάμενοι εὐρεῖν τὰς όδοὺς, εἶτα πλανώμενοι ἀπώλοντο, unable to find the road, they went astray and perished. See below § 149 about the particles ἔπειτα and εῖτα; about μεταξύ see § 150.— " $O\mu\omega\varsigma$ is similarly used, and is often in an immediate connexion with the part. Comp. Reisig. Enarr. ad Soph. Œd. Col. 659. Prepositions like μετά ταῦτα, διά τοῦτο, ἐκ τούτου, occur in a similar manner in connexion with part. See Kruger de auth. et integr. Anab. Xen. p. 55.—"ATE, ola or olov are often construed with part, when they contain any reason based upon any actual occurrence, (objective reason,) ex. gr. οἱ δὲ, ἄτε ὁμόθεν διώκοντες, ήρουν πολλούς. But whenever any reason or cause is quoted as existing merely in the mind and idea of an individual, (subjective reason,) or whenever the idea of mere appearance (quasi) is to be expressed, the particles ώς or ώσπερ are put before the participles; ex. gr. λέγουσιν ήμᾶς ὡς ὀλωλότας. παρεσκενάζοντο ως πολεμήσοντες. Comp. besides in § 145. Obs. 5.—Whenever the part. convey the idea of limitation, the particles καίπερ or καὶ (poet. περ encl.) are added.

Obs. 7. A relation of time properly is the basis of all construction with the participle. Whatever may be the connexion of the action of the participle with the other action, it is almost always conceived as co-existing, or anterior, or posterior,

and stated accordingly in the requisite tense. But there is frequently another view of the matter possible, than that with which we are familiar, and thus it happens that we often find in books the part. aor., where we expected the part. pres. and vice versa. For instance in Homer's " $\Omega_{\rm C}$ είπων ἄτρυνε μένος καὶ θυμὸν ἐκάστου, we expect the pres. λέγων: for, whilst he is speaking thus, the commander by his speeches encourages his soldiers, but he must already have said something affecting, since they are affected. Hence we have also in Xenoph, Mem. 3, 6, 2. Τοιάδε λέξας κατέσγεν αὐτόν.--The part. pres. may be used when both actions have a duration, and are continually repeated; Xenoph. Mem. 1, 2, 61. (speaking of Socrates,) Βελτίους γάρ ποιῶν τοὺς σωγγιγνομένους ἀπέπεμπεν. Here ποιήσας would refer to a thing which happened but once, but the meaning is, he (constantly, every time,) rendered those more happy who came to him, and then dismissed them .- The part. pres. is also used for several actions necessarily connected and almost co-existing with the following action, as to go, run, lead, bring; Οἴκαδ' ίων Μυρμιδόνεσσιν ἄνασσε, Il. a. 179, go home and rule, στῆ δὲ θέων, Il. ρ. 707, he ran and placed himself, ἔγχος ἔστησε φέρων πρός κίονα. Od. a. 127, he carried the spear and placed it against the pillar, Kai με καθίζει ἄγων, Plato Charm. 2, he leads and places me, Herod. 8, 118, Τούς δὲ προσκυνέοντας ἐκπηδέειν, because prostration is conceived as inseparable from leaving the king. Other instances are to be explained by a peculiar signification of the aorist, by which it expresses the beginning of any action. Thus στρατηγήσας, βασιλεύσας, ἄρξας ἐποίει means: as strategos, king, archon, &c. but literally: having been made strategos, king, &c. Comp. Kruger de auth. p. 8.—Lastly, it is not very unusual to add to an aor, a part, of the aor., containing an action which takes place at the same time with that expressed by the first aor. In this case the part, may be explained by its being changed into the indic. of the same tense and by its being connected by means of kai. See Herm. ad Vig. not. 224, and in the Obs. below on λανθάνειν. There are other deviations, which we leave to the individual observation of the learner.

Obs. 8. Sometimes the principal verb is construed in a part. which is made dependent on another verb, and thus supplies the place of the adverb. See Greg. Cor. in Att. § 36. Heind. ad Plat. Gorg. 86. The following in particular are such collateral verbs:

Τυγχάνειν, and with poets κυρεῖν (to happen, come to pass); Ώς δὲ ἤλθον,

ἔτυγεν ἀπιών, he just went away when I came.

λανθάνειν, (to be concealed.) Ταῦτα ποιήσας ἔλαθεν ὑπεκφυγὼν, this done he escaped privately, or in reference to the subject itself, Τὸ φονέα λανθάνει βόσκων, he unconsciously supports his murderer (he does not know that he is supporting); "Ελαθε πεσὼν, he fell unawares. According to a very common anomaly, the aor. of the part. is used merely because the verb itself is an aor.; thus we have also λάθε βιώσας, live unperceived.

φθάνειν, (to do any thing previously to another,) "Εφθην άφελων, I took it away

shortly before (see also § 150).

διατελεῖν, (to continue, persevere,) Διατελεῖ παρών, he is continually there. It is the same with διάγειν and διαγίγνεσθαι.

χαίρειν, (to be delighted,) Χαίρουσιν ἐπαινοῦντες, they gladly praise (take pleasure in praising).

οἴχομαι in a similar manner serves for circumlocutions, where the principal idea is then expressed by the part.; ex. gr. ἥχετο φεύγων, ἀπιὼν, he fled in great haste; οἵχεται θανών. Comp. a similar instance with the infin. and ἐθέλω in § 150.

Obs. 9. About the part. $\xi_{\chi\omega\nu}$, (ex. gr. in $\tau i \lambda \eta \rho \epsilon \tilde{\imath} c \xi_{\chi\omega\nu}$;) $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$, $\tau i \pi \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $\tau i \mu \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, see § 150. For the circumlocution with $\xi_{\chi\epsilon\nu}$ see ibid.

§ 145.—Casus Absoluti.

- 1. In the instances hitherto enumerated, the part. was dependent on one of the nouns belonging to the principal verb, and was therefore construed in the same case as that noun. But if a new object is introduced as subject, it is construed in the same case with the part., which case is independent of the principal verb; whence this construction is called casus absoluti.
- 2. The casus, in which such words stand, commonly is the gen., and these

genitivi absoluti

are the same as what are called in Latin ablativi consequentiæ. Their original signif. refers to time, the gen. denoting the time according to § 132, 6, 4., and just as νυκτὸς means by night, so does Ἐμοῦ καθεύδοντος ταῦτα ἐγένετο, this happened when I slept (at the time when I was sleeping): — Πάντων οῦν σιωπώντων εἶπε τοιάδε:—Μετὰ ταῦτα κυμαίνοντος ἤδη τοῦ Πελοποννησιακοῦ πολέμου ἔπεισε τὸν δῆμον, Κερκυραίοις ἀποστεῖλαι βοήθειαν. When this time is denoted by an historical person, the gen. often is attended by the prep. ἐπὶ, ex. gr. Ἐπὶ Κύρου βασιλεύοντος, (Cyro regnante,) in the reign of Cyrus. Hence ἐπὶ generally is considered as omitted, when the question is of time (see Obs. 2).

- 3. But this construction serves also for any other connexion by means of when, as, because, whilst, &c. For instance, Έπικειμένων δὲ τῶν πολεμίων τῷ πόλει, λιμὸς ἣπτετο τῶν Ῥωμαίων, when the enemy assailed the city, famine attacked the Romans; Τεθνηκότος τοῦ βασιλέως τῷ υίῷ αὐτοῦ ἐνέτυχε, the king being dead, (as the king was dead,) he addressed himself to his son; Θεοῦ διδόντος, οὐδὲν ἰσχύει χθόνος, when a god grants (a favour), envy is powerless; Τούτων οὕτως ἐχόντων, βέλτιον ἔσται περιμένειν, things being so, (as things are, in these circumstances,) it will be best to wait.
- 4. When the noun is sufficiently obvious from what was stated before, the part. may be construed alone in the gen.; Παρόντα τὸν ἡγεμόνα ἦδοῦντο, ἀπόντος δὲ ἦσέλγαινον, where the αὐτοῦ belonging to ἀπόντος is omitted, 'but when he was absent.'—Any verb used impersonally, i. e. without its subject being expressed, is construed in this connexion with a participle in casu absoluto. See Obs. 6 and 7.
 - 5. Dativi absoluti are used, partly to denote time, Xenoph.

Hell. 3, 2, 18.—Περιϊόντι τῷ ἐνιαυτῷ πάλιν φαίνουσι φρουρὰν ἐπὶ τὴν Ἡλιν, the year drawing to its close, they again called out part of the army against Elis; partly in locutions derived from the dativus instrumenti, as, for instance, Xenoph. Ages. 1. Ἔτι καὶ νῦν τοῖς προγόνοις ὀνομαζομένοις ἀπομνημονεύεται ὁποστὸς ἀφ' Ἡρακλέους ἐγένετο, naming his forefathers.

For the datives in βουλομένω, &c. with the verb είναι see
 133. Obs. 4.

Obs. 1. Strictly speaking, there are no casus absoluti but the nomin. absoluti; for, as the nomin. can only be the subject or predicate with respect to the verb, it follows that, when such nomin. are neither subject nor predicate to the verb, close to which they are, they must stand alone (absolute) for themselves. But this can happen barely through an interruption of the chain of thought, and all nomin. absoluti are, therefore, more or less belonging to the anacoluthons (see § 151, II). The practice in this respect is not steady, and isolated instances are easily explained, Xenoph. Hell. 2, 3, 54. Ἐκεῖνοι δὲ (οἱ ἕνδεκα) εἰσελθόντες σὺν τοῖς ὑπηρέταις, ἡγουμένου αὐτῶν Σατύρου, εἴπεν ὁ Κριτίας (where this construction is preferred on account of the gen. which immediately follow). Such constructions, bearing the stamp of unconstraint and energy, impart a peculiar charm to poetry, as in Homer, ὁ δἱ ἀγλαἰηφι πεποιθώς, 'Ρίμφα ε γοῦνα φέρει μετά τ' ἤθεα καὶ νομὸν ἵππων.

Obs. 2. The three other cases never can be casus absoluti in this sense; in the instances quoted above, they rather are only remote objects and relations of the verb to which they are annexed. We have seen above, § 130, that the oblique cases may in some combinations stand instead of prepositions with the case of the prep.; not that such a prep. is omitted, but the termination of the casus acts the part of a prep.; $vv\kappa\tau\dot{o}c$, $i\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha c$. Now such gen. have the same relation in sentences, like $K\dot{\nu}\rho\sigma\nu$ $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\sigma\nu\tau cc$, $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\rho\ddot{\nu}$ $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\nu}\tau cc$. And just as other forms denoting time were extended to express causal relations and others, these gen. were employed for any relation, which is expressed in English by αs , when, whilst, &c. which properly are also adverbs of time. Hence it was very natural to add in some particular instances, when the relation of time was to be more strongly stated, the prep. $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$: $\dot{\epsilon}E\pi\dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\kappa}\dot{\nu}\rho\sigma\nu$ $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\sigma\nu\tau cc$.

Obs. 3. But the common character of all these constructions is that the participle is not a modification of the noun, but an essential constituent of the thought, and that the verb in this shape forms with its subject a complete proposition, which we express by the abstract noun of the verb, in the reign of Cyrus,—in my presence. —The case is the same with the datives, which, though they should not be called casus absoluti, yet agree in this essential respect with these gen. &c.: $To \tilde{v} \sigma \hat{v} \sigma t \sigma \hat{v} \delta \omega \hat{v} \psi \hat{v}$, does not mean that serves you, who are rejoiced, or when you are rejoiced, but that serves to rejoice, delight you; and $\pi \epsilon \rho \tilde{u} \hat{v} \sigma t \hat{v} \psi \hat{v}$, not this happened in the year, when it ended, but at the end of the year.

Obs. 4. An additional modification or determination is sometimes expressed by absolute accus. or absolute nomin. Herod. 2, 41. Τοὺς βοῦς θάπτουσι, τὰ κέρατα ὑπερ-έχοντα, (so that the horns project,) 133, Ἰνα οὶ (to him) δυώδεκα ἔτεα ἀντὶ εξ ἐτέων γένηται, αὶ νύκτες ἡμέραι ποιεύμεναι (the nights being converted into days). On comparing such instances, we find that there is here properly a partial apposition; for ἡμέραι is in the nomin. on account of γένηται, and κέρατα is to be considered as accus, because of θάπτουσι.

Obs. 5. When a motive is presumed in another individual's mind, which influences him, the usual construction is the conjunction $\dot{\omega}_{\xi}$, (since,) or $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$, (as if,) and

absolute accus. or absolute gen. To eatch the right meaning of this short proposition, we must always insert a sentence in the translation; Έσιώπα, ὡς πάντας εἰδότας, οτ πάντων εἰδότων, he was silent since (he thought) all knew, &c.; Οὶ πατέρες εἴργουσι τοὺς υἰεῖς ἀπὸ τῶν πονηρῶν ἀνθρώπων, ὡς τὴν τοὐτων ὀμιλίαν διάλυσιν οὖσαν ἀρετῆς, parents keep their children from wicked men, since (they are convinced) intercourse with them is destructive of τirtue; Plato Phæd. Ἐπικελεύει μουσικὴν ποιεῖν, ὡς φιλοσοφίας μεγίστης οἴσης μουσικῆς:—Χεπ. Men. 2, 3, 3. Τῶν ἀξελφῶν ἀμελοῦσιν, ὡσπερ ἐκ τούτων οὐ γιγνομένους φίλους, as if no friends were to be made of them.

Obs. 6. Any real impersonal verb, i. e. of those which have only a mysterious subject, (§ 129, 9, 10.) becomes, as casus absolutus, the gen. sing. of the part.; Σαλπίζοντος, as (the trumpeter) sounded the trumpet, "voντος" πολλ", (from "vει πολλ", viz. "σμβρψ,)

as it rained much, Xenoph.

Obs. 7. Those impersonal verbs, or impersonal constructions, where the proposition dependent on such a verb (commonly an infin. or a sentence with "\u00f3\tilde{\u00b8}\t

1.) When it is a mere relation of time, it is the gen. This happens chiefly with pass. rerbs, denoting to say, announce, declare, and then in the gen. pl., (where τῶνδε of τάδε may be mentally supplied;) 'Ο Περικλῆς ιῷχετο ἐπὶ Καύνου, ἐσαγγελθέντων ὅτι Φοίνισσαι νῆες ἐπιπλέουσιν, as it had been announced,

(ἐσηγγέλθη,) Thuc. 1, 116.

2.) In any other combination we have the accus. neut., Εἰρημένον αὐτοῖς παρεῖναι, οὐχ ἥκουσι, they do not come, though they have been told (εἴρηται) to be present, and this is the case with all verbs commonly called impersonal; Διὰ τί μένεις, ἐξὸν ἀπιέναι; why do you stay when you are at liberty (it is allowed to you) to go? (ἔξεστιν;) ἄπειμι πάλιν, ἐκείνω δοκοῦν, (viz. ἐμὲ ἀπιέναι,) I shall go back, because it seems good to him (δοκεῖ). Plato Phæd. 28. Δὶς καὶ τρὶς τὰ αὐτὰ εἴρηκεν, ώς οὐ πάνυ εὐπορῶν—ῆ ἴσως οὐδὲν αὐτῷ μέλον τοῦ τοιούτου. Hence it happens that the part. τυχὸν (from ἔτυχεν, it happened,) is used as adverb, properly since it so happened, by chance, and consequently also perhaps. Even the adj. δυυατὸν (with the part. δν omitted) is used thus, Plato Rep. 7. p. 519, d. Ποιήσομεν χεῖρον ζῷν, δυνατὸν αὐτοῖς ἄμεινον (viz. ζῷν), νε will contrive that they shall live worse, while they might have lived better. Herm. ad Viger. n. 214.

§ 146.—Of the Particles.

- 1. Adverbs partly have, like the adj. which they resemble, the noun, to which they refer in a particular case, 'Αξίως ἡμῶν πολεμήσομεν (we will carry on the war in a manner worthy of ourselves). They do the same in the compar. and superl.; Μάλιστα πάντων, most of all; Οἱ πένητες τῶν εὐδαιμόνων μᾶλλον δύνανται ἐσθίειν τε καὶ καθεύδειν, (better than the rich,) see § 132, 5, 4.
- 2. Prepositions properly are adv. having a constant reference to a noun, (while with adverbs this is only partially the case,) and merely denoting a certain relation without any other power or energy. Thus we have particles, which sometimes are mere adverbs, and sometimes real prepositions. For instance,

δμοῦ and ἄμα both signify together as adverbs; but they frequently are construed with the dative, (like the kindred prep. σὺν,) and they then, as prep., signify together with. Others, and especially the adverbs of time and place, govern the gen.; ἐγγὺς, near, ἐγγύς τινος, close by one; χωρὶς, apart, separately, χωρίς τινος, except one; δίχα, doubly, apart, δίχα τινὸς, apart from, i. e. without; εὐθὺ, straight-forward, εὐθύ τινος, in the way to meet one, Lat. obviam, (see § 117, 1.) &c. There is a great difference between the particle $ω_{\varsigma}$, 1.) when adverb and conjunction signifying that, as, &c. of which see § 149; and 2.) when a prep. signifying to in answer to the question whither? but referring constantly to persons ¹, Εἰσῆλθεν $ω_{\varsigma}$ ἐμὲ, he came in to me, ἀνήχθησαν $ω_{\varsigma}$ τὸν βασιλέα, they went (journeyed) to the king.

3. There are adverbs referring to verbs, and whole propositions, which serve to connect two sentences, especially the relative; Παρέσομαι ὁπότε κελεύσεις, I shall be there, whenever you bid me. This is the origin of conjunctions; for the construction of which with regard to the different moods of the verb, see § 139.

Obs. 1. "Αχρι and μέχρι properly signify at once, at a continuance, without interruption; in connexion with a verb, 'as far as, until,' in connexion with a gen. 'continually up to,' or simply 'until.'—Πλην means except, and may be connected with a proposition, $\pi\lambda\eta\nu$ εί, except if; but it also takes the gen.: Πλην πάνυ δλίγων, with

the exception of very few.

Obs. 2. Strictly speaking, those particles only, which commonly are used alone, and without any reference, should be called adverbs; those, which usually are construed with a particular case of the noun, prepositions; and those, which generally connect whole propositions, conjunctions. Thus, for instance, $\check{a}\nu \epsilon v$ and $\check{a}\tau \epsilon \rho$, without, $\check{\epsilon}\nu \check{\omega}\pi \iota ov$, before, (in later authors,) and $\chi \check{a}\rho \iota v$, on account of, (the latter of which, like the Latin gratia, almost always comes after the gen., as well as $\check{\epsilon}\nu \epsilon \kappa a$,) and others, are real prepositions governing the gen. It is true that $\chi \check{a}\rho \iota v$ properly is a sulst. 2 as well as $\check{\epsilon}\iota \kappa \eta v$, in the manner of, Lat. 'instar' ($\check{\epsilon}\iota \kappa \eta v \pi \sigma a \mu \check{\omega} v$, and the like); such words are not adverbial subst., but rather subst. which are become prep. Those mentioned above never occur without the case of a noun, and therefore are still more justly called prepositions, than some of the single words thus named, which sometimes are employed adverbially without governing any case (§ 147. Obs. 8).

4. Some adverbs serve at the same time as adj.: Πλησίον

² Hence poets also say ἐμὴν, σὴν χάριν, (for my sake, your sake,) instead of ἐμοῦ,

σοῦ χάριν.

¹ Modern critics make it evident that, whenever passages occur in good writers, in which $\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$ does not refer to persons, they are probably corrupt; $\epsilon i_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the correct reading in such instances. The particle $\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$, however, is not one of the old preparticly so called, which are stated in the following Section; it does not make any compound verb: see § 115, 2.

ἐστὶ, σῖγά ἐστι, he is near, he is silent (see § 129. Obs. 7). That particles by being construed with the article become nouns, has been noticed above, § 125, 6, 7.

§ 147.—Of the Prepositions.

1. Besides those mentioned in the preceding Section, the following are the old prep. expressive of locality in general, with their cases:

 $\dot{a}\nu\tau$ ì, $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$, $(\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$,) $\pi\rho\dot{o}$ govern the gen.

 $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$, the dat.

àνà, εἰς¹, the accus.

διά, κατά, ὑπὲρ, the gen. and accus.

ἀμφὶ, ἐπὶ, μετὰ, παρὰ, περὶ, πρὸς, ὑπὸ, the gen. dative and accus.

2. Those, which govern different cases, generally have the accus. to the question whither? and the dat. to the question where? The gen. suits most significations, but chiefly conveys the idea of a removal (from off, out).

Obs. 1. The simplest and easiest of the above prepositions, in point of signif.

 $a\pi\delta$, from, of, ξ , out of, from, ϵlc , in, into, to the question whither, $\xi \nu$, in, to the question where, $\pi\rho\delta$, before, $\sigma\delta\nu$, with,

Obs. 2. The signif. of the following prepositions must be more particularly remembered:—

άνὰ is originally up, (compare ἄνω, and the compounds,) and in this sense it is also construed with the dative by the poets (Il. a. 15. Od. λ . 128). But the most usual signif. in prose is in, on, through, both in point of time and place; 'Ανὰ πᾶσαν τὴν γῆν, all over the country; 'Η φήμη ἦλθεν ἀνὰ τὴν πόλιν, the report spread through the city; Οἱ ἀνὰ τὸ πεδίον, those in the open fields; 'Ανὰ πᾶσαν τὴν ἡμέραν, the whole day through. But when there is no article, and πᾶς consequently is tantamount to ἕκαστος, (§ 127, 6.) it refers to a number of days, years, &c.: ἀνὰ πᾶσαν ἡμέραν, daily, every day; Cyrop. 1, 2, 8. ἀνὰ πᾶν ἔτος, every year, Herod. 5, 114.

άντὶ, as a single prep., has lost its original meaning of towards, against, (see

¹ See about the prep. $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$, § 146, 2.

Obs. 10. about compounds,) and most commonly signifies instead of, for, in the sense of exchanging, bartering, buying, valuing, &c.

διὰ τοῦ, through, in point of space and of ways and means;—διὰ τὸν, on account of, Διὰ Λακεδαιμονίους ἔφυγον, they were driven into exile on account of the Lacedæmonians; Δ ιὰ σὲ ἢλθον, I came for your sake; but also through as a cause, Δ ιὰ τοὺς θεοὺς εὖ πράττω, through the gods I am doing well, I am well off.

μετὰ τὸν, after, Lat. post; -μετὰ τοῦ, with; -μετὰ τῷ, only with poets among, Lat. inter.

άμφὶ and περὶ τὸν, about, to both questions where and whither, for the dat. to the question where is rather uncommon. Hence they denote any approximation; 'Aμφὶ τὰ ὅρη ἐγένετο, he was about the mountain; and ἀμφὶ or περὶ τι or τινὰ ἔχειν or είναι mean to belong to something, to be busy about something, &c. (§ 150, ἔχειν): — περὶ τῷ conveys the idea of care, and is used with the verbs to fear, contend, to be easy, &c.: —ἀμφὶ and περὶ τοῦ mean of, Lat. de, to talk about something; further φοβεῖσθαι, φιλονεικεῖν περὶ τινος, and the like. But ἀμφὶ is less frequently used in this sense than περί.

 $\dot{v}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ τον, over, beyond, Lat. supra, ultra: $-\dot{v}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ τοῦ, over, above. Hence the latter also conveys the idea of for, chiefly in the sense of protection, defence, or care, &c. $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\tau\tau\epsilon\nu$, $\epsilon\dot{l}\pi\epsilon\dot{l}\nu$ $\dot{v}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ τοῦ κοινοῦ, to act, speak for the public welfare; $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\theta\alpha\nu\epsilon\dot{l}\nu$ $\dot{v}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ τοῦ φίλου, to die for one's friend.

Obs. 3. That the gen. preferably conveys the idea of proceding from is particularly evident from these three prep. $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$, $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} c$, $\dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\alpha}$.—With the accus. and dat. they retain their principal signif., but with the gen. they are mostly to be rendered of or from. The following particulars must be remembered about them, viz.

παρὰ τὸν, to, towards; but to the question where, near, close by. It is also the Latin præter, besides; "Εχειν ὄψον παρὰ τὸν ἄρτον, to have regetables besides bread; Έπόνει παρὰ τοὺς ἄλλους, he performed more labour than the others; Ταῦτά ἐστι παρὰ τοὺς τῶν θεῶν θεσμοὺς, that is against the divine laws, against the order of things established by the gods; Παρὰ δόξαν, beyond expectation (Lat. præter opinionem):— Παρὰ τῷ is merely near;—παρὰ τοῦ is from with the verbs 'to come, bring, receive, learn,' &c. and sometimes with the pass. (§ 134, 3.)

 $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$, to, near, has preferably the accus. to the question whither, and the dat. to the question where: $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$ $\tau\delta\nu$ is towards in both senses; $-\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$ $\tau\delta\nu$, from, by, with the verbs 'to hear, to be praised or blamed,' and frequently with the pass. (§ 134, 3.)— It is also used in the form of asseverations; $\Pi\rho\delta\varsigma$ $\tau\delta\nu$ $\theta\epsilon\delta\nu$, by the gods!

Obs. 4. The prep. $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$ and $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$ require the most careful attention:

that the gen. is also used to the question whither in the sense of to:—'Επορεύοντο $k\pi$ ì Σάρδεων:—'Ανήγοντο (they sailed) $k\pi$ ì τῆς Χίον:—'Απέπλευσεν $k\pi$ ' οἴκον:— $k\pi$ ì τῷ frequently fixes the time by some contemporary circumstance, and more especially by a contemporary person;— $k\phi$ ' $\eta\mu\omega\nu$, in our time, (compare above § 145, 2.) $k\pi$ ì τῶν $\eta\mu\epsilon$ τέρων προγόνων:— $k\pi$ ì τῷ, in point of situation, denotes close by; $k\pi$ ì τῷ τάφρφ, on the brink of the grave; Πύργους $k\pi$ ì τῷ ποταμῷ οἰκοδομεῖ. Like πρὸς it denotes to, in addition to; 'Επὶ πᾶσι τούτοις πληγάς $k\nu$ ετεινέ μοι. Very often it means purpose, condition; παρασκευάζεσθαι $k\pi$ ί τινι, to prepare for something; 'Επὶ $k\pi$ αίνφ πάντα πόνον ὑπεδύετο:—'Επὶ τούτοις εἰρήνην $k\pi$ οιήσαντο, on those conditions they made peace (compare below § 150. $k\phi$ ' ϕ). Lastly, $k\pi$ ì τ ϕ expresses power in such phrases as $k\phi$ η μῖν $k\sigma$ ιὶ, it rests with us. See examples § 129, 1. § 142, 3. The accus, too often denotes purpose, but mostly with this difference, $k\lambda\theta$ εῖν $k\pi$ ì τούτ ϕ , to effect it, $k\lambda\theta$ εῖν $k\pi$ ì τούτο, to fetch it.

κατά. The principal meaning of this prep., as appears from the comparison of κάτω and its compounds, is motion from above downwards, with the gen. down from; Κατὰ τῶν πετρῶν ῥίπτειν τινὰ, to throw one down from the rocks; "Ηλλοντο κατὰ τοῦ τείχους. It is also under in connexions like ἀφανίζεσθαι κατὰ τῆς θαλάσσης, (to sink under,) Herod. 7, 6. Κατὰ τοῦ, however, occurs more frequently in the signif. of against, chiefly with the verbs 'to speak, think,' and the like; εἰπεῖν τὸ ἀληθὲς κατά τινος, to speak the truth against one, give true evidence. It is less rarely used in the sense of praising, "Επαινος κατὰ τοῦ ὄνου, Plato

Phædr. 260.

 $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$ denotes any locality in general, when the particulars of the situation are not to be, or cannot be, attended to, or when they are sufficiently known; κατά γῆν καὶ κατὰ θάλατταν, by land and by sea; οἱ κατὰ τὴν ᾿Ασίαν ὑπὸ βασιλεῖ ουτες, those who are in Asia under the king. Hence, in general, time, place, and circumstances, which are obvious, are denoted by κατά, Οίκοῦσι κατά κώμας, they dwell in villages, Lat. vicatim; 'Εσκήνουν κατά τάξεις, they were encamped in battle-array; hence κατὰ δύο, two by two; Ταῦτα μὲν ἐγένετο κατὰ τὴν νόσον, this happened during the illness; Κατὰ ταύτην την διαφοράν οντων Λακεδαιμονίων πρός 'Αθηvaiovs, during the dispute of the Lacedamonians with the Athenians; Ai κατά τὸ σωμα ήδοναὶ, the pleasures of the body; Κατά πάντα τετρύχωνται, they are in every respect worn out, and many other relations of this kind. Κατὰ frequently is the Latin 'secundum,' according to, Κατά τοῦτον τὸν λόγον ἄμεινόν ἐστιν ὧδε, according to this statement it is better thus; Κατὰ Πλάτωνα, according to Plato, i. e. as Plato says: Κατὰ τὴν κάθετον, perpendicularly; Ποιήσω κατὰ τὰ τοῦ βασιλέως γράμματα, I shall act according to the king's letter; Δέομαι αὐτοῦ κατὰ πάντα τρόπον, I want him every way, need his assistance in any way.

Obs. 5. The prep. εἰς referring to persons signifies to, but always meaning the person's dwelling, Hom. εἰς ᾿Αγαμέμνονα, to Agamemnon, to his tent; καλέει τέ μιν εἰς ε̈ εκαστος, every one invites him to his house; Lysias, Εἰσελθών εἰς τὸν πατέρα τὸν ἐμὸν, coming to my futher's house. Εἰς with the elliptical gen. (§ 132. Obs. 9.)

means more generally, into the house of Alcibiades, &c.

Obs. 6. The prep. εν is also used by the Dorians instead of είς, with the accus. (Pind. Pyth. 2, 21. 5, 50. See Greg. Cor. Dor. 159, with the Notes.)—See

about $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$ employed seemingly for $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, § 151. I. 8.

Obs. 7. We shall see below, § 151. III. 1, 6, that prep. are sometimes separated from their cases by an intercalation. Sometimes the object of a prep. seems to be wanting, when a reference has taken its place; Soph. Philoct. 469. $\pi\rho\delta_{\mathcal{G}}$ $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\delta_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\pi\rho\delta_{\mathcal{G}}$ ϵ'' τi σi $\epsilon'\sigma \iota \nu$ $\phi i\lambda \sigma \nu$, where ϵ'' $\tau \iota$ (according to § 149. ϵi ,) is used for $\delta \tau \iota$, and the requisite gen. to $\pi\rho\delta_{\mathcal{G}}$ ($\pi\alpha\nu\tau\delta_{\mathcal{G}}$) is wanting, by the father, (I implore thee,) by—if any thing be dear to thee, i. e. by all which is dear to thee.

Obs. 8. And sometimes the object is not expressed, because it would be repeating

what had gone before; most languages then make an adverb of the prep. (wherein, therein, &c.) and if the prep. itself is used, it is only adverbially. In prose this is done in Greek only with the prep. $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$, ex. gr. $\kappa\alpha$ 1 $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$, $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$, and besides, &c., and by the Ionians and later writers also with $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$: $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$, but afterwards. But poets have also $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}$, along with it, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, within, and the like, and the prep. $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}$ or $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\iota$, frequently is with the Epics an adverb: very much, very (§ 117. Obs. 3).

Obs. 9. All compounds with prep. originated in this manner. They all consist of a radical word with a prep. taken adverbially: $\delta\iota \alpha\beta\alpha\iota\nu\omega$, I pass through, cross over, and the like, § 121, 2. The meaning of all such compounds is easily discovered from the signif. of the prep. We will only remark that the compounds with $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau$ i chiefly convey the idea of opposition, against; $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota\tau\dot{\alpha}\tau\tau\epsilon\iota\nu$, to oppose, $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota\dot{\alpha}\epsilon\iota\nu$, to contradict:—those with $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}$ mean up, and those with $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$, $\dot{\alpha}\omega\tau\dot{\alpha}$, which are not clearly obvious through the meaning of the prep., we must particularly notice

άμφὶ, when it means on both sides; ἀμφίβολος, ambiguous, equivocal; ἀνὰ, frequently means back again; ἀναπλεῖν, to sail back;

διά, conveys the idea of the Lat. 'dis,' separation, taking apart or aside; διασπᾶν, to pull asunder, διαζευγνύναι, Lat. 'disjungere,' to disjoin, separate;

έν, frequently answers the question whither; ἐγχεῖν, to pour into;

κατά, most commonly conveys the idea of accomplishing; καταπράττειν, Lat. 'perficere,' to accomplish; στρέφειν, to turn; καταστρέφειν, to finish; πιμπράναι, to burn; καταπιμπράναι, to consume by fire. Hence the idea of ruin, destruction; κατακυβεύειν τὴν οὐσίαν, to lose one's fortune at dice. In both it corresponds to the Latin per, Germ. ver.

μετὰ, denotes transposition, change, Lat. trans; μεταβιβάζειν, to transfer, to

remove; μετανοείν, to change one's mind;

παρὰ, sometimes signifies, like the Lat. præter, the idea of missing, doing amiss, in some compounds, as παραβαίνειν τοὺς νόμους, to transgress the laws: παρορᾶν, to see wrong, overlook: παράσπονδος, who violates a treaty (σπονδαί).

Obs. 10. It is because prep. are properly adverbs in compound words, that poets frequently separate the prep. from their rerbs by putting other words between, which is called a tmesis, διά τε ρήξασθαι ἐπάλξεις, for καὶ διαρρήξασθαι, and even in the Ionic prose, especially by ων instead of ουν, Herod. 2, 39. 'Aπ' ων ἔδοντο, for ἀπέδουτο οὖυ. Homer, in particular, parts the prep. so completely from its verb, and lets it follow after, that the prep. sometimes comes before a case or noun on which it has no influence; πόλεμον περί τόνδε φυγόντες, for περιφυγόντες τόνδε τὸν πόλεμον: --ἐκ θυμὸν ἐλέσθαι, for ἐξελέσθαι θυμόν: --κατὰ βοῦς ὑπερίονος 'Ηελίοιο "Ησθιον: $-\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\alpha}$ ριζον $\dot{\alpha}\pi'$ $\ddot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\epsilon\alpha$, (more correctly $\ddot{\alpha}\pi$ ο, see § 117. Obs. 3.) for ἀπενάριζον ἔντεα, and the like. The reading of Homer becomes less difficult by assuming that he has no compound verbs, only simple ones, with adverbial prep. more or less distant from the verb. Hence the Ionic prose, in the moving repetition, which we shall state below in § 150, under $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, merely repeats the prep. instead of the compound verb, Herod. 3, 126. 'Ο δὲ κατὰ μὲν ἔκτεινε Μιτροβάτεα—κατὰ δὲ τὸν Μιτροβάτεω παῖδα. Even in the Attic prose the intercalation of the limiting τi , (somewhat, in some degree,) coming after the prep. $\dot{v}\pi\dot{o}$, (Lat. Sub, a little,) which limits or diminishes the signif. of adj., belongs hither; ὑπό τι ἀσεβὲς, somewhat irreligious, ὑπό τι ἄτοπον, and the like. Heind. ad Plat. Phædr. 43.

Obs. 11. But even in common prose there are some prep., which must be considered as separate and distinct, though in compounds. This is particularly the case with $\pi\rho \delta g$ and $\sigma \acute{\nu}\nu$. Any repb, whether a compound or not, may receive the addition of either of these prep. merely to show that the thing happened in addition

to something, $(\pi\rho \circ \varsigma)$, or in connexion with some one clse, $(\sigma \circ \nu \tau \iota \nu \iota)$, συστρατεύομαί σοι, I go to war along with you; Συνεξαιρεῖ αὐτοῖς Σελλασίαν, helps them to conquer Sellasia (Xenoph. Hell. 7, 4, 12). 'Αλλὰ καὶ προσδιέβαλὲ με, but he has slandered me into the bargain. Other prep. are less frequently used in this way; ἐμμελετᾶν, ἐγγυμνάζεσθαι, to exercise or practise, Plato Phædr. 5. 'Ο Φειδίας εἰργάσατο τὴν 'Αθηνᾶν ἐνεργολαβεῖν καὶ ἐνεπιορκεῖν Δημοσθένει, Phidias made his Minerva for Demosthenes to benefit by her, and to commit perjury in her name (Æsch. c. Ctes.). Thus ἀποπολεμεῖν, a compound, which perhaps occurs no where else, means in Plato (Phædr. 260.) in reference to a horse, to fight on horseback, i. e. down from the horse, being seated on it; ἀποζῆν, to live on (see below, § 150. ὅσον). And προσαναρπάζειν, Demosth. Mid. 35. προφείλειν, προαδικεῖν, ibid. 23. All other compounds with prep. or other words were regulated by practice, or introduced when a compound idea was particularly called for.

Obs. 12. And not only in the above-mentioned compound expressions, but also frequently in others, the prep. governs, under certain combinations, its own proper casus, though compounded; ἐνεῖναί τινι, to be in or within something; ἀφεστάναι τινος, to be separated or disjoined from something; ἀπεπήδησαν Σωκράτους, they deserted Socrates, and the like;—whilst in the higher styles of writing, the prep. is repeated again before the case or noun. This occurs frequently in Homer, and confirms what we observed before, that each compound verb in Homer must be considered as separated, so that the prep. sometimes is merely an adverb, which it properly is, as we have seen above, and sometimes a real prep., Il. ψ. 121. ἔκδεον

ημιόνων, they fastened (the wood), so that it hung down from the mules.

Obs. 13. That the Greek prep., on changing their accents, are sometimes placed after their case or noun in the anastrophe, and sometimes used instead of compounds with $\epsilon l \nu a \iota$, has been already remarked above, § 117, 3. To this must be added the inversion of monosyllabic prep., as $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$, § 13, 4. Apr $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\nu\delta\iota$ $\dot{\xi}\nu r$, Hom.

§ 148.—Of the Negative Particles.

- 1. There are in Greek two simple negative particles, \vec{ov}_{κ} and $\mu \hat{\eta}$, with which all other more decided negations are compounded. Any proposition, in which one or more of these negations occur, negatives generally in the same manner as if the simple negative particle was used alone. Hence whatever is observed about \vec{ov}_{κ} applies alike to \vec{ov}_{δ} , \vec{ov}_{δ} , and it is the same with $\mu \hat{\eta}$, $\mu \eta \delta \hat{ev}_{\delta}$, &c.
- 2. There is, however, a great difference in the use of $o\dot{\nu}\kappa$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$, and of their respective compounds, which requires an attentive study, and of which we can only give the general basis ¹.

¹ Compare Hermann's view of this distinction, which he has ingeniously developed, ad Viger. n. 267. He states it as a general principle that obx always denies the thing, and $\mu\eta$ only the representation, which is made of it, or that ob denies objectively, and $\mu\eta$ subjectively. I readily acknowledge that this theory may comprise the greatest number of instances where these negative particles are employed, and that nothing can be more useful or more calculated to sharpen the intellect in grammatical investigations than soberly to pursue such a philosophical principle, and even to endeavour to find it confirmed. But, at the same time, I must confess that I have not yet succeeded in bending every occurring instance so completely to this rule, as to render it impossible not to bend others, which do not occur, equally to it. A comparison with my statements will show that I have made use of

- a. Οὐκ is a straightforward and absolute negation, which denies directly; οὐκ ἐθέλω, οὐ φιλῶ, I will not, I do not love; οὐκ ἀγαθόν ἐστιν, οὐδεὶς παρῆν, &c. In any independent proposition, in which there is something directly denied, μὴ, μηδεὶς, never can be used.—Yet a proposition with οὐ may also be uncertain, Οὐκ ἂν βουλοίμην, I should not like; or interrogative, Τί γὰρ οὐ πάρεστι; why then is he not here?
- b. Mη is altogether a mere dependent negative. Hence it is used in all propositions which state a negation not as a fact, but as something dependent on the conception or representation of any subject. Thus it is used in negative conditions and suppositions; Οὐ λήψομαι, εἰ μη σὺ κελεύεις:—Εἰ τι τῶν τότε νῦν μη ἀξιόχρεων δοκεῖ εἶναι, ἐῶμεν, if any of these objects should now appear insignificant, we will let it alone. Thus μη is always joined with εἰ, if, ἐὰν, ῆν, ὅταν, ἐπειδὰν, ἕως ᾶν, &c. because all these speak of a thing not as a matter of fact, but as a supposition; and it is joined with ὅτε, ὁπότε, &c. whenever they are in a similar predicament. But ἐπεὶ, ἐπειδη, (as, after,) take οὐ, because they always refer to facts, Il. φ. 95. Μή με κτεῖν', ἐπεὶ οὐχ ὁμογάστριος "Εκτορός εἰμι².

Hermann's views. To devise a better basis was not in my power, yet I did not wish to force into it what in my opinion is not susceptible of admission. My collateral remarks may be studied with those of Hermann, or be thrown into the shade.

lateral remarks may be studied with those of Hermann, or be thrown into the shade.

² We meet with passages in which \$\epsilon\$ is construed with \$\oldsymbol{o}\text{.}\$ I am not inclined to lay any stress on a few Epic passages like \$It\$. o. 162. \$Ot\$. \$\epsilon\$. 274, because I think them sufficiently accounted for by the observation, that the strict rules of grammar were not completely settled at the time when those passages were written. The case is widely different with regard to examples from the Attics. Hermann \$(ad Viger. n. 309, p. 890; and \$ad Eurip\$. \$Med\$. p. 344, 361.\$) explains the latter by observing that \$\oldsymbol{o}\text{is}\$ is not used by itself, but forms a compound or collective idea with the word which follows. I admit this explanation in passages like Soph. \$Ajac\$. 1131. \$\text{Ei}\$ τούς θανόντας οὐκ ἐξναὶ in the comico-philosophic passage of Athen. 3. p. 99. But I think this explanation admissible only in expressions where the negation is, according to a long standing usage, employed, as here, to denote the contrary, so that they may be considered as a kind of compounds with oὐκ. This appears to have become the rule with οὕ φημι, οὐ φάσκειν, so that even 'ἐἀν was joined to it. See below, § 148. \$Obs. 2\$. In other cases, where the unconditional οὐκ is used preferably to μη, this preference must have a sensible foundation in the context. Thus in the example taken from Andocides de \$Myst\$. p. 5. \$\text{Ei}\$ δὲ δὲδὲν ἡμάρτηταί μοι, καὶ τοῦτο ὑμῖν ἀποδείκνυμι σαφῶς, δέομαι ὑμῶν αὐτὸ φανερὸν τοῖς Ἑλλησι πᾶτι ποιῆσαι, it is obviously the speaker's intention most positively to assert his innocence, since, as is well known to every body, I have not failed in any thing. In Eurip. \$Med\$. 87. \$\text{Ei}\$ τούσδὲ (his children) γ' εὐνῆς οὔνεκ' οὐ στέργει πατήρ, the οὐ στέργει expresses this relation as a notorious one, and εἰ refers merely to the stated cause, εὐνῆς οὔνεκα. The case is different with the three examples in Herm. \$ad Medeam\$, p. 344, 361. They all three belong to the

SYNTAX. 415

c. As intention also has its foundation merely in the conception of the speaker, un is constantly used with the particles ίνα, ώς, ὅπως, ὥστε, whenever they really announce a purpose or design. It is also employed in any proposition containing a wish, request, or prohibition. In all these instances it answers to the Latin ne, and begins the proposition like this, the idea of a wish being mentally understood, Μη γένοιτο, may it not happen!

d. Any thing, which is stated in sermone obliquo as the opinion, argument, or supposition of another, appears indeed to be a dependent proposition; but as it distinguishes itself only in form from a direct assertion, common usage prefers our in most instances, and we meet with Νομίζει οὐ καλὸν είναι, -Οὐκ ἐθέλειν φησὶν, and the like. M $\hat{\eta}$, however, may be used in many such instances; Xenoph. Hell. 3, 2, 19, Ἐνόμισαν αὐτὸν μὴ βούλεσθαι μᾶλλον ἢ μὴ δύνασθαι, and the dependent or indirect question with εl, whether, is commonly attended by μή.

e. To the conditions and suppositions mentioned at (b.) belong likewise all pronouns relative, when they refer not to defined objects, but barely to the conceptions of the mind. We thus have, for instance, Οὐδεὶς λήψεται χρήματα, ὅστις μὴ παρέσται, no one shall receive money who is not present; but, Οὖτοί εἰσιν, οδ οὐδ' ότιοῦν τοὺς

construction with $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ stated below, the second half of which propositions is constantly the real object of the thought, while the first is barely its counterpart or contrast. We transcribe the passage of Thuc. 1, 121, rerbatim:—

"Η δεινὸν ᾶν είη, εί οἱ μὲν ἐκείνων ζύμμαχοι ἐπὶ δουλεία τῷ αὐτῶν φέροντες οὐκ

άπεροῦσιν, ήμεῖς δὲ ἐπὶ τῷ τιμωρούμενοι τοὺς ἐχθροὺς καὶ αὐτοὶ ἄμα σώζεσθαι,

ούκ ἄρα δαπανήσομεν.

The $o\dot{v}\kappa$ here in the first proposition is necessary and natural, because the fact is notorious; but in the second proposition our is indeed strange and extraordinary, notorious; but in the second proposition box is indeed strange and extractanally, the matter being actually considered as impossible, in which case $\mu \eta$ appears as necessary as in the similar proposition below, § 149. (Aloχρόν ἐστιν εἰ — μηδὲ τοὺς λόγους — —.) The case is the same with the two passages of two very different writers quoted by Hermann, p. 361, which introduce similar double proposition with the same with the two passages of two very different writers quoted by Hermann, p. 361, which introduce similar double proposition with the same with the two passages of two very different writers quoted by Hermann, p. 361, which introduce similar double proposition. sitions with δεινον εί, in the second of which οὐ appears equally strange and extraordinary. There must be a general cause for it, which I consider to be this: the proposition $\tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon\iota\nu\dot{\rho}\nu$ ϵi , which expresses some astonishment, insensibly becomes, after proposition $\tilde{\epsilon}_{k\nu}\tilde{\nu}\nu$ ϵl , which expresses some astonishment, insensibly becomes, after the first half, an angry interrogative expressive of the utmost surprise, by means of $o\tilde{\nu}$. Hence the proposition in Thuc. closes with the question, $o\tilde{\nu}\kappa$ $\tilde{\alpha}\rho\alpha$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\alpha\pi\alpha\nu\hat{\eta}\sigma\sigma-\mu\epsilon\nu$; in Herod. 7, 9, with "Ελληνας $\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}-o\tilde{\nu}$ $\tau\iota\mu\omega\rho\eta\sigma\delta\mu\epsilon\theta\alpha$; and in Andocides de Myst. 13. Έν $\tilde{\nu}\mu\tilde{\nu}\nu$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}-o\tilde{\nu}$ $\sigma\omega\theta\hat{\eta}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$; which appears to me a very natural ethos. Criticism will be able thus to account for other similar cases which are yet unexplained. In Herod. 6, 9, ($\epsilon\tilde{\iota}-o\tilde{\nu}$ $\pi\sigma\iota\hat{\eta}\sigma\sigma\nu\sigma\iota$,) the MSS. have $\mu\hat{\eta}$. In Eurip. Cyclop. 428. $\epsilon\tilde{\kappa}r$ $\sigma\tilde{\nu}$ χο $\tilde{\eta}\xi\epsilon\tau\epsilon$, $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}$ has the signif. of whether, which is susceptible of both constructions. See the Note to Plato Meno 23, and Herm. ad Eurip. Med. p. 344, where in the passage quoted from Plato Protag. 77. $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}$ $\sigma\tilde{\nu}$ $\tilde{\nu}$ $\tilde{\nu$ πολεμίους βλάπτουσι, Cyrop. 6, 1, 28, positively, these are those who do not hurt the enemy in the least.

- f. Hence all those short phrases, which may be considered as one of those dependent constructions, are always stated by un only. Thus in particular the articulus prapositivus as an abbreviation of the pronoun relative with the verb είναι, ex. gr. τὰ μὴ καλὰ, i.e. ἄτινα μὴ καλά έστιν, whatever is not beautiful. Thus Anab. 4, 4, 15, it is said of a veracious man, that he always stated $\tau \hat{a}$ $\mu \hat{n}$ ὄντα ως οὐκ ὄντα. Here τὰ μὴ ὄντα is the abbreviation of the dependent proposition, ariva un no, what was not, untruth; but οὐκ ὄντα is the participle of the positive and direct negation, οὐκ ἔστι: for, as a finite verb, it would necessarily be, τὰ μὴ ὄντα οὐκ ἔστιν (what is not, is not).—Again, the participles, even without an article, whenever they are an abbreviation of one of the above constructions; "Ηδιον αν έχρώμην τῷ 'Αλκιβιάδη μηδέν κεκτημένω, I should be better pleased with my intimacy with Alcibiades, if he were not wealthy, (εὶ μηδὲν ἐκέκτητο,) but οὐδεν κεκτημένω, who has no fortune.
- g.) Any negation governed by another verb, is also a dependent one: hence all infin. (except those mentioned at d. as being in sermone obliquo,) are most generally construed with μη, of which the reason is already apparent in part from what we have stated above, since most infin. may be converted into such propositions; Τὸ μη τιμᾶν γέροντας ἀνόσιόν ἐστι, if one does not honour,—consequently it is a supposition. But even when the negation is positive, the infin. yet takes μη, ex. gr. Τὸ μη πεισθῆναί μοι αἴτιόν σοι τῶν κακῶν (that thou didst not believe me). Thus μη comes not only after δέομαι, κελεύω, ὑπισχνοῦμαι, &c. but also after δεῖ, ἀνάγκη, and the like, even when these words do not denote any obligation or necessity dependent on the will of any individual, but bare physical necessity.
- h.) Most instances, respecting which some grammarians pretend that οὐ denies whole propositions, and μὴ only parts of a proposition, may be brought under this rule; Τίς οὖν τρόπος τοῦ καλῶς τε καὶ μὴ γράφειν; Here indeed μὴ denies merely the καλῶς, but even alone the sentence would be, Τίς οὖν τρόπος τοῦ μὴ καλῶς γράφειν, and the

μη is merely used in consequence of the dependence of the infin. γράφειν: complete it is, Τίς οῦν τρόπος, εἴ τις βούλεται μη καλῶς γράφειν; (See also similar propositions, § 151. IV. 3.) Thus in the question, ਜρα δεῖ με παραγενέσθαι, η μή; the latter means, ' or must I not?' the μη then denies merely the infin. παραγενέσθαι: the force of δεῖ is not destroyed, but I am obliged not to be present; if the words were η οὐ, the δεῖ would be denied, η οὐ δεῖ; or is it not necessary? Plato Phædr. 70. Τούτοις ἔξεστι μὲν πείθεσθαι, ἔξεστι δὲ μή.

Obs. 1. It is, however, frequently at the option of the speaker, whether for the sake of distinctness, distinction, or emphasis, he treats a negation, which in itself is dependent, as if it were a direct negation involved in the construction; and vice versâ, many a positive negation implied in a construction with the part. may on that account be expressed with $\mu \dot{\eta}$. We meet with an instance of the latter in Demosth. pro Cor. 276. Ἡν δὲ (ὁ Φίλιππος) οὔτ' ἐν τῆ θαλάττη τότε κρείττων ὑμῶν, οὕτ' είς τήν Αττικήν έλθεῖν δυνατός, μήτε Θετταλῶν ἀκολουθούντων, μήτε Θηβαίων διϊέντων: the latter part means, as neither did the Thessalonians follow him, nor the Thebans grant to him a passage. This comprises facts, and the negation is not dependent on any conception of the mind or any thing else; in any other case the part. would have been construed with οὖτε. But οὔτε had gone before, and as in Greek, (as we shall see below, Text 6.) when, after a negation, the same negative particle is repeated, it always refers again to the first-mentioned circumstance, the meaning, if we had here o $\tilde{v}\tau\varepsilon$ instead of $\mu\dot{\eta}\tau\varepsilon$, would necessarily be, Philip could not come to Attica, neither in case the Thessalians followed him, nor if a passage were granted to him by the Thebans. It is therefore merely by way of a distinction from the preceding $o\tilde{v}\tau\varepsilon$, that we have here $\mu\dot{\eta}\tau\varepsilon$ in a negation, which, though not dependent, is yet subordinate.

Obs. 2. The particles οὐκ and μή have in some expressions the power not only of denying, but even of asserting the contrary. Thus in particular οὐ πάνν must not be rendered not quite, but by no means; οὕ φημι is not I do not say, but I say not, deny; Οὐκ ἔφασαν ἴέναι, they refused to go; Οὐχ ὑπισχνοῦντο συνδειπνήσειν, they declined the invitation, Xenoph. Symp. 1, 7; Οὐχ ὑπεδέκετο, refused, Herod. 3, 50. That μὴ should have this property in a dependent proposition, appears to be the case only in later writers; Plut. in Gryllo, 1. Αν δὲ μὴ φῶσιν. In Plato Apol Socr. p. 25. (§ 12.) Bekker has restored from the best MSS. οὐ φῆτε, instead of ἐάν τε—μὴ φῆτε, so that even οὐ itself comes after ἐάν (compare above, the Note

to p. 415). Lysias in Agor. 137, always had, 'Εάν δ' οὐ φάσκη.

Obs. 3. Both οὐκ and μη are put immediately before subst. to render them negative, and make a kind of compound words (compare the same case with other adverbs, above, ξ 125, 6). For instance, $\dot{\eta}$ οὐκ ἀπόδειξις, $\dot{\eta}$ οὐ διάλυσις, the non-demonstration, non-dissolution, non-destruction; $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ μη εἴδεα, the non-species; $\dot{\eta}$ μη $\dot{\epsilon}$ μπειρία, non-experience, inexperience. Both are abbreviations of propositions having either οὐκ οr μη, ex. gr. $\dot{\eta}$ οὐ διάλυσις τῶν γεφυρῶν, the non-destruction of the bridges, the fact that the bridges were not destroyed (a direct and real negation with οὐ): δεινόν ἐστιν $\dot{\eta}$ μη ἐμπειρία, it is grievous to have no experience, it is a sad thing when one has no experience (a mere assumption with μ $\dot{\eta}$).

3. We have just seen (2, c.) that $\mu \hat{\eta}$ is used in particular with the verbs 'to wish, request, command.' Whenever it is

construed with a wish, it requires the opt.; Mù γένοιτο—μù ἴδοις τοῦτο, that you may not get to see it! In a negative request or command it requires the present tense or the aor., according as the action, which is requested or commanded, is of some duration, or momentary, (which, however, is frequently optional,) according to § 137, 5, but always with this restriction, that

of the present it only takes the imper., and of the aor. only the conj.:

consequently, $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon$, or $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \varsigma$. To the very few exceptions from this rule belong some passages in Homer (II. δ . 410. Od. π . 301. ω . 248).

4. The idea of apprehension or fear, which we express positively in German and English, I am afraid that some accident will happen to him, is introduced in Greek, as in Latin, with a negative; $\Delta \epsilon \delta_{0i\kappa a} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau_i \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$, vereor ne quid illi accidat, which is also done in French, je crains qu'il ne lui arrive quelque chose de fâcheux. That this conj. becomes an opt. in connexion with time past, and in a dependent proposition, appears from § 139. (after H.) Obs. 1.

Obs. 4. Expressions of fear or precaution are likewise frequently construed with the fut.; Plato Phileb. p. 13, Φοβοῦμαι μὴ εὐρήσομεν. Aristoph. Eccl. 486, περι-

σκοπουμένη μή γενήσεται.

- Obs. 5. In the sense of fear or apprehension $\mu\eta$ sometimes makes a proposition by itself; $M\eta \tau \sigma \tilde{\nu}\tau \sigma \tilde{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omega g \tilde{\epsilon}\chi\eta$. Such expressions are readily explained by understanding mentally $\phi\sigma\beta\sigma\tilde{\nu}\mu\alpha\iota$ or $\tilde{\nu}\rho\alpha$, (look to it,) I am afraid it is otherwise, or look to it, it may be otherwise. This assumption, however, is sometimes awkward, and it may be stated at once that the Greek language forms through this $\mu\eta$ with the conj. and a certain emphasis of utterance, sentences expressive of care or admonition, just as there are sentences expressive of a vish, request, and interrogation.
- 5. Mỳ frequently is merely an emphatic interrogative particle, of which the negation has vanished, and which mostly answers to the Latin num, somewhat stronger than $\mu\tilde{\omega}\nu$,—Mỳ δοκεῖ σοὶ τοῦτο εἶναι εἴηθες; does this by chance appear foolish to you?—Οὐ, on the contrary, is the negative question, by which the speaker gives to understand that he affirms, Οὐ καὶ καλόν ἐστι τὸ ἀγαθόν; is not what is good also beautiful? This interrogation expects to be replied to by yes, whilst that with μ ỳ commonly expects no.
- 6. When other relations or modifications of a general nature, as ever, any, any one, any where, &c. are to be added to a negative proposition, they are commonly compounded with the same negative particle used in the proposition itself; $OV\kappa$

έποίησε τοῦτο οὐδαμοῦ οὐδεὶς, no one ever did this anywhere, Plato Parmen. extr. Τἄλλα τῶν μὴ ὄντων οὐδενὶ οὐδαμῷ οὐδαμῶς οὐδεμίαν κοινωνίαν ἔχει. And the negation of parts of a proposition is added in the same way to the negation of the whole; Οὐ δύναται οὕτ' εῦ λέγειν, οὕτ' εῦ ποιεῖν τοὺς φίλους, where we should say in English he can neither—nor—. Accumulated negatives do not cancel one another, (as in Latin nonnunquam and the like,) but rather strengthen one another.

Obs. 6. The two distinct negative particles où κ and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ are joined in some phrases merely to strengthen the expression; viz.

οὐ μὴ in protestations or assertions relating to futurity, (whence the construction stated § 139. Obs. 1, I. 3.) and in the confidential request arising from it. Both connected particles may also be separated by other words, and the compound negatives (οὐδὲ, οὐδεὶς, &c.) may be used instead of οὐκ. See the instances in § 139.

2.) μη οὐ, but only in their simple form, and not separated; most commonly before infin. instead of μη alone; Ποῖον παραμύθιον ποιήσεις αὐτῷ, μη οὐχὶ ἀπειπεῖν; what solace will you afford to him, that he may not despair? Αἰσχύνομαι μη οὐ ποιεῖν τοῦτο, I am ashamed not to do this. Sometimes they are put before participles instead of εἰ μη with the rerb. (Schæf. Melet. 108.)

Obs. 7. But in this respect, and with regard to the rule that two or more negations only strengthen one another, there are two principal exceptions; Greek negations actually cancel one another, as in Latin,

a.) when μη has one of its particular meanings, (2, c.) expressive of intention, fear, apprehension, &c. For instance, Il. a. 28. where Chryses is ordered to go away and threatened, Μη νύ τοι οὐ χραίσμη σκηπτρον καὶ στέμμα θεοῖο, that the staff and the fillet of the god be not unavailing to you. It is frequently the same with the idea of fear; Φοβοῦμαι μη οὐ καλὸν η (vereor ne non honestum sit). Here μη retains its power, though we should render it like the Latin ne simply by that expressed or understood, neglecting the following negation: I am afraid it is not decent.

b.) when the two negations belong to two different verbs, even when one of them is in the part., Hom. οὐδ' οὐκ ἐθέλοντα μάχεσθαι, but commonly one of the negations is then for the sake of distinctness strengthened by μη οὐ, ex. gr. Μη οὐχὶ μισεῖν αὐτὸν οὐκ ὰν δυναίμην, not to hate him is not in my power, i.e. I must hate him.

Obs. 8. Hence the negations cancel each other in the expression, οὐδεὶς ὅστις οὐ, (nemo non,) because the xerb εἶναι generally is here omitted after the first negation. It should strictly be, for instance, οὐδεὶς (sc. ἔστιν) ὅστις μὴ ποιήσει, there is no one who will not do this, i. e. every one will do it. But this omission of ἔστι is so completely forgotten, that not only μὴ becomes οὐκ, but, excepting the nomin., the οὐδεἰς is attracted in the construction (according to a particular form of attraction, which will be stated below, § 151, I. 4.) to the following principal verb; and we consequently find, for instance, οὐδενὶ ὅτφ οὐκ ἀρέσκει, 'nemini non placet,' there is no one whom it does not please, i. e. it pleases every one.—Demosth. (c. Aristocr.) Ὑμεῖς μὲν ϭ ἄ. ձ. οὐδὲνα προύδωκατ τῶν φίλων, Θετταλοὶ δὲ οὐδὲνα πώποθ' ὅντινα οῦ (sc. προὕδωκαν), i. e. they have betruyed all their friends ³.

³ The omission of ὅστις in this phrase in Xenoph. Symp. 1, 9. is doubtful; see Schneider's Obs. But it is unquestionable in the Oracle in Herod. 5, 56.

Obs. 9. But the Greeks being so accustomed to the idea that an additional negative merely serves to strengthen the other, a verb, in the signif. of which there is already a negation, is yet construed with an additional negative particle, Ἡναντιώθην αὐτῷ μηδὲν ποιεῖν παρὰ τοὺς νόμους, Ι opposed him, i. e. I prevented his doing any thing contrary to the laws; Anab. 1, 3, 2. Μικρὸν ἐξέφυγε τοῦ μὴ καταπετρωθῆναι, he scarcely escaped the danger of being stoned. See Ind. ad Plat. Meno. v. μή. Exc. XI. ad Demosth. Mid.

Obs. 10. The expression $\epsilon l \delta \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta}$, but if not, should properly come after affirmative sentences; but it is so familiar as a complete contradiction to the preceding proposition, that it also stands after negative sentences, and consequently affirms in such cases; Anab. 4, 3, 6. See Heind. ad Plat. Hipp. 134.

See the following Section about οὐδὲ and μηδέ.

§ 149.—Of some other Particles.

1. The use of the Greek particles is so various, and in part attended with so many difficulties, that it will be proper to review the most important ones with particular attention.

 $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}$, as a relative adverb, has the follow signif.:

1.) as, when; hence in speaking of time, ως δε ηλθον, οὐ $\pi a \rho \tilde{\eta} \nu$, when I arrived, he was not there; 2.) it strengthens the superl., especially of adverbs, ως τάχιστα, as quickly as possible, and also the positive of some, particularly we aln- $\theta \tilde{\omega}_{S}$, most truly, $\tilde{\omega}_{S}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\tau} \tilde{\epsilon}_{\rho} \omega_{S}$, very differently, and some other examples in Heind. ad Plat. Apol. S. 23. Præf. The instances where it comes after an adverb, θαυμαστώς ώς, ύπερ- $\phi v \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \omega \varsigma$, are explained below, § 151, I. 5. 3.) About, nearly, ώς πεντήκοντα, about fifty. 4.) Το prep. answering the question whither, έπὶ, εἰς, πρὸς, ex. gr. Ἐπορεύετο ώς ἐπὶ τὸν ποταμον, it gives the signif. towards, 'versus' (properly, in the direction as if he wanted to get to the river).-Thuc. 6, 61. 'Απέπλεον μετά τῆς Σαλαμινίας ἐκ τῆς Σικελίας ώς ἐς ᾿Αθήνας. This expression properly denotes merely the direction taken, and leaves it undecided whether the place was reached. Hence it may always be employed about a journey which is not yet finished, Soph. Philoct. 58. πλεῖς δ' ώς πρὸς οἶκον, you sail homewards.

As a conjunction, it means, 1.) that, Πάντες ὁμολογοῦμεν, ως ἡ ἀρετὴ κράτιστόν ἐστι: see § 139, G. 2.) in order that, see § 139, E. 3.) so that with the infin. (more usually ωστε,) see § 139, F. 4.) since (see § 139, C). 5.) because, see § 144. Obs. 6. and § 145. Obs. 5. hence also 6.) the Latin 'quippe,' for, Κράτιστον ἔσται συγχωρῆσαι, ως σὺ δοκεῖς οὐκ ἀφήσειν με, it will be best to yield, for you seem not to intend to release me.

About the prep. ω_{ς} , see § 147. Obs. 6.

 $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$, (with the accent, § 116, 5.) for $o\tilde{v}\tau\omega_{\mathcal{C}}$, is very usual with poets, especially the Ionians; but in prose it is chiefly used only in the expressions $\kappa a \hat{v}_{\mathcal{C}}$, even thus, i. e. even in these circumstances, and its opposite $o\tilde{v}\delta$ $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$, not even thus, yet not.

""" δπως, as adverb, also signifies as, when, and as conjunction, in order that. We have seen its construction above, § 139, E. and only notice here that it also supplies the place of an energetic imper. """ σπως """ εσεσθε, Anab. 1, 7, 3. be by all means,

i. e. take care that you be.

"iva, as adverb, 'where,' as conjunction, (§ 139, E.) likewise in order that;—"iva τί; wherefore? why? (as it were, in order that something be done.)

ωστε, so that, commonly with the infin. (§ 131, F. 142, 4.)

δτι, that, instead of the Latin accus. with the infin. see 139, G. We must also notice the peculiarity, that it is used before the very words of another quoted, Απεκρίνατο ὅτι βασιλείαν οὐκ ἂν δεξαίμην, he answered, I will &c.

It also means because; elliptically for διὰ τοῦτο ὅτι, or its abbreviation διότι (§ 115. Obs. 5): but later writers often

have διότι for ὅτι, that.

It strengthens all superlatives, (compare ως,) ex. gr. ὅτι μέγιστος, as great as possible, ὅτι μάλιστα, &c.

τούνεκα, (barely in the Epic poets,) on that account, therefore.

οὕνεκα 1.) wherefore; 2.) as conjunction, because (for τοῦ ἕνεκα, οὖ ἕνεκα). But poets also use οὕνεκα, 1.) for ἕνεκα, for the sake of, 2.) for ὅτι, that.

όθούνεκα, (see § 29. Obs. 10.) in the tragic poets, as much as

οὕνεκα, because, that.

εὶ, 1.) if; § 139. A.—2.) to the indirect question whether, see § 139, H. 2. and § 148, 2, b. When εἰ comes after θαυμάζω and some other verbs expressive of feelings, it should be used of uncertain things, (ex. gr., if you are not sensible of it,) but the Attic custom, to avoid being positive in speaking, has caused this particle to be employed not only for very probable, but also for very certain things, consequently for ὅτι and the like. See the instance above, § 139, G. Obs. 3.— Demosth. Mid. 29. Οὐκ ἢσχύνθη εἰ τοιοῦτο κακὸν ἐπάγει τψ, he has not been ashamed to bring such a misfortune on—

Æsch. c. Ctes. (537. Reiske,) Οὐκ ἀγαπᾶ εἰ μὴ δίκην ἔδωκεν,

he is not satisfied with being left unpunished.

-εί καὶ, with the indic., though. But καὶ εἰ, and καν εἰ, if even, suppose even. The last is construed with the indic. in spite of av: see the Note to Demosth. Mid. 15. Heind. ad Plat. Soph. 59.

- -είτις, είτι, properly if any one, any thing; but this expression emphatically supplies the pronoun σστις, ex. gr. "Εφθειρον είτι χρήσιμον ην έν τω πεδίω, whatever. Compare § 147. Obs. 7.
- —εὶ γὰρ is also used to express a wish, O that! else εἰθε.

ἐπεὶ, after, 2.) since, Lat. quoniam, § 139, C. D. 3. before interrogatives and imper. it means for; Έπεὶ πῶς ầν διακρίνοιμεν αὐτό; for how else could we discriminate it? Ἐπεὶ θέασαι αὐτὸς, for look only yourself.

öπου, where, (there where,) 2.) as a conjunction, since, if indeed,

Lat. siguidem.

όπότε, is also often used for since, like the Lat. quandoquidem. âν, (poetically κὲ, κὲν,) see above § 139.

 $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$, $\dot{\eta}\nu$, $\ddot{a}\nu$, $\ddot{e}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\dot{a}\nu$, see the same Section.

- ¿àv in particular has after verbs signifying to investigate, examine, see, the power of the Latin an, 'whither, if;' Σκόπει ἐὰν ἱκανὸν ή, look whether it be sufficient. But frequently the verb is wanting, and must be mentally supplied. Μηδε τούτο ἄρρητον έστω μοι, εάν σε πως πείσω, neither will I leave this untold, (to see) if I can prevail with you. See Ind. ad Plat. Meno. &c. Schneid. ad Xenoph. Mem. 4, 4, 12.—Homer's αίκε, Il. a. 420. is employed exactly in this way.
- $\hat{\eta}$, or, which signif. it always retains even in questions, $0 \forall \tau \omega \varsigma$ έστιν, η οὐκ οἴει; so it is, or do you not think so? πόθεν ήκει; η δηλον ὅτι ἐξ ἀγορᾶς; whence comes he? or is it certain (and then the question is unnecessary) that he comes from the market-place? See Ind. ad Plat. Meno. in v.
 - -In comparisons it is than, Lat. quam; Σοὶ τοῦτο μᾶλλον ἀρέσκει, η έμοι, this pleases you better than it does me.—When the *compar* refers to a proportion, we have $\hat{\eta}$ $\pi \rho \hat{o} \varsigma$ or $\hat{\eta}$ κατά, ex. gr. Μείζων η κατ' άνθρωπον, taller than a man usually is; 'Η δόξα ἐστὶν ἐλάττων ἢ πρὸς τὸ κατόρθωμα, the glory is less than is due to the deed (Lat. 'quam pro').

Quite different is

η, which originally signifies truly, certainly; it is most commonly a mere interrogative particle, Lat. num?

καὶ and τὲ are exactly the Lat. et and que, and καὶ also signifies also, even, &c. If τὲ comes before καὶ, it means not only, and καὶ then means but also; Αὐτός τε τύραννος ἐγένετο, καὶ τοῖς παισὶ τὴν τυραννίδα κατέλιπεν: in other instances these particles signify—as well—as. But this double connexion is often used where we simply have once and.

τε is very frequently redundant in Epic poetry. This arises from the circumstance, that in the old language this particle imparted to several words the connecting power, which these words retained in the more polished language without retaining the particle itself. Hence we frequently meet in the old poets with $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon$, $\delta \acute{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon$, γάρ τε, and even καί τε, (also,) for μεν, δε, γαρ, and καὶ alone. But the particle 72 most commonly comes after all kinds of relatives, because in the old language they were all merely forms of the pronoun demonstrative, which through this 7's obtained the connecting power, and thus became the relative which. As soon, however, as these forms were exclusively allotted to the relative signif., the particle τε was dropped as superfluous. Hence we often find in Homer őς τε, ὅσον τε, &c. instead of Sc, Soov, and the like. The particles work and $\tilde{a}\tau\varepsilon$, and the expressions of δc $\tau\varepsilon$ and $\epsilon \phi$, $\tilde{\phi}$ $\tau\varepsilon$ (§ 150.) of the common language 1 are a remnant from the ancient usage. (Respecting τ ' $\tilde{a}\rho a$ see $\tau o \ell$.)

But καὶ alone in the sense of also is often introduced in familiar conversation, seemingly without any necessity; Plato Alcib. 1, 6. (I admit all your questions,) ካνα καὶ εἰδῶ, ὅτι καὶ ἐρεῖς, where we should use different particles, 'that I may know what you will say.'

Before μ άλα and π άνυ it has a peculiar energy; Τοῦτο γὰρ καὶ μ άλα ἀκριβῶς, I know this, and indeed very accurately.

¹ This is, in my opinion, the best way of accounting for the above-mentioned Epie expressions. Yet I readily grant that there may be other suppositions to account for them. But I cannot agree with Hermann in explaining all this by an hypothesis of his own, that $\kappa a i$ and τi , and the Latin ET and QUE, were originally different, and that τi signified the Latin forte.

-καὶ, in comparisons, like the Latin atque, see Ind. ad Plat.

Meno. cet.

καὶ-δὲ, see after δέ.

δὲ, (but,) is far from having a constant adversative power; in most instances it is a mere transition and connexion to announce something new, where we use either the copula AND, or no conjunction at all. The Greeks employed it, where they could not make use of any other particle, merely to avoid an asyndeton, i. e. a new proposition unconnected with what came before introduced in the midst of a speech, such as good writers never admit, unless it is to produce some rhetorical effect. In the old language δὲ also served for other conjunctions, especially γὰρ (Od. δ. 369): particular attention must, therefore, be paid to the context in Homer to be able to judge which of the three principal signif.

and, but, for,

it has in a given sentence. Homer also frequently uses & merely for a limitation of time, which else is connected by

ως, ὅτε, &c. Od. β. 313.

Whenever καὶ and δὲ are together in a proposition, καὶ can only mean also; καὶ οὖτος δὲ παρῆν, but this also was there. But they likewise frequently signify and also. As καὶ καὶ cannot be used in Greek, δὲ supplies the place of καὶ in such instances, e.g. Νῦν περὶ ψυχῶν τῶν ύμετέρων έστιν ὁ ἀγων, και περί γυναικών δὲ και τέκνων. Were καὶ - δὲ - to be rendered here literally, but also for your wives and children, it would give a false emphasis to the context. The meaning is simply this, now you have to fight for your own life, and also (and additionally) for wives and children. In common language, the expression can take place only when the principal word, to which καὶ refers, comes before δε, but in Epic poetry καὶ δὲ constantly follow close on each other; Il. ε. 700. Καρπαλίμως προ νεων έχέμεν λαόν τε καὶ ἵππους 'Οτρύνων, καὶ δ' αὐτὸς ἐνὶ προμάχοισι μάχεσθαι.

μεν and δε are two particles, which go together, and serve as δε alone. They connect like it is true—but—and are much more frequently employed, as they merely combine two different propositions, without denoting any contrast or opposition. Thus a Section, Chapter, or even part of a

SYNTAX. 425

whole Work, often ends with, for instance, Καὶ ταῦτα μὲν ουτως εγένετο, (things happened so,) when the next Chapter, Section, or Book, must necessarily begin with something like, Τη δ' ύστεραία (on the following day). It is only when the context clearly requires it, that uev is to be rendered, it is true indeed.

Two propositions often are united by $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, of which the second alone belongs to the context, the first being premised merely to give more effect to the second by its contrast; Demosth. Olynth. II. 'Αλλ' ἐκεῖνο θανμάζω, εἰ (that) Λακεδαιμονίοις μέν ποτε, ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναίοι, ύπερ των Ελληνικων δικαίων αντήρατε, καὶ, - ίνα οί ἄλλοι τύχωσι των δικαίων, τὰ ὑμέτερα αὐτων ἀνηλίσκετε εἰσφέροντες, - νυνὶ δ' ὀκνεῖτε ἐξιέναι, καὶ μέλλετε (delay) εἰσφέρειν ύπερ των ύμετέρων αὐτων κτημάτων. Demosth. here is not surprised at the first proposition, that the Athenians once defended the rights of all the Greeks against the Lacedæmonians, but at the second proposition, that they, who once acted thus, would not take proper measures for the protection of their own property. Αλσχρον έστιν, ελ έγω μεν τους πόνους ύμεις δέ μηδε τους λόγους αὐτῶν ἀνέξεσθε, pro Cor. 281: it is obvious that the first proposition here is praiseworthy, and the second alone shameful, not in itself, but in contrast with the first. The following example, which is misunderstood by most interpreters, shows what attention it is necessary to pay to such connexions; Eurip. Iph. T. 115.

Οὔτοι μακρὸν μὲν ἤλθομεν κώπη πόρον, Έκ τερμάτων δὲ νόστον ἀροῦμεν πάλιν.

Here the negation properly belongs only to the second proposition, and the first as a contrast may come after, we will not, when we are at the goal, sail back again, after having performed such a long voyage. The connexion of the two propositions is still more striking in this sense, it shall not be said of us that we performed a long voyage, and went back again, when we had reached our destination. It is the same if we take the whole for a disapproving question. See Seidler 2.

Jactat, habetque palam; quærit quo turpia celet.

² The same phrase is also used sometimes in Latin, but agreeably to the syntax of that language, without such particles: Horace, Sat. 1, 2, 84.

Quod venale habet, ostendit; neo, si quid honesti est,

This $\mu
oldsymbol{e}
u
oldsymbol{e}$ affords an emphatic way of connecting two ideas belonging to the same proposition instead of the more usual $u
oldsymbol{e}$ — $\kappa
oldsymbol{e}$ at the more word of the proposition is repeated; Xenoph. Mem. 2, 1, 32. 'Eyà de $oldsymbol{e}$ diverme $oldsymbol{e}$ de $oldsymbol{e}$ de oldsymbo

Strictly speaking, $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ never can be used without $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$, or a particle of similar import, $(\grave{a}\lambda\lambda\grave{a}, \mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu\tau\sigma\iota)$, corresponding to it in the subsequent proposition. Yet 1.) from rhetorical motives the second proposition is sometimes omitted, or differently expressed; 2.) in some usual phrases, where the second proposition must be considered as having completely vanished, $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ is used alone, (like the Latin quidem,) to isolate a person or thing, and remove any thing, which else might be expected; thus in particular $\grave{\epsilon}\gamma\grave{\omega}$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon}\nu$, (equidem,) and the like. See Heind. ad Plat. Charm. 36. Theæt. 49. In Epic poetry $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ also frequently occurs for $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$, which see below.

We have already seen above (§ 126.) the expression ό μεν - ό δε - or ός μεν - ός δε - derived from μεν -&: The same particles afford similar distinctions for adverbs; and not only demonstrative and relative, but also indefinite forms are thus employed: ποτὲ μὲν-ποτὲ &:-(sometimes-sometimes-; or at one time-at another time); it is the same with $\tau \acute{o} \tau \varepsilon$ and $\acute{o} \tau \grave{\epsilon}$ —(see § 116. Obs. 9.) and $\tau \tilde{\eta}$ $\mu \tilde{\epsilon} \nu - \tau \tilde{\eta}$ $\delta \tilde{\epsilon}$ or $\pi \tilde{\eta}$ $\mu \tilde{\epsilon} \nu - \pi \tilde{\eta}$ $\delta \tilde{\epsilon}$ (in this way—in that way), $\xi\nu\theta\alpha$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ — $\xi\nu\theta\alpha$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$, and others. such distinctions it sometimes happens that, for instance, ὁ μὲν, ὁ δ' ον are without a verb in reference to a preceding proposition, when uèv appears to have an affirmative signif. nearly like the English much, indeed, Πάντας φιλητέον, άλλ' οὐ τὸν μὲν τὸν δ' οῦ, we ought to love all, not one MUCH, and the other not at all; Παρήσαν οὐχ δ μεν, δ δ' ου, άλλα πάντες, there were present, not one INDEED, and the other not, but all.

ούτε and μήτε.

οὐδὲ and μηδέ. Both forms serve to connect negative propositions, and correspond to the Latin 'neque,' neither—nor; with this difference, that οὔτε, μήτε, affect parts of propositions, or represent the negation as belonging to that with which they connect it, whilst οὐδὲ, μηδὲ, rather serve to connect whole propositions, partly as a strong contrast, and partly as a transition. Οὔτε and μήτε are more copulative, like καὶ when it is not employed in a negative proposition; οὐδὲ and μηδὲ more disjunctive, like δέ. Whenever οὔτε or μήτε is repeated, the negations generally refer one to the other, as in Latin 'neque—neque'—neither—nor; but when οὐδὲ or μηδὲ is repeated, it only gives successive negations of the same kind as οὐδὲ alone.

Besides being connecting particles, où dè and $\mu\eta$ dè also correspond to the particular signif. of κa , just as this signifies affirmatively 1.) also, 2.) even, so do these signify negatively 1.) neither, 2.) not even, and always have the latter signif. when they are in the middle of a

proposition.

Originally où $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ and $\mu \eta \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ signified 'not however,' and must still often be understood thus in Epic poetry; they then are frequently written separate, où $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, $\mu \hat{\eta}$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$. In common language the coalition of $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ with the negative particle was avoided, either by placing these words differently and avoided $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$.

ferently, or by employing ἀλλὰ or ἀτάρ.

- aλλà has the intensive signif. of δè, and is at the same time the English adversative but. It is, however, applied in various ways in a lively style, which are only learned by study. It is especially used abruptly at the beginning of a speech, or even of a whole work, when it often is intranslatable, and may but seldom be rendered yes, truly, indeed, or the like.
- άλλα γαρ, see the Notes to Soph. Philoct. 81, 874.
- γàρ, for, always comes after other words, like the Lat. enim. It has a very varied elliptical use, especially in conversation, when it must be mentally prefaced by I believe it—no wonder, or the like ideas, which a careful attention to

³ Even in Ionic prose, Herod. 5, 35. Πολλάς είχε ελπίδας μετήσεσθαι (to be dismissed) ἐπὶ θάλασσαν, μὴ δὲ νεώτερόν τι ποιεύσης τῆς Μιλήτου, (but if Miletus did not attempt any thing grievous, i. e. a desertion,) οὐδαμῆ, κ. τ. λ.

the context easily suggests. It is likewise used inter-

rogatively.

This particle often appears superfluous to us in a proposition, which has been announced by a preceding pronoun demonstrative; Xenoph. Mem. 1, 1, 6. 'Αλλὰ μὴν ἐποίει καὶ τάδε πρὸς τοὺς ἐπιτηδείους, τὰ μὲν γὰρ ἀναγκαῖα συνεβούλευε πράττειν, and so on; here we should say simply, he also did this (or what follows) for his friends, hễ counselled them what was necessary, &c. (See also Plato Lys. 14. Heind.) In these cases γὰρ only explains what has been stated before, as is sometimes done in German and English by nāmely.

οὖν, consequently, therefore, follows only after other words. See about the οὖν, which is annexed, as ὁστισοῦν, &c. § 80, 1. and 116, 9. From this are derived

οὐκοῦν, οὔκουν. The particles οὖκ and οὖν used affirmatively, imply a conclusive negative, 'consequently not,' 'therefore not.' Hence in familiar language they were applied in different ways, which appear from the context, and are partly denoted by the accentuation. When used interrogatively, the negative conveys the affirmative opinion of the speaker. Eurip. Orest. 1238. Οὐκοῦν ὀνείδη τάδε κλύων ρύσει τέκνα; will you then, hearing these reproaches, not save your children? Plato Phædr. 258. Οὐκοῦν, ἐὰν μὲν οῦτος ἐμμένη, γεγηθώς ἀπέρχεται ἐκ τοῦ θεάτρου; does he not then, when this is abided by, go pleased from the theatre? This question, in consequence of being heard habitually as meaning the negative, affirmatively, became itself 2.) an affirmation without interrogative. Soph. Antig. 91. Οὐκοῦν, ὅταν δὴ μη σθένω, πεπαύσομαι, I therefore shall give over, when I am no longer able. Plato Phædr. 274. Οὐκοῦν τὸ μὲν τέχνης τε καὶ ἀτεχνίας λόγων πέρι ἱκανῶς ἐχέτω, thus then we have said enough of skill, and want of skill in speeches. Very different from this is 3.) οὔκουν, when, without being conclusive, it merely is an intensive negation. Soph. Aj. 1336. 'Αλλ' αὐτὸν έμπας ὄντ' ἐγὼ τοιόνδε μοι Οὔκουν ἀτιμάσαιμ' αν, but though he behaved to me in this manner, I should not like to insult him by any means: Philoct. 872. Οὔκουν 'Ατρεῖδαι τοῦτ' ἔτλησαν εὐπόρως Οὕτως ένεγκείν, ώγαθοι στρατηλάται, the Atridæ did not bear this

SYNTAX. : 429

easily, &c. The similarity of the accent in the first and second οὐκοῦν, as contradistinguished from the third ούκουν, has been traditionally handed down to us by the generality of editions; and the statement of the ancient grammarians agrees with it. See Herm. ad Viger. n. 261. to which may be added Apollon. de Conjunctione, p. 496, 9.—Phrynichus Bekkeri, p. 57. All admit a different accentuation only for the conclusive and negative expression4. The accentuation of the affirmative and conclusive negation 'consequently not,' οὔκουν, is generally not different from the accentuation of the third form. But as it is customary to distinguish the unaltered signif. of compound particles by writing them separately, I think it is also proper in this case, and by no means repugnant to tradition: Plato Phadr. 275. (after having told Theuth that man would become forgetful through this security, Thamus continues,) Οὔκουν (more correctly οὐκ οὖν) μνήμης, ἀλλ' ὑπομνήσεως φάρμακον εὖρες, then it is not for memory, but for recollection, that you have found a remedy! Eurip. Orest. 1640.

Men. "Οστις δὲ τιμᾶ μητές" — Οπ. Εὐδαίμων ἔφυ. Μεν. Οὔκουν (more correctly οὐκ οῦν) σύγε, then you (do) not?

εῖτα and ἔπειτα, signify both afterwards, thereupon, 2.) then (see Herm. ad Viger. n. 239). Both are often used to express reproaches in a scolding manner: 1.) stating the motive of anger or surprise first, Ταῦτα δὴ τολμᾶς λέγειν—εἶτ' ἐγώ σου φείσομαι; you dare to tell me this, and then (after all that, nevertheless, or yet) I am to spare you? 2.) beginning a speech in reference to what had been said by another, just as we say, you will then—shorter then, Lat. itane, Εἶτα τολμήσεις τὸν νίὸν ἀποθνήσκοντα εἰσορᾶν; then you will take it on you to witness the death of your son?—Xenoph. Mem. 1, 4, 11. Ἐπειτ' οὐκ οἴει φροντίζειν (sc. τοὺς θεοὺς τῶν ἀνθρώπων), οῦ πρῶτον μὲν—νiz. when they yet first, &c. Both particles are also connected with participles in all these

⁴ It is only in recent editions, that Hermann and others have begun to distinguish the conclusive interrogative by accenting it οὕκουν, but I cannot approve of it. The first and second form have in the main the same affirmative signif.; the accent of the interrogative is an ethic accent, which is not marked in any language by a grammatical accent. Both kinds of tradition are against the practice; for there is no mention made by grammarians, in any of the passages just quoted, of the interrogative signif. in this connexion.

signif., as we have seen above § 144. Obs. 6. But the instances, in which $ii\tau a$ and $i\pi \iota\iota\iota\tau a$ are considered as dependent on the subsequent participle, (see Herm. ad Viger. n. 219.) admit every one of them likewise a reference to the participle which preceded, which ought to be preferred as more natural.

av, 1.) again, anew; 2.) on the other hand, contrariwise; [3.) further, and also.

πρὶν, before, is in point of signif. a compar., and takes therefore, when it refers to another proposition, the particle $\mathring{\eta}$, commonly with the infin.; $\Pi \rho i \nu \ \mathring{\eta} \ \grave{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu \ \grave{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\epsilon}$, before I came. But frequently $\mathring{\eta}$ is omitted, and $\pi \rho i \nu$ itself becomes a conjunction, $\pi \rho i \nu \ \grave{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu \ \grave{\epsilon} \mu \acute{\epsilon} : -\pi \rho i \nu \ \mathring{a} \nu \ \check{a} \lambda \theta \omega$ points to the future.

νῦν δη, now, at this time; and particularly with præterites, 'just now,' 'shortly before.'

 $\pi \hat{\omega}$ and $\pi \hat{\omega} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$. The principal signif. of these particles is till now, hitherto: but they are never joined to affirmative propositions in this sense. Their use is confined to the following cases.

They are most commonly joined to a negation, and express yet, Lat. dum; o $\mathring{v}\pi\omega$, $\mu\mathring{n}\pi\omega$, not yet, 'nondum,' but they must not be mistaken for the similar Epic forms, see § 116. Obs. 6. $\Pi \mathring{\omega}\pi\sigma\tau\varepsilon$, however, is seldom annexed to the simple o \mathring{v} or $\mathring{\mu}\mathring{n}$: it is always o $\mathring{v}\delta\varepsilon\pi\mathring{\omega}\pi\sigma\tau\varepsilon$, $\mathring{\mu}\eta\delta\varepsilon\pi\mathring{\omega}\pi\sigma\tau\varepsilon$, never yet. This is mostly used alone in reference to the past, so that the form without $\pi\mathring{\omega}-o\mathring{v}\delta\acute{\varepsilon}\pi\sigma\tau\varepsilon$, never—is commonly employed only generally, or with respect to the fut. See Wolf ad Demosth. Lept. 76. and Lobeck ad Phryn. 458. Both $\pi\mathring{\omega}$ and $\pi\mathring{\omega}\pi\sigma\tau\varepsilon$ may be separated from the negative particle by other words between.

These particles are also sometimes emphatically used with interrogatives, relatives, and participles, which shorten this latter construction, Thuc. 3, 46. τ ίς πω ἐπεχείρησεν; Demosth. Phil. I. Θοα πώποτε ηλπίσαμεν, what we ever hoped for; Plato Phæd. 116. "Αριστος τῶν πώποτε δεῦρο ἀφικομένων.

πώμαλα, see the following Section.

ἔτι, alone is yet, still, further; and with a negation, οὐκέτι, μηκέτι, no more, no longer.

 $\mu \hat{a}$ and $\nu \hat{\eta}$, are particles of protestation, which always have the

object, by which we swear, in the accus.; ex. gr. $\nu \dot{\eta}$ Δia , by Jove!—A protestation with $\nu \dot{\eta}$ is always affirmative; but $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ may take either an affirmative or negative particle ($\nu a \dot{\iota} \mu \dot{\alpha} \Delta ia$, and $o \dot{\iota} \mu \dot{\alpha} \Delta ia$): when $\mu \dot{\alpha}$, however, is alone, it is merely negative, $\mu \dot{\alpha} \Delta ia$, no, truly not; no, by Jove! far from it!

2. But these and other particles are put in Greek to a variety of uses, which must be studied with the utmost attention, as they cannot be stated here. This is in particular the case with several particles, which formerly were called particulæ expletivæ, though their use alone can be called an usus expletivus, and this too must not be misunderstood. There are in all languages particles, which serve only to complete the sense of a proposition, or obtain a certain harmonious fulness or redundance, yet never without their proper import, though they might be omitted, since that which they are to denote is often understood of itself. Greek particles of this kind require still greater caution to be judged correctly. Their complete and primitive signif. generally has only been weakened, and merely gives to a proposition a slight shade of meaning, which can only be felt through an intimate acquaintance with the language, but which may be greatly assisted by the knowledge of their fundamental signif. This is as follows:-

γὲ, (enclitic,) properly at least (for which γοῦν is more generally used). It is also commonly employed, whenever a single object, or a part, is named relatively to the whole or greatest number. Hence it is so often annexed to ἐγὼ, (ἔγωγε,) whereby one opposes one's self as it were to the rest of mankind: exactly, I for my part. Frequently it may be

rendered certainly, indeed, Lat. certe.

ἄρα, (in the Epics ằρ and ρὰ, the latter of which is enclitic,) always comes after other words 5, and signifies, 1.) most commonly consequently, 2.) where it appears to have no power, conformably to nature or good manners, Lat. ex ordine, rite: hence it serves as a transition to a proposition, which was expected; 3.) after εἰ, ἐὰν, and the like, by chance.

⁵ If $\tilde{a}\rho a$ or $\tilde{a}\rho'$ o $\tilde{v}\nu$ here and there begins a proposition, it ought always to be converted into $\tilde{a}\rho a$ in prose, which in all such instances simply is a question supplying the place of an affirmation. See Heind. ad Plat. Gorg. 27.

The interrogative particle aoa, which always begins the sentence, is the Lat. num 6.

 $\tau o i$, (enclitic,) is properly an old dat. instead of $\tau \tilde{\omega}$, and means therefore, certainly; but these signif. are forgotten, and τοιγάρ, τοιγάρτοι, τοιγαροῦν, are strengthened expressions of $\tau \circ i := \tau \circ i \nu \nu \nu$ is used when an argument is continued, and so on, as if it were, I say further, but now .- Tol alone only serves to strengthen an affirmation.

καί τοι, and certainly, and truly, 2.) and yet, 3.) although.

μέντοι, to be sure, hence 2.) but indeed, however; it is a more emphatic form for &.

 τ' $\tilde{a}\rho a$, τ' $\tilde{a}\rho$, (see § 29. Obs. 8.) with poets $\tilde{a}\rho a$, strengthened by

 $\delta \hat{\eta}$, properly at present, now (for which $\mathring{\eta} \delta \eta$ is used); hence it serves in various ways to enliven a speech; αγε δη, come on then; τί δη, what then?—It also means for sooth, truly,

> After the pronoun relatives, $\delta \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \delta \dot{\eta}$, $\delta \pi \circ \upsilon \delta \dot{\eta}^{s}$, whosoever it may be, wherever it may be, &c.; or any one, I know not where.

 $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, (Doric $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu$, Epic $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu$, is 1.) an affirmation, truly, indeed, 2.) but indeed, yet, Plat. Soph. 1. Καί μοι δοκεῖ θεὸς μὲν οὐδαμῶς είναι, θείος μήν.

γὲ μὴν, (Epic γὲ μὲν,) true, certainly; hence it is also a more powerful δε, see Exc. 1. ad Arat. Kai μην, Lat. immo, yes, by all means! and opposed to a contradiction, it is the Latin atqui, and yet.

After interrogatives following an interlocutor's negation $\pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \mu \acute{\eta} \nu$; when then? $\tau \acute{\iota}_{\varsigma} \mu \acute{\eta} \nu$; who then? (i. e. when, who else then?) whence $\tau i \mu \eta \nu$ is as much as why not?

 $\tilde{\eta} \mu \hat{\eta} \nu$, (Ionic and Epie $\tilde{\eta} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$,) is the common formula of asseverations and protestations, sometimes with the indic. η μην έγω έπαθον τοῦτο, (I swear that I have suffered this,) sometimes with the infin. dependent on other verbs, as όμνυμι ή μην δώσειν (I faithfully promise to give); and also in the 3 pers. Υπεδέξατο η μην μη ἀπορείν

⁶ Attic poets, however, interchange the quantity, and use ἄρα for therefore, and

αρα as an interrogative particle; but its place in the proposition is the same.

7 This particle is derived from μην (Epic μεν) and τοι, compare § 150, 1.

8 They are generally written separately, but whenever they receive the addition of the strengthening ποτε, (see § 80. Obs. 1. and § 116, 6.) they are most usually written as a single word.

433

aὐτοὺς τροφῆς, he took it on himself, faithfully promised that they should not want food.

οὐ $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu$, 1.) yet not, 2.) a negative protestation, answering to the affirmative $\tilde{\eta}$ $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$: in a dependent proposition

μη μήν.

- $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu$, (enclitic, peculiar to the Ion. and Dor. poets,) is also an affirmation, conveying pretty nearly the idea of I should think so; hence it is used especially in an ironical and sarcastical sense; $\tilde{\eta}$ $\theta \eta \nu$, ov $\theta \eta \nu$, even so, not so I should think.
- νὺ, νὺν, short and enclitic, used only in the Ionic dialect and in poetry, 1.) properly the same with νῦν, for which it is sometimes used; 2.) for οῦν, therefore, now; 3.) like the English too, Θνητὸς δέ νυ καὶ σὺ τέτυξαι, thou too art born mortal, Il. π. 622.
- περ, (enclitic, and probably derived from πέρι in the sense of very, § 147. Obs. 9.) quite;—hence ωσπερ properly means entirely as—καίπερ, though ever so much, i. e. although, in which sense we also have περ, alone.
- ποτὲ, (enclitic,) at any time; used interrogatively, it expresses surprise; Τίς ποτέ ἐστιν οὖτος; who can this be, who may this be?
- ποὺ, (enclitic,) 1.) somewhere, anywhere; 2.) by chance, perhaps;
 3.) in conversing on putting indirect questions to found an argument on the affirmative answer of the interlocutor. See Ind. in Plat. Menon. in v.

 $\Delta \hat{\eta} \pi o \nu$ is the same as $\pi o \hat{\nu}$, but more emphatical, and if a little irony is used so as to hint that the opposite is impossible, it is $\delta \hat{\eta} \pi o \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$. Demosth. Mid. 26. 'Estával $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho$ exéstal $\delta \hat{\eta} \pi o \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$ av $\alpha \hat{\nu} \tau \tilde{\psi}$, for I should think that he will be allowed to stand there.

§ 150.—Of some particular Locutions.

οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ, or οὐ μέντοι ἀλλὰ, (compare § 149, 2.) is properly yet no, but no! rather—: but it commonly means merely yet, however; sometimes also rather.

οὐχ ὅτι and οὐχ ὅπως. These two phrases are generally considered as identical, while they rather are antithetical: the *verb* $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$, or some such *verb*, must be supplied in both. When the phrase with ὅτι follows, the

proposition is affirmative; Xen. Memor. 2, 9, 8. Kai ovy ότι μόνος ὁ Κρίτων ἐν ἡσυχία ἦν, ἀλλὰ καὶ οἱ φίλοι αὐτοῦ, (where μόνος belongs only to Κοίτων). Theophr. Οὐχ ότι ανέφυ αν, αλλα και έναυξεστέρας και καλλίους εποίησε, it would not only have blown, but also, &c. Dio. Cass. 42. p. 285. Δανειζόμενος οὐν ὅτι παρὰ τῶν ἰδιωτῶν, ἀλλὰ καὶ παρὰ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, not only by private persons, but also by cities. When this phrase is to introduce a negation, the negative must lie already in the proposition itself, and may then be heightened by ἀλλ' οὐδὲ, Demosth. c. Timocr. 702. Οὐν ὅτι τῶν ὄντων ἀπεστερήμην αν, ἀλλ' οὐδ' αν ἔζην. Thuc. 2, 97. Ταύτη δε, (Scytharum potentiæ,) αδύνατα εξισούσθαι ούχ ὅτι τὰ ἐν τῆ Εὐρώπη, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἐν τῆ 'Ασία ἔθνος εν προς εν οὐκ ἔστιν, κ. τ. λ. Whenever the negative is to be expressed, $o\dot{v}\chi \, \ddot{o}\pi\omega c$ is more usually employed, in which case $5\pi\omega_c$, how, as, means that not, Demosth. c. Polycl. 1225. Ή δὲ γῆ οὐχ ὅπως τινὰ καρπὸν ἤνεγκεν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ ὕδωρ $- \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \phi \rho \epsilon \acute{a} \tau \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \acute{\epsilon} \lambda_i \pi \epsilon \nu$, not only the earth bore no fruit, &c. Xenoph. Hellen. 5, 4, 34. Έδίδασκον τον δημον, ώς οί Λακεδαιμόνιοι ούχ ὅπως τιμωρήσαιντο, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐπαινέσαιεν τὸν Σφοδρίαν, that the Spartans not only would punish, &c. 2, 4, 14. Ούχ ὅπως ἀδικοῦντες, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἐπιδημοῦντες, έφυγαδευόμεθα, having not only done them no harm, but not having even entered their country, we were banished. Οὔκουν, (i. e. οὖκ οὖν, see above,) ὅπως μνησθηναι ἄν τις ετόλμησε φλαυρόν τι, άλλ' ώς εν δφθαλμοίς βασιλέως έκαστος διέκειτο 9.

οὐχ ὅσον and οὐχ οἴον are, but less frequently, used in the same sense, the former for οὐχ ὅτι: Thuc., at least, has it with an additional second οὐ, 4, 62. for the negative, Οἱ μὲν οὐχ ὅσον οὐκ ἢμύναντο, ἀλλὶ οὐδὶ ἐσώθησαν. Οὐχ οῖον is used for οὐχ ὅπως: Polyb. Οὐχ οῖον ἀφελεῖν δύναιτ ἄν τοὺς φίλους, ἀλλὶ οὐδὶ αὐτοὺς σώζειν.

Whenever $\delta \tau_i$ and $\delta \pi \omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ are introduced with $\mu \dot{\eta}$, $\delta \pi_0$ - $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \tau \dot{\iota}_{\mathcal{C}}$ may be supplied, or they may be understood like the Latin *ne dicam*, and are thus stronger than the

 $^{^{0}}$ The example quoted by Viger 7, 10, 5. without mentioning whence it is taken, Οὐχ ὅπως τοὺς πολεμίους, κ. τ. λ. where οὐχ ὅπως is employed affirmatively for not only, unquestionably is not genuine; and the passage of Athenæus with the negative οὐχ ὅτι, quoted by Budæus, p. 911. without specifying where it stands, (Οὐχ ὅτι ἡμῶν τινὰ προσβλέποντες, ἀλλ' οὐδὲ ἀλλήλους,) is of an unknown period.

preceding expressions, but both in a negative sense. Cyrop. 1, 3, 10. Μὴ ὅπως ὀρχεῖσθαι ἐν ρυθμῷ, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ὀρθοῦσθαι ἐδύνασθε, 3, 2, 21. Οὐκ ἂν ἡμεῖς ἀσφαλῶς ἐργα-ζοίμεθα, μὴ ὅτι τὴν τούτων, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἂν τὴν ἡμετέραν. Memor. 1, 6, 11. Καίτοι τόγε ἰμάτιον ἢ τὴν οἰκίαν οὐδενὶ ἂν μὴ ὅτι προῖκα δοίης, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἂν ἔλαττον τῆς ἀξίας λαβών: all which connexions are easily supplied and explained.

When μὴ ὅτι follows, the expression is still more intensive, and is to be understood like the Latin 'nedum,' much less, much more. Plato Crat. 427. Δοκεῖ σοὶ ράδιον εἶναι οὕτω ταχὺ μαθεῖν ὁτιοῦν πρᾶγμα, μὴ ὅτι τοσοῦτον ὁ δὴ δοκεῖ ἐν τοῖς μεγίστοις μέγιστον εἶναι. Phædr. 240. "Α καὶ λόγω ἐστὶν ἀκούειν οὐκ ἐπιτερπὲς, μὴ ὅτι δὴ ἔργω. Xenoph. Hell. 2, 3, 35. Οὐδὲ πλεῖν, μὴ ὅτι ἀναιρεῖσθαι τοὺς ἄνδρας δυνατὸν ἦν:—Lucian has οὐχ ὅπως in the same sense, Dial. Mort. 27, 5. Οὐδ᾽ ἑστάναι χαμαὶ οὐχ ὅπως βαδίζειν ἐδύνατο.

οὐχ ὅτι sometimes serves to introduce a seeming objection, which is immediately after refuted, (commonly with ἀλλὰ,) not that—but; when there is no refutation, οὐχ ὅτι also signifies although: Heind. ad Plat. Lys. 37. Protag. 66.

οτι μη after negations means except.

τὸ δὲ, an elliptical expression, not easily supplied, which introduces a proposition opposed to what has been stated before, nearly like the English as, however, but as yet, Heind. ad Plat. Theæt. 37. Buttm. ad Menon. 37.

τὸ μὴ, more commonly τὸ μὴ οὐ, with the infin. as much as $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau\varepsilon$ μὴ, so that not, that not, Lat. 'quo minus, quin.' See Exc. 11. ad Demosth. Mid. 142. Compare also τοῦ μὴ, § 148. Obs. 9.

τὶ frequently becomes a limiting or also generalising particle, 'in some degree,'—'in anything;' hence οὔτι, μήτι, not at all; but these particles may be separated, οὔτε τι ἔργα, Il. a. 115. See about the tmesis with τὶ, (ὑπό τι,) § 147. Obs. 10.

μήτι γε, much less, Lat. nedum, probably derived from μὴ ὅτι. οὐ περὶ, ex. gr. Οὐ περὶ τοῦ τιμωρήσασθαι, ἀλλὰ καὶ—, to say nothing of revenge, (i. e. revenge is out of the question,) but we will even— (Thuc. 4, 63).

ὕσον οὐ οτ ὁσονοὺ, (Lat. 'tantum non,') nearly, almost; Τὸν μέλλοντα καὶ ὁσονοὺ παρόντα πόλεμον, the war which is imminent and almost at hand, i. e. only just so much is wanting, that we are not actually at war.

υσον and οίος with the infin. for ωστε, see § 143, 1, 2.

σος, η, ον, in θαυμαστὸν ὅσον, and the like, is the Lat. 'mirum quantum,' wonderfully much, i. e. a very great deal. It is used in the same way before or after superl. of words expressive of a quantity; πλεῖστα ὅσα, ὅσα πλεῖστα, Lat. 'quamplurima,' a great many. See § 151, 1, 5.

ὄσαι ἡμέραι, daily, (Plato Charm. 51. extr.) and also ὅσος with any limitations of time. The former is also written close

together, and contracted δσημέραι.

ἀνθ' ὧν, (according to the rule of § 143, 5,) is used for ἀντὶ ἐκείνων ἃ-ex. gr. Λαβὲ τοῦτο ἀνθ' ὧν ἔδωκάς μοι, take this for that, which you have given to me. But it is also used for ἀντὶ τούτου ὅτι, for this, that, Χάριν σοὶ οἶδα, ἀνθ' ὧν ἥλθες, I thank you for this, that you are come.

ἐφ' ῷ, is properly for ἐπὶ τούτῳ, ô—, but commonly for ἐπὶ τούτῳ ως—; and as ἐπὶ with the dat. conveys the idea of a condition, ἐφ' ῷ means on condition that; Λέξω σοὶ ἐφ' ῷ σιγήσεις, I will tell it to you on condition that you be silent.

ἐφ' ῷτε is the same, (for ἐπὶ τούτῳ, ὥστε,) but is more usually construed with the infin.: ex. gr. Ἡιρέθησαν ἐφ' ῷτε συγγράψαι νύμους, they were chosen on condition that they should make laws.

ἔστε, (not ἔς τε, for it is used instead of ἐς ὅτε, Dor. ἔστε,) until, as long as—, § 139, c.

οίος, see above όσος.

οἷός τε (οἷόστε,) means, in speaking of persons, able,—of things, possible; Οἷός τέ ἐστι πάντ' ἀποδεῖξαι, he is able to do any thing; ἀλλ' οὐχ οἰόντε τοῦτο, but that is not possible. Compare § 143, 1, 2.

οίον είκὸς, as may be imagined, as may be supposed.

οὐθὲν οῖον properly there is nothing like, (French, 'il n'y a rien de tel,') whence for instance, Οὐθὲν οῖον ἀκοῦσαι τῶν λόγων αὐτοῦ, i. e. the best thing we can do is to hear him, there is nothing like hearing him.

ἄλλο is used negatively or interrogatively to strengthen an affirmative proposition, in which case there generally is a verb omitted, Cyrop. 1, 4, 24. Ἐκεῖνος οὐδὲν ἄλλο ἢ τοὺς πεπτω-

SYNTAX. 437

κότας περιελαύνων ἐθεᾶτο. Memor. Socr. 2, 3, 17. Τί γὰρ ἄλλο $\mathring{\eta}$ κινδυνεύσεις ἐπιδεῖξαι, σὰ μὲν χρηστὸς—εἶναι, κ. τ. λ. When ἄλλο is spelled with an apostrophus in this connexion, it commonly loses its accent: Plato Apol. p. 20. Δι' οὐδὲν ἀλλ' $\mathring{\eta}$ διὰ σοφίαν τινὰ τοῦτο τὸ ὄνομα ἔσχηκα, Phædr. 231. "Ωστε οὐδὲν ὑπολείπεται ἀλλ' $\mathring{\eta}$ ποιεῖν προθύμως \mathring{o} , τι αν, κ.τ.λ. Menon. 9. "Οτι οὐδὲν ἀλλ' $\mathring{\eta}$ ἐπιτάττεις, because you do nothing but command. Æschin. c. Tim. "Ωστε μηδὲν ἀλλ' $\mathring{\eta}$ τὰς αἰσχύνας αὐτ $\mathring{\phi}$ περιεῖναι. This accentuation gives to ἀλλ' the appearance of the abbreviated ἀλλά: hence it frequently is accented ἄλλ' in such instances.

To these phrases belongs also the interrogative expression ἄλλο τι: Plato Gorg. 81. Εἰ μὲν γὰρ τυγχάνει ταῦτα ἀληθῆ ὄντα, ἃ λέγεις, ἄλλο τι ἢ ἡμῶν ὁ βίος ἀνατετραμμένος ἃν εἴη; if this were true, would not our life be destroyed? The particle ἢ is also frequently omitted in this interrogative: Plato Rep. 369. Ἄλλο τι γεωργὸς μὲν εῖς, ὁ δὲ οἰκοδόμος; is not one a husbandman, and the other a builder?

These connexions gave rise to ἀλλ' $\mathring{\eta}$ being used for the Latin 'nisi,' unless, but except, Aristoph. Ran. 1105. Οὐκ ἢπίσταντ' ἀλλ' $\mathring{\eta}$ μάζαν καλέσαι καὶ ρνππαπαὶ εἰπεῖν. But in most cases there is an abbreviation of the thought before this ἀλλ' $\mathring{\eta}$, which it is impossible to supply by words; Isæus de Aristarch. Hered. 261. 'Ο νόμος οὐκ ἐᾳ τῶν τῆς ἐπικλήρου κύριον εἶναι, ἀλλ' $\mathring{\eta}$ τοὺς παῖδας—κρατεῖν τῶν χρημάτων. Plato Phædr. 89. Τίνος μὲν οὖν ἕνεκα κἄν τις, ὡς εἰπεῖν, ζώη, ἀλλ' $\mathring{\eta}$ τῶν τοιούτων $\mathring{\eta}$ δονῶν ἕνεκα; See also Aristoph. Acharn. 1112 1°.

τἆλλα for τὰ ἄλλα, in other respects, otherwise, ex. gr. "Εστιν ἄπαις, τἄλλα εὐδαιμονεῖ, he is childless, in other respects he is happy. Hence τὰ δὲ ἄλλα—and in the next proposition καὶ, as in general—so in particular, Τὰ τε ἄλλα εὐδαιμονεῖ, καὶ παῖδας ἔχει κατηκόους αὐτῷ, (compare καὶ and τὲ in the preceding Section,) whence the elliptical phrase τά τε ἄλλα

This evidently shows the affinity between all the above-mentioned phrases. The accent, according to general custom, should therefore remain unchanged on $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$. But the expression $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$ $\dot{\eta}$ for nisi is too abrupt, particularly as there are passages, in which the word $\ddot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda$ og is already used once in what went before, so that the ellipsis before $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$ $\dot{\eta}$ is not clear; Plato Apol. p. 34. Τίνα $\ddot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda$ ον λ όγον ἔχουσιβοηθοῦντες ἐμοὶ, ἀλλ' $\dot{\eta}$ ὁρθόν τε καὶ δίκαιον; even the ancient granumarians seem to have derived this ἀλλ' $\dot{\eta}$ from ἀλλά. As it here loses the accent, the latter is commonly also omitted in the other above-mentioned phrases, which are connected with it.

 $\kappa a = -$ properly among others, but it may always be rendered by in particular.

ἄλλως τε καὶ, also in particular, especially; and has the same

origin as the preceding.

ἀμφότερον, used by poets adverbially, (or elliptically,) and means both, as well—as, as—as; χώσατο δ' αἰνῶς 'Αμφότερον νίκης τε καὶ ἔγχεος, ὁ ξυνέαξεν. In prose it is the same when the accus. ἀμφότερα unites two preceding modifications, expressed in a different case, Διαφέροντες ἢ σοφίᾳ ἢ κάλλει ἢ ἀμφότερα, (Heind. ad Plat. Charm. 3.) and also in another corresponding instance, θάτερα, Plato de LL. 6. p. 765. Έστω πείθων γνήσιον πατὴρ μάλιστα μὲν υίέων καὶ θυγατέρων, εἰ δὲ μὴ, θάτερα, if not yet one of the two.

ταὐτὸ τοῦτο, τοὐναντίον, τὸ λεγόμενον, and similar intercalations,

see § 131. Obs. 6.

οῦτος, αὕτη, as exclamation, see § 76. Obs. 3.

καὶ ταῦτα, and that too; Τηλικαύτην παρθένον ἐν κεφαλῆ ἔθρεψας, καὶ ταῦτα ἔνοπλον, Such a virgin (Pallas) have you had in your head, and that too armed!

τοῦτο μὲν, τοῦτο δὲ, is often used adverbially. See § 128. Obs. 4.

αὐτῷ, αὐτῷ, omitting σὺν, see § 134. Obs. 7.

αὐτὸ δείξει, αὐτὸ σημανεῖ, the thing will show it, the deed will prove it.

πρὸ τοῦ, better προτοῦ, formerly, ere this, (πρὸ τούτου or ἐκείνου τοῦ χρόνου,) see ad Plat. Alcib. 1, 14.

τοῦ λοιποῦ, (sc. χρόνου,) hereafter, (compare § 132, 6, 4.)—τὸ λοιπὸν or merely λοιπὸν, henceforth.

πολλοῦ δεῖ, impersonal, 'far from,' (French, il s'en faut de beaucoup ;) personal, πολλοῦ δέω, I am far from, ex. gr. λέγειν
τοῦτο (see the Note to § 151, 1, 7). πολλοῦ δεῖν, see § 141.
Obs. 5.

The contrary is $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \circ \tilde{\upsilon}$ or $\delta \lambda i \gamma \circ \upsilon \delta \tilde{\epsilon} i$, $\delta \epsilon \omega$, $\delta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} v$, nearly, very near, Lat. 'non multum abest quin,' wanting but little, almost; $\delta \lambda i \gamma \circ \upsilon \delta \epsilon \omega \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \tilde{\iota} v$, I had almost said, was very near saying. Frequently $\delta \lambda i \gamma \circ \upsilon \circ \iota \mu \iota \kappa \rho \circ \tilde{\upsilon}$ alone is used in this sense.

περὶ πολλοῦ ἐστί μοι, or περὶ πολλοῦ ποιοῦμαι or ἡγοῦμαι, I make much of, value highly, it is of importance to me, I wish very much; περὶ πλείονος, περὶ πλείστου is the same, and περὶ μικροῦ, &c. the contrary.

μᾶλλον δε, when alone, is always to be rendered 'or rather.'

SYNTAX. 439

μάλιστα μὲν, (in reference to εἰ δὲ μὴ coming after,) it would be best, if possible, properly indeed; Καταγιγνώσκετε αὐτοῦ μάλιστα μὲν θάνατον, εἰ δὲ μὴ, ἀειφυγίαν, it would be best to condemn him to death, but if not, to a perpetual exile.

When $\mu\acute{a}\lambda\iota\sigma\tau a$ is used interrogatively, requiring a more definite or precise answer, it has nearly the same meaning: $\pi\acute{o}\sigma\omicron\iota\,\mu\acute{a}\lambda\iota\sigma\tau a$; how many then exactly?—With numerals it denotes their probable amount, (EV $\tau\iota\sigma\sigma a\omicron\acute{a}\kappa ον\tau a$ $\mu\acute{a}\lambda\iota\sigma\tau a$ $\acute{n}\mu\acute{e}\rho a\iota c$,) affirmatively indeed, (most assuredly, certainly,) speaking from conviction, yet so as to give to understand that the matter is not absolutely, positively certain: hence $\pi n, \pi o،$ and the like, are frequently added. See Ind. ad Plat. Menon. in v. Wessel. ad Herod. 8, 65.

πώμαλα, originally, how so 11? hence, by no means.

ἄληθες, (accented in this way,) ironically, indeed! is it so?—do you think so? Lat. itane? Brunck ad Aristoph. Ran. 840.

ωφελον, (non-Attic ὄφελον,) properly I ought; hence it denotes a wish, partly alone; Μήποτ' ὤφελον ποιεῖν, had I but never done it! and partly with ὡς οτ εἴθε, εὶ γὰρ, (O that! would to Heaven! Lat. 'utinam;') 'Ως ὤφελες παρεῖναι, O that thou hadst been present; εὶ γὰρ ὤφελον θανεῖν, O that I had died! It is only with later writers that it is an indeclinable interjection.

ἀμέλει, do not mind; hence 1.) an asseveration, unquestionably, no doubt, truly; 2.) a confirmation of a more general proposition by a particular one, and indeed—.

oiσθa, construed with the *imper*, and the *pronoun relative*, see § 139, B. Obs. 3.

ἔστι, comes before pronouns relative of all kinds: ἔστιν ὅτε, Lat. 'est cum,' i. e. sometimes: ἔστιν ὃς, Lat. 'est qui,' i. e. some one. It is even used in this way before a pl.; Kaì ἔστιν οῦ αὐτῶν ἐτιτρώσκοντο, and some of them were wounded; 'Έστιν οῖς οὐχ οὕτως ἔδοξεν, to some it did not appear so, (but we may also say εἰσὶν οῦ.) Anab. 1, 5, 7. Ἡν τῶν σταθμῶν, οῦς πάνυ μακροὺς ἤλαυνεν, he made some of these halting stations very long. This phrase was afterwards considered as a single word, and thus interwoven in the

¹¹ It is far more natural to suppose this form derived from πως μάλα than to derive it with grammarians from the rather uncommon Doric πω for πόθεν.

speech; Εὶ γὰρ ὁ τρόπος ἔστιν οῖς δυσαρεστεῖ, for if the manner displeases some; κλέπτειν δὲ ἐφῆκεν ἔστιν α̂, but he allowed them to steal some things (Xenoph. Laced. 2, 7). Οὕσπερ εἶδον ἔστιν ὅπου, which I have seen somewhere.—And as an interrogative, "Εστιν οὕστινας ἀνθρώπους τεθαύμακας ἐπὶ σοφία; have you ever admired any man for his wisdom? Xenoph. Mem. 1, 4, 2.

οὖκ ἔστιν ὅπως, it is impossible, inconceivable; Ἡ φιλοπραγμοσύνη, ὑφ᾽ ἦς οὖκ ἔστιν ὅπως ἡσυχίαν σχήσει, the great attention to business, which will not let him remain quiet. Compare

about $i\phi$ $\tilde{\eta}_{\varsigma}$, § 147. Obs. 3.

ἔστιν, ἔξεστιν, ἔνεστι, πάρεστι πράττειν, (with the dat. of the person, or in general,) all signify, it is allowed, in one's power. But ἔνεστι alludes to physical power, it is possible, ἔξεστιν to the moral power, it is lawful; ἔστι is between the two, and means indefinitely it will do, it may be done; πάρεστιν the same, only that it conveys the additional idea of facility, 'it is at hand, may be done without ceremony, any difficulty.' Whenever ἔνεστιν and ἔξεστι are used one for the other, it is merely from rhetorical motives, just as we say by way of strengthening the expression, I cannot possibly do it, instead of dare not or may not do it; and I am allowed to do it, may do it.

ως ἔνι. In this expression ἔνι, (according to § 117, 3, 2.) is used for ἔνεστι, it is possible; hence before superl. ως ἕνι

μάλιστα, as far as it is any way possible.

ώς ἔπος εἰπεῖν, so to speak, see § 140. Obs. 5.

ώς συνελόντι (sc. λόγ ψ) εἰπεῖν, also without ώς, (compare § 140. Obs. 5.) and simply συνελόντι without εἰπεῖν, to be short.

ἐν τοῖς. When these words come before a superl, they mean the Latin 'omnium,' of all; 'Εν τοῖς πρῶτοι παρῆσαν οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι, the Athenians were there the first of all; Τοῦτο ἐγὼ ἐν τοῖς βαρύτατα ἂν ἐνέγκαιμι, I should be the greatest sufferer of all.—Το resolve this expression we must supply after ἐν τοῖς a participle suggested by the sequel of the proposition, here in the first instance ἐν τοῖς παροῦσιν, in the second ἐν τοῖς βαρέως φέρουσιν αὐτό. But when the superl. is an adverb as here in the second instance, we must be careful not to construe thus, 'Εγὼ τοῦτο ἂν ἐνέγκαιμι ἐν τοῖς βαρύτατα φέρουσιν αὐτὸ, which would weaken the idea, (I should be one of those, who suffer the most,) and

is incorrect, as is evident from the instances where this construction is inadmissible, as in $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau o i c$ $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o i$.—This $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau o i c$ is used even before nouns fem.; Thuc. Ev $\tau o i c$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau a \iota$ $\nu \tilde{\eta} \epsilon c$ $\pi a \rho'$ $a \dot{\nu} \tau o i c$ $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \nu \tau o$, and H $\sigma \tau \dot{a} \sigma \iota c$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\tau o i c$ $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tau o$.

- oi ἀμφὶ, or οi περὶ, with an accus.; οἱ ἀμφὶ "Ανυτον, commonly means not only those who were about or with him, but, Anytus with his followers, party, &c.; οἱ ἀμφὶ Θαλῆν, Thales and other wise men of his time (Plato Hipp. Maj. 2). Attic writers employ this indefinite expression, even when they chiefly allude to only one individual, leaving it at the same time for some reason undecided and in the dark, whether they mean that individual alone. Thus οἱ ἀμφὶ Εὐθύφρονα, (Plato Crat. 36.) means only Euthyphro, but hints at the same time that there may be others of his opinion and party: οἱ ἀμφὶ Θεμιστοκλέα, (Menon. towards the end,) like the French, 'les Thémistocle;' again, οἱ περὶ Κέκρωπα, (Xen. Memor. 3, 5, 10.) Cecrops only, but the obscurity of the old tradition seems to be hinted at.
- εὶ μὴ διὰ, with the accus., literally if not for, had it not been for;
 Καὶ ἀπέθανεν ὰν εἰ μὴ διὰ τὸν κύνα, he would have perished,
 had it not been for his dog. See also § 139. Obs. 4.
- μεταξύ, among, between. This particle commonly stands as adverb before a participle in this manner: μεταξύ περιπατων, while walking; μεταξύ δειπνοῦντα ἐφόνευσεν αὐτὸν, he killed him while he was at supper (Lat. inter ambulandum, inter cænandum).
- ἕνεκα, often means as far as concerns; "Ανευ τοῦ ἡλίου, ἕνεκα τῶν ἑτέρων ἄστρων, νὺξ ἂν ῆν ἀεὶ, without the sun it would always be night, as far as the other constellations are concerned. Hence ἀργυρίου ἕνεκα, as far as money will do it; τούτου γε ἕνεκα, if it be nothing else, if it only depends on that, if that be all (Heind. ad Plat. Charm. 14).
- ἄμα, and in the second part of the proposition καὶ, as soon as;

 "Αμα ἀκηκόαμέν τι καὶ τριηράρχους καθίσταμεν, as soon as we hear any thing (of the enemy), we shall appoint the commanders of the ships. It is also employed like μεταξὺ, ex. gr.

 "Αμα ταῦτ' εἰπὼν ἀνέστη, having said this he rose.

πολλάκις, after some particles like εἰ, μὴ, means the Latin 'forte,' by chance, as will happen. Heind. ad Plat. Phæd. 11.

Ind. ad Plat. Menon. cet.

ὁ ἀεί. When this expression comes before a participle, ἀεὶ always means every time; ὁ ἀεὶ ἢδικημένος, who has every time (whenever the opportunity occurred) been wronged;
ὁ ἀεὶ ἄρχων, the then Archon. The same with substantives and relative pronouns.

φοούδος is construed as a verb, είναι, being omitted, he is gone, vanished away; Φρούδος γὰρ ὁ ἀνὴρ, for the man is gone,

φροῦδα πάντα, it is all over (compare § 129. Obs. 7).

άρξάμενος, ex. gr. ἀπὸ σοῦ ἀρξάμενος, and you above all. In this phrase the participle always joins the principal object in question; Ἐστὶν ὅστις ᾿Αθηναίων ἀπὸ σοῦ ἀρξάμενος, μᾶλλον δέξαιτ ἀν δοῦλος γενέσθαι ἢ δεσπότης; is there any one among the Athenians, and you above all, who would rather be a slave than a master? πάντες οὖτοι ἀπὸ τῶν ἡρώων ἀρξάμενοι οὐδεὶς πώποτε ἔψεξεν ἀδικίαν. See Ind. ad Menon. &c. in v. Heind. ad Plat. Gorg. 60.

μέλλειν, see § 137. Obs. 11. Out of this natural signif. of μέλλειν arise two other meanings, which must not be confounded, 1.) the supposititious conjectural meaning, Hom. οὕτω που Διὰ μέλλει—φίλου εἶναι, consequently it probably will please Jove; 2.) the meaning of to delay, postpone, leave to futurity, τί δ' οὐ μέλλει; τί δ' οὐκ ἔμελλε; why should he not? i. e. most certainly he will. But the meaning is the same without the negative, τί μέλλει; why not? i. e. to be sure, by all means. Heind. ad Plat. Hipp. Maj. 17.

ἔρχεσθαι, ἰέναι, with the participle of the fut.: to be going to, to be on the point to; "Οπες η α ἐςῶν, what I was going to say

(French, 'ce que j'allois dire').

ἐθέλειν, (never θέλειν,) before an infin. must very often be considered as an adverb with a finite verb, 'spontaneously,' 'willingly;' δωρεῖσθαι ἐθέλουσι, they freely bestow gifts (Xenoph. Hier. 7, 9). Κύρψ ἴσμεν ἐθελήσαντας πείθεσθαι τοὺς μὲν, Cyrop. 1, 1, 3, where the part. (according to § 144, 4. b.) is used merely because of ἴσμεν. Ἰσμεν ὅτι ἢθέλησαν πείθεσθαι, that they obeyed willingly.

 $\phi\theta\acute{a}\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$, to come before, prevent, anticipate. This verb, independently of its proper signif., is used in three different

senses.

1.) In a positive sense with the participle of the principal verb (§ 144. Obs. 8.) it means to do a thing sooner

SYNTAX. 443

than another, or before another occurrence can take place; ἔφθασα αὐτὸν παρελθῶν, I arrived before him, before he did arrive; ἔφθην ἀπιῶν, I had gone away before. Hence it is also used to express celerity; Herod. 3, 78. Φθάνει τὰ τόξα κατελόμενος.

2.) In a negative sense also with the part., and connected with another proposition by καὶ, it means hardly, no sooner—than—Οὐκ ἔφθημεν ἐλθόντες καὶ νόσοις ἐλήφθημεν, (Isocr.) we were no sooner arrived than we were attacked by diseases; Οὐκ ἔφθησαν ὑμᾶς καταδουλωσάμενοι καὶ πρώτου αὐτοῦ φυγὴν κατέγνωσαν, (Isocr.) they no sooner had subjugated you than he was the first whom

they condemned to exile. Compare above "ua.

3.) In the negative sense with the part., (or the part. being mentally supplied from the context,) but without any necessary further connexion, it means to be ready, not to fail, which imparts to the verb in the part. an idea of unavoidableness and rapidity. Φθάνειν in this sense occurs only in the opt. with $a\nu^{12}$, and that a.) instead of the imper. οὐκ αν φθάνοις λέγων, do not tarry, hesitate telling to us, i. e. tell to us immediately, b.) as a sure foretelling, promise, &c. Οὐκ αν φθάνοιμι, (the answer to a request,) I will not fail, am ready; Ouk av φθάνοι ἀποθνήσκων, he will not escape death, will not fail being killed, is sure to be killed: Εἰ οὖν μὴ τιμωρήσεσθε τούτους, οὐκ ἂν φθάνοι τὸ πληθος τούτοις τοῖς θηρίοις δουλεύον, if you do not punish them, the multitude will infallibly become the slaves of these brutes.—It is as obvious as it is striking that this negative sense is the same with the first positive or affirmative one. To explain this contradiction, we must assume that our av phávoic is properly an interrogative formula instead of a direct imper. (will you not immediately—?) and that it gradually lost its interrogative power in familiar intercourse. Hence the où comes after in poetry; Eurip. Heracl. 721. φθάνοις δ' αν οὐ.—And as soon as οὐκ αν φθάνοις passed for a direct imper. it was very natural for the people to begin to say in the same sense, οὐκ ἂν φθάνοιμι, and οὐκ ἂν

 $^{^{12}}$ The only instance which Stephanus adduces without an opt, is the second above sub 2. garbled.

 $\phi\theta\acute{a}\nu o\iota$. Thus the meaning was unquestionably altered in practice; but all non-interrogative sentences beginning with οὐκοῦν are in the same predicament: for just as οὐκοῦν ἄπειμι is the same with ἄπειμι οῦν, so is οὐκ ἂν $\phi\theta\acute{a}\nu o\iota \mu\iota$ ποιῶν identical with $\phi\theta\acute{a}\nu o\iota \mu\iota$ ποιῶν.

είναι. This infin. seems to be used redundantly by Attic writers in some expressions, especially in έκὼν είναι, (which probably was a complete phrase originally, so that I am free to act,) i.e. willingly, of one's own accord, &c.; Οὐκ ἂν έκὼν είναι ψευδοίμην, I will not intentionally tell an untruth.

The είναι in τὸ νῦν είναι, for the present, is different: τὸ τήμερον είναι χρησόμεθ' αὐτῷ, to-day at least we will make use of him. (See about all the formulæ belonging

hither, Reiz ad Viger. n. 178. ed. Herm.)

ἔχειν, with an adverb, means to be circumstanced, but may generally be rendered to be; καλῶς ἔχει, it is good, it is well; ὡς εἶχε, as he was (ex. gr. undressed). It is often used with a definite gen.; ὡς εἶχε μορφῆς, (in shape or size,) ὡς τάχους εἴχοντο, they followed as quickly as they could, see § 132, 6, 1. It is the same before prep. ᾿Αμφὶ τὴν κάμινον ἔχει τὰ πολλὰ, he is mostly to be found near the stove; οἱ ἀμφὶ γῆν ἔχοντες, husbandmen; Πυθόμενοι τὸν περὶ τὸ ἱρὸν ἔχοντα νόμον, the law concerning the temple, Herod. 2, 113.—Poets sometimes employ this verb in the same way before adj. and pronouns; ἔχει ταὐτὸν, it is all one, all the same, Eur. Or. 308. ἔχ' ἥσυχος, Med. 550.

Sometimes ἔχειν makes an emphatical circumlocution with the part. of the præterite; Πάλαι θανμάσας ἔχω, (for θανμάζω,) I have long been wondering at, Soph. El. 590. τοὺς παῖδας ἐκβαλοῦσ' ἔχεις, you have rejected your children; Plato Alc. II. 5. διειληφότες ἔχουσι. See Valck.

ad Phan. 712. Herm. ad Viger. n. 183.

ἔχων is added to some verbs like ληοεῖν, φλυαρεῖν, παίζειν, in the 2 pers. to make a good-humoured observation; as, you are joking! (παίζεις ἔχων,) you trifle! (ληρεῖς ἔχων.) The origin of this expression may be traced to the interrogative, τί ἕχων διατρίβεις; what makes you loiter? Compare Ruhnk. ad Tim. 257. Brunck ad Aristoph. Thesm. 473. Herm. ad Viger. n. 228.

 $\tau i \pi a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $\tau i \mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, are both angry interpellations instead of the weaker τi alone: why? why then? The former

SYNTAX. 445

may be accounted for from Aristoph., where we have Οῦτος, τί πάσχεις; you yonder what befalls you, what is the matter with you? Again, Τί παθων έλευθέρους τύπτεις; what business have you to strike free-men? The expression seems to have been originally directed to check ebullitions of passion, bordering on madness. The second expression, which is analogous to it, is more ironical: $\tau \ell$ μαθών;—what have you learned? what has got into your head? where have you learned that? (See Wolf ad Demosth. Lept. 348.) And just as the positive έχων comes from the interrogative $\tau i \, i \, i \, \chi \omega \nu$, so is $\mu a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ also used in positive sentences, but only with ort, Plato Apol. 26. Ti - άξιός είμι αποτίσαι, ὅτι μαθων ἐν τῷ βιῷ οὐχ ἡσυχίαν εἶχον; here $\mu\alpha\theta\dot{\omega}\nu$ distinctly conveys the idea of determinate intention, What penalty am I deserving for having absolutely enjoyed no tranquillity in my life? If the object, which is wanting with $\mu a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, were to be supplied, it might be, "Ort μαθών οὐκ οἶδ' ὅ, τι, ἡσυχίαν οὐκ εἶχον. Not that the complete idea of $\mu\alpha\theta\omega\nu$, such as we have just developed it, was in the mind of every speaker who used the expression; this or something similar only gave rise to it, and $\ddot{o}_{\tau\iota}$ μαθών became a more passionate $\ddot{o}_{\tau\iota}$. Compare the remaining passages in Heind. ad Plat. Euthyd. 30. Compare also in Herod. 3, 119. Τίνα ἔχουσα γνώμην-είλευ, κ. τ. λ., which is nothing but a gentler τί μαθοῦσα, how do you come to think so 13?

φέρων also appears redundant in some expressions, but always denotes a vehemence of purpose, not altogether free from blame; Ύπέβαλεν έαυτὸν φέρων Θηβαίοις, he put himself (rashly) into the power of the Thebans (Æschin. 482); Εἰς τοῦτο φέρων περιέστησε τὰ πράγματα, he has (irresistibly)

¹³ As it is obvious that the three upbraiding expressions, τi εχων, $\pi a\theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, $\mu a\theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, are essentially the same, and must be resolved in the same manner, the most natural explanation of $\ddot{v}\tau i$ $\mu a\theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ is that, which, without stripping $\ddot{v}\tau i$ of its connective nature, treats $\mu a\theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, when considered alone, in the same way as εχων alone. This is the reason why I cannot alter my statement, notwithstanding all which has been since said of this expression, and which I have duly weighed. Were I to make any alteration, it would be simply this, that I would no longer attempt to fill the chasm after $\ddot{v}\tau i$ $\mu a\theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, but should barely observe that in such phrases as τi εχων διατρίβεις; τi $\mu a\theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, $\mu \dot{v}$ προσέγραψας $\tau \ddot{v}\ddot{v}\tau \dot{v}$ inothing was thought of but the moral power of the participle, and no particular regard paid to the grammatical connexion, so that the same turn of expression was adopted in other combinations, in which it is not exactly grammatical, but to which the same energy was to be imparted, which distinguishes those interrogative expressions. Compare Hermann ad Ar. Nub. p. xlvi. sqq.

brought affairs to that point (474). Compare Herm. ad Viger. n. 228.

\S 151.—Some peculiar Constructions.

I. Attraction.

- 1. Though we have seen the two principal cases of attraction above, § 142, 143, it yet deserves to be considered here under one general point of view; for those two cases, and a few others in single instances, have evidently a common principle. An elegant conciseness was what Attic writers chiefly aimed at; to this they frequently sacrificed the strictly logical correctness. They studied, as much as possible, not only to state successively two connected propositions, but also to concentrate both into one. Hence their frequent use of participles even in cases where the nature of the proposition seems to require a different construction.
- 2. But participles could not be introduced every where; it was equally necessary to resort to the construction with the pronoun relative, which consists of two successive propositions. To give to these the appearance of one proposition, the pronoun of the second was made a common pronoun by annexing it in form to the first, whilst it naturally belonged to the second proposition; Μεταδίδως τοῦ σίτου οὖπερ αὐτὸς ἔχεις, the gen. οὖπερ makes the whole second proposition a kind of adj. to σίτου, and it is merely giving way to our habit, when we separate by a comma propositions which the Greek writers so visibly studied to combine into one.
- 3. Again, whenever the subject of the *infin*. is already stated with the preceding *verb*, it produces a connexion in the sense, which the Greek writers wished to render sensible also in the form; they therefore merged, as it were, both *verbs* into one, compounded for the thought, (ὑπέσχετο ποιήσειν, ἔξεστι γενέσθαι,) and by letting all which belonged to the subject of the *infin*. be attracted by the subject of the first *verb*, they effected the appearance of a single proposition, (ὁ ἀνὴρ ὑπέσχετο ποιήσειν τοῦτο αὐτὸς,—ἔξεστί μοι γενέσθαι εὐδαίμονι,) which with similar absurdity is parted by a comma.
- 4. When this principle of attraction is admitted, it will be found that there are many other phrases dependent on it, of which we will notice the most important, and first of all the

SYNTAX. 447

instances where the first coming word is attracted by the following pronoun relative; Xenoph. Ven. 1, 10. Μελέαγρος δὲ τὰς τιμὰς, ᾶς ἔλαβε, φανεραί: the proposition here is, αἱ τιμαὶ, ᾶς M. $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon$, $\phi\alpha\nu\epsilon\rho\alpha i$, $(\epsilon i\sigma\iota\nu)$ but the premising of one subject, (M. $\delta\epsilon$), which the contrast renders necessary, is the cause that the other subject, attracted by the *pronoun relative* \hat{a}_{S} , is now put in the *accus*.—Plato Menon. 36. Έχεις εἰπεῖν ἄλλου ὁτουοῦν πράγματος, οὖ οἱ φάσκοντες διδάσκαλοι εἶναι ὁμολογοῦνται οὐκ ἐπίστασθαι τὸ πρᾶγμα; here we should have, ἔχεις εἰπεῖν ἄλλο ότιοῦν ποᾶγμα, οῦ, κ.τ.λ., can you name any one thing of which the professed teachers are generally acknowledged not to understand it? But the pronoun relative ov converts all the preceding accus. along with it into gen. (See also ad Plat. Menon. 41. Heind. ad Plat. Lys. 40.) The ear of the Greeks had accustomed itself to this neglect of the true construction by instances, where it is merely a pronoun or a general idea like ἄλλος or ετερος, that comes before the pronoun relative, and where the attraction consequently is more sensibly felt, Xenoph. Hier. 7, 2. Ταῦτα ποιοῦσι τυράννοις καὶ ἄλλον ὅντινα τιμῶσι, where instead of "allow we should have the dat., and to every one else. Demosth. pro Cor. 230. Έτέρω δ' ὅτω κακόν τι δώσομεν ζητείν, i. e. ἕτερον δὲ ζητεῖν, ὅτῳ.—These instances, it is true, might also be explained by a slight inversion, ζητεῖν, ὅτῳ ἐτέρῳ κακόν τι δώσομεν, -- ποιοῦσι τυράννοις καὶ (ξκάστω,) ὅντινα ἄλλον τιμῶσιν, but the analogy of the other instances makes us adhere to the attraction, especially those in which $\pi \tilde{a}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the attracted word; Xenoph. Hell. 1, 4, 2. Λακεδαιμόνιοι πάντων ὧν δέονται $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \delta \tau \epsilon \varsigma \epsilon \delta \sigma i \nu$. This could not be explained by any inversion without producing the most unnatural harshness, and the attraction, Λ. πεπραγότες είσι πάντα ων δέονται, is perfectly clear. -To the same kind of attraction belongs also the expression, οὐδενὶ ὅτω οῦ, quoted above, § 148. Obs. 8.—Attraction likewise operates on adverbs by confounding the correlatives of the different series, (§ 116.) Plato Criton. 4. Πολλαχοῦ μὲν γὰο καὶ άλλοσε ὅποι ἂν ἀφίκη, ἀγαπήσουσί σε, for πολλαχοῦ—ἀλλαχοῦ, ὅποι—, or πολλαχοῦ—ὅποι ἄλλοσε ἂν ἀφίκη.

5. Thus it is obvious that all phrases, in which an expression of surprise and exaltation seems strengthened by an appended pronoun relative can be explained only as attractions. When (according to § 150.) we find, $\Theta a \nu \mu a \sigma \tau \delta \nu \ \sigma \sigma \nu \ \pi \rho o \nu \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon$, this must be considered as if it were $\theta a \nu \mu a \sigma \tau \delta \nu \ (\epsilon \sigma \tau \nu \nu)$

ὅσον προῦχώρησεν, it is astonishing how far he has advanced. But if the pronoun relative be in another form, the preceding word passes over to the same form; as, for instance, the neuter θανμαστὸν becomes fem., Θανμαστὴ ὅση ἡ προχώρησις αὐτοῦ, and the proposition may be inverted, Ἦν δὲ ἡ προχώρησις αὐτοῦ θανμαστὴ ὅση, and thus the same form was adopted in other phrases which are not so easily resolved or are incapable of being resolved; 'Αλλ' ἢν περὶ αὐτὸν ὅχλος ὑπερφυὴς ὅσος (Aristoph. Plut. 750).—"Εδωκεν αὐτῷ πλεῖστα ὅσα, and the like.

—But if the adverb ὡς is the relative, the same adj. assume the adverbial form; Θαυμάσιόν (ἐστιν) ὡς ἄθλιος γέγονε, becomes θαυμασίως ὡς ἄθλιος γέγονεν, and in the same way ὑπερφυῶς ὡς, and the like. This is confirmed by the unaltered form really occurring, Herod. 3, 113. 'Απόζει—θεσπέσιον ὡς ἡδύ.

6. There is another kind of attraction, when to such words as οίδα, ἀκούω, λέγω, if they be not followed by an accus. with the infin., the subject of the following verb is joined as object in the accus. Οίδα γην, όπόση έστὶ, instead of οίδα, όπόση έστὶ $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$, I know how great the earth is, Aristoph. Pac. 603. βούλεσθ' ακούσαι τήνδ', ὅπως απώλετο, for ακούσαι, ὅπως ήδε $a\pi\omega\lambda\epsilon\tau_0$: see also an instance above, § 138. Obs. and ad Plat. Menon. 27, and in another oblique case in Thuc. 1, 59. ηλθε ή \dot{a} γγελία τῶν πόλεων, ὅτι \dot{a} φεστᾶσιν.—This attraction is very different from those mentioned above, since there are actually two propositions, and we only have, instead of the casus of the one, which is in its natural connexion, a new casus, which must be mentally supplied with the first word. Yet $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ is evidently attracted by oida, and thus two propositions, which were merely in juxta-position, become as it were interwoven, so as to be nearly one proposition, especially when they are placed in the following order, Γην όπόση ἐστὶν εἰδέναι, Xenoph. to know how great the earth is; τοῦτον οὐδ' εἰ γέγονεν ἤδειν, Demosth. Mid. 'of him, I did not even know that he existed.'

7. It is likewise an attraction when certain adj. with ἐστὶν, instead of being in the neuter, also receive the subject of the following verb as their subject. This is most striking with δίκαιος: for Δίκαιόν ἐστιν ἐμὲ τοῦτο πράττειν, becomes δίκαιός εἰμι τοῦτο πράττειν (I am just in doing this, for, it is just, it is right for me to do so); Demosth. pro Cor. Τούτου τὴν αἰτίαν οὖτός ἐστι δίκαιος ἔχειν, it is just that the blame should fall on him. Cyrop. 4, 1, 20.—Δίκαιος εῖ ἀντιχαρίζεσθαι ἡμῖν, it is just that

you should do us a favour in turn. The case is the same with ἄξιος, 5, 4, 19. "Αξιοί γε μέντοι ἐσμὲν τοῦ γεγενημένου πράγματος τούτου, (of a mishap occasioned by imprudence,) ἀπολαῦσαι τι ἀγαθὸν, τὸ μαθεῖν, κ. τ. λ. not we deserve, but ἄξιόν ἐστιν, it is proper . Adj. like δῆλος, φανερὸς, have in that case the following verb in the part., Demosth. Mid. 9. "Εστι δὲ ἐκεῖνο, οὐκ ἄδηλος ἐρῶν, for οὐκ ἄδηλόν ἐστιν, αὐτὸν ἐρεῖν ἐκεῖνο, it is evident that he will say what follows, or also with ὅτι. See Sturz's Lex. Xenoph. in δῆλος, p. 660. b. extr. In order to form but one proposition in all these instances, the subject of the principal proposition attracts the common adj.

8. There is lastly an attraction, when a relation belonging to the noun, being attracted by the verb, becomes the relation of that verb: thus the relation answering the question where? being drawn on by the verb, is made to answer the question whence? Ο ἐκεῦθεν πόλεμος, δεῦρο ἥξει, the war there will come hither. Thuc. 5, 35. the Lacedæmonians demand that the Athenians withdraw their partisans from Pylos, ισπερ καὶ αὐτοὶ τοὺς ἀπὸ Θράκης, as they will withdraw their troops from Thracia. Theophr. Char. 2, 4. "Αρας τι τῶν ἀπὸ τῆς τραπέζης. The same is done with the relation whither, Herod. 7, 33. Ές τοῦ Πρωτεσίλεω τὸ ἱρὸν, τὸ ἐς Ἑλαιοῦντα, (for τὸ ἐν Ἑλαιοῦντι,) ἀγινερμένας. See Heind. ad Plat. Gorg. 61. et ad Phæd. 2. et 57, where there are other instances of such constructions (with ὑπὲρ, περί).

II. Anacoluthon.

1. An anacoluthon ($\partial v a \kappa \delta \lambda o v \theta o v$) is a construction, of which the close does not grammatically correspond to its beginning, though it has yet been intentionally used. But we must be careful not to be over-ready to apply this explanation to any passage, of which the construction is rather uncommon, or which has been corrupted by the transcribers. Any anacoluthon is suspicious, when its origin is not natural, and the proposition has not gained any thing in point of elegance, distinctness, emphasis, or conciseness.

2. The usual kind of anacoluthon is that of a writer commencing a period in the way, which the process of his speech requires, but afterwards, and especially after some interpola-

¹ Exactly in the same way, Πολλοῦ δεῖ ἐμὲ ποιεῖν, (much is wanting that I do it, I am very far from doing it,) was the origin of the more customary Πολλοῦ δέω ποιεῖν.

tions, which make the hearer forget the beginning of the construction, passing over to a new construction; (Plato Apol. p. 19.) Τούτων εκαστος οδόστ' εστίν, ιων είς εκάστην των πόλεων, τούς νέους, οίς έξεστι των έαυτων πολιτων προϊκα ξυνείναι ω αν βούλωνται, τούτους πείθουσι—σφίσι ξυνείναι. Here the τούτων in the beginning refers to some sophists named before, and both the process of the speech and its emphasis required the new period to commence with, Any one of them is capable of persuading young people, &c. The following proposition must then have had the infin. πείθειν to correspond to οἷόστ' ἐστίν. But further on, the mention of the young people being interpolated with circumstances rendered necessary to establish a contrast, (the young people who are at liberty to have a gratuitous intercourse with any of their fellow-citizens whom they like,) the writer forsakes his first construction, of which the grammatical junction is now obscured, and finds it more natural to refer with a second τούτους to the νέους, and to commence a new construction, τούτους πείθουσι—i. e. those sophists persuade the young people, &c.

3. We will take another example from Plato Phædr. 17.-(p. 207. Heind.) Τοιαῦτα γὰρ ὁ ἔρως ἐπιδείκνυται δυστυχοῦντας μεν α μη λύπην τοις άλλοις παρέχει, ανιαρά ποιεί νομίζειν εὐτυχούντας δε και τὰ μη ήδονης άξια παρ' εκείνων επαίνου αναγκάζει τυγχάνειν: such are the effects of love, it makes the unfortunate consider as sad that which gives no displeasure to others the writer now wishing emphatically to establish the contrast (it forcibly causes even indifferent things to be praised). But the logical order in that case required the second proposition to begin with Παρ' εὐτυχούντων δέ—this, however, would have destroyed the symmetry, Δυστυχοῦντας μέν — παρ' εὐτυχούντων δè—the writer, unwilling to sacrifice either symmetry or emphasis to logical order, retains the accus. εὐτυχοῦντας, which the analogy of the first proposition demanded, as an accus. absolute, and refers by means of παρ' ἐκείνων to the same object to be enabled to close energetically with ἐπαίνου ἀναγκάζει τυγχάνειν. It is only to us, who are not accustomed to such transitions from one construction to another, that such a double reference seems obscure.

4. The motive of the following short anacoluthon is still more obvious (Plato Alcib. I. p. 134); Ωι γὰρ ἂν ἐξουσία μὲν ἢ ποιεῖν δ βούλεται, νοῦν δὲ μὴ ἔχῃ, τί εἰκὸς συμβαίνειν; Here two

SYNTAX. 451

propositions are dependent on one relative, which each requires to be in a different casus; to put it twice (ψ μεν-δς δε-) would have impaired the symmetry and distinctness of the speech. The anacoluthon renders the period far more compact, the second proposition being appended as if the relative had gone before in the nomin., which is immediately made evident by the nature of the second proposition $(\nu o \tilde{\nu} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \chi \eta)$. The case is the same in *Phædo*, p. 82. Έκεῖνοι οῖς τι μέλει τῆς ἑαυτῶν ψυχῆς, ἀλλὰ μὴ σώματα πλάττοντες ζῶσι. When the second proposition presupposes the relative in an oblique case, Hom. ται ἔπι πόλλ' ἐμόγησα, δόσαν δέ μοι υἶες 'Αχαιῶν, Plato Protag. 313. Πρωταγόρας δυ ούτε γιγνώσκεις ούτε διείλεξαι ούδεπώποτε, the object (αὐτὸν, αὐτῶ, &c. Hom. ε̂, μὶν,) may be considered as omitted: it really occurs, for instance, in the following propositions, Il. a. 79. δς μέγα πάντων 'Αργείων κρατέει, καὶ οἱ πείθονται 'Αχαιοί, Plato Men. 27. Παρά τούτων, οἱ μήτε προσποιοῦνται διδάσκαλοι είναι μήτ' έστιν αὐτῶν μαθητής οὐδεὶς, though this does not improve the anacoluthia. See other examples in Thuc. 2, 74. Od. a. 70. \(\beta\). 54, 113, and comp. Herm. ad Viger. 28, \$ 707.

5. For another very common anacoluthon with the part., see § 144. Obs. 1; and for the nom. absolute see § 145. Obs. 1.

6. The instance when a singular is immediately added to a plural to define the latter more precisely, can hardly be considered as an anacoluthia; Oi δè οὐδεὶς αὐτῷ προσεῖχον, which is more emphatical than $T\~ων$ δὲ οὐδεὶς—προσεῖχε, of those, however, no one attended to him.

III. Inversion.

1. Inversions and involved constructions are on the whole far less common in Greek, even with poets, than in Latin. In some instances, however, the inversions even in prose are more strained. This arises from the anxiety, peculiar to Attic writers, to place together the words of one or two propositions which resemble, oppose, or refer to one another. Thus, for instance, they would say, Πάντων γὰρ πᾶσι πάντες ἔχθιστοί εἰσι Καρχηδόνιοι Ῥωμαίοις, for πάντες Κ. πάντων ἔχθιστοί εἰσι πᾶσι Ῥ.—Plato Phædr. p. 277. c. Ποικίλη μὲν ποικίλους ψυχῆ καὶ παναρμονίους διδοὺς λόγους, ἀπλοῦς δὲ ἀπλῆ. Το attain this they even sever the article from its noun, Αἰσχύνει πόλιν τὴν αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ—and prep. from their casus, ἐν ἄλλοτε ἄλλη, for ἄλλοτε ἐν ἄλλη: παρ' οὐκ ἐθέλων ἐθελούση, Od. ε. 155.

- 2. Inversions are also caused by the very natural endeavour to enounce first that part of a proposition, on which the stress is to be laid; Demosth. Olynth. III. p. 37. Τὸ μὲν πρῶτον—ἀγαπητὸν ἦν παρὰ τοῦ δήμου τῶν ἄλλων ἑκάστῳ καὶ τιμῆς καὶ ἀρχῆς καὶ ἀγαθοῦ τινος μεταλαβεῖν, νῦν δὲ τοὐναντίον. Here the dat. ἑκάστῳ is dependent on ἀγαπητὸν ῆν, (formerly each of the other citizens was highly pleased, when he obtained honours from the people, now it is quite the reverse,) but παρὰ τοῦ δήμου, which is dependent on μεταλαβεῖν, has the greatest stress, and therefore comes before it.
- 3. Thus the emphasis sometimes removes the adverb, which should come after the relative, before it, Nov $\delta \eta$ à ëlegov, what I said before, Plato Euthyd. 288. which sometimes may cause ambiguity, Theorr. 10, 17. Exelf $\pi \delta \lambda al$ &v $\delta \pi \epsilon \theta \delta \mu \epsilon l$, where $\pi \delta \lambda al$ does not belong to Exelf, but to $\delta \pi \epsilon \theta \delta \mu \epsilon l$ (compare Spalding ad Demosth. Mid. § 30).
- 4. In the following instance obscurity is avoided by the inversion, Demosth. Ol. III. towards the end, 'Αξιῶ ὑμᾶς—μὴ παραχωρεῖν τῆς τάξεως, ἡν ὑμῖν οἱ πρόγονοι τῆς ἀρετῆς μετὰ πολλῶν καὶ καλῶν κινδύνων κτησάμενοι κατέλιπον, here the gen. τῆς ἀρετῆς is dependent on the other gen. τάξεως, (τάξις τῆς ἀρετῆς, the order of virtue,) but both together would have created confusion.
- 5. But it frequently happens that we perfectly feel that a proposition has gained by being inverted, though we cannot elucidate it by any of the above observations. Take for example that beautiful passage of Plato Phædr. 10. "Ωσπερ γὰρ οἱ τὰ πεινῶντα θρέμματα θαλλὸν ἤ τινα καρπὸν προσείοντες ἄγουσι, σὺ ἐμοὶ λόγους οὕτω προτείνων—φαίνει περιάξειν, &c. where οἱ is the article of προσείοντες, and τὰ πεινῶντα θρέμματα depend on ἄγουσιν²: Cyrop. 6, 4, 8. "Ηξειν αὐτῷ δὲ πολὺ 'Αράσπου ἄνδρα καὶ πιστότερον καὶ ἀμείνονα, where πολὺ strengthens the compar., and the gen. 'Αράσπου depends on them; exactly as in Demosth. Mid. 49. Οἱ δὲ ἢτιμωμένοι διὰ πολλῷ τούτων εἰσὶν ἐλάττω πράγματα, instead of ἢτιμωμένοι εἰσὶ διὰ πράγματα πολλῷ ἐλάττω τούτων.
 - 6. In the forms of supplications, $\pi\rho \delta_{\mathcal{C}} \theta_{\varepsilon} \tilde{\omega} \nu$, $\gamma o \nu \acute{a} \tau \omega \nu$, and the like, the emotion of the mind puts the personal pronoun $\sigma \varepsilon$ first, without placing it before the prep., because this orthotoned

² But I leave the reader to choose between this explanation, and that by anacoluthia, viz. that the writer commenced with oi, to employ the part. ἄγοντες, but afterwards preferred the verb ἄγονσιν to avoid the clashing encounter of two participles (οἰ—προσείοντες ἄγοντες, Lat. ii—qui porrigendo ducunt).

emphasis would announce a contrast, which does not occur. It is therefore inserted between the *prep.* and its *casus*: $\mathring{\omega}$ πρός σε γονάτων, πρός σε θε $\tilde{\omega}$ ν, (viz. ἱκετεύω, which mostly is omitted,) Soph. Ed. C. 1333. Πρὸς νύν σε κρην $\tilde{\omega}$ ν, πρὸς θε $\tilde{\omega}$ ν ὁμογνίων Αἰτ $\tilde{\omega}$ πιθέσθαι³.

IV. Ellipsis.

- 1. Ellipsis or omission opens a wide field in the Greek Syntax. We shall confine ourselves to a few general remarks. It is commonly used only in cases, where the words omitted are easily supplied from the nature of the proposition, or from the context, as in all phrases like κοιμᾶσθαι βαθὺν (sc. ὕπνον,) ποτέραν τραπήση (sc. ὁδὸν,) ἐτύπτετο πολλὰς (sc. πληγὰς,) and the like.
- 2. Those instances of ellipsis, where more or less words of the first half of a period are to be repeated in the second, are easily supplied, though the Greek indulges in a greater latitude in this respect than other languages; it frequently has only the particle or pronoun, which introduces the proposition. Thus we find είπερ or είπερ ἄρα, (if by chance,) instead of if it be so, or the like; in Plato Euthyd. 296. the supposition that something might mislead, is answered by, Οὔκουν ἡμᾶς γε, άλλ', είπερ, σε, i. e. not us, but if any, you (if it could mislead any one, it would mislead you). The relative is used in the same way in Plato de LL. 4. p. 710. Πάντα σχεδον ἀπείργασται τῷ θεώ, ἄπερ ὅταν βουληθῆ διαφερόντως εὖ πρᾶξαί τινα πόλιν, all has been done by the god which (he is wont to do,) when he intends to render a state peculiarly happy. Again, Εὶ δή τω σοφώτερος φαίην είναι, τούτω αν, (sc. φαίην είναι,) if I could think myself wiser in any thing $(\tau \omega_{\bullet})$ it would be in this.
- 3. Thus negations are used with the omission of that, which is denied, which must be supplied from what has gone before. Mὴ often comes in the middle of a period, (see § 148, 2, h.) so as to be before other words, and thus renders the proposition obscure to the unlearned, Τὸν ἐρῶντά τε καὶ μὴ κρινοῦμεν, where καὶ μὴ stands for καὶ τὸν μὴ ἐρῶντα:—Τίς οῦν τρόπος τοῦ καλῶς καὶ μὴ γράφειν; where καλῶς is omitted after μή:—'Αγαθοὶ ἢ μὴ ἄνδρες, good men, or such as are not (good):—Καὶ ὁπότε, καὶ μὴ, for καὶ ὁπότε μὴ, Plato Alcib. I. 13.
 - 4. Attic writers are very familiar with a striking ellipsis;

³ See Matthiæ's Gr, Gr, § 465, 3. p. 671. Engl. transl. 4th edit. Porson's Adv. 220. That $\sigma \hat{\epsilon}$ must be left enclitic in this connexion, is evident of itself. But even correct editors are very often in fault in this respect.

of two opposite conditional propositions the first is often left without a conclusion. But this is done only when the first proposition is understood of itself from the nature of the thing, and the speech therefore hastens to the second, on which alone everything depends: Plato Protag. 325. (after the pains, which fathers take for the education of a son, have been enumerated,) Καὶ ἐὰν μὲν ἑκών πείθηται, εἰ δὲ μὴ, ὥσπερ ξύλον διαστρεφόμενον εὐθύνουσιν ἀπειλαῖς καὶ πληγαῖς, and if he readily obeys—(here the conclusion is indifferent, it is good, nothing else is required, or the like,) but if not, they make him straight again, like a warped piece of wood, with threats and blows.

5. After a proposition beginning with the artic. postpos. 8, &, the τοῦτό ἐστιν or ταῦτά ἐστιν, which refers to it, is also commonly omitted; Καὶ ὁ μάλιστα ἡνίασέ με, ὅτι καὶ ἀνείδιζέν με, and what vexed me the most, (was) that he abused me. Or even without őτι, see Demosth. Mid. 2. b. where ταῦτά ἐστιν is to be supplied sumed as in the beginning. The most usual phrases of this kind are with a superl., partly with, and partly without 571, for instance,

δ δὲ πάντων δεινότατον, ὅτι πρὸς τοὺς βαρβάρους συμμαχίαν ἐποιήσατο, (where first ἐστὶ, and then τοῦτό έστιν is omitted,) but what is the most grievous of all, is that he has formed an alliance with barbarians.

καὶ ὁ πάντων γελοιότατον, καὶ τὸν κύνα τῆς Ἡριγόνης ανήγαγεν, but what is the most laughable of all, he has even raised up the dog of Erigone, Lucian Deor. Conc. 5.

The particle $\gamma \hat{a}_{\theta}$ is usually interpolated after this ellipsis; with us it is superfluous; 'Ο δὲ μέγιστον, οὐ γὰο ἤθελεν, &c. (Com-

pare above § 149. γάρ.)—Compare § 143, 1, 3.

6. The words τοῦτό ἐστιν alone are omitted, when a premised adj. has the artic. præpos. Τὸ δὲ μέγιστον, πάντα ταῦτα μόνος κατειργάσατο: - Καὶ τὸ πάντων αἰσχιστον, προσεψηφίσασθε. And the same takes place in the expressions, Τεκμήριον δέ, or Σημείον δε, (sc. τούτων ἐστὶ τοῦτο,) the proof of it is, and the like. For instance, Σημείον δε, τοίς πονηροίς ξυνουσιάζει, a token that it is so, is that he associates with wicked people. This phrase too is commonly considered with yao. Demosth. Androt. towards the end says, the people of Athens have always valued honour more highly than money, Τεκμήριον δὲ, χρήματα μὲν γὰρ πλείστα τῶν Ελλήνων ποτε σχών τὰ πάνθ' ὑπερ φιλοτιμίας ἀνήλωσεν.

(See the formules $\tau \delta \lambda_{\epsilon \gamma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \rho \nu}$, and the like, δ 131. Obs. 6.)

APPENDIX.

Lists of Words for the Declensions and Conjugations 1.

FIRST DECLENSION.

åγορà, market-place, $^{\prime}$ A $\gamma\chi$ i $\sigma\eta\varsigma$, $(\bar{\iota},)$ άδολέσχης, prattler, 'Aθηνã, Minerva, Aiveias, Eneas, $\ddot{a} \kappa a \nu \theta a$, thorn, αμιλλα, contest, apovpa, ploughed field, ἀσχολία, business, γαλη, weasel, γη, earth, γλῶσσα, tongue, δόξα, opinion, ἔχιδνα, viper, ζώνη, girdle, ήμέρα, day, θάλασσα, sea, $\theta \dot{v} \rho \alpha$, $(\ddot{v},)$ door, Κεκροπίδης, (ζ,) κεφαλή, head, κλέπτης, thief, κόρη, girl, κριτής, judge, κτιστής, founder, λαυρα, lane, $\lambda \acute{v} \pi \eta$, $(\ddot{v},)$ grief, μαθητής, disciple, μέλισσα, bee,

μέριμνα, care, $Mi\delta\alpha\varsigma$, $(\tilde{\iota},)$ μοῖρα, share, νίκη, (ī,) victory, νύμφη, bride, οργή, anger, ὀρνιθοθήρας, gen. a, fowler, Πέρσης, Persian, πλευρά, side, πύκτης, pugilist, $\pi \dot{v} \lambda \eta$, $(\breve{v},)$ gate, ρίζα, root, σκιà, shade, $\Sigma \kappa \dot{\nu} \theta \eta \varsigma$, $(\ddot{\nu},)$ Scythian, στέγη, roof, στοà, portico, σφαίρα, globe, σφυρα, hammer, $\sigma \chi \circ \lambda \dot{\eta}$, leisure, σωτηρία, preservation, ταμίας, steward, $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu i \tau \eta \varsigma$, artist, $\tilde{v}\lambda\eta$, $(\bar{v},)$ wood, φιλία, friendship, χαρά, joy, χλαινα, upper garment, χώρα, country, $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, soul.

SECOND DECLENSION.

Feminines in oc.

1. Besides the trees, (see § 32, 3.) the following plants,

ή βίβλος, or βύβλος, and ή, δ, πάπυρος, papyrus.

¹ The lists of examples for declensions and conjugations are arranged in alphabetical order, that the learner may exercise his own judgment, which of the rules stated in the *Grammar* he is to apply to each word.

ή κόκκος, kermes, but ὁ κόκκος, any berry, and especially the scarlet berry of σχοῖνος, rush, νάρδος, spikenard, ράφανος, cabbage.

To these words may be added,

βύσσος, fine linen, $\beta i\beta \lambda o c$, and $\delta \epsilon \lambda \tau o c$, book,

δοκός, rafter, ράβδος, rod,

Báhavog and akvhog, acorn.

2. The following stones and earths,

 \dot{o} , $\dot{\eta}$, $\lambda i\theta o c$, in the fem. chiefly a precious stone.

ἄργιλος, clay,

ἄσφαλτος, bitumen, βάσανος, touchstone, trial,

γύψος, plaster, ήλεκτρος, (also τὸ ήλεκτρον,) amber. τίτανος, chalk.

κρύσταλλος, crystal, but ὁ κρύσταλλος, ice, μίλτος, red-lead,

σάπφειρος, sapphire, σμάραγδος, emerald,

To these may be added:

ἄμμος, ψάμμος, ἄμαθος, ψάμαθος, sand,

ψηφος, pebble, vote,

υάλος, glass,

κόπρος, dirt, mud, $\sigma\pi\circ\delta\grave{o}\varsigma$, ashes,

 $\pi \lambda i \nu \theta o c$, tile,

βῶλος, clod or lump of earth,

ονθος, dung, ἄσβολος, soot.

3. Words of objects proper to enclose something, to put it by, &c.

κιβωτός and χηλὸς, chest, σορός, coffin,

äρριχος, basket, κάρδοπος, kneading-trough, ἀσάμινθος, bathing-tub,

πύελος, pail,

ληνός, vat of a wine-press, $ακατος, (\dot{η}, \dot{ο},) kind of boat,$ στάμνος, (ή, δ,) pitcher, λήκυθος, oil-flask, πρόχοος, πρόχους, ewer, κάμινος, stone oven, θόλος, (ή, ὁ,) cupola.

4. Words relative to a road,

όδὸς and κέλευθος, way, οΐμος, (\dot{o} , $\dot{\eta}$,) path,

ἄτραπος and τρίβος, footpath, τάφρος and κάπετος, ditch.

5. The following isolated words,

χέρσος and ηπειρος, continent, νῆσος, island, νόσος, disease, δρόσος, dew. γνάθος, jaw-bone, κέρκος, tail,

ρινός, (ή, ό,) skin, $\psi i\alpha\theta o\varsigma$, $(\dot{\eta}, \dot{o},)$ mat of rushes,

and with a difference in the signif.

ή λέκιθος, yolk of an egg, ὁ λέκιθος, pease-soup.

μήρινθος, cord, small rope, packthread, τήβεννος, Roman toga,

 $\beta \acute{a} \rho \beta \iota \tau \circ \varsigma, (\dot{\eta}, \dot{\delta},) lyre,$ τάμισος, runnet,

γέρανος, crane, κόρυδος, κορυδαλός, lark,

 $\kappa \delta \chi \lambda o \varsigma$, $(\dot{o}, \dot{\eta},)$ cockle, snail,

LIST OF WORDS TO BE DECLINED.

ἄγγελος, messenger, άετὸς, eagle, äθλος, contest, $\ddot{a}\theta\lambda o\nu$, reward of a contest, ή ἄμπελος, vine, ανθρωπος, man, ἄργυρος and άργύριον, silver, ἔργον, work, εὖρος, east-wind, ζέφυρος, west-wind, ιμάτιον, garment, lov, violet, καρκίνος, crab-fish, κασσίτερος, tin, κέρασος, cherry-tree, κότινος, wild olive-tree. μηλον, apple,

ή μηλος, apple-tree. μόλιβος or μόλυβδος, lead, μόριον, small part, νότος, south-wind, ξύλον, wood, οίκος, house, παιδίον, young child, ρόδον, rose, σίδηρος, iron, σπασμός, spasm, στρατός, army, ταῦρος, bull, φάρμακον, medicine, ή φηγός, beech-tree. φορτίον, load, χαλκὸς, brass, χρυσός and χρυσίου, gold.

THIRD DECLENSION.

List of the Words, in which the Syllable preceding the termination of the Casus is long², in $\iota_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\iota_{\mathcal{G}}$ those which have a δ in their inflection,

ή άψὶς, vault, arch,
 βαλβὶς, barrier,
 καρὶς, sea-crabfish,
 κηκὶς, moisture, juice,
 κηλὶς, blot,
 κληῖς, (Ion. for κλεὶς,) key,

ή κνημίς, shin-bone, κρηπίς, pedestal, ἡαφανίς, radish, ψηφίς, little stone, δαγύς, doll:

those which have a θ ,

ἡ ἄγλις, cloves of garlic, ἡ μέρμις, cord, string, ò, ἡ, ὄρνις, bird, ἡ κώμυς, bundle of hay:

those in $\iota \varsigma$ and $\upsilon \varsigma$, which have an υ ,

ή ις, fibre, ή δις, nose, ή ἀκτις, ray,

 $\dot{\eta}$ γλωχὶς, point, edge, Έλευσὶς, Σαλ

σὶς, Σαλαμὶς, Γόρτυς, Φόρκυς, ò, ἡ, θìς, heap, shore, ò δελφὶς, dolphin,

ή ώδὶς, child-birth, Τραχίς:

in αν, υν, αρ,

ò παιὰν, pæan, hymn, ò μόσυν, wooden tower,

ò ψàρ, starling,

Πὰν,

δ Kàρ, Carian:

² Teachers must here pay a particular attention to the accent with respect to both its place and its mark according to the general rules, and to those stated § 38. Obs. 4.

in αρ, gen. τος, τὸ φρέαρ, well,

τὸ στέαρ, suet:

in ξ, gen. κος,

βλὰξ, βλακὸς, simpleton, ὁ θώραξ, armour for the breast,

δ ίέραξ, hawk,

ὁ κόρδαξ, kind of dance, ὁ λάβραξ, pike, voracious fish,

ò ϊξ, insect,
η ἄιζ, wave,

 $\dot{\eta}$ βέμβιξ, whirlwind,

ὁ πέρδιξ, partridge,

ὁ Φοίνιξ, Phænician, palm-tree, purple-colour, ὁ βόμβυξ, silk-worm. ὁ κήϋξ, sea-bird.

ὁ δοίδυξ, pestle,

ò οιαξ, tiller of the rudder,

ὁ σύρφαξ, filth, φέναξ, impostor, Φαίαξ, Phæacian,

ή φρίξ, shuddering,

ἡ ῥάδιξ, branch, ὁ σκάνδιξ, chervil,

ή σπάδιζ, branch of the palm-tree,

ο κήυξ, sea-bird, κήρυξ, herald:

in ξ, gen. γος,

ἡ ράξ, grape-stone,

ή μάστιξ, scourge, ή πέμφιξ, bubble, ὁ τέττιξ, cricket,

ή Φίξ, Sphinx,

ὁ κόκκυξ, cuckoo:

in ξ , gen. χo_{ξ} , $\dot{\eta}$ $\psi i \xi$, crumb:

in ψ , gen. $\pi \circ \varsigma$,

ο τψ, κνὶψ, θρὶψ, are the names of some insects,

ò ρὶψ, twig of the willow-tree,

ò γèψ, vulture,

ὁ γρὺψ, griffin, gryphon.

WORDS TO BE DECLINED.

The letters before the termination of the cases, which are not known from the rules given in the *Grammar*, are stated in a parenthesis.

The vowels α, ι, ν , before the termination of the cases, are short; the words in which they are long are in the preceding List.

1. Examples of words which have a consonant before the termination of the cases:

 \dot{o} ἀγκων, elbow, $\dot{\eta}$ ἀηδων, (o,) nightingale, \dot{o} ἀὴρ, (ε,) air, \dot{o} αἰθὴρ, (ε,) pure air, $\dot{\eta}$ αῖξ, (γ,) goat,

 $\dot{\sigma}$ άνδριάς, (ντ,) statue, $\dot{\sigma}$ ἄξων, (ο,) axle of a wheel,

 $\dot{\eta}$ $\alpha \ddot{\upsilon} \lambda \alpha \ddot{\xi}$, $(\kappa,)$ furrow, $\dot{\eta}$ $\beta \dot{\eta} \ddot{\xi}$, $(\chi,)$ cough,

δ γέρων, (οντ,) old man,
 δ γρὺψ, (π,) griffin,

 $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \alpha i \varsigma$, $(\tau,)$ feast, $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\varsigma}$, $(\delta,)$ torch,

δ δράκων, (οντ.) dragon,
 ή 'Ελλὰς, (δ,) Greece,
 ό "Ελλην, Greek,
 ή ἐλπὶς, (δ,) hope,
 ή ἔρις, (δ,) strife,
 ἡ ἐρις, (δ,) composition

δ θεράπων, (οντ,) servant,
 δ ἱμὰς, (ντ,) leather-strap,
 ἡ κατῆλιψ, (φ,) ladder,

η κατηλιψ, (φ,) tadae δ κλών, twig, η κόρυς, (θ,) helmet,

ο κτείς, (εν.) comb, η κύλιξ, (κ.) chalice, cup,

τὸ κῦμα, billow,

 $\dot{\eta}$ $\lambda a \tilde{\imath} \lambda a \psi$, $(\pi,)$ tempest,

δ Λάκων,

δ λάρυγξ, (γ,) throat,
 δ λιμὴν, (ε,) harbour,

ή λύγξ, (κ,) lynx, δ μὴν, month,

τὸ νέκταρ,

ο ὄνυξ (χ,) nail, claw,

 \dot{o} \ddot{o} ρτυξ, $(\gamma,)$ quail,

 $\tau \delta \ o \tilde{v} \theta \alpha \rho, (\tau,) \ udder \ of \ animals,$ $\delta \ \pi \epsilon \nu \eta \varsigma, (\tau,) \ poor \ man,$

 δ πίναξ, (κ.) wooden tablet, δ ποιμήν, (ε.) shepherd,

 $\dot{\eta}$ πτέρυξ, $(\gamma,)$ wing, $\dot{\eta}$ πτὺξ, $(\chi,)$ fold,

ή σὰρξ, (κ,) flesh,

ή Σειρήν, Siren,

η ωψ, eye, face.

2. Examples of such words, as have a vowel before the termination of the cases, and are more or less contracted.

τὸ ἄνθος, flower,

δ βότους, bunch of grapes,

τὸ γένος, race, family,

ή γένυς, jaw,

τὸ σκέπας, covering,

ή δρῦς, oak.

δ ἱππεὺς, horseman, τὸ κόμμι, gum,

 $\dot{\eta} \Lambda \eta \tau \dot{\omega}$, Latona,

ὁ μάντις, prophet, ὁ μῦς, mouse, δ $\delta \rho \epsilon v_{\mathcal{G}}$, mule,

τὸ ὄρος, mountain,

τὸ στόμα, mouth,

 $\dot{\eta} \Sigma \tau \dot{\nu} \xi_{\tau} (\gamma_{\tau})$

 $\dot{\eta} \Sigma \phi i \gamma \xi, (\gamma,)$

ή Τίρυνς, (θ,)

ò φθείρ, louse,

ò φωρ, thief,

ò χὴν, goose,

 $\dot{\eta}$ $\chi \iota \dot{\omega} \nu$, (o,) snow,

η φλὲψ, (β,) vein,

 $\dot{\eta}$ $\phi\lambda\dot{\delta}\xi$, $(\gamma,)$ flame,

 $\tau \grave{o} \phi \widetilde{\omega} \varsigma$, $(\tau,)$ light,

δ χάλνψ, (β,) steel,

ò χειμών, winter, storm,

 $\dot{\eta}$ $\chi \epsilon \lambda \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$, (o,) swallow,

 $\dot{\eta} \chi \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, (o,) earth, ground,

 $\dot{\eta}$ χλαμ \dot{v} ς, (δ,) military garment,

ή ὄψις, face, sense of seeing,

ή πειθώ, persuasion, ὁ πέλεκυς, axe, (§ 51.)

τὸ πέπερι, pepper,

ἡ πίτυς, pine,

ή ποίησις, poetry,

ή πρᾶξις, action, deed,

ο στάχυς, ear of corn, η φύσις, nature.

ADJECTIVES.

Examples in o_{ς} of two and three terminations, to apply the rules of \S 60, 2. and 4.

All Adjectives, which are not of two terminations by any fixed rule, may be assumed to have the three terminations.

åγαπητὸς, beloved, ἄδικος, unjust, ἄθλιος, wretched,

ἄμαχος, invincible,

äξιος, worthy,

ἀρίστερος, what is on the left hand, βαρύτονος,

βασιλικός, kingly, royal,

γεωργικός, belonging to agriculture,

γυμνός, naked,

 $\delta \varepsilon \xi i \delta \varsigma$, right, of the right side, (dexter,)

 $\delta \tilde{\eta} \lambda o \varsigma$, manifest, evident,

διάλιθος, ornamented with precious stones,

διάφορος, diverse, different,

δίκαιος, just,

δυνατός, possible,

έλαφρὸς, light, nimble,

έρυθρός, red,

εὔκαιρος, opportune, seasonable,

ζηλότυπος, jealous,

ημίγυμνος, half-naked, θαυμάσιος, wonderful, θεῖος, divine, θερμὸς, warm, hot, θυητὸς, mortal, τόιος, proper, peculiar, ἱερὸς, holy, sacred, καθαρὸς, clean, pure, κοινὸς, common, general, λάλος, loquacious, talkative, λεῖος, smooth, λευκὸς, white, μαλακὸς, soft, tender, μόνος, alone,

ξένος, strange, foreign, δξύθυμος, irascible, ὀρθὸς, straight, πολυφάγος, voracious, πρόθυμος, willing, σεμνὸς, venerable, σκληρὸς, hard, rough, σοφὸς, wise, σπάνιος, rare, scarce, στενὸς, narrow, ταλαίπωρος, miserable, harassing, τυφλὸς, blind, φιλότεκνος, loving children, χωλὸς, lame.

LIST OF REGULAR VERBS.

Prefatory Remarks.

- 1. Any verb, of which nothing else is stated, makes its aorists and perf. according to the first form, and its conjugation is sufficiently obvious from the rules laid down in the Grammar. The same is to be observed with regard to any single tense, which is not expressly stated. If, for instance, there is merely aor. 2. marked, this alludes barely to the aor. act., (and med.) but the aor. pass. and the perf. act. must be made after the first form.
- 2. Wherever the aor. 2. pass. is mentioned, the aor. 1. pass. must always be made, because it very often occurs as a more uncommon form along with the aor. 2, and the verbs, in which it is not used at all, cannot be stated with any degree of certainty.
- 3. The *perf. act.* is not in use in a great many *verbs*, but these *verbs* too cannot be enumerated with absolute certainty; it ought, therefore, to be conjugated in every *verb* according to analogy, and the *perf. pass.* is to be derived from it.
- 4. The pass. may be formed without hesitation even in *intrans. verbs*, because there are constructions in which the 3 pers. pass. are also used of *intrans. verbs*.
- 5. But to make a *middle voice* of *verbs*, in which it is not in use, would be a practice of barbarisms without any utility. Wherever there is a *medium*, it has been carefully noticed; yet in many of these *verbs* the *middle* occurs only in some compounds, which must be looked for in dictionaries: the simple

verbs will answer the purpose of practising their conjugation.—When the syllable MED. alone announces a middle voice, its aor. and its fut. are made after the same tenses in the act.

- 6. All verbs are considered regular, which are formed according to the foregoing rules, without reference to their signif. or any anomaly in their signif. Hence we have admitted, not only the deponents of the pass. and med. form, (§ 113, 3.) but also those verbs in which some single tenses deviate from the form in point of meaning. This, however, has been noticed in all cases of some importance.
- 7. The statement—fut. med.—immediately after the act. denotes that such a verb takes (according to § 113, 4.) its fut. from the middle voice, though in an act. sense.
- 8. The expression—pass. takes the σ —alludes every where to the perf. and aor. 1, but is used only of verbs, where it is not a matter of course (§ 98 and 100).

VERBA BARYTONA.

άγάλλω, I adorn; Med. I strut about. ἀγγέλλω, I announce.—Med.—aor. 2. act. and med. are little used.

άγείρω, I assemble.—Redupl. Att.—Med. ἄγχω, I strangle, trans.; Med. I choke, intrans.

 \mathring{a} δω, contr. of \mathring{a} είδω, I sing, fut. med.

άθροίζω, I collect.

άθύρω, I play, sport. αἰκίζω, I use ill, mutilate.

αἰνίσσομαι, ττομαι, dep. med. I speak ambiguously or enigmatically.

aἴρω, I lift up, see § 101. Obs. 2, and compare Anom.—MED.

ἀΐσσω, act. and pass. dep. I rush out, sally out; Att. ἄσσω or ἄττω.

aἰσχύνω, I shame; pass. I am ashamed. See § 101. Obs. 8.

άtω, I hear, only pres. and imperf.—
Augment § 84. Obs. 2.

άκούω, I hear, listen to, fut. med. perf. άκήκοα, plusq. άκηκόειν, (§ 85, 2, 3.) —Pass. takes the σ, perf. pass. without redupl.

 \dot{a} λαλάζω, I shout for joy, fut. $\xi \omega$, \S 92. Obs. 1.

άλείφω, I anoint, perf. § 85, 2.—Med. άλλάσσω, $\tau\tau\omega$, I change.—Pass. aor. 2. üλλομαι, dep. med. I leap, see § 101.
Obs. 2. and compare Anom.

 \dot{a} μβλ \dot{v} νω, I blunt.

 \mathring{a} μεί $\beta ω$, I alternate.—Med.

 \dot{a} μέλ γ ω, I milk.

άμύνω, I ward off.—Perf. is wanting.— Med.

άνύω, I complete, finish, § 95. Obs. 3. Pass. takes the σ .—Med.

 $\ddot{a}\pi\tau\omega$, I light, kindle.

απτω, I bind together, MED. I attack, touch.
αρδω, I water, moisten. Pass. only
pres. and imperf.

άρμόττω and άρμόζω, I join, adapt.—
ΜΕD.

άρύω, I draw up, formed like άνύω.— Med.

ἄρχω, I rule over.—MED. I begin.

 $d\sigma \pi$ άζομαι, dep. med. I embrace, greet. $d\sigma \pi$ αίρω, I palpitate, struggle.

ἀστράπτω, I dart lightning.

βαδίζω, I walk, fut. med.

βάπτω, I plunge, charact. φ.—pass.aor. 2.βδάλλω, I milk.

βήσσω, ττω, I cough.

βιάζομαι, dep. med. I force, pass. see § 113. Obs. 6.

βλάπτω, I hurt, charact. β.—pass. aor. 2.

βλέπω, I look at, pass. aor. 2. § 100. Obs. 7.

βλύζω, I flow, gush out.

βουλεύω, I advise.—ΜΕD.

βράζω, or βράσσω, ττω, I boil with violence, ferment, winnow, fut. σω.

βρέμω, I resound, no aor. and no perf.

βρέχω, I wet, bedew; pass. I am wet; has the aor. 2.

 γ έμω, I am full, no aor. and no perf. γ εύω, I give to taste; MED. I taste.

 γ λύφω, I carve, grave, augm. of the perf. see § 83. Obs. 1.

γνωρίζω, I recognise.

γράφω, I write; pass. aor. 2. § 100. Obs. 6.—ΜΕD.

δακρύω, I weep.

δανείζω, I lend on interest; Med. I borrow on interest.

δέρω, I flay-pass. aor. 2.

 $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \delta \zeta \omega$, I command.

δεύω, I moisten.

δέχομαι, dep. med. I accept.—pass. See § 113. Obs. 6.

δικάζω, I judge, administer justice.—ΜΕD. διώκω, (not a compound,) I pursue.

δουλεύω, I serve.

δρέ $\pi\omega$, I pluck, gather.—MED.

ἐθίζω, I accustom, augm. èι.

εἰκάζω, I conjecture, augm. § 84. Obs. 5.

εἴκω, I give way, augm. § 84. Obs. 5. and 4. must not be confounded with the Anom. ΕΙΚΩ.

εἴργω, I exclude, augm. § 84. Obs. 5. and 4. see also the Anom.

ἐλέγχω, I refute, redupl. Att.—perf. pass. § 98. Obs. 7.

ξλίσσω, ττω, I wind,—augm. ει. perf. act. does not occur; perf. pass. είλιγμαι and ξλήλιγμαι.—Med.

ἕλκω, I drag, augm. ει.—Med.

έορτάζω, I keep a feast, augm. § 84. Obs. 9. ἐπείγω, (no compound,) I impel, pres.;

pass. I hasten. ἐπιτηδεύω, I am diligent, augm. § 86.

Obs. 3. ἐργάζομαι, dep. med. I work,—augm. ει, pass. See § 113. Obs. 6.

έρείδω, I prop.—redupl. Att.—MED.

ἐρέσσω, ττω, I row, fut. σω.

έρεύγω, I belch, eruct, vomit,—aor. 2.— ΜΕD.

ἐρίζω, I strive, contend, vie with, rival, redupl. Att.

έρμηνεύω, I explain, interpret.

ξρπω, I creep, augm. ει.

ἐτάζω, commonly ἐξετάζω, I put to the proof.

εὐθύνω, I make straight.

ευχομαι, dep. med. I pray, augm. § 84. Obs. 5.

 η δω, I delight.

ηκω, I come, am here.

θάλλω, I sprout or bud,—perf. 2.

 $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \pi \omega$, I warm.

 $\theta \alpha v \mu \acute{a} \zeta \omega$, I admire, marvel, fut. med.

θέλγω, I charm, beguile.

 $\theta \epsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$, I reap.

 $\theta \dot{\eta} \gamma \omega$, I whet, sharpen.

 $\theta \lambda i \beta \omega$, I squeeze—pass. aor. 2. § 100. Obs. 6.

θραύω, I break in pieces, crumble: pass. takes the σ.

 $\theta \dot{\nu} \omega$, see the *Anom*.

ίδρύω, I place, set.

iθύνω, I direct, make straight.

ίκετεύω, I implore.

iμείρω, act. and pass. dep. I desire, wish for.

ὶππεύω, I ride on horseback.

ίσχύω, I am able.

καθαίρω, (no compound,) I clean: aor. 1. takes the σ.—Med.

καίνω, I kill, aor. 2.; perf. is wanting; pass. only pres. and imperf.

καλύπτω, I conceal, veil.—MED.

κάμπτω, I bend, pass. perf. § 98. Obs. 8. κείρω, I shear, crop, pass. aor. 2.—ΜΕD. κελεύω, I order, bid; pass. takes the σ. κέλλω, I come into port, land, fut. κέλσω,

§ 101. Obs. 3.

κηρύσσω, ττω, I proclaim, publish.

κινδυνεύω, I incur danger. κλείω, see the Anom.

κλέπτω, I steal, pilfer, fut. med.—perf. § 97. Obs. 1. § 98. Obs. 3.—pass. aor. 2.

κλίνω, I recline, lie down, § 101, 9. pass. aur. 1. and 2.—Med. rare.

κλύζω, I rinse.

κυίζω, I excite an itching, scratch, burn. κολάζω, I chastise, fut. med.

κολούω, I maim, pass. with and without the σ .

κομίζω, I bring, Med. I receive, obtain. κονίω, I cover with dust, (κονίσω, κεκόνῖ-

κόπτω, I cut off, hew.—perf. 1. (Epic perf. 2.)—pass. aor. 2.—Med.

κραίνω, I finish, fulfil.

κρίνω, I judge, try as a judge, § 101, 9. Med.

κρούω, I knock, push; pass. takes the σ. Med.

κρύπτω, I hide, charact. β.—pass. aor. 1. and 2.—Med.

κτίζω, I found, establish.

κυλίω, I roll; pass. takes the σ .

κωλύω, I hinder.

λέγω, I say, Med. See this verb, on account of some of its compounds, in the List of Anom.

λείβω, I spill, shed.

λείπω, I leave, aor. 2.—perf. 2.—MED.

 $\lambda i \pi \omega$, I peel, scale; pass. aor. 2. according to § 100. Obs. 7.

λήγω, I leave off.

λογίζομαι, dep. med. I reckon, conclude. λυμαίνω, I corrupt, aor. I. takes η , Med. λύω, see Anom.

μαίνομαι, see Anom.

μαλάσσω, ττω, I soften.

μαραίνω, I wither, αor. 2. takes \bar{a} , pass. I am withered.

μέμφομαι, dep. med. I blame.

μένω, see Anom.

μερίζω, I distribute, MED.

μηνύω, I point out.

μιαίνω, I stain, pollute, aor. 1. takes η.

μολύνω, I defile.

νέμω, see Anom.

νεύω, I nod.

νήχομαι, MED. I swim.

 $\nu i\phi \omega$, I snow.

νομίζω, I am of opinion, think.

δδύρομαι, MED. I lament.

οίκτείρω, I pity.

οἰμώζω, I bewail, § 92. Obs. 1. fut. med. δκέλλω, I land, trans. and intrans.

όξύνω, I make sharp, exasperate.

ονειδίζω, I reprove.

ονομάζω, I name.

 $\delta\pi\lambda$ ίζω, I arm, furnish with arms, Med. δρέγω, I stretch forth, reach, redupl. Att. Med.

MED. $\dot{\phi}$ οίζω, I mark bounds or limits, MED. $\dot{\phi}$ ούσσω, $\tau\tau\omega$, I dig, redupl. Att. MED.

παιδεύω, I educate, MED.

 $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i \omega$, I wrestle, pass. takes the σ . $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$, I brandish, pass. aor. 2.

πάσσω, I sprinkle, fut. σω, MED.

 $\pi a \tau \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$, see the *Anom*.

 $\pi \alpha i \omega$, I put an end to, pass aor. 1. § 100. Obs. 1.—MED.

 $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega$, see the *Anom*.

πείρω, I pierce, pass. aor. 2.

 $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \mu \pi \omega$, I send, perf. § 97. Obs. 1. perfpass. § 98. Obs. 8. Med.

πένομαι, I am poor, only the pres. and imperf.

περαίνω, I accomplish, aor. 1. § 101, 4. Med.

πιέζω, I press.

πιστεύω, I believe.

πλάσσω, ττω, I form, fashion, fut. σω, Med.

πλέκω, I plait, twine, pass. aor. 2.—

ΜΕD.

 π λύνω, I wash, § 101, 9.

 $\pi \nu i \gamma \omega$, I suffocate, trans. fut. med.—pass. I choke, intrans. aor. 2. § 100. Obs. 6.

πορεύω, I transport, conduct, pass. I travel by land.

πορίζω, I procure, MED. I acquire.

πράσσω, ττω, I do act, find myself, has everywhere the a long, § 7. Obs. 4. perf. 1. I have done, perf. 2. πέπρα-γα, I have found myself, (see however, § 113. Obs. 3. and Buttm. Compl. Gr. Gr.)—Med.

πρέπω, I am becoming, only act.

 $\pi \rho i\omega$, I sow, pass. takes the σ .

 $\pi \tau \alpha i \omega$, I stumble, trip.—pass. takes the σ .

πτήσσω, I shrink through fear.

 $\pi\tau$ i $\sigma\sigma\omega$, I pound, fut. $\sigma\omega$.

πτίσσω, I fold, Med.πτίω, I spit, pass. takes the σ.

 $\tau \dot{\nu} \theta \omega$, I putrefy, $(\bar{v},)$ pass. I rot.

ράπτω, I sew, stitch.

ρέπω, Í incline to.

ρίπτω, see Anom.

σαίνω, I wag the tail, flatter, only act.—
aor. 1. takes η.

σαίρω, I sweep, aor. 1. takes η, but perf. 2. has the peculiar signif. I grin, as a pres.

σέβομαι, dep. pass. I revere.

σείω, I shake, pass. takes the σ.—ΜΕD. σημαίνω, I point out by signs, aor. 1. takes n.—ΜΕD.

σέπω, 1 make putrid—pass. I become putrid, has aor. 2., to which belongs also verf. 2.

σίνομαι, I damage.

σκάζω, I limp.

σκάπτω, I dig, charact. φ. pass. aor. 2. σκέπω, I cover.

σκέπτομαι, dep. med. I survey. σκευάζω, I prepare, MED.

 $\sigma\kappa\dot{\eta}\pi\tau\omega$, act. and med. I lean on.

σκώπτω, I mock, ridicule.

σπείρω, I sow, pass. aor. 1.-- MED.

 $\sigma\pi\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\omega$, see Anom.

σπεύδω, I hasten.

σπονδάζω, I use my endeavours, fut. med. στάζω, I drop, § 92. Obs. 1.

στέγω, I cover.

στείβω, I tread on, pass. aor. 2.

στείχω, I proceed, aor. 1. and 2.

στέλλω, I send, pass. aor. 1 and 2. Med. στένω, I grown, only the pres. and imperf.

στενάζω, I sob, § 92. Obs. 1.

στέργω, I love, am contented with, perf. 2. στέφω, I fill to the brim, I crown, MED. στηοίζω, I fix, strengthen, § 92. Obs. 1.—

MED.

στοχάζομαι, dep. med. I conjecture. στρατεύω, act. and med. I march against the enemy.

στρέφω, I turn, twist round, § 98. Obs. 3. § 100. Obs. 3.—pass. aor. 1 and 2. Med.

συρίζω, I whistle.

σύρω, I drag along, pass. aor. 2. MED.

σφάλλω, I deceive, pass. aor. 2.

σφάττω, I slay, kill, pass. aor. 2.

σφίγγω, I make firm, constrain, pass. perf. § 98. Obs. 7.

σφύζω, I throb, ('palpito,') § 92. Obs. 1. σχίζω, I split.

σχολάζω, I am at leisure.

ταράσσω, ττω, see Anom.

τάσσω, ττω, I arrange, pass. aor. 1 and 2. Med.

τέγγω, I bedew.

τείνω, I stretch, § 101, 9.

τεκμαίρω, I determine by certain limits, aor. 1. takes η.—Med. I demonstrate, prove.

τεκταίνω, I work in wood, aor. 1. takes η. τέλλω, an old verb, which occurs chiefly in compounds, ex. gr. ἐπιτέλλω, I enjoin, § 101, 8.—ΜΕD.

τεύχω, see Anom.

τήκω, I melt, soften, pass. I am melted, has the aor. 2., to which belongs also the perf. 2.

τίλλω, Ι pluck, § 101, 4.—ΜΕD.

 $\tau i\omega$, see Anom.

τινάσσω, I shake, MED.

τρέμω, I tremble, has neither aor. nor perf.

τρέπω, I turn about, § 97. Obs. 1. § 98. Obs. 3. § 100. Obs. 3.; the aor. 2. is most in use in the act. pass. and Med.

auρέφω, I feed, see Anom.—ΜΕD.

τρίβω, I rub, pass. aor. 2. § 100. Obs. 6. τρίζω, I chirp, fut. ξω, perf. τέτριγα.

ὑβρίζω, I insult, abuse.

 \dot{v} φαίνω, I weave, aor. 1 takes η.

 $\ensuremath{\widetilde{v}}\omega, I\ rain,\ pass.\ takes\ the\ \sigma.$

φαίνω, see Anom.

φαρμάσσω, ττω, I treat with drugs, give medicines.

φείδομαι, dep. med. I spare.

φθέγγομαι, dep. med. I produce a sound, perf. § 98. Obs. 7.

φθείρω, see Anom.

φλέγω, I burn, trans.; pass. aor. 2. § 100. Obs. 7.

φράζω, I say, point out, MED.

φράσσω, ττω, I enclose, pass. aor. 2.

φρίσσω, ττω, I shudder, charact. κ, perf. 2.φροντίζω, I disquiet myself for.

φρύγω, broil, toast, pass. aor. 2. § 100. Obs. 6.

φυλάσσω, ττω, I quard, Med.

φύρω, see Anom.

φυτεύω, I plant.

χαρίζομαι, I gratify, dep. med.

χορεύω, I dance.

χρήζω, I have need of, wish for, only the pres. and imperf. (Compare Anom.

χρίω, I anoint, pass. takes the σ, Med. ψ á $\lambda\lambda\omega$, I play on the guitar, sing.

 $\psi \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega$, I touch, pass. takes the σ .

 ψ έγω, I blame, censure.

ψεύδω, I delude, MED. I lie, deceive.

ψηφίζω, I calculate, reckon, MED. I decree by vote.

ψύχω, see Anom.

ώδίνω, I writhe with pain.

VERBA CONTRACTA.

'Aγαπάω, I love.

άγνοέω, I know not, fut. med.

άδικέω, I do injustice.

αίδέομαι, pass. and dep. med. I am ashamed,

fut. έσομαι, perf. and aor. pass. take

αίματόω, I stain with blood.

aiρέω, see Anom.

aiτέω, I demand, MED.

αίτιάομαι, dep. med. I criminate.

άκέομαι, dep. med. I heal, cure, fut. έσο- $\mu\alpha\iota$, perf. takes the σ .

ἀκολουθέω, I follow.

ἀκριβόω, I know accurately, MED.

ἀκροάομαι, MED. I hear, listen.

άλάομαι, pass. dep. I stray.

άλγέω, I am in pain.

άλοάω, I thrash, § 95, 5.

άμάω, I mow, MED.

άμφισβητέω, I dispute, am of a different opinion,—augm. before.

άνιάω, (no compound,) pass. with the fut. med. I am grieved.

άξιόω, I value, think worthy.

 $\dot{a}\pi a\nu\tau\dot{a}\omega$, I meet, fut. med.—augm. in the middle.

άπατάω, (no compound,) I deceive.

άπειλέω, (no compound,) I threaten.

άράομαι, dep. med. I pray.

άριθμέω, I count, number, MED.

άρκέω, I suffice, -ε in the conjug. - pass. (with the same signif.) takes the σ .

ἀρνέομαι, dep. pass. I deny.

 $\dot{a}\rho\dot{o}\omega$, I plough, retains the o in the conjug. -redupl. Att. pass. without σ .

άρτάω, I suspend, fasten, MED.

άσκέω, I exercise, practise.

aὐλέω, I play on the flute.

βιόω, see Anom.

βοάω, I cry out, bawl, § 95, 5. fut.

med.

βουκολέω, I feed herds. βροντάω, I thunder.

γελάω, I laugh, fut. med.—the α is short in the conjug.—pass. takes σ .

γεννάω, I beget, MED.

δαπανάω, act. and pass. dep. I consume, spend.

δεξιόομαι, dep. med. I take by the right hand, receive kindly.

δέω, see Anom.

 $\delta\eta\lambda$ έω, I hurt, MED.

 $\delta\eta\lambda\delta\omega$, I make known.

διαιτάω, (no compound,) I arbitrate, pass. I live or dwell in a certain place, augm. § 86. Obs. 4.

διακονέω, (no compound,) I serve, wait on, augm. § 83. Obs. 4. Med. with the same signif.

 $\delta \iota \psi \acute{a} \omega$, I am thirsty, § 105. Obs. 5.

δουλόω, I reduce to slavery, Med.

δράω, I do, perform, different from the Anom. διδράσκω.

δυστυχέω, I am unhappy.

έάω, I let, leave, augm. ει.

έγγυάω, I pledge, Med. I make myself responsible for another.

έγχειρέω, I deliver into any one's hands, augm. § 86. Obs. 3.

έλεέω, I pity.

 $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, I vomit,—ε in the conjug.—redupl. Att.—pass. takes σ .

ἐναντιόομαι, dep. pass. I oppose, resist, -augm. before.

ἐνθυμέομαι, dep. pass, I revolve in mind, -augm. § 86. Obs. 3.

ėνοχλέω, I molest, augm. § 86. Obs. 4.

ἐπιθυμέω, I desire, augm. § 86. Obs. 3.

έράω, see Anom.

έρευνάω, act. and med. I search, investigate.

ἐρημόω, I lay waste.

ἐρυθριάω, I blush.

ἐρωτάω, I interrogate.

έστιάω, I entertain as a guest,—augm. ει. εὐεργετέω, I practise beneficence, augm.

§ 86. Obs. 2.

εὐσεβέω, I am pious, augm. § 86. Obs. 2. εὐωχέω, I regale, pass. I am entertained, augm. § 86. Obs. 2.

ζάω, see Anom.

ζέω, I boil up, intrans. retains ε in the conjug.

ζηλόω, I emulate.

ζημιόω, I punish.

ζητέω, I seek.

ζωγραφέω, I paint.

ήβάω, I am young.

ήγέομαι, dep. med. I think, believe.

ήμερόω, I tame.

ήττάομαι, merely pass. I am worsted, defeated.

ήχέω, I sound.

θαβρέω, θαρσέω, I have confidence in, take courage.

θεάομαι, dep. med. I contemplate.

 $\theta\eta\rho\dot{a}\omega$, I hunt, fut. med.

 $\theta \lambda \dot{a} \omega$, I bruise, crush, the a is short in the conjug., pass. takes the σ .

θρηνέω, I bewail, lament.

θυμιάω, I fumigate.

θυμόω, I irritate.

iάομαι, dep. med. I heal.

iδρόω, I perspire.

iμάω, I draw, (as water,) I draw up,
MED.

ιστορέω, I learn by inquiry.

κακόω, I ill-treat, injure.

καυχάομαι, dep. med. I boast.

κεντέω, I sting, prick.

κινέω, I set in motion, excite.

κλάω, I break, the α is short in the conjug., pass. takes the σ, not to be confounded with κλάω, κλαίω, see Anom.

κληρόω, I choose by lot, Med. I draw lots.

κνάω, I gnaw, grate, § 105. Obs. 5.

κοιμάω, I put to rest, pass. (Epic med.)
I sleen.

κοινόω, I make common, communicate.

κοινωνέω, I partake.

κολλάω, I glue, paste.

κολυμβάω, I swim.

κορέω, I sweep, different from κορέννυμι, see Anom.

κοσμέω, I adorn, adjust.

κοτέω, act. and med. I am angry with, retains the ε in the conjug.

retains the ϵ in the conjug. $\kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\epsilon} \omega$, I have power, hold fast.

κροτέω, I beat, clap the hands. κτυπέω, I resound, make a noise.

κυβερνάω, I govern, steer.

λαλέω, I talk.

λιπαρέω, I beseech.

λοιδορέω, act. pass. and med. I rail at.

 $\lambda v \pi \epsilon \omega$, I grieve, afflict.

λωβάομαι, dep. med. I ill-treat.

λωφάω, I relax, desist.

μαρτυρέω, I am a witness, attest.

μειδιάω, I smile.

μετρέω, I measure, Med.

μηχανάομαι, dep. med. I plan, invent. μιμέομαι, dep. med. I imitate.

μισέω, I hate.

νέω, see Anom.

νικάω, I conquer.

νοέω, I meditate.

νουθετέω, I admonish.

ξέω, I scrape, plane, retains the ε in the conj. and takes σ in the pass.

οἰκέω, I inhabit, dwell.

οίκοδομέω, I build, Med.

ὀκνέω, I am slow, am reluctant. ὁμολογέω, I assent, confess.

όπτάω, I roast.

δρθόω, I erect, Med.—Comp. ἀνορθόω, augm. § 86. Obs. 4.

δρμάω, act. and pass. I am eager, advance.

ορμέω, I ride at anchor.

όρχέομαι, dep. med. I skip, dance.

òχέω, I drive a carriage, pass. I ride in a carriage, intrans.

παροινέω, I act indecently under the influence of wine, augm. § 86. Obs. 4. πατέω, I tread.

πεινάω, I am pressed with hunger, § 105. Obs. 5.

πειράω, I attempt, try,—πειράομαι, with fut. med. and aor. pass. I endeavour, undertake.

περάω, see Anom.

 $\pi\eta\delta\acute{a}\omega$, I leap, fut. med.

πλανάω, I mislead, pass. I wander about.

πλεονεκτέω, I am eager for gain.

πληρόω, I fill.

πονέω, act. and med. I labour, toil, fut. ήσω and έσω.

πτερόω, I furnish with wings.

πωλέω, I sell.

ριζόω, I cause to take root, pass. I take

σημειόω, I designate, MED.

σιγάω, I keep silence, fut. med. σιτέομαι, dep. med. I eat, dine.

σιωπάω, I am silent, fut. med.

σκιρτάω, I leap, hop.

σμάω, see Anom.

 $\sigma\pi\acute{a}\omega$, I drag—the a is short in the conj.—pass. takes the σ , Med.

στεφανόω, I crown, MED.

συλάω, I plunder.

σφριγάω, I swell with rage.

τελέω, I finish, retains ε in the conjug., pass. takes the σ , MED.

τηρέω, I observe, watch.

τιμάω, I honour, MED.

τιμωρέω, I assist, take vengeance, MED. τολμάω, I dare.

τρέω, I tremble, § 105. Obs. 2, retains

the & in the conjug.

 $\tau \rho v \pi \acute{a} \omega$, I bore, perforate. τρυφάω, I live luxuriously.

τρυφόω, I render insolent, pass. I am insolent.

φθονέω, Ι envy. φιλέω, I love.

φοβέομαι, dep. pass. I fear.

φοιτάω, I visit, frequent. $\phi v \sigma \acute{a} \omega$, I blow (as bellows).

χαλάω, I slacken, relax,—the a is short in the conjug., and the pass. has

χειρόω, act. more commonly Med. I subjugate; conquer.

χωρέω, I retire, give way, fut. med. $\psi \acute{a}\omega$, I rub, § 105. Obs. 5. MED.

The Greek Technical Grammatical Terms.

Στοιχεία, letters: φωνήεντα καὶ σύμφωνα, vowels and consonants; $\mathring{a}\phi\omega\nu a$, $(mut\alpha,)$ $\mathring{\eta}\mu (\phi\omega\nu a, (semi-vocales,))$ $\mathring{v}\gamma\rho \mathring{a}^{1}$, $(liquid\alpha,)$ δασέα, ψιλά, μέσα, (aspiratæ, tenues, mediæ,) ἐπίσημον, an ancient letter, used only as a numeral figure, see p. 9.

προσωδίαι, accents, but they commonly comprise also quantity, spiritus, apostrophus, and hypodiastole (§ 15, 2); όξεῖα, βαρεία, περισπωμένη, acute, grave, circumflex; πνεύματα, spiritus, δασεία καὶ ψιλη, (sc. προσωδία,) asper and lenis; στιγμη, τελεία στιγμή, full stop, μέση στιγμή, colon, ύποστιγμή, comma; χρόνος, quantity, συλλαβη δίχρονος, ('anceps,') doubtful syllable; χασμωδία², hiatus.

Parts of speech: ὄνομα, noun, (ὄνομα προσηγορικόν, substantive,—ἐπιθετικὸν, adjective,—κύριον, proper name,) ἀντωνυμία, pronoun, ἄρθρον, article, (προτασσόμενον, ὑποτασσόμενον, præ-

² To write this word $\chi \alpha \sigma \mu \psi \delta \dot{\alpha}$ is incorrect; there is nothing of $\ddot{\alpha} \delta \omega$ in it; the word comes from $\chi \alpha \sigma \mu \dot{\omega} \delta \eta c$, full of chasms.

¹ They are also called ἀμετάβολα, because they undergo no change on being declined and conjugated.

positivus, postpositivus,) μετοχή, participle, ρῆμα, verb, ἐπίρρημα, adverb, πρόθεσις, preposition, σύνδεσμος, conjunction. (Interjections are comprised among adverbs.)

γένος ἀρσενικὸν, θηλυκὸν, οὐδέτερον, masculine, feminine, neuter gender, ἀριθμὸς ένικὸς, δυϊκὸς, πληθυντικὸς, singular, dual, plural number; κλίσις, declension, πτῶσις, case; ὀρθὴ or εὐθεῖα, or also ὀνομαστικὴ, nominative, γενικὴ, genitive, δοτικὴ, dative, αἰτιατικὴ, accusative, κλητικὴ, vocative, πτώσεις πλάγιαι, casus obliqui.

ὄνομα ἀπολελυμένον or ἀπόλυτον, also ἁπλοῦν and θετικὸν, the

positive, συγκριτικόν, comparative, ύπερθετικόν, superlative.

συζυγία, conjugation, which in Greek means only the different classes of verbs, which are conjugated alike; ex. gr. the verbs λ , μ , ν , ρ : what we call to conjugate and conjugation, is in Greek the same as declining, $\kappa\lambda$ ίνειν, $\kappa\lambda$ ίσις.— θ έ μ a, thema, see § 92, 6. $\pi\rho$ όσω π a, persons.

αὔξησις συλλαβική καὶ χρονική, augmentum syllab. et temp.

ἀναδιπλασιασμός, reduplication.

διάθεσις, the nature of the verb, in consequence of which it is a ρῆμα ἐνεργητικὸν, παθητικὸν, μέσον, activum, passivum, medium, αὐτοπαθὲς, intransitivum, ἀλλοπαθὲς, transitivum.

ἐγκλίσεις, moods; ὁριστικὴ, indicative, ὑποτακτικὴ, conjunctive, εὐκτικὴ, optative, προστακτικὴ, imperative, ἀπαρέμφατος, infinitive.

χρόνοι, tenses; ἐνεστὼς, present, παρωχημένος, præterite, μέλλων, future, παρακείμενος, perfect, παρατατικὸς, imperfect, ὑπερσυντελικὸς, plusquamperfectum, ἀόριστος, aorist. (παράτασις, duration, extension, συντέλεια, consummation, perfection, momentaneous action.)

σύνθεσις, composition, παράθεσις, juxtaposition, παρασύνθετα, words derived from compounds.

Flourishes and Abbreviations.

For understanding the old editions of Greek works, it is necessary to be acquainted with a far greater number of abbreviations than those stated p. 9, which are met with in the printed text of modern times. A complete list of all the flourishes which occur would only confuse the learner; the selection of the principal in the following *Table* appears better calculated to be retained by the memory along with the explanation, and to be consulted on occasion. It contains, 1.) some

simple characters or figures, which frequently recur in the more complex ones; 2.) such as will assist to explain others, which

are not stated, by analogy.

The first row or line contains the forms of letters, which no longer occur in common writing; they are found in old manuscripts only as elements of greater flourishes; particularly the forms of ε, which will be recognized in the abbreviations of εν and $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\iota}$ in the fourth row, and the form of the σ , which will be found in the fifth row, in the abbreviations of σa , σo , $\sigma \omega$. both letters are also the elements of other flourishes or figures not mentioned in the Table.

The learner must especially guard against mistaking the rather uncommon character of κ in the first row, 1.) for η as element of the flourish $\eta \nu$, in the second row; 2.) for the flourish τι at the end of the same row, particularly when the upper part of the figure is not sufficiently leaning backwards, which is the

case in some printed books.

The first of the three forms of ν in the first row was formerly very much used; the second is the same character with the connecting stroke to the right. It is obvious that this letter in this form bears the same relation to the usual μ as N to M. The third form of ν was used as a final ν , and occurs in several very current editions, especially in those printed at Basle in Switzerland, for instance, in Eustathius; it must be carefully compared with the flourish for $\sigma\sigma$ in the fifth row, to guard against mistaking it for that character.

The second row places together some flourishes, which are not easily guessed at; they are selected from the alphabetically arranged third and sixth rows. This might also have been done with some of the flourishes beginning with $\frac{1}{6}\pi$ in the fourth row, but it appears preferable to leave them together in order

to facilitate their comparison.

The third, fourth, fifth, and sixth row contain flourishes, of which the initial letter is easily guessed at with the assistance of the first row; they are in alphabetical order except the last ερ, which has been added to complete the Table.

I.	A	Γ'	C	6	U	þ	h	び	C
	α	γ	8	8	κ	ν	ν	ν	σ

II. \Im \Re \Re $\mathop{\mathsf{d}}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{d}}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{g}}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{lw}}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{cs}}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{S}}^{\sim}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{J}}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{S}}^{\sim}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{S}}^{\sim}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{S}}^{\sim}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{S}}^{\sim}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{J}}^{\sim}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{S}}^{\sim}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{S}^{\sim}}$ $\mathop{\mathsf{S}$

III.		IV.		V.		VI.	
RS	$a\theta\iota$	E J	εἶναι	1400	$\mu\omega$	U T	την
Ŋ	αλ	Ċv	έν	Ufu	μῶν	নী	τῆς
dy	αλλ	<i>िस</i>	έπειδη	01	οΐον	\$	$ au ilde{\eta}_{\mathcal{G}}$
dV	αν	7006	έπευ	on	οὖκ	3'	τò
20	ἀρ	87m	ἐπι	र्लाई	οὖτος	7	τὸν
av6	αὐτὸ	(<u>?)</u>	ἐπὶ	25°	παρα	5	τοῦ
NH.	$\gamma\gamma$	٣, سر	ἐπὶ	wp	πεο	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	$ au o ilde{v}$
25'	γὰρ	iis?	$\hat{\epsilon}\pi$ ì	#	περὶ	रहें	τοὺς
468	γὰρ	8	ευ	70	πο	2	au ho
28	γελ	nt'	κατὰ	0	ρο	F	τρο
280	γεν	rES'	κεφάλαιον	Ga	σα	ર્જ	$ au ilde{\omega}$
28	γερ	MTX	μάτων	OC.	σε	THI	$ au ilde{\omega} u$
25	γίνεται	ji d	μεθ	ી	σθαι	2	$ au ilde{\omega} u$
20	γο	ju	μὲν	G	σο	ч	υι
rec	γρι	M	μὲν ·	as	$\sigma\pi$	w	υν
20	$\gamma \varrho o$	refu	μεν	oz	σσ	in	$\dot{v}\pi$
288	$\delta_{\epsilon}\xi$	pSw	μεν	6	$\sigma\omega$	مهم	$\chi \theta$
288	δευ	μj	μενος	9	ται	z	χο
Na	Sia	ME	μετὰ	7	ταῖς	S	$\tilde{\omega}$
Sja	δια	pusa	μετὰ	Tais	$ au a ilde{v} heta a$	67	ερ

ENGLISH AND LATIN INDEX.

The Numerals refer to the Pages.

ABBREVIATIONS, 9, 468

Abbreviated nominal forms, 77, 79

Abstractum becoming concretum, 307; in the accus. with the verb, 346

Abundans, 75, 76

Accent on verbs, 180, sqq.; in compounds with θές and δὸς, 204, Obs. 13; compare 206, Obs. 19; on the Gen. and Dat. 51; drawn back, 27, 66, 181; transposed in the contraction, 44; in composition, 323, seqq.;

see also Anastrophe.

Accusative in ν , 65; of adverbs, 290, 348 (9); Synt. Accusat. of the abstractum with the verb, $(\mu \acute{a} \chi \eta \nu,$ μαχ.) 346, 360; with εὖ, κακῶς λέγειν, ποιείν, 346; Greek accusat. 347, below; of time, 348; as apposition to the whole proposition, 349; as the more distant object, 347, and Obs. 4; with the pass. 359, sqq.; with the middle voice, 361, sqq. compare 365, Obs. 1; accus. with the infin. 394, Obs. 2; absolute, 406, Obs. 4. 407, Obs. 7; after οἶδα, ἀκούω, in lieu of the subj. of the following verb, 448, Obs. 6

Adjective, 314, sqq.; of one termination, 86, 90; Synt. Adj. instead of subst. 326, below; instead of adverb, ibid.; without the art. before a subst. 329, Obs. 3; before a gen. 350; instead of the neuter, (δίκαιος), 448, Obs. 7; verbal adj. in τέος and τὸς, 159, 160; Synt. 360; from the deponens, 361

Adverb, 316, sqq.; instead of adj. and subst. 330 (7); adverb as predicate,

Ampliativa (subst.), 310

Anacoluthon, 449

Anastrophe, 299

Aorist Synt. 366, sqq.; in lieu of the perf. and plusq. 367; in lieu of the present, 370, Obs. 9; in the signif. of to use, 370, Obs. 5; in the hypothet. constr. 379; aorist and imperf. in Homer, 369, Obs. 4.—See besides partic. of the aor.

Aorist, Alexandrian in α , 143, 267 $(\kappa \alpha i \omega)$; aor. in $\sigma \alpha$ in verbs of

 λ , μ , ν , ϱ , 157, Obs. 3

Aorist 2, its accentuation, 181; syncopat.
 active (in ην, ων, νν, &c.), 146, Obs.
 8, and note; syncopat. pass. (λύτο, δέκτο), 226, 227; with reduplication, 119, Obs. 7. 121, Obs. 2 and 3; forms new themes, 232; is wanting in contracted verbs, 144; in σον, σόμην, 146, Obs. 9; aor. 2. pass. more usual than aor. 1. 153, Obs. 4

Aorist pass. as med. 241, 365; med. as pass. 245

Apharesis, 47

Apocope, 299

Apostrophus, 47; prevents the inclination of the accent, 30

Artic. præpos. (crasis), 45, 46; its signif., 107, Note; Synt. 327, sqq.; with nouns proper, 328; with pronouns, ibid.; left out, 328, Obs. 4; separated from the subst. 329; repeated, ibid.; with the infinit. and whole propositions, 331, 391, 392, 395; with the part. 399; before the relat. 331, Obs. 6; in Homer, 333; artic. postpos. as the original demonstrat. 332, sqq.; indefinite, 327, 328, and compare ibid. Obs. 3, 399 (1)

Aspiratæ, 33, 35, 36; changed into

tenues, 32; the Ionians neglect aspiration, 33, Obs. 1. 213, 249. See $(\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda\rho\mu\alpha\iota)$

Atona, 28

Attraction, 393; with the relative, 397, sqq.; with the part. 403, Obs. 5

Augment, before a vowel, 120, Obs. 5; before the prep. of a compound verb, 122, Obs. 2, 3; syllab. omitted, 118, Obs. 6. 119, Obs. 2, 3; augm. temp. 119; syllab. with the temp. 120; before and after a prep. 123; in "ιστημι, 203

Barytona, 24. 28, Obs. 1

Cæsura, 21, 22

Canon Dawesianus, 383, Note

Casus of the verb with a derivated subst. and adj. 344

Causativa, 239

Characteristic of the verb, 133, sqq.

Comparative with the gen. Synt. 353; double compar. 327

Conjunctive, formation, 126; shortening of the vowel, 185; in ωμι, ibid.; of the aor. pass. 180, Not. 185; Conj. perf. pass. 152; perf. act. 371

Conjunctive, Synt. 373, sqq.; deliberativus, 373, 387; adhortativus, 373; instead of the future, ibid.; with âν, 375; of the aorist with âν instead of the fut. exact. ibid.; after historical tenses, 388; with μη, 383, 417

Connecting vowel, 124; ejected in verbs of μ , 197, sqq. compare 200, Obs. 6, sqq.; syncope of the same, 225

Consonants, see tenues and aspiratæ; simple cons. 36, Obs. 2

Construction, κατὰ σύνεσιν, 340; with the relative, ibid.; with the part. 400

Contraction, 42; omitted, 69, Obs. 3. 70; in dissyllab. in ω , 194.—See besides Resolution

Copula, 340; omitted, 343

Coronis, 45

Correlativa, 110, 293, sqq.; with the attraction, 395, sqq.

Dative (subst.), 344, 356; for the gen.

357; commodi, ibid.; without $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$, 358; $(a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\nu}g)$ ibid.; with the part. or adj. $(\dot{\eta}\delta o\mu \dot{\epsilon}\nu \varphi, \&c.)$ ibid.; with the pass. 359; Dat. absol. 405; Dat. as the form of adverbs, 291

Declensions, 50, sqq.; originally identical, 77, Note 3

Demonstratives strengthened, 112, sqq. 295, 296; instead of the pron. relat. 450 (4).—See Ellipsis

Deponens, 241; forms tenses with pass. signif. ibid.; pass. and med. 241, 242

Derivation of rerbs from subst. 301; of subst. 305, sqq.; of adj. 314; by composition, 318, sqq.

Desiderativa, 304

Diminutives, 313

Diæresis, 31

Dialects, 1-7, 32, 40, 41, sqq. 43, 44, 54, 55, 297, 298

Diastole, 31

Digamma, 14, 247, Note 3. 250, Note Diphthongs and shortening of long

vowels, 22; improperly so called, 42; separated, 43; in the *crasis*, 45

Diplasiasmus, 36, Obs. 1, 2. 41, 140

Double letters, 36, sqq. 32, g.

Double themes and forms, 75, 95, 131, 237, sqq.

Doubling of consonants, 36, 140, Obs. 5.
247, Note 3. 256, Note 20. 297,
Obs. 10; and long vowels, 41, Obs.
17; of vowels, 43, Obs. 3. 195,
Obs. 10. 269 (κραίνω)

Dual instead of plur. 51; of the verb, 125, Obs. 6; 2 pers. instead of the 3 pers. 184 (V. 3); Synt. 340, below; of the subst. fem. with the adj. masc. 326, Obs. 1

Ejection of a consonant, 43, 44; of a vowel, 44, Obs 7; in the root of the verb, 224, sqq.; of the σ in the 2 pers. sing. 182, 209; of the λ , 271, Note 43

Elision, 42, 45, 54 (IV. 5), 74, 195; does not take place with v, $\pi\epsilon\rho l$, &c. 47; respecting the accent in prep. 300; of words in $\kappa\lambda\tilde{\eta}_{5}$, 73; on the meeting of words, 46, Obs. 10, and § 30

Ellipsis, 453; Omission of the subst. with the art. and the gen. 330, sqq. 355, Obs. 8, 9; of the adj. 327; of the verb, 343; of the demonstr. 334, compare 344; forms a contraction, 398, Note; of the obj. 343; of the subj. in the accus. with the infin. 392; in the second part of a sentence, 454; of the prep. 355, Obs. 7. -See besides Prepositions.

Epicænum, 49 sqq. Epics, Epic, 3, 7, 2, 45.—See Dialects

Feminine gender, see Dual Final letters, 38, sqq.

Forms, double, 75; simple forms of the subst. 77; of the adj. 93; old Ionic,

Frequentativa, 304

Futurum, retains the long vowel, 139, sqq.; med. instead of the act. 242; instead of the pass. ibid.; Synt. Fut. indic. after relat. 381; after $\delta\pi\omega\varsigma$ instead of the imper. 383; with av, 374; exactum, 375; with μή, 418, Obs. 4

Futurum Atticum, 140; Doricum, 143 Futurum secundum, 142. 255, Note 18 Futurum tertium, 152; Synt. 371

Genitive, Attic, 71; gen. as the form of adverbs, 291; Synt. gen. with 7ò and $\tau \dot{a}$, 338, Obs. 2; of the names of countries with their towns, 349; partitive, 350; its position, 329; of time and place, 350, 355; after eig τοῦτο, τοσοῦτο, &c. 351; with είναι, 352; with comparatives, 354, Obs. 5; for περί, 355, Obs. 7; in exclamat. 355; peculiar cases: θέειν πεδίοιο, &c. 351, Note; εὖ είδως, 353; ἄγειν χειρός, &c. 354, below; gen. instead of dat. 358; gen. absol. 405; of the infin. (ἕνεκα) 391

Gentilia, 311

Genus, of the word expressive of the whole (ὁ ἥμισυς τοῦ χρόνου), 351, Obs. 1

Heteroclita, 76

Hiatus, 14; after τi , $\delta \tau \iota$, and others, 45, Obs. 1. compare 48, Obs. 3 Hypodiastole, 31

Imitativa (verba), 304 Immediativa, 239

Imperat. in θ_i , 185; futuri, 146, Obs. 9; tert. plur. 182; Synt. Imperat. aor. 369; perf. 371; pres. with μη, 418; after relat. 381

Imperfectum. Synt. 366, 369, Obs. 4; and pres. conatus, 371; imperf. with av, 374. 379, and Obs. 4

Impersonalia. Synt. 342; in the part. 407, Obs. 6, 7

Inchoativa, 236, 305

Inclinatio accentus, 28, 29, sqq.

Indicat. pres. in the indirect speech. Synt. 338, Obs. 3

Infinitivus, in vai (accent), 181; aor. 1. act. (accent), ibid.; aor. 2. ibid. Note 2; in $\mu \varepsilon \nu$, $\mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \iota$, 184; in $\varepsilon \nu$, $\eta \nu$, 185; Synt. infinit. with $\tilde{a}\nu$, 376; after $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$, 385, 390; as subst. neut. 391; after relat. and conjunct. 392, Obs.; expressing request, command, 395; in intercalated sentences, 391; and part. as the completion to certain verbs, 401; in the gen. (ἕνεκα), 391; Inf. absol. ibid.

Intercalation of consonants, 34, 35; of vowels (ϵ), 43, below; with $a\dot{v}\tau \delta c$, 106, 109; of the connecting vowel, 125

Intercalated sentences belonging to the oblique speech, 388, Obs. 3

Interjectiones, 293

Intransit. and transit. See Verba. Inversion, 451; of the subst. in the constr. with the relative, 397

Ionic, forms in contr. verbs, 194 Iterativa, 181

Labials, changed into μ , 41

Lengthening of vowels, 40, sqq. 74, Obs. 2; of the vowel in the root of the verbs in $\mu \iota$, 198. 201, Obs. 7. 209, 210

Linguales, before liquids, 37 Liquidæ, easily doubled, 21 Long syll. before double conson. 41

Masc. of the adj. with a subst. fem. 326

see dual; mass. plur. with fem. sing. 341

Medium, 127; Synt. 361 sqq.

Metaplasmus, 76

Metathesis, 229 sqq. 267 below, 284, Note. See also Transposition.

Moods, see conj. and optat.; Synt. 368 Muta cum liquida, 16. 20, Obs. 13. 21, Obs. 14; in the reduplic. 118

Names of animals, 49
Names of rivers, 50; Synt. 328

Names of towns, Gender, 50

Nasal sounds, 12, 237

Negative particles, 413 sqq.; accumulated, 419; after negative verbs, 419

Neutrum, Synt. 338; as adverb, 291. 339, Obs. 4; of the comparat. and superl. as adverb, 292; of the article, 338; of the adj. and predicate, 341

Nomina propria, in voc from vovc, 87, Note; with or without the art. 328

Nominative, with the infinit. 392, 393; Nom. absol. 406. See besides Anacoluthon.

Numerals, 9. 98, sqq.

Object, not expressed, 344 sqq.; only once expressed, 344, Obs. 1. compare 450 (4)

Omission of the subst. See Ellipsis Optative, its formation, 126; 3 pers. in oı and aı (accent) 181; in οίην, ψήν, 182, Obs. 3. compare 194, Obs. 4; in ύην, ὑην, 209, 225; optat. aor. in εια, 182, Obs. 4; opt. perf. 152, 182 (3); opt. Synt. 372 sqq.; as the expression of a wish or command, 373; with ἀν instead of the fut. 375; after principal tenses, 388; after ἐπειδὰν, ὅπαν, &c. ὑιἰd.; in the oblique speech, 388, Obs. 3; expressing repetition, 382; opt. perf. and fut. 371; with μὴ, 418

Oratio obliqua, being changed into the direct speech, 388, Obs. 3.

Participia (form), 127; in $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\varepsilon_{i\mathcal{G}}$ (accent), 181; in $\varepsilon\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$, 229; Part. pres. oxytona, 217 (7); perf. fem. in σa , 229

Partic. aor. Synt. 369. 403, Obs. 7; futuri, 400; pres. 403, Obs. 7; part. with åv, 376; neut. part. 407, Obs. 7; instead of the abstractum, 338; its casus changed, 394, Obs. 2. 401; part. and infin. as the completion to the signif. of certain verbs, 401

Particles inseparable, 319; expletive, 431; $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$, &c. between art. and subst.

331

Parts of speech, 48

Passive tenses in the deponens, 242, Obs. 6; pass. signif. with act. form, 241, Obs. 4

Patronymica, 312; vocat. 53

Paullo-post-futurum. See Fut. 3

Perfectum, 366; with the signif. of the pres. 240, 243 sqq.; syncopated, 150, 207, 227 sqq.; forms new themes, 231; without reduplic. 118, Obs. 6

Perfect 2 of verbs in έω, άω, 149, Obs. 4; adopts the form of the pres. 231, Obs. 1, 2; perf. 2 or medium, 240

Perfect pass. changes its accent, 181; without redupl. 120, Obs. 3. compare 227, Note; perf. pass. as medium, 366

Periphrastic form, 371

Person, the second, of the pass. 182,201, 204, Not. 242, Not.; 3 pers. plur. pass. in αται, ατο, 183; 2 sing. and 3 plur. in lieu of one, they, people, 342

Personalia omitted, 342, 8; in the accus.
with the infin. instead of the nom.
394, in the nom. instead of the accus. 395

Plural instead of sing in pron. 104 (10); in collectivis, 340; plur. masc. with the fem. sing. ibid.

Plusquamperf. act. Ionic and Attic forms in εa, 182; 3 plur. pass. 183; in the pass. the same form with the aor. 227, Obs. 4; with the signif. of the imperf. 243; without augment. syll. 118

Position, 16, 20; occasioned by mediæ before λ , μ , ν , 17; by spiritus, 14

Possessiva, 337, comp. 336; with the art. 328, Obs. 2; the neuter

instead of the pers. pron. 338, Obs. 2

Predicate, without the art. 339; in the neuter, 341; without copula, 343

Prepositions, 290; Synt. 409; accent, 299; without their cases, 411, Obs. 3; before a pron. pers. 103; whether omitted, 344, 348, 349, 3. 354, 6. 360, 391; shortened, 299, Obs. 2; compounds formed with prep. 412

Present tense, its form strengthened, 233; of the same signif. with the perf. 243, sqq.; pres. indicat. Synt. 370; of the same signif. with the perf. (ηκω, &c.) 370; and imperf. conatus, 371

Pronoun indef. expressing one, they, people, 336, 342

Pronoun. See Demonstr. Relat. &c. Pura, 42

Quantity, ex auctoritate, 17; in Poets, 20, sqq.

Radical rowel in verbs of $\mu\iota$, 216

Reciproca, 106, sqq.; reciproc. signif. of the middle voice, 364

Reciprocal influence in the formation of the language, 146, Note

Reduplicatio præsentis, 233, 261, Not.
276, Note; peculiar instances, 118,
121, 122; in verbs of μ, 198; Attic reduplic. 121; in subst. 307, c.

Reflexiva, 106, Synt. 335; of the third pers. for the first, 336, Obs. 5; omitted, 344, Obs. 2; reflect. signif. of act. forms, 240, sqq. 344, Obs. 2

Relativum (crasis), 46; constr. 380, 395, sqq. 447; with the art. 331, Obs. 6; with the infinit. 392; (with regard to, respecting), 396; in lieu of two sentences, 396, 451

Resolution of vowels, 43, Obs. 3; of the infinit. in εῖν and of the conj. aor. pass. 185; of the conj. of verbs of μι, 209 sqq.; in δέω, 257

Root, 51, 58, Not. 131, sqq.

Schema Pindaricum, 340, Obs. 3; καθ' ὅλον καὶ μέρος, 347, Obs. 3 Semivowels, 12

Shortening of the contraction, 44; of the vowel after the Attic redupl. 149, Obs. 3; in the conj. 185, 210; of the 3 pers. plur. 184, 225, Obs. 1

Singular, instead of plur. 339, 340

Spiritus changed (crasis), 46; lenis instead of asper, 14; forms a position, 15; changed into σ, 262, Note 28

Subject omitted, 341, 342; subj. of the following verb as object of the preceding one, 448, 449

Substantivum, 305, sqq.; with the gen. or accus. of the verb, 344, Obs. 3

Syncope, 67; in the conjug. 221, sqq.; as the foundation of the form in μ_t , 200, 201. Compare Elision.

Synesis. See Construction. Synizesis, or Synalæphe, 44, 46

Tenses, principal and historical, 115, sqq. 124, 131, 136; in relation to the moods, 382, sqq. 388; in use, and not in use, 186

Tenues instead of aspiratæ, 33, 36

That, propositions beginning with $\delta\tau\iota$, $\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{C}}$, 386; rendered by the acc. c. inf. 392; by the part. 401; by $\delta\tau\epsilon$, 386; by ϵl , 386

Themes, 132; not in use, 132; new themes, 231

Thessalian gen. 55

Tmesis, 412

Tragic forms, 153, Obs. 4

Transposition of letters, 35, Obs. 2, 230, sqq.

Verba pura, contracta, liquida, muta, 131; intransit. and trans. to be distinct from immediat. and caus. 239; intrans. with accus. 346, 365, Obs. 1

Verbal adjectives, Synt. 352, 5, 1. comp. 344, Obs. 3; in τέος and τὸς, 159, 343, Obs. 10. 360, sqq.

Vocales ancipites, 15; pura, 42; long vowels and diphthongs, when short, 21, sqq. See Doubling and Lengthening of vowels

Vocative of participles, 66

GREEK INDEX.

The Numerals refer to the Pages.

A, a, Doricum, 54; φιλάσω, 141 α , changed into ϵ , 195 α , after ϵ , ι , ρ , 51, 87, 138 α and o, before a vowel become $\alpha \iota$ and oi, 40, Obs. 2 \bar{a} , for η , 40, Obs. 50, 54, 140 ă, for η, 40, Obs. 6 α , for ϵ , 40, Obs. 9 a, privativum, 320; with adj. fem. 87 - intensivum, 320 $-\alpha$, quantity, 53, 64; in the accus. sing. 72; in the adj. fem. 86 $-\alpha$, as adverb, 291, Obs. 3 άάατος, 246, Note ἄατος, 252 $\tilde{a}\gamma a\nu$, in comp. 38, Obs. 3 α̃γε δη, 432ἄγειν, 344 άγχοτάτω, 292 άδικεῖν, with accus., 345; with a double accus. 348, Obs. 4 άεὶ, αίεὶ, 298; ὁ ἀεὶ, 442 -άζω, inflect. 133, sqq.; derivation, and signif. 186, 303 άηδων, 77, Obs. 7 'āθάνατος, 21, Obs. 14 $^{\prime}A\theta\eta\nu\tilde{\alpha}, 53$ $-\alpha\theta o\nu$, $-\dot{\alpha}\theta\epsilon\iota\nu$, (verbs,) 236 at, pronunciation, 12 -αι, elided, 48, Obs. 5; three similar verbal forms in at, 181, Note 3 -aι and -oι, 26 αι κε πίθηται, τύχωμι, 387, Note αίκτα, 19 αίνέω, 139 $-\alpha i \nu \omega$, (verbs,) inflect. 157; derivation and signif., 186, 303; from verbs in $\dot{\alpha}\omega$, 237 αιρέω, 139 -αίρω, (verbs,) 157, Obs. 2 αιρω, aor. 157, Obs. 2 átω, augm. 120, Obs. 2 άκήκοα, 149, Obs. 3 άκούειν, constr. 353 and Note, 403

άκροάομαι, 140, Obs. 6

ἄλαλκεν, 145, Obs. 3 ἄληθες, 439 άλήλιφα, 149, Obs. 3 άλλὰ, άλλὰ γὰρ, 427, 434 άλλομαι, aor. 157, Obs. 2 ἄλλος, ἕτερος, 336; with the gen. 354 - ἄλλο η, άλλ' η, 437 — ἄλλο τι η, 437 – ἄλλως τε καὶ, 438 äλς, 61 άλφι, 79 άλώπηξ, 59 $\ddot{a}\mu a$, ($-\kappa a \dot{i}$,) Syntax, 441 άμέλει, 439 άμπέχω, 34, Obs. 3 άμφὶ, άμφὶς, 291, Obs. 4; οἱ άμφὶ, 441 άμφότερον, 111; Syntax, 438 $\tilde{a}\mu\phi\omega$, 111 aν, ἐαν, ην, 298; Syntax, 375; aν with the infin. and particip. 376; $a\nu$ omitted, 375, 378, 385 άνà, shortened, 299; Obs. 2 åvà, up! up! 300 ava, vocat. 66, Obs. 5 άνάγκη, Syntax, 343, Obs. 10 ανηπτον, (augment,) 120, Obs. 4 $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$, 20; $\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\rho$, 66, Obs. 1; $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$, with personal denominations, 327, Obs. 2 $\dot{a}\nu\theta'\ \tilde{\omega}\nu$, 436 άνīα, 19 -avoc, quantity, 61 $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\nu}\omega$, $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\nu}\tau\omega$, 139 -άνω, (verba,) quantity, 237, Obs. 8. άνώϊστος, 286, φέρω ἀνώτερος, 98, Note; ἀνωτέρω, 292 $\mathring{a}\nu\omega\chi\theta\varepsilon$, 228 άξετε, 146, Obs. 9 ao, changed into $\epsilon \omega$, 41, Obs. 10. 44 -αo, 2 pers. pass., 182 -αος, -εως, 41, Note űπας, Syntax, 337 \dot{a} πεῖργε, (augment,) 120, Obs. 4 ἄπεφθος, 34, Obs. 3

άπλως είπειν, 391, Obs. 5

άπὸ, shortened, 299; ἄπο, ibid.; words compounded with, 412, 0bs. 11 ἀποδιδράσκειν, with accus. 345 'Απόλλων, 66; with long α, 21, 0bs. 14; accus., 74 ἀπονέεσθαι, (long α,) 21, 0bs. 14

άπωτάτω, 292

ãρ, ἄρα, 299, Obs. 2; ἄρα and ἄρα, 431; ἄρ' οὖν, ibid. Note

 $\bar{a}\rho\dot{a}$, $(\dot{a}\rho\dot{\eta})$ and $\check{a}\rho\dot{\eta}$, 20, Note $\dot{a}\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\eta}$ and "A $\rho\eta\varsigma$, 96, Note 1 "A $\rho\eta\varsigma$, (\check{a}) 20

ἀρξάμενος, Syntax, 442 ἀρύω, ἀρύτω, 139, Note

ἀρύω, ἀρύτω, 139, Note 6 ἀρχὴν, adv., 291

άσθμα, 306, Note
-ασι, local termination, 294
-ασκον, temp. iterat., 182

ἄσσα, ἄσσα, 110 ᾶσσον, 293

-αται, -ατο, 3 pl. pass., 183 ἄτερος, 46, Obs. 5.

ἄτερος, 46, 06s. 5. ἄτος, 246, Note

άτρέμα and άτρέμας, 39, Obs. 2. 291,

ἄττα, ἄττα, 110 αῦ, 430

αὐτάρκης, gen. pl., 69, Obs. 4

aὐτὸς, 105; Syntax, 334; casus obliq., 335; dat. without σὺν, 358; phrases, ibid.; ὁ αὐτὸς, construction, 356

άφαιρεῖσθαί τινά τι, 347

άφύη, ή, 54

 $\dot{a}\chi\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$, ουσα, 248, $\dot{a}\kappa\alpha\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\zeta\omega$ - $a\chi\tilde{\eta}$, - $a\chi\tilde{o}\tilde{v}$, 297

 $\tilde{a}\chi\rho_{i}$ and $\tilde{a}\chi\rho_{i}c$, 39; Syntax, 408 $\hat{a}\omega$, with change of a into ϵ , 195, Obs. 8

- derivation and signif. 186, 302

- desiderativa, 304

 $-a\omega\nu$, changed into $-\epsilon\omega\nu$, 54

β, inserted, 35, Obs. 1. 230 β, arising from μ, 230 βάπτω, inflection, 134, Obs. 5 βασιλεύτερος, 98, Obs. 2 βασιλεύτερος, 98, Obs. 9 βλάπτειν, with accus., 345 βλλέξ, 94, Note; 230, Note βδλεσερε, 40, Obs. 3 βορέας, βορέας βος βος

βούλομαι, augm., 118, Obs. 5; βούλει, 183 βοῦς, 70 βῶς, βῶν, 70

γ, (nasal sound,) 10, 12, 151, Note 3 γάρ, 427, 454

 $\gamma\gamma$, before μ in the perf. pass., 151, Obs. 7

γε, 431; γε μὲν, γε μὴν, 432 γεγᾶκειν, 231, Obs. 1

-γελως, adject. compos., 91, Obs. 5

γεω-, 318, Obs. 2

 $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$, 53, $Obs.\ 1$ $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \rho \alpha \varsigma$, 73

γλάφυ, 80

γλύφω, 19

γραῦς, 70

 δ , characteristic, 133 δ , inserted, 35, Obs. 1

δãερ, 66, Obs. 1

δατ, (τ) 45, Obs. 11; 76, Obs. 5

 $-\delta \varepsilon$, 30, Obs. 2; 294

δὲ, Syntax, 424 δείδεγμαι, δείδεκτο, 256

δεῖν, phrases with, 345. 352, 5, 2. 356.

391, Obs. 5. 438 δεῖσθαι, with gen. of the pers., 352

δέσποτα, 54 δεῦρο, 293, Obs. 8

δεῦτε, 293, Obs. 8

δεύτατος, 101, Note 1

δέχθαι, 227, Note

 δ έω, 139, Obs. 4 δ η, Syntax, 432

δῆλός είμι, Syntax, 386, 394, 402, 449

-δην, -δον, adv. 317

δήποτε, appended, 296 δήπου, δήπουθεν, 433

δĩα, (ă,) 86, Obs. 1

διάγειν, διαγίγνεσθαι, διατελεΐν, with part., 404, Obs. 8

δίκαιός είμι πράττειν, 394, 448

δίκην, Syntax, 348. 408, Obs. 2

διὸ, διότι, 292, Obs. 5. 421; διψ $\tilde{\eta}$ ν, 194, Obs. 5

δοιώ, δοιοί, 99

δοκοῦν, absol., Syntax, 407, Obs. 7

δορυξέ, 56, Note 1

δύναμαι, augm., 118, Obs. 5 δυνατόν, Syntax, 407, Obs. 7 $\delta v\sigma$ -, compos., 122 δύσεο, 146, Obs. 9 δω, 79, Obs. 3

 ϵ , for α before liquids, 40, Obs. 9

 ϵ , in verbs in $\alpha\omega$, 195, Obs. 8 ϵ , inserted, 43, 106, 109

 ϵ , connecting vowel, 124

ε, for ει, 40, Obs. 3.

ε, changed into ει, 38, 40

ε, for η, 185, Obs. 15

E, Syntax, 335

 $-\epsilon \alpha$ for $-\eta \nu$, 209

-εαι, -εο, 2 pers. sing. pass., 182; compare 195, Obs. 7

 $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$, $\dot{\eta}\nu$, $\dot{a}\nu$, 298,375, 378; compare 422 -εατο, 3 pl., 183, 195

ἐαυτὸν, Syntax, 335, 336; omitted 344,

 $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\omega$, retains the α , 140, Obs. 7 έβήσετο, 146, Obs. 9

ἐγρήγορθε, 228, Obs. 5

ἐγῷδα, ἐγῷμαι, 46, Obs. 9

έδομαι, 143, Obs. 18

έδοῦμαι, 142, Obs. 16

ἐδύσετο, 146, Obs. 9

ἐέσσατο, 212

 $\tilde{\epsilon}\eta\nu$, from $\epsilon i\mu i$, 215, Note 9

έη̃ος, 81, Note

ἐθέλειν, Syntax, 442

 $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\eta\tilde{\eta}\tau o$, 196, Note

 $\epsilon \iota$, dipth., 12; resolved into ηi , 40, Obs. 8; in dissyllabic words, 194, Obs. 2

ει, augm. temp., 119

-ει, 2 pers. sing. pass. 183

 $\varepsilon\iota$, with indic. and opt., 378; $\varepsilon\iota$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$, 414; εί δὲ μή, 420; εί μή διὰ, 441; εί γάρ, εί καὶ, 422

-εια, (nomina,) 53, 307, 309, 311

-εια, ειας, &c., opt., 182, Obs. 4

εἴδω, signif., 243, Obs. 10

είεν, 213, Note 5

εἴην, from εἰμι, I go, 216

εἴθε, 25, Obs. 2

είκάζω, augm., 120

είκοσι, σιν, 39

είκων, 77, Obs. 7

είλον, 119, Obs. 1

 $-\varepsilon \iota \nu$, instead of $-\eta \nu$, 211, 212

είναι, verbum, omitted, 343; είναι, infin... Syntax, 444; eori and eori, 214: ἔστιν οῖ, 439; ἔστι, phrases, ibid.

εἴπερ, elliptical, 453

είς, with persons, 411, Obs. 5

 $\epsilon l \sigma \theta \alpha$, Homer, 216

είτα, 429

είτα, ἔπειτα, after particip., 403

εἴτις, 422

 $\epsilon \tilde{i} \omega \theta \alpha$, (augm.,) 119, Obs. 1; 149, Obs. 2. ἐκ, see ἐξ: ἐκ unaltered before all the

consonants, 36, 39

έκάτερος, ἕκαστος, 111

έκαστέρω, 292

ἐκδῦμεν, 258, Note

έκεῖ, ἐκεῖθεν, ἐκεῖσε, 295

έκείνη, έκείνως, 297, Obs. 7

ἐκεχειρία, 34, Obs. 3

 $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\pi\alpha\gamma\lambda oc.$ 271. Note έλήλυθα, 149, Obs. 3

έλμινς, 38, Obs. 2

έμοὶ δοκεῖν, 391

έν remains unaltered before σ and ζ. 37, 38

έν instead of είς, Syntax, 411, Obs. 6;

έν τοῖς, 440 ἐνδότατος, 98, Note; ἐνδοτάτω, 292

ἐνδύω τινά τι, 347

ἕνεκα, 298, 441; omitted, see Præpos.

ἕνεκεν, before consonants, 298

ἔνι, ἔνεστι, 300, 440

ἔνθα, ἔνθεν, 296

έξ, (εξ,) 28; έξ and έκ, 35, 36, 39, 297; with the pass. 358

ἔξαρνος, 344, Obs. 3

ἔξεστι, 440; ἐξὸν, 407, Obs. 7

ἔοικα, ἔολπα, &c. 120, Obs. 6; ἔοικα, οίκα, 224, Note

ἐόλητο, 259, εἴλω

 $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\delta}\varsigma$, $\dot{\alpha}$, $\dot{\delta}\nu$, 105

ἐπαφή, 34, Obs. 3

 $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}$, 422; $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\dot{\eta}$, 22. 298, Obs. 1

ἔπειτα, ἔπειτεν, 298; after participles,

403, compare 429 ἔπεσον, 146, Obs. 9

ἔπι, for ἔπεστι, 300

ἐπιστήμων, c. acc. 344, Obs. 3

 $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\omega\nu$, 226

 $\hat{\epsilon} \rho \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ and $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$, 353, Note 3

Έρμέας, Έρμῆς, 53

έρμένος, 260, εἴρω

ἐρράδαται, 183

ἐρύομαι, 140, Note 8 ἔρχεσθαι, with particip. fut., 442 $-\varepsilon\sigma\iota(\nu)$, $\varepsilon\sigma\sigma\iota(\nu)$, 67, Obs. 2 έστασαν and έστασαν, 207, 208 ἔστε, 436 ἔστι, see είναι ἔσχατος, 97 έτεροῖος, 113, Obs. 2 ἕτερος, 111; Syntax, 336; with gen. 354, Obs. 6 έτησίαι, οί, 54 ἔτι, 430 έτοιμος, Syntax, 343, Obs. 10 -εῦ and -οῖ, (vocat.) 25, 65, 66 ευ, for εο, 44, Obs. 5. 143, Obs. 17. 156, 183. 196, Obs. 13

ευ, instead of η in the fut., 140, Obs. 9 εὖ, words compounded with, 122 εὖαδον, 250, ἀνδάνω εὐθὺ and εὐθὺς, 291, 298 ἐὖς, 43, Note -εὑω, (verba,) 186, 302 ἐφέστιος, as adv., 327

ἔφην, præt., 220 ἔχειν, ἔχων, Syntax, 444, sqq. ἐχοῆν, accent, 289, Note

έχρην, accent, 289, Note έψύγην, 154, Obs. 8

εω, 41, Obs. 10. 54, Obs. 5. 289, Note -εω, 186, 302; dissyllables in, not contracted, 194, Obs. 2; instead of -ω, 235

ἐψκειν, &c. 121, Obs. 9. 222, Note ἕως, Syntax, 382

 ζ , 10. 36, Obs. 2. 37; characteristic, 133 - $\zeta \epsilon$, 36, Obs. 2. 294, Obs. 2 $\zeta \tilde{\gamma} \nu$, 194, Obs. 5 - $\zeta \omega$, frequentativa, 304

 η , pronunciation, 10

 η , for \tilde{a} , 40, Obs. 7; in the crasis, 46; in the first declension, 54, IV.; in the verb, 140, Obs. 7; in verbs ending in $\acute{a}\omega$, 194, Obs. 5

 η , for \check{a} , 54

 η , for ϵ , 40, Obs. 8 $\ddot{\eta}$, he said, 220

 $\hat{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$, Syntax, 422, 423; $\tilde{\eta}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$, Syntax, 432

-ηαι, 2 perf. pass. 182

 $\ddot{\eta}$ δ' $\delta_{\mathcal{S}},$ 220; compare 333, Obs. 2

 $\dot{\eta}$ è, for $\dot{\eta}$, 298, Obs. 1 $\ddot{\eta}\theta_{02}$, compound adj. derived from, 69, Obs. 4; words compounded with, 291

 $\eta \ddot{\imath}$, for $\epsilon \iota$, 40, Obs.~8 $\ddot{\eta} \ddot{\imath} a$, $\ddot{\eta} a$, only imperf., 218

ηκιστα, 293

ήκω, Syntax, 370, Obs. 8

ήλὲ, vocat., 79, Obs. 2

 $\tilde{\eta}\nu$ $\tilde{a}\rho a$, 371, Obs. 9; $\tilde{\eta}\nu$ in lieu of $\tilde{\eta}\nu i\delta \varepsilon$, 293, Obs. 7

 $\eta \pi \alpha \phi \circ \nu, 145, Obs. 3$

-nc, nom. heterocl. 76, Obs. 4

ησθην, instead of present, 371, Obs. 9 -ησι, 3 pers. sing. 201, Obs. 10

- $\eta\sigma\iota$, local termination, 294, and Note I $\eta\sigma\sigma\omega\nu$, 96, and Note 3; - $\eta\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu$, $(\tau\tau$,) 293

 $\eta \tau o \iota$, 26, Obs. 3; in the crasis, 46, Obs. 8 ηv , diphth., 12 $\dot{\eta} \chi \iota$, $\dot{\eta} \chi \iota$, 297, Obs. 10

 θ , from the crasis, 46, Obs. 4 θ , instead of σ , before μ , 37, 2

 $-\theta \alpha$, see $\sigma \theta \alpha$

 $\theta \acute{a}\pi \tau \omega$, 135, Obs. 5

 $\theta \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, 34, 95

θατέρου, 46, Obs. 5

θαῦμα, θωῦμα, 41, Obs. 11 θαυμαστὸν ὅσον, 343, Obs. 10

θεῖναι and θύειν, 34, Obs. 2

θείομεν, 185, Note 9

θέμις, Syntax, 343, Obs. 10

 $-\theta \varepsilon \nu$ and $-\theta \varepsilon$, 39, Obs. 2. 293, 297

θέραπα, θέραπες, 78, Obs. 8

 $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu$, 433

 $\theta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, 196, Note

-θι, imper., 185, Obs. 13. 198, 226, 227; adv. 293

θοιμάτιον, 33, Obs. 2

θοάσσω, 33, Note

θρύπτω, characteristic, 135, Obs. 5

θύειν, see θεῖναι

 $-\theta\omega$, verbs, 236

 ι and v, quantity of, in the penult of verbs in $\iota\omega$ and $\dot{\upsilon}\omega$, 19, Obs. 10; augm. 119

ι, elision of, 48, Obs. 3

-t, termination of adverbs, 317

ι, demonstr., 109, 113, 296

4, subscript, in the crasis, 45, Obs. 2, b.; in the local termination not, 294, Note 1; in $\pi \tilde{\eta}$, &c. 297, Obs. 8 -c, quantity, 64 -ia, iov, iog, nominal forms, short, 19, Obs. 10; long, 309 -ιαίνω, verbs, 157, Obs. 2 -ιάω, desideratives, 304 ίδρόω, contracted, 195, Obs. 6 ίέναι, Syntax, 442; ίέναι τοῦ πρόσω, 351, Note $-i\zeta\omega$, 186, 303, 134 ίθὸ, ἰθὸς, 291, Obs. 4 ιθύντατα, 293, Obs. 6 ίλεως, 41, Note ἴμεν, ἴμεναι, 216 îν, pron., 104, Obs. 6 -ιν, termination of the nomin., 60, Note ίνα, ίνα τι, 384, 421 -ινος, (gen.) quantity, 61, Obs. 1 -ινω, (verbs in,) quantity, 237, Obs. 8; from $i\omega$, 237 ίξον, 146, Obs. 9 ἴομεν, 185, Obs. 15 $i\pi\pi\circ\varsigma$, $\dot{\eta}$, 49, Obs. 3 ίρὸς, ἱερὸς, 44, Obs. 5 $i\sigma\theta\mu\delta\varsigma$, 306, Note ισαν, 223, Note -ίσκω, verbs in, instead of έω, 236 "Toog and Toog, 20, Obs. 12. 41, Obs. 13 $-i\omega$ (verbs in), quantity, 19, Obs. 10 -ι $\tilde{\omega}$, in verbs ending in ίζω, 141, Obs. 14 κ, of the perfect 1. dropped, 150, Obs. 7 κ , for π , ($\kappa \acute{o} \tau \varepsilon$,) 32, 297; changes into χ, (in και,) 46, Obs. 7

κ, for π, (κότε,) 32, 297; changes into χ, (in και,) 46, Obs. 7 κā, Dor. instead of κὲ, 298, Obs. 1 καθὰ, 292, Obs. 5 καὶ, (crasis,) 46; Syntax, 423; καὶ μάλα, καὶ πάνν, ibid.; καὶ ος, 333, and Obs. 2; καὶ εἰ, κᾶν εἰ, 422; καὶ—δὲ, 424; καὶ ταῦτα, 438; καὶ μὴν, 432; καίπερ, 433; καὶ ως, 421 καίω, inflection, 140, Obs. 9

καίω, inflection, 140, 0bs. 9 καλέω, inflection, 141, 0bs. 12. 231 καλτά, 19, 0bs. 10 καλός and κάλός, 21, 0bs. 12 κατά, shortened, 299, 0bs. 2; supplied, 348 κατασχέσθαι, passive, 243

κατέαγα τῆς κεφαλῆς, 351

κέ, κέν, 39, 422 κέκλοφα, 149, Obs. 1 κέκτημαι, 118, Obs. 1. b. κέλαινος, μέλας, 32, Obs. 2 κέρας, 73 κερδαναι, 157, Obs. 2 κῆνος, 106 -ki and -kig, 39, Obs. 2 κλαίω, inflection, 140, Obs. 9 -κλέης, κλῆς, 73 Κλέοβι, 45, Obs. 11 κλίνω, 159 κλῦθι, 226, Obs. 2κνάω, κνην, 194, Obs. 5 κοιλᾶναι, 157, Obs. 2 κολακεύετν, with accus., 345 κονία, 19, Obs. 10 $K \acute{o} \pi \pi \alpha$, numeral, 9 κορύσσω, inflection, 135, Obs. 5 κρέας, 73 κρῖ, 79, Obs. 3 κρίνον, τὰ κρίνεα, 82 κρίνω, 159 $\kappa\rho\dot{\nu}\pi\tau\omega$, 135, Obs. 5, and Note κτείνω, 159 κυκεών, 74, Obs. κύντερος, 98, Obs. 2 κυρείν, with particip., 404, Obs. 8 λ, dropped, 271, λελίημαι $\lambda \tilde{a} \alpha \varsigma$, $\lambda \tilde{a} \varsigma$, 65, Obs. 1 particip., 404, Obs. 8

λαας, λάς, 65, Obs. 1
λανθάνειν, with accus., 345; with particip., 404, Obs. 8
λέκτο, 227, Note
λέλῦτο, Hom., 152, Obs. 9
λέξεο, 146, Obs. 9
λεοντέα, -τῆ, 53, Obs. 1
λευκᾶναι, 157, Obs. 2
λήγω, see παύεσθαι
λίπα, λίπα, 82
λίσομαι, inflection, 135, Obs. 5; aor. 2.
144, Note 4
λοιποῦ, τοῦ, 438

μ, inserted, 35, Obs. 1 μ, dropped in the reduplication, 279, πίμπλημι μὰ, Syntax, 430 μαθεϋμαι, 143, Obs. 16 μαθών, τί, 444, sqq. μακρὰν, adv., 291 μάλιστα, 293; μάλιστα μὲν, 439

μᾶλλον, 293; μᾶλλον δέ, 438 μάν, see μήν $-\mu a \nu$, for $-\mu \eta \nu$, 184, Obs. 7 μάστι, μάστιν, 78, Obs. 8 μαστίζω, 134, Obs. 1 μαχοῦμαι, 142, Obs. 16 μέλε, (vocat.) 83, and Note μέλλειν, augm., 118; Syntax, 371, Obs. 11.442 μέμνημαι, 118, Obs. 1 $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu - \delta \hat{\epsilon}$, constructions, 424, sqq. &c.; $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ for $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu$, see $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu$: $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ -.τοι, (crasis,) 46, Obs. 8; Syntax, 432; μεντάν, 46, Obs. 8 -μεν, -μεναι, (infin.) 184, Obs. 9. 209 -μες, for -μεν, 184, Obs. 8

μεταξύ, 441

μέσατος, 97, Obs.

μέχρι, μέχρις, 39. 291, Obs. 4; Syntax, 408, Obs. 1

-μεσθα, -μεσθον, 184, Obs. 8

μη, constr., 413, sqq.; with conj. or opt. 383; μη, in questions, 418; independent, 418; μη before subst. 417, Obs. 3; μη οὐ, 419, Obs. 6; μη μην, 433; μη, ellipt., Syntax, 453; μη ὅτι, Syntax, 455; τὸ μη, 416, 435; τοῦ μη, 391, Obs. 4

-μη, subst., see μος μηδέ, 427 ; μηδέ είς, 99 μηδείς, μηθείς, 99 μηκέτι, Syntax, 430 μην, Syntax, 432 μήποτε, 297, Obs. 6 $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$, 297, Obs. 6; Syntax, 430 μήτε, Syntax, 427 μήτι, μήτι γε, 435 $-\mu\iota$, instead of $-\omega$, 201, Obs. 10 μία, 53 μιῆναι, 157, Obs. 2 μιμεῖσθαι, with accus., 345 μίν, νίν, 32, 104 $\mu\nu$, instead of $\mu\mu$, 265, $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\nu}\omega$ μνάα, μνᾶ, 53 μόγις, μόλις, 32, Obs. 2 -μος, σμος, (subst.) 306 μούστιν, μουδωκεν, 46, Obs. 9

ν, at the end of words, 38, § 26. 114,
Obs. 3; in the imperf. of contracted verbs, 194, Obs. 3; ν altered in the pronunciation, 38, Obs. 4; ν in-

serted, 265 $(\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\nu}\omega)$; before the termination of verbs in ω , 237; in $\dot{\alpha}\omega$, 238: in the perf. pass. 159: ν before μ , 159, Obs. 8; ν with α privat. 320 ναίχι, 25, Obs. 2 νέατος, νείατος, 97, Obs. νη-, 320, Obs. 12 $\nu\dot{\eta}$, 430 νήτη, 97, Obs. νίσσομαι, 139, Note 4 νίφα, την, 78, Obs. 8 νόσφι, ιν, 39 -ντι, 3 pl. 184 νύ, νύν, 29, 39; Syntax, 433 -νυμι, from -ω, 199, 237 νὺν, νῦν, 29, Note 1; Syntax, 433; νῦν

ξ, termination of adverbs, 318
ξ, instead of σ in the fut. and aor., 139, Obs. 2
ξ and ψ, pronunciation, 36
ξ and -ψ, nom. subst. 60
ξ υν, words compounded with, 412, Obs. 11

o, in the perfect, 149, Obs. 1 o, changed into ov. 40 o, for ω , 41, Obs. 13 o and α before a vowel, see α ò, article, 107; τὸν καὶ τὸν, 333, Obs. 5; $\dot{\delta}$ μ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ – $\dot{\delta}$ δ $\dot{\epsilon}$, 332; οἱ ἀμφὶ, $\pi\epsilon\rho$ ὶ, 441 ; οἱ τότε, 331 öδε, 334 oη, contracted into ω, 253, βοάω όθούνεκα, 46, 47, Obs. 10; Syntax, 421 ot, pronunciation, 12 or, for o and ov, 40, Obs. 2 and 4 -or and -ar, quantity of, 26 -ot, local termination, 294 -οĩ and -εῦ, (vocat.) 66 o"iei, 183, Obs. 3 -οίην, 182, Obs. 3 -ouv, 55, Obs. 4. 64 οίκα, 222, Note οἴκαδε, 294, Obs. 2 οικοι and οικοι, 26, Obs. 3. 294 olkog, omitted, 330. 355, Obs. 9. 411 οιμοι, 26, Obs. 3 -010, 55, 4

oloc, with the infin., 396; used in attraction, (οίφ γε,) 399; οίον είκος, 436 οίός τε, Syntax, 436 őic, 70, Obs. 6 -οῖσα and -εῦσα, 196, Obs. 13 -οισα for -ουσα, οισι for ουσι, 184 οίσε, 146, Obs. 9 $olobe{l} \sigma \theta \alpha$, with imperat., 381 οίχομαι, Syntax, 370, Obs. 8 όλέκω, 275 όλιγοστός, 101, Note $\delta\lambda$ οπαθη, 42; of the 1st decl. 51; of the 3rd, 68, Obs. 2 ὄμνυμι, with accus., 345 Sov, 108, Obs. 1 δποδαπός, 113, Obs. 1 δπότε, 422; δπόταν, 382, 388 $5\pi ov$, 387, 422 $5\pi\omega\varsigma$, 383; compare 387 ὀργᾶναι, 157, Obs. 2 ορηαι, 196, Note ορσεο, 146, Obs. 9 -og for -ove, 55, 4; in the nom. sing. 87, Note oc, purum, 62, 69, 70 $\delta_{\mathcal{S}}$, 331, sqq. 396, sqq.; $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ $\dot{\psi}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ $\dot{\psi}\tau\epsilon$, $d\nu\theta'$ $d\nu$, Syntax, 436; $\partial \varsigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu - \partial \varsigma$ δὲ, 332; δ δὲ πάντων δεινότα-70v, &c. 454 $5\sigma o \nu$, elliptically, 436, 391 οσος for ωστε with the infin. 396 δσος, 396; δσον οὐ, 436 $5\tau a\nu$, with opt. 388, Obs. 2 ότὲ, 297, Obs. 9 ὅτεων, ὁτέοισι, 110, Obs. 4 ότι, ότιή, 298; ὅτι μή, 435 ov, diphth., 13, Obs. 3 ού, οὐκ, οὐχ, 39; Syntax, 413; οὐ before a subst. 417, Obs. 3; où $\mu\dot{\eta}$, 373. Ι. 3; οὐ μὴν, 433; οὐ μὴν άλλά, οὐ μέντοι άλλά, 433; οὐ πάνυ, ου φημι, 417, Obs. 2; ου περί, Syntax, 435 πίομαι, 143, Obs. 18; πιουμαι, 143, οΰ, see ε $\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\varsigma$, 97, Note οὐδὲ, Syntax, 427; οὐδὲ εἶς, 99; οὐδ΄ $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\nu$, $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\nu$ εί, Syntax, 408, Obs. 1 ως, 421

οὐδείς, οὐθείς, 99; οὐδείς ὅστις οὐ, 419,

 $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$ $\dot{\eta}$, 437

οὐκέτι, Syntax, 430 οὔκουν, οὐκοῦν, Syntax, 428

Obs. 8; οὐδὲν οἶον, 436; οὐδὲν

 $o\bar{v}\nu$, 428 $-0\tilde{v}\nu, 296$ ούνεκα, 46; Syntax, 421 $o\tilde{v}\pi o \tau \epsilon$, $o\tilde{v}\pi \omega$, 297; Syntax, 430 -οῦς, οῦντος, 61, Obs. 5 $-o\tilde{v}\sigma\sigma\alpha$, names of places contracted from όεσσα, 42 ουτε, Syntax, 427 ουτι, Syntax, 435 οὖτος, heus! 109, Obs. 3; Syntax, (as adv.) 334; phrases with, 438 ούτως, after particip., 403, Obs. 6 ούχ ὅτι, ούχ ὅσον, &c. 433, sqq. ούχὶ, 298 őψει, 183, Obs. 3 oω, forms lengthened with, 195, Obs. 11 $-\delta\omega$, (verbs,) 186, 303; in the 1 pers. not contracted, 194 παθών, τί, 444 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$, before a consonant, 38, Obs. 3 $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$, shortened, 299; $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ with the pass. 358, 3; πάρα, 300; παραί, 299, Obs. 1 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$, 292, Obs. 5 πάρεστι, Syntax, 440 $\pi \tilde{a}_{\varsigma}$, Syntax, 337; $\pi \acute{a} \nu \tau a$, every one, 339, Obs. 3. πάτερ, 66, Obs. 1 πεδά for μετά, 298, Obs. 1 $πειν <math>\tilde{y}ν$, 194 πεπᾶναι, 157, Obs. 2 $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \pi o \mu \phi \alpha$, 149, Obs. 1 πέποσθε, 228, Obs. 5 πέπταμαι, πεπτηώς, πέπτωκα, 118, Obs. 1 $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \rho$, Syntax, 433; $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \rho$, 108, 295 πέρα, πέραν, 297 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, omitted, see Prapos.; oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, Syntax, 441; οὐ περί, 435; περί πολλοῦ, 438 $\pi \tilde{\imath} \theta \iota$, 226, Obs. 2

Obs. 16

 $\pi\lambda\dot{\omega}c$, 226, Obs. 1 ποδαπός, 113, Obs. 1

 $\pi o \theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, 139, Obs. 4

ποιείν τινά τι, 347

 $\pi\lambda\dot{\nu}\nu\omega$, 159

(πολλά) τὰ πολλά, 348; πολλοῦ δεῖ, δεῖν, 391, Obs. 5. 438 πολλάκις, Syntax, 441 πολλοστός, 101, Note Ποσειδῶν, accus. of, 74 ποστός, ποσταΐος, 113, Obs. 1 ποτε and που, Syntax, 433 ποτί, 298, Obs. 1; abbreviated, ibid. $\pi \acute{o} \tau \nu \iota \alpha$, ($\check{\alpha}$,) 86, Obs. 1. 93, Obs. 3 $\pi \rho \tilde{q} \circ \varsigma$, 92 $\pi \rho i \nu$, Syntax, 382, 388 $\pi\rho\dot{o}$, (crasis,) 122, Obs. 1 προϊκα, adv., 291 $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$, with the pass., 358; words compounded with, 412, Obs. 11; πρός σε θεῶν, 453 προσηκον, (augm.) 120, Obs. 4 πρόσω, πόρρω, 292; τοῦ πρόσω (ἴέναι), 351, Note προτί, 298, Obs. 1 προτοῦ, Syntax, 438 προύργου, προύλίγου, 46, Obs. 9; adv. 292, Obs. 5; προυργιαίτερον, 293, Obs. 6 $\pi\tau$, characteristic, 133 πύελος, 271, Note $\pi \bar{v} \rho \delta \varsigma$ and $(\pi \check{v} \rho) \pi \check{v} \rho \delta \varsigma$, 18

ρ, with α following, 52, 86, 138, 156 ρ, always doubled, 36 $\dot{\rho}$, $\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}$, 14, 36, 320; $\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}$ and ρσ, 32 -ραίνω, verbs ending in, 157 $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$, $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}$, 97 $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\rho}\omega$, contr., 195, Obs. 6 $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tau\omega$, inflection, 134, Obs. 5

σ, added, 35, Obs. 4; g added, 39; with

 $\pi\dot{\omega}$ and $\pi\dot{\omega}\pi\sigma\varepsilon$, Syntax, 430

πώμαλα, 439

alteration of the meaning, 204; $-\sigma$ in the perf. pass. 151, Obs. 6; in the aor. 1. pass. 153, Obs. 1; a strengthening addition, 236, Obs. 7; in composition, 319, Note; in derivat. of subst. 306; doubled in aor. 1, 140, Obs. 5; dropped in pass. terminations, 227, Obs. 3 $-\sigma a\iota$, σo , 2 perf. pass. 182. 199, Obs. 2. 209 $\Sigma a\mu\pi \bar{\iota}$, numeral, 9 $\sigma \delta$ for ζ , 32, Obs. 1. g. $-\sigma \epsilon$, adv. 293 $-\sigma \epsilon \iota \omega$, verbs ending in, 304 $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \rho o \nu$, $\tau \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho o \nu$, 298

 $-\sigma\theta\alpha$, 184, V. 8 -σι, σιν, with names of towns, 38, 294 -σι, σιν, 3 pers. sing. 185. 201, Obs. 10 -σις, -σία, subst. abstr. 306 σκάπτω, 135, Obs. 5 -σκον, -σκόμην, temp. iterat., 181, 196, $-\sigma\kappa\omega$, verbs ending in, 236 σμάω, σμῆν, 194, Obs. 5-σμος, -μος, subst., 306 σσ, ττ, 32, Obs. 4. 133, 134, Obs. 2 στάγες, στίχες, 77, Obs. 8. 78 στάζω, στηρίζω, στίζω, 134, Obs. 1 $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$, see $\xi \dot{\nu} \nu$; $\sigma \nu \nu$ -, 37, 38 συνήθης, gen.pl., 69 Συράκουσαι, Συρακόσιος, 42 συσχέσθαι, pass., 243, Obs. 9 $\sigma\phi$ -, enclitic forms, 29 σφεῖς, 335, Obs. 3 σφέτερος, 337; own, 336, Obs. 5 σφύζω, inflection, 134, Obs. 1 σχές, 226, Obs. 2 σχοίην, 182, Note Σώκρατες, 66, Obs. 3 $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$, 92

 $\tau \dot{\alpha}$, see $\tau \dot{o}$. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu - \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$, 339, Obs. 4 $\tau \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda a$, Syntax, 437 τầν, 46, Obs. 8 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$, $\ddot{\omega} \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$, 84, and Note τ ' $\dot{a}\rho\alpha$ and $\tau\dot{a}\rho\alpha$, 46; Syntax, 432 ταὐτὸ τοῦτο, just so, 349, Obs. 6 $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$, 423 τεθνάναι, 150; compare § 110 τέθριππος, 33, Obs. 2 τείν, τίν, 104, Obs. 6, 6 τείνω, 159 τεκεῖσθαι, 143, Obs. 16 τέο, τοῦ, 110, Obs. 3 $-\tau \acute{\epsilon} \circ \varsigma$, verbal, 159, 360 τεὸς, à, òν, 105, Obs. 7 τέρας, 73 τέτλαμεν, &c., 228 τέτραφα, 149, Note τετρηναι, 157 τετροφα, 149, Obs. 1 $\tau \tilde{\eta} \nu \sigma \varsigma$, 106 -της, τηρ, τωρ, subst. 308 -τηριον, τρον, 309 τi , Syntax, 435; $\tau i \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, 432; $\tau i o \dot{v}$ with aor. 370, Obs. 6; τί χρωμαι αὐτώ, 348; τί παθών, τί μαθών, 444, sqq. τίκτειν, Syntax, 370, Obs. 8

τίς, Syntax, 336, 342; between article and subst. 329, Obs. 1; omitted, 343, Obs. 9

τίς, τί, accent, 28, Obs. 2; quantity, 61, Obs. 3

τὸ, τὰ, Syntax, 331, sqq. 338, 1; adverbially, 331, Obs. 5. 339, Obs. 4; joined with the gen. 338, Obs. 1, 2; τὸ μὴ, τοῦ μὴ, 391, 416, sqq., 435; τὸ δὲ, 435; τὸ δὲ μέγιστον, 454; τὸ ἐμὸν, instead of ἐγὼ, 339, Obs. 2; τὸ λεγόμενον, τὸ τοῦ ποιητοῦ, 349, Obs. 6; with the infin. 391; τὰ καὶ τὰ, 333, Obs. 5

τοὶ (crasis,) 46; Syntax, 432; τοὶ, τοί νυν, &c. ibid.

τοίσδεσσι, 109, Obs. 2 -τὸς, verbal, 160, 360

τοτὲ-ότὲ, 297, Obs. 9 τοὖναντίον, on the contrary, 349, Obs. 6

τοῦτο, with gen. 351, Obs. 3

τραῦμα, τρωῦμα, 41, Obs. 11

auριήρης, gen. pl. 69

ττ, see σσ

τυγχάνω, with particip., 404

τύννος, τυννοῦτος, 113, Obs. 1τυπῶ, 142

τυπω, 142 τυχὸν, Syntax, 407, Obs. 7

v, augm. 119; instead of εv in the perf. pass. 151, Obs. 4

vi, dipth. 12

-υμι, (conj. and opt.) 209

-vvoc (gen.), quantity, 61, Obs. 1

 $-\dot{v}\nu\omega$, (verbs,) 186, 303; from verbs in $\dot{v}\omega$, 237; quantity, $\dot{b}id$.

ὑπαὶ, 299, Obs. 1

 $\dot{v}\pi\dot{o}$, shortened, 299; with the pass. 358, 3; $\ddot{v}\pi o$ for $\ddot{v}\pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota$, 300

-υρος, adj. (v,) 18

-υω, (verbs ending in,) quantity, 19, Obs. 10. 138, 139

φάναι, as præteritum, 219, 220 φανερός είμι, Syntax, 386, 392, 402, 449

φέρων, Syntax, 445 φθάνειν, Syntax, 442, 404 -φι, -φιν, 39. 78, Obs. 9

φέρτερος, φέριστος, 98, Obs. 2

φοίνιξ, φοῖνιξ, 61, Obs. 2 φρὲς, imper. 226, Obs. 2

722

φρίσσω, 135, Obs. 5 φροίμιον, 33, Note φροῦδος, 33, Obs. 2; Syntax, 343, 442

χ, before μ, 37. 306, Obs. 4 χαίρειν, with particip. 404 χαμαὶ and χθαμαλὸς, 35, Obs. 3 χάριν, Syntax, 348 (9). 408, and Note χελιδών, 77, Obs. 7 χερείων, 96

χράω, inflection, 140, Obs. 6; contraction, 194, Obs. 5

χρεών, indecl. 79, 289; Syntax, 343, Obs. 10

χρέως, gen. 85, and Note χρήστης, 54, III. 1

 ψ , ξ , origin, 36 ψ á ω , ψ $\tilde{\eta}\nu$, 194, Obs. 5 ψ $\dot{\nu}\chi\omega$, inflection, 154, Obs. 8

ω, in the Attic termination, short, 23;
compared with the 3d decl. 77,
Note; ω for 0, 41, Obs. 13; for 0 and 0v, 40, Obs. 4; in the particip. perf. 184; contracted from 0η, 253, βοάω:
in composition, 318, Obs. 2; -ω for -ως, (adverbial termination,) 292

in composition, 318, Obs. 2; -ω for -ως, (adverbial termination,) 292 -ω, ων, ωρ, ως, nomina, 76, Obs. 6 ὧ and ὧ, 300, Obs. 4; ὥ μέλε, see μέλε

-ῶ τὰν, see τὰν ῶλλοι, 46, Obs. 6

 $-\omega\nu$, nomina, (decl.) 74, 76, 77, 310

ωναξ, &c. 47, Obs. 10

ωντινων, (accent,) 30, Obs. 2

-ωο, (2 decl.) 57, Obs. 3

 $\tilde{\omega}$ $\pi \acute{o} \pi o \iota$, 300, Obs. 4 $-\omega c$, $-\tilde{\omega} c$, (adv.) 290

 $-\omega_{\mathcal{S}}$, nomina, 77

ώς, ως, 28; Syntax, 380; præpos., 408; with casus absol. 406, Obs. 5; ως ενι, ως επος είπεῖν, 391, Obs. 5; ως περ, 403, Obs. 6

ωστε, construction, 385, 390, 394, 403; η ωστε, after comparat. 386, Obs. 2

-ώσω, shortened and contracted, 141, Obs. 13

ωυ, dipth. 13, 41, 106

ωὐτὸς, 41, Obs. 11

ώφελεῖν, with accus. 345; with double accus. 348, Obs. 4

ωφελον, 293, Obs. 7; Syntax, 439.











